#### Portland State University

### **PDXScholar**

Institute for Sustainable Solutions Publications and Presentations

Institute for Sustainable Solutions

6-1-1999

# One giant leap. Review of: Consilience: the Unity of Knowledge by Edward O. Wilson

Robert Costanza Portland State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/iss\_pub

Part of the Sustainability Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

#### **Citation Details**

Costanza, R. 1999. One giant leap. Review of: Consilience: the Unity of Knowledge by Edward O. Wilson. BioScience 49:487-488.

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Institute for Sustainable Solutions Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

### Books

## One giant leap

Consilience: The Unity of Knowledge. Edward O. Wilson. Knopf, New York, 1998. 332 pp. \$26.00 (ISBN 0-679-45077-7 cloth).

"Consilience," according to Webster's dictionary, is "a leaping together." Biologist Edward O. Wilson's latest book, by that title, attempts a grand synthe-sis, or "leaping together," of our current state of knowledge by "linking facts and factbased theory across disciplines to create a common groundwork for explanation" and a prediction of where we are headed. It is a tour de force by one of our age's greatest scientists-one of the few who possess the depth and breadth of knowledge to even attempt the task.

After a brief introductory chapter on how the author's personal intellectual journey brought him to be passionately interested in the question of consilience, the book is neatly summarized (both in content and in tone) in the first paragraph of chapter 2:

You will see at once why I believe that the Enlightenment thinkers of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries got it mostly right the first time. The assumptions they made of a lawful material world, the intrinsic unity of knowledge, and the potential of indefinite human progress are the ones we still take most readily into our hearts, suffer without, and find maximally rewarding through intellectual advance. The greatest enterprise



of the mind has always been and always will be the attempted linkage of the sciences and humanities. The ongoing fragmentation of knowledge and resulting chaos in philosophy are not reflections of the real world but artifacts of scholarship. The propositions of the original Enlightenment are increasingly favored by objective evidence, especially from the natural sciences. (p. 8)

The book fleshes out and defends these propositions with numerous examples from the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the humanities. Wilson takes an unabashedly logical positivist and reductionist approach to science and to consilience, arguing that "the central idea of the consilience world view is that all tangible phenomena, from the birth of stars to the workings of social institutions, are based on material processes that are ultimately reducible, however long and tortuous the sequences, to the laws of physics" (p. 266). Deconstructionists and postmodernists, in this view, are merely gadflies, who are nonetheless useful to keep the "real" scientists honest. Wilson takes pains to point out the centrality of the reductionist approach, from a full chapter on its roots in the Enlightenment to separate chapters on its use in the natural sciences, psychology, genetics, evolution, the social sciences, and ethics and religion. He starts the natural sciences chap-

ter (chapter 4) with vivid and heartfelt descriptions of the scientific method itself and what it takes to be a good scientist ("bright enough to see what needs to be done, but not so bright as to suffer boredom doing it"; p. 58). Along the way, Wilson also provides often insightful comments on the fundamental problems in the various disciplines that have prevented or hindered consilience. For example, he notes that the weaknesses of conventional economic theory "can be summarized in two labels: Newtonian and hermetic. Newtonian, because economic theorists aspire to find simple, general laws that cover all possible economic arrangements...hermetic-that is, sealed off from the complexities of human behavior and the constraints

imposed by the environment" (p. 197).

Scholars from across the academic spectrum will find much food for thought, discussion, and disagreement in all the book's chapters. Although there is probably broad agreement that integrating the currently fragmented sciences and humanities is a good idea, many will disagree with Wilson's neo-Enlightenment, reductionist prescription. The problem is that the type of consilience envisioned by Wilson will not be a real "leaping together" of the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the humanities. Rather, he sees a total victory by the natural sciences and the reductionist approach in general. There are, however, several wellknown problems with the strict reductionist approach to science (Williams 1997), and several of its contradictions show up in the book itself.

Wilson recognizes that the real issue in achieving consilience is one of scaling-that is, how understanding is transferred across the multitude of spatial and temporal scales, from quarks to the universe and everything in between. But he seems to fall back on the overly simplistic reductionist approach to doing this that if we understand phenomena at their most detailed scale, we can simply "add up" in linear fashion from there to get the behavior at larger scales. Although he states that "the greatest challenge today, not just in cell biology and ecology but in all of science, is the accurate and complete description of complex systems" (p. 85), he puts aside some of the main findings from the study of complex systems-that scaling in adaptive, living systems is neither linear nor easy, and that "emergent proper-ties," which are unpredictable from the smaller scale alone, are important. And although he acknowledges on the one hand that analysis and synthesis, reductionism and holism, are as inseparable as breathing out and breathing in, Wilson glosses over the difficulty of actually doing the synthesis in complex adaptive systems and the necessity of studying and understanding phenomena at multiple scales simultaneously, rather than reducing them to the laws of physics.

The consilience for which we are really searching, I believe, is a more balanced and pluralistic kind of "leaping together," one in which the natural and social sciences and the humanities all contribute equitably. A science that is truly transdisciplinary and multiscale, rather than either reductionistic or holistic, is, in fact, evolving, but I think it will be much more sophisticated and multifaceted in its view of the complex world in which we live, the nature of "truth," and the potential for human "progress" than the Enlightenment thinkers of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries could ever have imagined.

> ROBERT COSTANZA Center for Environmental Science, Biology Department, and Institute for Ecological Economics University of Maryland Solomons, MD 20688-0038

#### References cited

Williams N. 1997. Biologists cut reductionist approach down to size. Science 277: 476– 477.

### THE HUMAN FACTOR IN SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Facilitating Sustainable Agriculture: Participatory Learning and Adaptive Management in Times of Environmental Uncertainty. Niels G. Röling and M. Annemarie E. Wagemakers, eds. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 1998. 318 pp. \$85.00 (ISBN 0-521-58174-5 cloth).

Facilitating Sustainable Agriculture is a major revision of the papers from a 1993 workshop at the 15th Congress of the European Rural Sociological Society. Although the essence of many of the presentations at this workshop remains, the papers were modified and updated as the book evolved. The resultant book is a work in progress in which the editors point out the "loose ends" of sustainable agriculture. Overall, this book provides a fascinating and usable perspective by examining the implications of ecologically sound agriculture for land users and other stakeholders.

It is the organization of the book and the information it contains that

will make it a valuable addition to the library of any sustainable agricultural researcher or educator. The first section contains three excellent chapters that together ask some uncomfortable questions and challenge the reader's thinking about the role of people in the environment. The book ends with a synthesis that addresses the basic questions of the book: Can we "learn" our way to a more sustainable agriculture? And if so, what does it take?

Facilitating Sustainable Agricul*ture* is not just another sustainable agriculture book that is hung up on agriculture's changing structure or its dependence on fossil fuels, chemicals, and pesticides. It probes, tries to answer, probes again, and often admits lack of conclusions because sustainable agriculture is, after all, about people. People defy quantification, and so, perhaps, does their environment. The book has many faults, some admitted to by the authors. Its largest hurdle, for me, at least, was the extensive use of sociological jargon. As an agronomist, I struggled with many of the coined words, but I assume my social-science colleagues have just as much of a problem with agronomic lingo in books pertaining to agronomic issues of sustainability.

The book starts with an introductory chapter by the editors that leads the reader into the deep waters encompassed in the book by defining what is meant by sustainable agriculture and the divergent opinions surrounding definitions of sustainability. Anyone who has followed the writings of Jules Pretty will appreciate his deep insights, expressed in the second chapter, about the lessons learned from policies at work. His chapter alone is worth the price of the book. Pretty even gets into the issue of sustainable intensification of agriculture, a term recently popularized by the World Bank to introduce the concept of making sustainable agriculture more productive.

The third chapter, by James Woodhill and Röling, has the intriguing title, "The second wing of the eagle: the human dimension in learning our way to more sustainable futures." This chapter brings into play the basic premise of the book, that the environmental "cri-