

Oregon Population Forecast Program

Regional Forecast Meeting – September 29, 2015

Presentation by

Population Forecast Program Team

Malheur County



Oregon Population Forecast Program Project Team

Xiaomin Ruan,

Population Forecast Program Coordinator

Risa S. Proehl,

Population Estimates Program Manager

Jason R. Jurjevich,

Assistant Director Population Research Center

Kevin Rancik,

GIS and Research Analyst

Janai Kessi,

Research Analyst

Carson Gorecki,

Graduate Research Assistant

David Tetrick,

Graduate Research Assistant



Agenda

- Population Research Center (PRC)
- Forecast Program overview
 - Forecast regions
 - Schedule
 - Deliverables
 - Forecasting methods and data sources
 - Process for local input
- Demographic and economic trends
- Discussion



PRC Research areas:

- Oregon Census State Data Center (SDC)
- Demographic Research and Advisory Services
- Demography Instruction
- Oregon Population Estimates Program
- Oregon Population Forecast Program (OPFP)



Contact PRC Quick Menu *

PRC Website:

http://www.pdx.edu/prc



College of Urban & Public Affairs:

Population Research Center

PRC News

Portland State


Useful Links

Institute of Portland Metropolitan Studies Greater Portland Pulse Northwest Economic Research Center Neighborhood Profiles

- The final forecasts for Region 1 are now available--click here for more information.
- PRC has been helping Washington County as it redraws the boundaries of its county commissioner districts. You can watch videos of Jason Jurjevich and senior deputy county administrator Walt Peck discussing the process here.


in applied demography and a graduate certificate program in applied demography.








Forecast Program: 4-Year Schedule





Coordinated Forecast: Annual Schedule





Deliverables

- Forecasts (50 year horizon, 5-year time intervals)
 - County-level forecasts (5-year age groups)
 - Coordinated UGB-level forecasts (Total population)
- Report containing:
 - Summaries of historic and future demographic trends, assumptions about future growth, and a compilation of information collected from city and county officials and the public
 - Short technical description of methods employed to produce the forecast



Process for Population Forecasts

- Develop demographic models using historic and recent data
- Analyze past and current population trends
 - Reasons for change, continuous or short-term?
- Gather information about existing and planned future housing, and about population change
 - Housing developments
 - Construction of new group quarters facilities
 - New employers
- Make assumptions about future housing and population change
- Revise forecasts on a regular basis



Population Forecast Methods Primary Models for this Forecast

- Cohort-Component Method
 - Based on age-sex structure
 - Survival rates Fairly constant over time
 - Fertility Slightly more variable than survival rates
 - Migration Rates Subject to greater fluctuation than mortality and fertility and more unpredictable
 - Generally works better for areas with larger populations



Population Forecast Methods Primary Models for this Forecast

- Housing Unit Method
 - Generally works better for areas with smaller populations
 - Housing unit growth
 - Housing unit type
 - Persons per household (PPH)
 - Occupancy rates
 - Add group quarters population



Population Forecast Methods Other Models/Methods to Consider

- For comparison and to serve as a check
- Ratio Methods
- Trend Extrapolation
- Employment Conversion Model



Population Forecast Data Sources

Primary Sources:

- U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Censuses
- Population Research Center (PRC), Oregon Population Estimates Program
- Oregon Health Authority, Center for Health Statistics
- Counties, Assessors Office
- Incorporated cities, Community Development/Planning Department
- Oregon Geospatial Enterprise Office (GEO), Spatial Data Library

Secondary Sources:

- State of Oregon, Office of Economic Analysis
- U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS)
- U.S., Internal Revenue Service
- State of Oregon, Department of Revenue
- Oregon Department of Education
- U.S., Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
- State of Oregon, Employment Department




Process for Local Input

- Hold regional meetings
 - Receive input on:
 - Historical and current demographic and economic trends
 - Plans for future growth
 - Receive feed back on Preliminary Forecasts
- Local survey
 - Collect local observations
 - Population composition; recent change
 - Planned housing development plus group quarters facilities
 - Future employers
 - Infrastructure
 - Anything that might promote or hinder population growth
 - Survey will be posted on website and emailed to each jurisdiction
 - Issued in October, 2015
- Official review period for Proposed Forecasts



Malheur County







Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 1930 to 2010 Censuses. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).

Note 1: Average annual growth rate is used for simplicity. In actuality the rate is an annualized rate calculated with this formula: [LN(Year1/Year2)/10] Note 2: The 2000 total population does not reflect Count Question Resolution (CQR) revisions made by the U.S. Census Bureau. Revised total population numbers are used for the "County and Incorporated City Population" table.









Sources: Population Research Center, July 1st Annual Estimates 2000-2014. Oregon Health Authority, Center for Health Statistics. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).




Malheur County and Incorporated Cities—Population and Average Annual Growth Rate (AAGR) (2000-2010 and 2010-2014)

| • | | • | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | AAGR | AAGR | Share of | Share of | Share of |
| 2000 | 2010 | 2014 | (2000-2010) | (2010-2014) | County 2000 | County 2010 | County 2014 |
| 31,615 | 31,313 | 31,470 | -0.1% | 0.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 147 | 177 | 180 | 1.9% | 0.4% | 0.5% | 0.6% | 0.6% |
| 239 | 181 | 175 | -2.8% | -0.8% | 0.8% | 0.6% | 0.6% |
| 3,163 | 3,267 | 3,285 | 0.3% | 0.1% | 10.0% | 10.4% | 10.4% |
| 10,985 | 11,366 | 11,465 | 0.3% | 0.2% | 34.7% | 36.3% | 36.4% |
| 1,976 | 1,874 | 1,875 | -0.5% | 0.0% | 6.3% | 6.0% | 6.0% |
| 15,105 | 14,448 | 14,490 | -0.4% | 0.1% | 47.8% | 46.1% | 46.0% |
| | 31,615 147 239 3,163 10,985 1,976 | 31,615 31,313 147 177 239 181 3,163 3,267 10,985 11,366 1,976 1,874 | 31,615 31,313 31,470 147 177 180 239 181 175 3,163 3,267 3,285 10,985 11,366 11,465 1,976 1,874 1,875 | 2000 2010 2014 (2000-2010) 31,615 31,313 31,470 -0.1% 147 177 180 1.9% 239 181 175 -2.8% 3,163 3,267 3,285 0.3% 10,985 11,366 11,465 0.3% 1,976 1,874 1,875 -0.5% | 2000 2010 2014 (2000-2010) (2010-2014) 31,615 31,313 31,470 -0.1% 0.1% 147 177 180 1.9% 0.4% 239 181 175 -2.8% -0.8% 3,163 3,267 3,285 0.3% 0.1% 10,985 11,366 11,465 0.3% 0.2% 1,976 1,874 1,875 -0.5% 0.0% | 2000 2010 2014 (2000-2010) (2010-2014) Share of County 2000 31,615 31,313 31,470 -0.1% 0.1% 100.0% 147 177 180 1.9% 0.4% 0.5% 239 181 175 -2.8% -0.8% 0.8% 3,163 3,267 3,285 0.3% 0.1% 10.0% 10,985 11,366 11,465 0.3% 0.2% 34.7% 1,976 1,874 1,875 -0.5% 0.0% 6.3% | 2000 2010 2014 AAGR (2000-2010) AAGR (2010-2014) Share of County 2000 Share of County 2010 31,615 31,313 31,470 -0.1% 0.1% 100.0% 100.0% 147 177 180 1.9% 0.4% 0.5% 0.6% 239 181 175 -2.8% -0.8% 0.8% 0.6% 3,163 3,267 3,285 0.3% 0.1% 10.0% 10.4% 10,985 11,366 11,465 0.3% 0.2% 34.7% 36.3% 1,976 1,874 1,875 -0.5% 0.0% 6.3% 6.0% |

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, April 1, 2000 and 2010 Censuses. Population Research Center, July 1, 2014 Annual Intercensal Estimate. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).


Note: The 2000 total population reflects Count Question Resolution (CQR) revisions made by the U.S. Census Bureau.






Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, April 1, 1980, 1990, 2000, and 2010 Decennial Censuses. Population Research Center, July 1, 2014 Annual Certified Population Estimate. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).













Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Censuses. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).











Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Censuses. Oregon Health Authority, Center for Health Statistics. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).






















Malheur County—Hispanic or Latino and Race (2000 and 2010)

| | | | | | Absolute | Relative | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--|
| Hispanic or Latino and Race | 2000 | | 2010 | | Change | Change | |
| Total population | 31,615 | 100.0% | 31,313 | 100.0% | -302 | -1.0% | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8,099 | 25.6% | 9,867 | 31.5% | 1,768 | 21.8% | |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 23,516 | 74.4% | 21,446 | 68.5% | -2,070 | -8.8% | |
| White alone | 21,752 | 68.8% | 19,906 | 63.6% | -1,846 | -8.5% | |
| Black or African American alone | 369 | 1.2% | 331 | 1.1% | -38 | -10.3% | |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 273 | 0.9% | 235 | 0.8% | -38 | -13.9% | |
| Asian alone | 608 | 1.9% | 511 | 1.6% | -97 | -16.0% | |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 18 | 0.1% | 12 | 0.0% | -6 | -33.3% | |
| Some Other Race alone | 37 | 0.1% | 21 | 0.1% | -16 | -43.2% | |
| Two or More Races | 459 | 1.5% | 430 | 1.4% | -29 | -6.3% | |

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Censuses.








Malheur and Incorporated Cities—Persons Per Household (PPH), Occupancy Rate, Percent Group Quarters, and Percent Seasonal Housing (2000 and 2010)

| | Persons Per | | | | Percent Seasonal | | Percent Group | | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|------------------|---------|---------------|----------|--|
| | Househo | Household (PPH) | | Occupancy Rate | | Housing | | Quarters | |
| | 2000 | 2010 | 2000 | 2010 | 2000 | 2010 | 2000 | 2010 | |
| Malheur County | 2.8 | 2.7 | 91.0% | 89.0% | 1.1% | 2.6% | 10.5% | 10.7% | |
| Adrian | 2.5 | 2.5 | 89.4% | 89.7% | 4.5% | 6.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| Jordan Valley | 2.2 | 1.9 | 77.9% | 63.1% | 10.7% | 18.1% | 0.8% | 0.0% | |
| Nyssa | 3.1 | 3.1 | 92.6% | 91.2% | 0.2% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.9% | |
| Ontario | 2.6 | 2.6 | 92.1% | 92.5% | 0.2% | 0.5% | 2.4% | 2.3% | |
| Vale | 2.8 | 2.6 | 90.9% | 88.7% | 0.7% | 0.8% | 5.9% | 5.8% | |
| Unincorporated | 3.5 | 3.4 | 90.0% | 86.1% | 1.8% | 4.8% | 19.5% | 20.5% | |

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Censuses. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).


Note: Percent Seasonal Housing is the proportion of total housing units in 2000 and 2010 that are identified as vacant "for seasonal, recreational, or occasional use."





Source: U.S. Census Bureau. 2013. Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI) Data. Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program. http://ledextract.ces.census.gov/. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).






Source: Oregon Employment Department. Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS). https://www.qualityinfo.org/ed-uesti/?at=1&t1=4101000000~unemprate~y~2000~2015.

Note: The rate represents the percent of the labor force seeking work but not employed.





Source: U.S. Census Bureau. 2013. Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI) Data. Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program. http://ledextract.ces.census.gov/. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).

Note: The quarterly employment data used in this chart is not seasonally adjusted.



Local Input and Additional Information

- Questions?
- Discussion time