

2-1-2010

Land Use Innovation: Experiences in the Adoption of Land Use Policies to Promote Active Living

Jennifer Dill

Portland State University, jdill@pdx.edu

Deborah A. Howe

Portland State University

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/usp_fac



Part of the [Urban Studies and Planning Commons](#)

Citation Details

Jennifer Dill and Deborah Howe, "Land Use Innovation: Experiences in the Adoption of Land Use Policies to Promote Active Living." Presented at the Active Living Research Annual Conference, San Diego, CA, February 9-11, 2010.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Urban Studies and Planning Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. For more information, please contact pdxscholar@pdx.edu.



Land Use Innovation:

Experiences in the Adoption of Land Use Policies to Promote Active Living

Jennifer Dill, Ph.D. ♦ Portland State University
Deborah Howe, Ph.D. ♦ Temple University

Study Aim



- Understand the process of local government innovation in land use policy related to active living
 - ▣ Motivations
 - ▣ Barriers
 - ▣ Resources

Study Components



- Survey of best practices communities
- Survey of randomly selected jurisdictions
- Case studies of four innovative communities
- Interviews of innovative developers

Survey Methodology


- Best Practices Survey

- ▣ Sample: Cities and counties with mixed-use zoning and new mixed-use projects built or underway
- ▣ Response rate: 24% (53 of 221)


- Random Survey

- ▣ Sample: Cities/Towns of population 25,000-200,000
- ▣ Response rate: 29% (145 of 498)


Findings: Levels of Innovation




Findings: Land Use Policies Adopted




Findings: Land Use Policies Adopted



Findings: Parking Policies Adopted



Findings: Design Policies Adopted



Findings: Motivations

- Top *issues* motivating adoption include
 - ▣ “Livability”
 - ▣ Economic development
 - ▣ Creating dynamic centers
- Plans and mandates play a role
- Higher population growth (1990 to 2000) ⇒ more land use and design policies adopted
- Better current economic health ⇒ more parking and design policies adopted

Findings: Motivations



- Physical activity not a major motivation, but...
 - ▣ Increasing importance in this past decade compared to 1990s and earlier
 - ▣ Associated with higher numbers of policies adopted
- Public health agencies are not playing a role in these policy discussions

Reasons for Not Adopting Policies

	Somewhat + Very much	Very much a reason	Somewhat of a reason	Not a reason at all	Don't Know
A lack of planning staff time	65%	21%	44%	32%	3%
Opposition from residents	65%	21%	44%	27%	8%
Opposition from business community	57%	12%	45%	34%	9%
Lack of leadership from elected officials	52%	20%	32%	44%	5%
Opposition from other organizations	41%	7%	34%	39%	20%
Lack of knowledge about such policies	40%	3%	37%	57%	3%
Lack of leadership from planning commission	31%	3%	28%	65%	5%
Lack of leadership from planning director and/or department	13%	3%	10%	84%	4%

Resources



- The most useful sources of information were
 - ▣ Policies/language from other jurisdictions (top)
 - ▣ Consultants
 - ▣ Field visits (within and outside jurisdiction)
 - ▣ Professional conferences

Implications of the Findings

- Health and physical activity could become a more significant motivation
- Need to target elected officials
- External plans and policies can be influential
 - ▣ State-level planning mandates
 - ▣ Transportation plans (is there a role for HIAs here?)
- Planning departments need more resources
 - ▣ Sharing good examples may help (codes and site visits)

That's all for now...



- Contact information:
 - Jennifer Dill, jdill@pdx.edu
 - Deborah Howe, dhowe@temple.edu