

Portland State University

PDXScholar

PSU Transportation Seminars

Transportation Research and Education Center
(TREC)

1-17-2014

Effects of the Objective and Perceived Built Environment on Bicycling for Transportation

Liang Ma

Portland State University, maliangpku@gmail.com

Jennifer Dill

Portland State University, jdill@pdx.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/trec_seminar



Part of the [Transportation Commons](#), and the [Urban Studies and Planning Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Ma, Liang and Dill, Jennifer, "Effects of the Objective and Perceived Built Environment on Bicycling for Transportation" (2014). *PSU Transportation Seminars*. 74.

https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/trec_seminar/74


This Book is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in PSU Transportation Seminars by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

EFFECTS OF THE OBJECTIVE AND PERCEIVED BUILT ENVIRONMENT ON BICYCLING FOR TRANSPORTATION

INTRODUCTION

- A growing number of studies link various features of the built environment to walking and bicycling behavior
- Two types of built environment measures are generally used: **Perceived** (self-reported) measures and **Objective** (GIS-based) measures
- Little previous research, however, questions whether perceptions of the built environment correspond to the objectively-measured built environment

INTRODUCTION



- We perceive outside environment through primary receptive senses: sight, smell, hearing, taste and touch
- All these sensory inputs are then integrated to form our cognitive representation of the environment
- A mix of individual and societal factors influence the understanding of these cognitive representation

OBJECTIVE

- This study aims to test the relative effects of perceived environment and objective environment on bicycling behavior

DATA

- The data were obtained through a random phone survey of adults in the Portland, Oregon region.
- The sample included both land-line and mobile phone numbers and was conducted July 19 through August 10, 2011. A total of 902 interviews were completed. Of those, 130 (14 percent) were completed on mobile phones.
- The mobile phone sample was used to help reduce sampling bias, particularly among younger adults. The overall response rate was 20%.

METHOD

□ Built Environmental Variables:

Perceived Measures (Survey)	Candidate Objective Measures (GIS)
There are off-street bike trails or paved paths in or near my neighborhood that are easy to get to. (Likert Scale: 1-4)	Miles of off-street bike path within 1/8-, 1/4- 1/2- and 1-mile circular and network buffers Distance to the nearest off-street bike path
There are bike lanes that are easy to get to. (Likert Scale: 1-4)	Miles of bike lane within 1/8-, 1/4- 1/2- and 1-mile circular and network buffers Distance to the nearest bike lane
There are quiet streets, without bike lanes, that are easy to get to on a bike. (Likert Scale: 1-4)	Miles of minor street within 1/8-, 1/4- 1/2- and 1-mile circular and network buffers Distance to the nearest minor street
Many of the places I need to get to regularly are within biking distance of my home. (Likert Scale: 1-5)	# retail jobs within 1/8-, 1/4- 1/2- and 1-mile circular and network buffers

METHOD

□ Correlation between objective and perceived measures

	Perceived off-street bike paths	Perceived bike lanes	Perceived quiet streets	Perceived bike destinations
Miles of off-street bike path (GIS)	0.1553			
Miles of bike lane (GIS)		0.1410		
Miles of minor street (GIS)			0.1837	
Number of retail jobs (GIS)				0.1533

Method

- Dependent Variables:

- (1) whether the respondent had transportation bicycling in the past month? (Binary logit model)
- (2) if yes, how many days did she or he bicycle for transportation in the past month? (Multivariate linear)

Method

□ Models

Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Socio-Demographics	Socio-Demographics	Socio-Demographics	Socio-Demographics
Perceived Built Environment		Perceived Built Environment	Perceived Built Environment
	Objective Built Environment	Objective Built Environment	Objective Built Environment
			Attitudes and Social Environment

RESULTS- does a person bicycle?

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
	Coef.	Coef.	Coef.	Coef.
<i>Socio-Demographics</i>				
Age	_-***	_-***	_-***	_-***
Female	_-**	_-**	_-**	_-**
General health condition	+***	+***	+***	+**
<i>Built Environment</i>				
Perceived there are off-street bike paths	+		+	+
Perceived there are bike lanes	+		+	-
Perceived there are quiet streets easy for bike	+		+	-
Perceived there are many bike destinations	+***		+***	-
Miles of off-street bike path (GIS)		+	+	+***
Miles of bike lane (GIS)		-	-	+***
Miles of minor street (GIS)		+***	+***	+***
Number of retail jobs (GIS)		-	-	_-***
<i>Attitudes and Social Environment</i>				
Pro-bike attitudes				+***
Supporting social environment for bicycling				+***
<i>Terrain</i>				
% area with a slope larger than 25 percent	_-***	_-***	_-***	-
Pseudo R2	0.134	0.128	0.155	0.370

RESULTS- how often she/he bicycle?

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
	Coef.	Coef.	Coef.	Coef.
<i>Social-Demographics</i>				
Age	_**	-	_**	_**
Whether child in household	_**	_*	_**	_*
Female	_***	_***	_***	_***
General health condition	+**	+**	+**	+
<i>Built Environment</i>				
Perceived there are off-street bike paths	_**		_**	_***
Perceived there are bike lanes	-		-	-
Perceived there are quiet streets easy for bike	+**		+**	+**
Perceived there are many bike destinations	+***		+***	+***
Miles of off-street bike path (GIS)		+***	+***	+***
Miles of bike lane (GIS)		-	+	-
Miles of minor street (GIS)		+***	+***	+
Number of retail jobs (GIS)		+***	+*	+**
<i>Attitudes and Social Environment</i>				
Pro-bike attitudes				+***
Supporting social environment for bicycling				-
<i>Terrain</i>				
% area with a slope larger than 25 percent	-	+	-	-
Adjust R2	0.164	0.083	0.184	0.288

MAIN FINDINGS

- Perceived and objective built-environment have independent associations with bicycling propensity and bicycling frequency.
- Models with both perceived and objective measures explain more than models with just one or the other.
- Attitudes and social environment play important roles on their bicycling behavior, and therefore interventions programs aiming to encourage positive attitudes and supportive culture on bicycling are necessary.

CONCLUSIONS

Urban Planning and Design

Objective
Built
Environment



Marketing Programs
Public bicycling events, such as
“ciclovias” and the city of
Portland’s Sunday Parkways

Perceptions
of the Built
Environment



Bicycling education
programs
Interventions at early ages



Attitudes
and Social
Support

Bicycling



Acknowledgements

- Co-author
 - ▣ Jennifer Dill

- Oregon Transportation Research and Education Consortium (OTREC) and the City of Portland



□ Thank You!

□ Questions and Comments?