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This article argues that improved feedback on the actual impact of development programs may ensure the success of poverty reduction interventions such as water filters, water pumps, latrines, and cookstoves.
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Globally, water related interventions that integrate technological feedback mechanisms may help improve impact and enhance local, regional, and global cooperation in addressing water related challenges.

Surveys and other common methods for assessing program performance are known to have shortcomings. Surveys often overestimate adoption rates due to reporting bias where the participant is trying to please the surveyor, or recall bias where the participant does not remember the information correctly. These effects have been demonstrated between observations and surveys of water storage, hand washing, and sanitation behavior. Additionally, it is known that the act of surveying can itself impact later behavior. Structured observation, an alternative to relying on reported behavior in response to surveys, has also been shown to cause reactivity in the target population.

Finally, the subjectivity of the outcome studied can highly influence reporting bias\(^5\).

Water programs may benefit from improved techniques that allow funders, implementers, governments and recipients to monitor and respond to the quality of these programs. Cellar reporting sensors may provide feedback on the sustainability of interventions in developing communities, improving on survey data and infrequent spot checks to assess performance. The rapid growth of cellular telephone and data coverage globally, the lowering cost of electronics, and the increased power and capabilities of the Internet cloud all converge to make electronic monitoring feasible in this context. We recently have measured water filter use with sensors against survey methods of measuring product usage, and have found much better resolution\(^6\).

The use of instrumentation to provide feedback on development programs is not entirely new, though it is still largely confined to research applications. Several other organizations are contributing to this push, including work conducted at the University of California at Berkeley and the associated Berkeley Air Monitoring Group on indoor air pollution instrumentation including a particle monitor\(^7\), a stove temperature data logger\(^8\), a hand-pump motion monitor with remote reporting developed at the University of Oxford\(^9\), and a passive latrine use monitor for sanitation studies developed by the University of California at Berkeley and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine\(^4\). There are also organizations that are currently using cell-phone based surveys and internet based visualization for data collection and communication from the field (Akvo/Water for People FLOW, World Bank WSP, mWater, mWash). These platforms largely rely on person-based data collection.

We believe that water program implementers may soon recognize an economic incentive in using remote monitoring technologies. For example, remote monitoring of water pumps has the potential to reduce system downtime, reduce the number of visits to a village that currently is part of a traditional circuit-rider model for manually monitored pumps, and thereby reduce the cost per liter of water delivered. In real terms, this may save critical operations and maintenance dollars by reducing site visits, while improving data collection, increasing the quality of data, and improving overall project accountability to donors.

Recently, our team was awarded a grant from the GSM Association and the UK Department for International Development, in partnership with Living Water International (LWI), to deploy our sensors across nearly all of LWI’s...
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handpump installations in Rwanda, integrate the sensor data with smartphone based technician notification and repair records, and disseminate the information online and near-time to the program, beneficiaries, the government, and out to funders.

This CellPump Project is designed to demonstrate the use of sensors in global water programs on an operational scale, 200 water pumps in Rwanda, targeting a 50% reduction in water pump failure. The sensors add roughly 10% in overall program cost, while targeting an increase in cost effectiveness of nearly 27%. The sensors may be able to reduce, over a six year budget, the per person cost of water delivery from over $150 to less than $70.

To date, hundreds of these sensors have been deployed in over a dozen configurations in remote and harsh environments on four continents, providing a robust data set for extensive failure-mode analysis and product improvement across the hardware, firmware, and data management platforms. Each technology to be monitored is fitted with a unique sensor configuration using an identical hardware backbone and is separately validated in laboratory and field testing, with the resultant signal processing algorithm applied across all deployments of the same sensor type.

Improved feedback on the actual impact of development programs may ensure the success of poverty reduction interventions, like water filters, water pumps, latrines, and cookstoves. Rather than infrequent data collection, more continuous feedback may improve community partnerships through continuous engagement and improved responsiveness. We hope to enable greater cooperation in these programs by separating evidence from advocacy.

The instrumentation used in this project was developed at Portland State University, and previously validated within a distribution of household water filters and clean cook stoves in Rwanda. Design criteria for the sensor development included a low-power, low-cost, user-friendly hardware instrument to measure the performance and use of various development projects and relay this data directly to the internet for international dissemination. To meet the design criteria, key features were realized including distributed processing between hardware and the internet cloud, and remote automated recalibration and reconfiguration.
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