Portland State University PDXScholar

TREC Webinar Series

Transportation Research and Education Center (TREC)

10-6-2020

Webinar: Economic and Business Outcomes of Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements

Jenny H. Liu Portland State University, jenny.liu@pdx.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/trec_webinar

Part of the Transportation Commons, Urban Studies Commons, and the Urban Studies and Planning Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Liu, Jenny H., "Webinar: Economic and Business Outcomes of Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements" (2020). *TREC Webinar Series*. 53. https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/trec_webinar/53

This Book is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in TREC Webinar Series by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

Economic and Business Outcomes of Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements

NITC Webinar 10-06-2020



Jenny H. Liu, Ph.D.

Associate Professor, School of Urban Studies & Planning Portland Sta Director, Center for Urban Studies



Impact of Bike Facilities on Residential Property Prices –

Portland State

- Liu, J.H. 2016. Portland Green Loop Economic Analysis. Northwest Economic Research Center (NERC) Report in collaboration with the City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS). (link)
- Liu, J.H. and Shi, W.¹ 2017. Impact of Bike Facilities on Residential Property Prices. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2662, 50-58. (link)





Understanding the economic impacts of urban greenway infrastructure (2018) (link)

- Funded by NITC
- In collaboration with Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS)

Research Objectives

- How do we characterize access to the urban greenway **network** to facilitate our understanding of its impacts on the economy?
- What are the economic impacts of the existing bicycle/pedestrian (greenway) network in Portland? How would the City Greenways concept further impact the economy?

Understanding Economic and Business Impacts of Street Improvements for Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility – A Multi-City Multi-Approach Exploration (2018-2019) (link)

- Funded by NITC & Summit Foundation
- In collaboration with PeopleForBikes and Bennett Midland

• Research Objectives

- How do corridor-level street improvements impact economic activity?
- To develop a systematic and rigorous methodological approach that is replicable in different cities and corridors for pre-post implementation evaluation and analysis









Methodology

o Aggregated Trend Analysis

- Compares changes over time
- Compares corridors with control corridors or neighborhood/city

Difference-in-Difference (DID)

- Estimates effect of new infrastructure by comparing change in employment pre-post implementation
- Compares control and treatment corridors

o Interrupted Time Series (ITS)

 Estimates effect of new infrastructure over full time period for treatment corridors



Difference-in-Difference (DID)

- Designed to answer the "but for" question
- Compares treatment and control corridors pre- and post-improvement to isolate treatment effect



$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T_{it} + \beta_2 A_{it} + \beta_3 T_{it} A_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- $Y_{it} =$ Outcome variable for group *i* at time *t*
- T_{it} = Dummy variable set to 1 for observation from treatment group

Business Indicator

- A_{it} = Dummy variable set to 1 for observation from post-treatment period
- β_3 = The "difference" term. If significant and positive it indicates a positive effect of the infrastructure



Interrupted Time Series (ITS)

- Longitudinal technique to estimate the effect of treatment on a corridor
- Looks at change in level and change in slope (growth) of the corridor after treatment



$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T_t + \beta_2 X_t + \beta_3 T_t X_t + \varepsilon_{it}$

- Y_t = Outcome variable at time t
- T_t = Time period
- *Xt* = Treatment dummy variable taking value of 0 in the pre- period and 1 in the post- period

Business Indicator

- β_2 = the level change in the outcome
- β_3 = indicates the slope change following the intervention...the rate of growth



Corridor Selection

Comparison Categories	Indicators	Methods	
	Geography proximity		
Transportation/ Geography	Street classification (travel volume)	Researcher & local expert judgement	
	Role in road network		
	Job percentile brackets to regional average	Statistical tests (t-test)	
Business activity	Business jobs share compared to overall jobs		
	Pre-construction employment growth rate	()	



Minneapolis Corridors





Minneapolis – Central Avenue (2012)



Google



Minneapolis – Corridor Selection

Treatment Corridor		Central	Franklin	
Control Corridor	Indicator	University	Franklin	
_	Geographic Proximity	\checkmark	×	
Geography	Street Classification	\checkmark	✓	
Geography	Role in Street Networ	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Job Density	retail	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Percentile	food	×	\checkmark
Business Activity	Share of Business Job	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Employment	retail	\checkmark	×
	Growth Rate	food	\checkmark	×

LEHD - Employment





NETS – Type I

all retail and food service establishments on the abutting blocks of the corridor (matches LEHD) Type 🔸 Treatment: Central 📥 Control: University 💻 City

NETS – Type II

Subset of establishments in Type I that are directly facing the corridor 17



Minneapolis - Central Avenue Key Findings

- Retail and food employment increased after bike lane construction
 - Employment growth on par with control corridor (trend; DID)
 - Positive significant growth rate post-construction for both employment and wages (ITS)
- Sales revenue increased in both industries
 - Results are not statistically significant for retail
 - Strong positive impact for food (trend; ITS)
- $_{\odot}$ Additional mixed results using NETS
 - Transition from retail to food industry

Findings from Indianapolis



Indianapolis Corridors





Indianapolis – Virginia Avenue (2011)











Indianapolis – Corridor Selection

Treatment Corridor		Virginia			
Control Corridor	Indicato	Meridian	Prospect	Shelby	
Transportation/ Geography	Geographic Proximi	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Street Classificatior	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Role in Street Netw	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Business Activity	Job Density	retail	×	×	×
	Percentile	food	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Share of Business Jo	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Employment	retail	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Growth Rate	food	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Retail Employment Comparison: Food Employment Comparison: Virginia Ave. Virginia Ave. 200 200 Employment 100 Employment 100 0 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 Year Year **LEHD - Employment** Gray shaded area is pre-construction period Gray shaded area is pre-construction period Green shaded area is construction period Green shaded area is construction period Type 🔶 Treatment 📥 Control: Prospect -Control: Shelby Retail Employment Comparison: Food Employment Comparison: Virginia Ave. Virginia Ave. 600 300 Employment Index 100 Index 400 Employment 200 100 0 0 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2005 2009 2011 2013 2015 2007 Year Year **LEHD – Indexed** Gray shaded area is pre-construction period Green shaded area is construction period Gray shaded area is pre-construction period Green shaded area is construction period **Employment** Employment is indexed to the baseline years (3 years pre-construction) Employment is indexed to the baseline years (3 years pre-construction) 23

Type 🔸 Treatment 📥 Control: Prospect 💶 Control: Shelby 🕂 City

Portland State



Sales Tax Comparison: Virginia Ave.

> Gray shaded area is pre-construction period Green shaded area is construction period Data are indexed to the baseline years (3 years pre-construction)

Portland State Corridor Treatment: Virginia • Control: Shelby Control: Prospect

Food and Drinking Places Employment Comparison Virginia Ave

400

200 Employment

100

0

2004

Gray shaded area is pre-construction period Green shaded area is construction period

2014

2010-1



208.1

2010-1

Quarter



2012-1

Green shaded area is construction period

QCEW employment & wages (food and drinking

places only)



Indianapolis – Virginia Avenue Key Findings

- Retail employment unaffected by bike lane installation
 - Aggregated trend analysis shows some negative or stagnant growth
 - DID and ITS showed no statistically significant impacts
- Food employment, wages and sales substantial growth following bike lane installation
 - DID and ITS indicate positive causal impacts
 - Consistent results across data sources

Findings from Memphis



Memphis Corridors





Memphis – Madison Avenue (2011)











Memphis – Corridor Selection

Treatment Corridor	lu di set su		Madison Avenue			
Control Corridor	Indicato	Cooper	Highland	Jackson	Union	
T	Geographic Proxi	\checkmark	Х	Х	\checkmark	
Geography	Street Classificati	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	
	Role in Street Ne	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	
Business Activity	Job Density	retail	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	\checkmark
	Percentile	food	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	\checkmark
	Share of Business	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Employment	retail	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Growth Rate	food	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark





QCEW - Employment

QCEW - Wages

Madison Ave. Corridor Interrupted Time Series Estimates (Employment)

	Dependent variable:				
	CNS07	CNS18	business		
	Retail Emp.	Food Emp.	'Business' Emp.		
ts_year	-14.643***	1.524	-13.119		
	(4.071)	(6.841)	(7.553)		
prepost	253.431***	358.671**	612.102***		
	(76.146)	(127.967)	(141.29)		
ts_year:prepost	-7.414	-2.638	-10.052		
	(7.506)	(12.614)	(13.928)		
Constant	224.893***	410.643***	635.536***		
	(20.556)	(34.546)	(38.142)		
Observations	14	14	14		
R ²	0.823	0.952	0.96		
Adjusted R ²	0.77	0.938	0.948		
Residual Std. Error (df = 10)	26.382	44.335	48.951		
F Statistic (df = 3; 10)	15.471***	66.838***	80.414***		
Note:			p<0.1; p<0.05; p<0.01		



QCEW ITS Estimations – Employment & Wages

Madison Ave. Corridor Interrupted Time Series Estimates (Wage)

	Dependent variable:				
_	CNS07	CNS18	business		
	Retail Wage	Food Wage	'Business' Wage		
ts_year	-151,107.7***	204,190.5	53,082.73		
	(41,764.3)	(146,815.4)	(163,815.3)		
prepost	-3,897,261***	-21,786,975***	-25,684,236***		
	(781,226.8)	(2,746,272)	(3,064,265)		
ts_year:prepost	459,816***	2,285,034***	2,744,850***		
	(77,009.56)	(270,714.2)	(302,060.4)		
Constant	3,520,215***	5,840,372***	9,360,587***		
	(210,899.4)	(741,381.5)	(827,226.5)		
Observations	14	14	14		
R ²	0.804	0.962	0.96		
Adjusted R ²	0.745	0.951	0.948		
Residual Std. Error (df = 10)	270,663.6	951,472.6	1,061,644		
F Statistic (df = 3; 10)	13.681***	84.817***	80.2***		
Neter			n=0.1, n=0.05, n=0.01		

Change in level Change in slope





Memphis – Madison Avenue Key Findings

- Aggregated trend analysis generally showed similar trends to control corridors
- DID indicated non-significant or mixed impacts
- ITS results showed strong positive and statistically significant impacts
 - Food and retail employment positive level change (QCEW)
 - Food and retail wages negative level change but strong positive growth trend (QCEW)

Corridor				Methodology					
		Dat	ta Source	Aggregated Trend		DID		ITS	
				Retail	Food	Retail	Food	Retail	Food
Minneapolis, MN									
			LEHD	0	0	0	-	+	+
Control A	NO	Retail Sales		+	+	+	-	0	+
Central A	ve.	(QCEW	+		0		+	
		l	NETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Memphis, TN									
		l	LEHD	0	0	0	0	0	+
Madicon		Retail Sales		+	+	0	0	+	+
Mauison P	ave.	(QCEW	0	+	0	0	+	+
		NETS		0	0	0	0	0	0
IMPROVEMENT TYPE				K	EY FINDINGS				
MINNEAPOLIS									
CENTRAL AVENUE (2012)								
龠 ?	RET	TAIL Retail employment increased: The ITS approach shows a positive growth trend from the bike lane construction using LEHD data and QCEW wages data.				rom			
AR P	FOOD SI	ERVICE	Dramatic increase following installation	in restaurant sales, along with increase in food service employment on (confirmed by both trend analysis and the ITS approach).			<mark>/ment</mark>		
MEMPHIS									
MADISON AVENUE (2011)								
Positive and statistically significant improvement in retail sales.A slight increasein retail employment after the 2011 installation was followed by decreases in retailRETAIL					ail nd				

employment. Madison performed worse than two of the three control corridors and worse than city-wide, indicating that the street improvement on Madison may have had a **negative impact on retail employment.**

ক্ষ

FOOD SERVICE Significant positive impact on food employment: After bike lane installation, food employment dropped significantly in level, but dramatically recovered & surpassed previous levels over the next two years.



Conclusions & Limitations

Multiple data sources

- Pros & cons
- Different economic indicators

Multiple methods

- Control corridors or areas
- Causal inference

• Limitations

- Industry shift
- Size or type of establishments
- Controlling for other policies or factors









Special thanks to Dr. Wei Shi, Dr. Jamaal Green and Minji Cho



Thank you! Jenny H. Liu, Ph.D. | jenny.liu@pdx.edu Faculty Bio Page | TREC Researcher Page

- NITC Full Report (<u>link</u>)
- Summary report (link)
- For cities: Guide (link) and trend analysis template (link)
- Short city reports:
 <u>Indianapolis</u>, <u>Memphis</u>, <u>Minneapolis</u>, <u>Seattle</u>
 Long city reports:
 <u>Indianapolis</u>, <u>Memphis</u>, <u>Minneapolis</u>, <u>Seattle</u>

