

3-4-1985

Faculty Senate Monthly Packet March 1985

Portland State University Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: <https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/senateminutes>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Portland State University Faculty Senate, "Faculty Senate Monthly Packet March 1985" (1985). *Faculty Senate Monthly Packets*. 10.

<https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/senateminutes/10>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Monthly Packets by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

LD4349
.A192
F25



portland state university

MEMORANDUM

To: Senators and Ex-officio Members of the Senate February 21, 1985
From: Ulrich H. Hardt, Secretary of the Faculty *U.H.H.*

The Faculty Senate will hold its regular meeting on March 4, 1985, at 3:00 p.m. in 71 Cramer Hall.

Please note room change.

AGENDA

- A. Roll
- *B. Approval of the Minutes of the February 4, 1985, Meeting
- C. Announcements and Communications from the Floor
- D. Question Period
 - 1. Questions for Administrators
 - 2. Questions from the Floor for the Chair
- E. Reports from the Officers of Administration and Committees -- None
- F. Unfinished Business -- None
- G. New Business
 - 1. University General Education Requirements
Please bring OAA mailing (Feb. 4, 1985) to meeting
- H. Adjournment

*The following documents are included with this mailing:

*B Minutes of February 4, 1985, Senate Meeting**

**Mailing to Senators and Ex-officio Members only.



PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY

Minutes: Faculty Senate Meeting, February 4, 1985
Presiding Officer: Nancy Tang
Secretary: Ulrich H. Hardt

Members Present: Beeson, Bennett, Bentley, Brenner, Cabelly, Campbell, Constans, Cooper, Diman, Dunkeld, Edner, Hakanson, Heneghan, Jackson, A. Johnson, D. Johnson, Kempner, Kimball, Kosokoff, Kristof, Lall, Mandaville, Martinez, Maynard, Moor, Neklason, Newberry, Olson, R. Petersen, J. Peterson, Reece, Rodich, Sheridan, Smeltzer, Solie, Soohoo, Spolek, Tang, Tayler, Tracy, West, Williams, Wolk, Wurm, Wyers.

Alternates Present: Kasal for Kimbrell, Gerber for Cawthorne, Blake for Rufolo, Rueter for Forbes, Cumpston for Grimes, Withers for Hillman, Gaffuri for Jones, Blankenship for Robertson, Dressler for Sommerfeldt, Stowell for Stuart, Goldman for White, Frost for Wrench.

Members Absent: Bjork, Carl, Featheringill, Harmon, Cogan, Reardon, Rose, Scheans, Walton.

Ex-officio Members Present: Blumel, Bogue, Corn, Dobson, Edgington, Erzurumlu, Forbes, Hardt, Harris, Heath, Morris, Pflingsten, Ross, Schendel, Trudeau.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

The minutes of the January 14, 1985, Senate meeting were approved as distributed.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

DOBSON announced that the General Education Requirements document will be circulated to all faculty this week and will be discussed on the March Senate agenda.

QUESTION PERIODS

1. President BLUMEL made available the summary of the budget recommendations discussed at the December 14 Board meeting, showing the Board's requests and the Governor's recommendations. (See attached) These recommendations were presented to Ways and Means which will make up its own mind and base their decision on the availability of revenue. On page iii, the Governor's recommendations represent drastic cuts for PSU in high tech, as opposed to only minor adjustments for UO and OSU.

In an effort to cut out duplicate programs in Oregon, the Governor announced that he was approving a major center at each university as follows: UO, basic science; OSU, engineering; PSU, international trade and commerce. The cuts in EAS in the Portland area represent a real problem because of the proximity of business and industry. PSU will try to get as much restoration for Engineering and International Trade and Commerce as possible; the Governor has said that adjustments were possible after a study has been made.

MOOR wanted to know how much of the \$20 million salary adjustment would come to PSU. BLUMEL said it was hard to know; merit, market conditions and equity are the important considerations. BEESON estimated that 30% would come to PSU, 80% to UO and OSU. Blumel agreed.

2. BOGUE responded to the question of what faculty could do to effectively express their views about the Governor's budget recommendations to their legislators. Two things more effective than letters are phoning the legislators or meeting them personally. Ask them how the state plans to finance higher education. Urge a tax reform and sale tax. Ask how you can help. He also suggested that faculty should get on mailing lists, attend town hall meetings and Saturday breakfasts. As many faculty as possible should become involved; to help prepare faculty and plan strategy, several information sessions have been scheduled during February: Tuesday, February 12; Wednesday, February 20; and Thursday, February 28, at noon in 250 CH. KOSOKOFF and BOGUE added that lobbyists from AOF (Mark Nelson) and AAUP were active in Salem, as well as student lobbyists.
3. BLUMEL stated that there was no question that Oregon was in a uniquely favorable position for special funding in Washington, D.C., with the powerful positions of Hatfield, Packwood and AuCoin this year. Deans have been urged to encourage requests for realistic, substantial projects at the University. Buildings might be a possibility, but Hatfield has stressed his strong interest in basic and applied research; therefore it seems that he would be willing to support plausible major research projects in Oregon, as long as they are of consequence and have national implications. We need to think big and generate suggestions. One small appropriation for international trade and business has already been made, thanks to the efforts of Hatfield and AuCoin.

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS OF THE ADMINISTRATION

BLUMEL reported that fourth week Winter term registration was down .6% from a year ago.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 15:35.

Governor's
1985-1987
Budget
Recommendations for Higher
Education

Staff Report to the Board

The Governor's 1985-1987 Biennial Budget recommendations were released on November 30, 1984. This staff report describes for the Board the Governor's budget recommendations and how they differ from the Board's request for 1985-1987. The changes to the budget are discussed in more detail below; however, the following summary compares the Board's General Fund request and the Governor's General Fund recommendations for the Department of Higher Education:

	General Fund		Difference
	Board's Request	Governor's Recommendations	
I. <u>Operating Budget</u>			
A. Base Budget (1984-85 Operating Level)	\$433,298,130	\$433,298,130	-0-
Adjustments to Base:			
Inflation	7,603,171	7,603,171	-0-
Hospital	-0-	49,848	\$ 49,848
Dental Clinics	-0-	(1,908)	(1,908)
B. Decision Packages for 1985-1987			
1. Unclassified Salary Adjustment	55,151,700	40,000,000	(15,151,700)
2. New Building O&M	1,054,259	1,054,259	-0-
3. Facilities Maintenance	14,500,000	6,000,000	(8,500,000)
4. High Tech. & Economic Development	17,611,402	11,614,975	(5,996,427)
5. Instructional Equipment	10,000,000	4,000,000	(6,000,000)
6. Instructional Computing	10,000,000	-0-	(10,000,000)
7. Library Automation and Acquisition	8,500,000	6,000,000	(2,500,000)
8. Basic Research	1,200,000	-0-	(1,200,000)
9. Institution-Specific Improvements			
(a) Education & Gen.	2,482,826	-0-	(2,482,826)
(b) SWPS-OSU	1,601,780	800,000	(801,780)
(c) Hospital-Extraordinary Costs	20,000,000	15,000,000	(5,000,000)
10. Freeze and Modify Instruction Fee Policy	6,176,457	-0-	(6,176,457)
11. Vet. Diag. Lab-Fee & Budget Policy Change	-0-	<u>27,317</u>	<u>27,317</u>
Subtotal, Decision Packages	\$148,278,424	\$ 84,496,551	(\$63,781,873)
II. <u>Student Loans</u>	\$ 95,666	\$ 95,666	-0-
III. <u>Debt Service</u>	\$ 11,704,710	\$ 11,704,710	-0-
IV. <u>Capital Construction</u>	\$ 41,032,500	\$ 18,710,000	(22,322,500)
Grand Total, 1985-1987	\$642,012,601	\$555,956,168	(\$86,056,433)

Base Budget

The base budget along with adjustments for inflation was recommended by the Governor as requested in the Board's Budget Request for 1985-1987 except for a minor technical adjustment of \$1,908 to the Dental Clinics'

budget and a more significant base budget change to the University Hospital. The staff requested and recommended to the Board in October a revised base budget for the University Hospital. That recommendation as reported to the Board in October was incorporated into the Governor's recommended 1985-1987 budget. In summary, that recommendation was to reduce the Other Funds base budget by \$8,262,700 because the 1984-85 Other Funds budget had been overstated.

Decision Packages

Unclassified Salary Adjustments. The Unclassified Salary Adjustment of \$40,000,000 as recommended by the Governor appears in two distinct sections of the Governor's printed budget. Twenty million is included in the section of the budget entitled "Salary Adjustments and Employee Benefits" and the remaining \$20,000,000 is included directly in the Higher Education section of the budget and referred to as "Faculty Salary Enhancements."

According to the Governor's printed budget, the \$20,000,000 included in the section entitled "Salary Adjustments and Employee Benefits" ". . . would finance approximately a three percent per year, across-the-board salary raise plus a July 1, 1985, two percent catch-up from last biennium's pay freeze. It would also cover expected inflation of about six percent per year in medical and dental insurance costs." The \$20,000,000 included directly in the Higher Education budget is "to finance selective salary raises for faculty--depending upon merit, market conditions, and other factors to be determined by the Chancellor and the State Board of Higher Education."

The staff will be presenting to the Board in March or April of 1985 a more detailed plan for unclassified salary adjustments incorporating the recommendations of the Governor's budget.

New Building Operation and Maintenance. The Governor's recommendation is the same as the Board's request.

Facilities Maintenance. The \$6,000,000 for Facilities Maintenance recommended by the Governor will finance the most critical maintenance needs as defined by the institutions. However, the \$6,000,000 combined with the \$3,252,000 provided in the base budget or \$9,325,000 represents only .36% of the valuation of the \$1.3 billion of education and general plant buildings. Therefore, the IMD (Internal Management Directive) as approved by the Board in September will need to be modified to reflect facilities maintenance expenditures of .36% of valuation per year rather than .75% as had been approved anticipating an increase of \$14,500,000 in the budget for facilities maintenance.

High Technology and Economic Development. The Governor's General Fund budget recommendation of \$11,614,975 for High Technology and Economic Development for Higher Education is not included in the Higher Education section of the Governor's budget document. Instead, the funds are included

by the Governor as part of a section on "Special Program on Economic Development" totaling \$18,113,540 to be financed from the State General Fund. The following is a comparison of the Board's request and the Governor's recommendation for High Technology and Economic Development:

	<u>Board's Request</u>	<u>Governor's Recommendations</u>	<u>Difference</u>
<u>University of Oregon</u>			
1. Materials, Optical, and Computer Science	\$ 1,691,335	\$ 1,657,675	(\$ 33,660)
2. Biotechnology	1,708,120	1,670,096	(38,024)
3. High Energy Physics	340,000	340,000	-0-
4. Polymer Science	274,840	274,840	-0-
5. Geothermal Research	161,474	161,474	-0-
6. Technology, Design, and Architecture	87,526	-0-	(87,526)
7. International Business	<u>385,194</u>	<u>-0-</u>	(385,194)
	\$ 4,648,489	\$ 4,104,085	(\$ 544,404)
<u>Oregon State University</u>			
1. Upgrade & Expand Engineering	\$ 3,877,000	\$ 3,827,000	(\$ 50,000)
2. Advanced Materials Research	500,000	-0-	(500,000)
3. Gene Research and Biotech.	728,264	656,592	(71,672)
4. International Trade in Agriculture and Forestry	125,110	-0-	(125,110)
5. Sustain Coastal Forest Production	378,680	-0-	(378,680)
6. Agri-Business Degree OSU-EOSC	<u>337,825</u>	<u>337,825</u>	<u>-0-</u>
	\$ 5,946,879	\$ 4,821,417	(\$1,125,462)
<u>Portland State University</u>			
1. Upgrade Engineering	\$ 2,024,998	\$ 500,000	(\$1,524,998)
2. International Trade & Commerce	314,120	314,120	-0-
3. B.S. in International Business	250,353	250,353	-0-
4. Expand Undergrad. Engineering	<u>449,919</u>	<u>-0-</u>	(449,919)
	\$ 3,039,390	\$ 1,064,473	(\$1,974,917)
<u>Oregon Health Sciences University</u>			
1. Center for Technology in Medicine	\$ 2,785,207	\$ 1,500,000	(\$1,285,207)
<u>Oregon Institute of Technology</u>			
1. OIT-Portland Center	\$ 744,467	\$ -0-	(\$ 744,467)
<u>High Technology Consortium Continuation Funding</u>			
	\$ 446,970	\$ -0-	(\$ 446,970)
<u>Forest Research Laboratory</u>			
1. Riparian Zone Management*	<u>\$ -0-</u>	<u>\$ 125,000</u>	(\$ 125,000)
Totals	\$17,611,402	\$11,614,975	(\$5,996,427)

* Included in Board's Request as an Institution-Specific request.

Instructional Equipment. The Governor's recommendation of \$4,000,000 represents 40% of the Board's request for Instructional Equipment. The staff will be presenting for Board approval an institutional allocation plan for this reduced amount sometime in April or May 1985 after the completion of the equipment portion of the BAS Model.

Library Automation and Acquisition. The Governor's recommendation of \$6,000,000 for libraries is earmarked to implement the library automation portion of the Board's request for libraries. It is the Governor's intent that no portion of the \$6,000,000 be used for library acquisitions unless the automation can be completed for less than the estimated \$6,000,000 included in the Board's request.

Freeze Instruction Fees. The Governor is not recommending the \$6,176,457 of General Fund dollars to allow for a freeze in Instruction Fee rates for 1985-1987. Thus, a 3% per year increase in instruction fee rates for all students will be necessary in 1985-1987 to finance the Governor's recommended budget.

Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory. The Governor is recommending an additional \$27,317 in General Funds to finance a projected increase in the workload of the Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory. Other Funds income is projected to increase \$53,277 due to the increased workload.

Instructional Computing, Basic Research, and Education and General Institution-Specifics. The Governor recommended no General Fund moneys for these items.

Statewide Public Services. The following summarizes the Governor's General Fund recommendations for the statewide public services:

	<u>Board's Request</u>	<u>Governor's Recommendations</u>	<u>Difference</u>
<u>Agricultural Experiment Station</u>			
1. Crop Production	\$ 545,634	\$ 440,000	(\$ 105,634)
2. Pest Management	324,824	-0-	(324,824)
<u>Cooperative Extension Service</u>			
1. Meeting Increased Demands	\$ 448,206	\$ 360,000	(\$ 88,206)
2. Agro-Forestry	158,116	-0-	(158,116)
<u>Forest Research Laboratory</u>			
1. Riparian Zone Management	\$ 125,000	*	
<u>University Hospital</u>	\$20,000,000	\$15,000,000	(\$5,000,000)

* Governor included \$125,000 in the High Technology and Economic Development package.

Capital Construction. A comparison of the Board's request and the Governor's recommendation is contained in a separate item in the report section of the docket.