Potentially Traumatic Experiences and Sexual Health Among Orphaned and Separated Adolescents in Five Low- and Middle-Income Countries

Christine L. Gray  
*University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill*

Kathryn Whetten  
*Terry Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University*

Lynne C. Messer  
*Portland State University, lymesser@pdx.edu*

Rachel A. Whetten  
*Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University*

Jan Ostermann  
*Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University*

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: [https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac)

Part of the [Child Psychology Commons](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac), [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac), [Mental and Social Health Commons](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac), and the [Social Welfare Commons](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac)

Citation Details


This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Community Health Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. For more information, please contact pdxscholar@pdx.edu.
Authors
Christine L. Gray, Kathryn Whetten, Lynne C. Messer, Rachel A. Whetten, Jan Ostermann, Karen O'Donnell, Nathan M. Thielman, and Brian W. Pence

This article is available at PDXScholar: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/commhealth_fac/82
Potentially traumatic experiences and sexual health among orphaned and separated adolescents in five low- and middle-income countries


To cite this article: Christine L. Gray, Kathryn Whetten, Lynne C. Messer, Rachel A. Whetten, Jan Ostermann, Karen O’Donnell, Nathan M. Thielman & Brian W. Pence (2016) Potentially traumatic experiences and sexual health among orphaned and separated adolescents in five low- and middle-income countries, AIDS Care, 28:7, 857-865, DOI: 10.1080/09540121.2016.1147013

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2016.1147013

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

Published online: 02 Mar 2016.

Article views: 141

View related articles

View Crossmark data
Potentially traumatic experiences and sexual health among orphaned and separated adolescents in five low- and middle-income countries

Christine L. Gray, Kathryn Whetten, Lynne C. Messer, Rachel A. Whetten, Jan Ostermann, Karen O’Donnell, Nathan M. Thielman, and Brian W. Pence

ABSTRACT
Orphans and separated children (OSC) are a vulnerable population whose numbers are increasing, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa and Asia. Over 153 million children worldwide have lost one or both parents, including 17 million orphaned by AIDS, and millions more have been separated from their parents. As younger orphans enter adolescence, their sexual health and HIV-related risk behaviors become key considerations for their overall health. Importantly, their high prevalence of exposure to potentially traumatic events (PTEs) may put OSC at additional risk for adverse sexual health outcomes. The Positive Outcomes for Orphans study followed OSC randomly sampled from institution-based care and from family-based care, as well as a convenience sample of non-OSC, at six sites in five low- and middle-income countries. This analysis focused on the 90-month follow-up, during which adolescents 16 and older were assessed for sexual health, including age at sexual debut, past-year sex, past-year condom use, and perceptions of condom use. We specifically examined the relationship between PTEs and sexual health outcomes. Of the 1258 OSC and 138 non-OSC assessed, 11% reported ever having sex. Approximately 6% of participants reported recent sex and 5% reported having recent unprotected sex. However, 70% of those who had recent sex reported that they did not use a condom every time, and perceptions of condom use tended to be unfavorable for protection against sexual risk behavior. Nearly all (90%) of participants reported experiencing at least one lifetime PTE. For those who experienced “any” PTE, we found increased prevalence of recent sex (PR = 1.39 [0.47, 4.07]) and of recent unprotected sex (PR = 3.47 [0.60, 19.91]). This study highlights the need for caregivers, program managers, and policymakers to promote condom use for sexually active OSC and identify interventions for trauma support services. Orphans living in family-based care may also be particularly vulnerable to early sexual debut and unprotected sexual activity.

Introduction
Orphans and separated children (OSC) are a vulnerable population whose numbers are increasing, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa and Asia (UNICEF, 2012). Over 153 million children worldwide have lost one or both parents, including 17 million orphaned by AIDS, and millions more have been separated from their parents due to abandonment, war, or other such circumstances. Providing supportive services for this large number of orphans through childhood and adolescence has become a major challenge for individual governments and international donors (UNICEF, 2009).

As younger orphans enter adolescence, their sexual health and HIV-related risk behaviors become key health considerations. Earlier sexual debut has been associated with forced sex, older partners, lower educational attainment, multiple lifetime partners, and reduced probability of condom use at most recent sexual encounter, all of which increase risk of acquiring HIV or other sexually transmitted diseases (Hallett et al., 2007; Lugoe, Klepp, & Skutle, 1996; Pettifor, O’Brien, Macphail, Miller, & Rees, 2009; Pettifor, van der Straten, Dunbar, Shiboski, & Padian, 2004). Some research has shown earlier sexual debut among maternal and double orphans, but has been limited to single-country studies (Birdthistle et al., 2008; McGrath, Nyirenda, Hosegood, & Newell, 2009; Mkandawire, Tenkorang, & LUGINAH, 2013; Thurman, Brown, Richter, Maharaj, & Magnani, 2006).
et al. found an association between orphanhood and earlier sexual debut using the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), but data were limited to females 17–19 (Palermo & Peterman, 2009).

OSC may be at particular risk for poor sexual health due not only to loss of their parents but also to increased exposure to other potentially traumatic experiences (PTEs) (Whetten, Ostermann, Whetten, O’Donnell, & Thielman, 2011). PTEs such as exposure to violence and sexual abuse have been associated with sexual health risk among adolescents in other populations (Cedar Project et al., 2008; Wilson, Woods, Emerson, & Donenberg, 2012). Further, trauma has been associated with outcomes such as depression and anxiety, as well as with poor coping and reduced social functioning, all of which have been shown to predict risk behaviors, including sexual risk behaviors, in adolescents (Okello, Nakimuli-Mpungu, Musisi, Broekaert, & Derluyn, 2013; Talbot et al., 2013). Given the high prevalence of trauma in general and abuse in particular in the OSC population (Gray et al, 2015a; Whetten et al., 2011), sexual debut and perceptions of safer sex behaviors among OSC may be affected by those earlier traumas.

The unique experience of OSC, coupled with their increased vulnerability to adverse health outcomes including HIV infection, necessitates a better understanding of their sexual health behaviors. Associations between PTEs and sexual health are of particular interest given that PTEs are highly prevalent in OSC in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC), PTEs have been linked to sexual health in other adolescent populations, and adolescent OSC in LMIC are disproportionately exposed to HIV. The Positive Outcomes for Orphans (POFO) study longitudinally followed OSC randomly sampled from both institution-based care and family-based care for 90 months. Here, we examine multiple aspects of sexual health behavior at 90-month follow-up among older adolescents and young adults, with emphasis on exploring the association of lifetime trauma history with current sexual practices. The results will inform interventions around sexual health as well as policies aimed at caring for the increasing OSC population.

Measures

Sexual health

Participants who were at least 16 years of age were asked if they had ever had a sexual partner (yes or no), and were then asked the age at which they first had vaginal or anal sex. Interviewers specified that the sex could have been consensual or forced. Due to cultural differences surrounding the assessment of age, in some cases children who were 15 were considered “older” and responded to questions targeting older children; in those instances, their information was retained in the analysis. This phenomenon occurred at all sites.

Participants who reported ever having sex were also asked if they had vaginal or anal sex in the past year (recent sex) and if so, if they had used a condom “never”, “some of the time”, “most of the time”, or “every time”. We collapsed those responses into a dichotomous variable that identified whether the participant had any unprotected sex in the past year (0 = used a condom every time, 1 = used a condom never, some, or most of the time). We did this for several reasons: (1) the

Methods

Recruitment

The POFO study is a longitudinal study conducted at six sites in five LMIC: Battambang District, Cambodia; Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; Hyderabad, India; Nagaland, India; Bungoma District, Kenya; and Kilimanjaro Region, Tanzania. These six sites were selected to reflect geographic, political, religious, and cultural diversity of places OSC live.
with similar work (Mugavero et al., 2006), we collapsed year or prior to the past year. If it occurred one or more times, at any point in the past lifetime trauma, we considered the event to be endorsed to the past year, or both. Because we were interested in cated whether the event occurred in the past year, prior event never, once, or more than once. They further indi-
participants indicated whether they had experienced each
Franklin, 2005; Gray, Litz, Hsu, & Lombardo, 2004). Par-
dated in multiple populations (Elhai, Gray, Kashdan, &

**Potentially traumatic experiences**

We assessed PTEs with the Life Events Checklist (LEC), which includes a 17-item list of “things I have seen and heard”. The LEC has been used cross-culturally and validated in multiple populations (Elhai, Gray, Kashdan, & Franklin, 2005; Gray, Litz, Hsu, & Lombardo, 2004). Participants indicated whether they had experienced each event never, once, or more than once. They further indicated whether the event occurred in the past year, prior to the past year, or both. Because we were interested in lifetime trauma, we considered the event to be endorsed if it occurred one or more times, at any point in the past year or prior to the past year.

For consistency across POFO studies (Gray et al., 2015a, 2015b; Whetten et al., 2011), and in keeping with similar work (Mugavero et al., 2006), we collapsed the 17 PTEs into six mutually exclusive categories: (i) disasters or accidents, (ii) war, riots, or killings, (iii) physical or sexual abuse, (iv) witnessing violence in the care setting, (v) witnessing family death, and (vi) being forced to the care setting. Endorsement of any item in a category was coded as endorsement of the category. Because parental death or separation was the defining characteristic for the OSC population, it was not considered a PTE, unless the participant was present at the death and watched the death happen, in which case an item in the category “witnessing family death” was included.

We additionally defined “any” trauma as endorsement of any of the six trauma categories. We also calculated a continuous trauma score (“total trauma”) ranging from 0 to 17 representing a sum of traumas experienced by the child.

**Covariates**

We included several covariates in our analyses: gender (male as referent), study site (Kenya as the referent because it had the greatest number having initiated sex), orphan type (institution-dwelling OSC (referent), family-dwelling OSC, non-OSC), OSC status (both parents alive (referent), one parent deceased, both parents deceased), age in years (continuous), grade-for-age (continuous), and ever alcohol use (binary). Updates to OSC status before 90-month follow-up were incorporated into adjusted analyses.

Grade-for-age reflected the departure from expected educational achievement given the participant’s age. On-target was given a value of 0; below-target was assigned a negative value reflecting the number of years behind; and above-target was assigned a positive value reflecting the number of years ahead. The highest expected attainment in this population is 12 years. Assuming schooling began at age 5, maximum attainment was expected at age 17; therefore, “on-target” was 12 years for anyone ≥17.

Alcohol use was specified as drinking “more than a few sips” and excluded drinking for religious purposes. Most respondents were non-drinkers, so we dichotomized alcohol use into a binary variable (0 = never, 1 = ever).

**Analyses**

To estimate the prevalence of sexual debut by age, we used Kaplan–Meier survival methods. Figures are presented as failure (1 – survival) curves to reflect the proportion who had initiated sex by each age. Participants contributed person-time at risk until the age at which they first had sex. Those who reported never having engaged in sexual intercourse contributed person-time at risk until their current age in years, at which point they were censored. We present sexual initiation by age curves for the whole population as well as stratified by gender, study site, and orphan type (institution-dwelling OSC, family-dwelling OSC, and non-OSC). We additionally examined trauma experienced by age 12 as a predictor of age of sexual debut after age 13. To ensure temporality between exposure and outcome, we excluded OSC who initiated sex before age 13. We present sexual initiation by age curves stratified by any trauma (any vs. none) and by abuse (any vs. none) by age 12.
We estimated the prevalence (or mean) of each of the four sexual health outcomes (any recent sex, any unprotected recent sex, ever heard of condoms, and condom norms) for each level of each of the key study characteristics (site, gender, OSC type, OSC status, alcohol use, and age) to explore variation in outcomes among key characteristics. For binary outcomes, we used log-binomial regression to estimate prevalences and 95% confidence intervals (CIs); for the continuous outcome, we used linear regression to estimate means and 95% CIs. We then estimated the association between each of the trauma categories and each sexual health outcome, controlling for the above-described covariates.

All models were weighted to account for the complex survey design, including stratification by site and clustering by sampling unit (institution or geographic cluster of families), as detailed elsewhere (Whetten et al., 2009). Sampling weights for institution-based OSC were calculated as the inverse of the product of the probabilities of selection at the institution and child levels; the enumerated lists of institutions and children in each study region enabled calculation of those probabilities. Because the overall population sizes for family-based OSC and non-OSC were unknown, such probabilities could not be calculated; each subject was therefore assigned a weight of 1, as in prior analyses (Whetten et al., 2009, 2014).

The Duke University Institutional Review Board (IRB) as well as local IRBs at each site approved this study. Primary caretakers or institutional administrators provided consent at baseline and when survey changes were made; all subjects provided assent until they could provide consent at 18. Site-specific protocols were developed for handling reports or observations of abuse or other adverse events.

Analyses were conducted in Stata 13 (StataCorp, 2013).

Results

At 90-month follow-up, 1258 OSC and 138 non-OSC were assessed (Table 1). Participant ages ranged from 15–20 years, (mean 17.1; standard deviation 1.2). Among OSC, 44% were institution-dwelling and 56% were family-dwelling. The gender distribution was similar across the three types of children (56% male institution-dwelling OSC, 54% male family-dwelling OSC and 46% male non-OSC). Double orphans were more likely to be in institution-based care (40%) than family-based care (18%). By 90-month follow-up, most participants had attained at least eight years of education.

Most participants (89%) reported they had never had vaginal or anal sex (Table 2). By age 15, less than 10% of the overall sample had initiated sexual activity (Figure 1). The cumulative proportion of sexual initiation by age 20 was approximately 25%. Stratified Kaplan–Meier estimates showed slightly earlier sexual debut among males, with the gap narrowing as age approached 20. Kenyan and Tanzanian participants showed earlier sexual debut compared to the other four sites. Family-dwelling OSC reported earlier sexual debut than either institution-dwelling OSC or non-OSC, a gap that persisted at age 20. We observed no differences in age of sexual debut associated with any trauma or with abuse by age 12.

Approximately 6% of participants reported recent (past-year) sex. While only 4% of the total sample reported having unprotected sex, 70% of those who had recent sex reported they did not use a condom every time. Mean agreement with statements about condom use norms were neutral, closer to disagreement on the 0–3 scale, indicating that participants were less likely to perceive their peers as using or talking about condoms with their partners.

Nearly all (90%) of participants reported experiencing at least one lifetime PTE; the most common was witnessing family death.

Prevalence and mean estimates indicate variation in sexual health across many subgroups (Table 3). Kenya and Tanzania had the highest prevalence of recent sex, recent unprotected sex, and condom awareness. However, other sites had more favorable condom use perceptions, with the exception of Ethiopia. Females generally had slightly less favorable outcomes than males. Family-based OSC had much higher prevalence of recent sex and recent unprotected sex compared to institution-based OSC, but had more favorable condom use perceptions. Older age and any alcohol use both had higher prevalence of recent sex and unprotected sex; both also had more favorable condom use perceptions.

Multivariable associations showed increased prevalence of recent sex for those who experienced “any” PTE (PR = 1.39 [0.47, 4.07]) (Table 4). Most individual trauma categories were also associated with increased prevalence of recent sex. Estimates of unprotected sex in the past year followed a similar pattern; the magnitude of association with “any” PTE was high (PR = 3.47 [0.60, 19.91]). The imprecision reflected in the CI is due to the high trauma prevalence: only 1 subject who reported having unprotected sex was unexposed to “any” trauma.

Exposure to “any” PTE, nearly all categories of PTEs, and increasing “total trauma” were associated with having heard of condoms. Increased favorability in perceptions of condom use norms was associated with “any” PTE and all categories of PTEs, though only family violence was statistically significant.
Current education (years)
Baseline OSC Status
Gender
boys are as vulnerable as girls to physical and sexual abuse probability of acquiring HIV, and recent cern for sexual risk behavior that can increase the proportion of PTEs. Abuse is of particular con-
majority of sexually active adolescents are not always and most report having heard of condoms, the vast population at 90-month follow-up.

Discussion
We found that less than 10% of OSC and non-OSC reported ever having sex by age 15. While overall prevalence of recent (past-year) sex was fairly low (6%), the prevalence of unprotected sex among those who had recent sex was high (70%). Exposure to trauma, particularly war, riots and killings and "any trauma" was associated with recent sex and recent unprotected sex. All trauma exposures were slightly positively associated with perceptions of condom use. However, overall perceptions of safer sex norms were generally unfavorable.

These findings suggest the need to improve awareness of and comfort with sexual health among adolescents in LMIC, where HIV prevalence is high. For context, estimated adult (ages 15–49) HIV prevalence in 2014 was: Cambodia (0.6%), Ethiopia (1.2%), India (0.3%), Kenya (5.3%), and Tanzania (5.3%) (World Bank, 2012; UNAIDS, 2012). Though most report not having sex and most report having heard of condoms, the vast majority of sexually active adolescents are not always using condoms.

Furthermore, OSC are in need of protective and support services regarding PTEs. Abuse is of particular concern for sexual risk behavior that can increase the probability of acquiring HIV, and recent findings indicate boys are as vulnerable as girls to physical and sexual abuse (Gray et al., 2015b), suggesting programs for boys are as greatly needed as those for girls. Surprisingly, neither any trauma by age 12 nor abuse by age 12 predicted age of sexual debut, possibly due to generally low prevalence of sexual activity. However, our findings do suggest increased prevalence of unprotected sex associated with any trauma and with physical or sexual abuse. In general, PTEs are strongly associated with depression, anxiety, poor coping, and reduced social functioning in children and adolescents; these outcomes in turn increase risk of maladaptive behaviors including sexual risk-taking (Okello et al., 2013; Pynoos, Steinberg, & Piacentini, 1999; Talbot et al., 2013). Promising intervention studies have suggested that evidence-based, locally feasible support such as trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy may reduce negative symptomatology from PTEs in LMIC (Murray et al., 2014; O’Donnell et al., 2014).

A noteworthy finding is that OSC in institution-based care were less likely to report having recent sex or recent unprotected sex than non-OSC or OSC in family-based care. Family-dwelling OSC also reported earlier sexual debut. In general, the growing OSC population is resulting in additional economic constraints and increasing caregiver burden felt by families in LMIC (Heymann, Earle,

Table 1. Characteristics of older adolescents in the POFO study population at 90-month follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Institution-based OSC (N = 558)</th>
<th>Family-based OSC (N = 700)</th>
<th>Non-OSC (N = 138)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline OSC Status</td>
<td>Both parents alive</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single orphan</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double orphan</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current age (years)</td>
<td>15–16</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;19</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current education (years)</td>
<td>&lt;=6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=12</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Distribution of sexual health outcomes and potentially trauma exposure experience variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Denominatora</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever had sex?</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had sex in past year?</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had unprotected sex in past year?</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heard of condoms?</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safer Sex Peer Normsb</th>
<th>Denominatora</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People I know use condoms when they have sex with new partners (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using condoms with new sex partners is viewed by people I know as the right thing to do (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People I know will say &quot;no&quot; to sex if a partner won’t use condoms (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I talk about condoms with my closest friends (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People I know will talk about condoms with a partner (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People I know use condoms when they have sex with their main partner (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People I know will be able to refuse sex with their current partners (range: 0–3)</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEs</td>
<td>Denominatora</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster or accidents</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War, riots, killings</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical or sexual abuse</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family violence</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnessing family death</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced to leave home</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any trauma</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNumber who answered the question.

bAnswers ranged from 0 = strongly disagree to 3 = strongly agree.
Studies have reported that economically constrained or inadequate orphan care in resource-poor areas can contribute to child- or sibling-headed households, increased child labor, reduced school attendance, and reduced educational attainment, all of which are associated with increased sexual health risk (Birdthistle et al., 2009; Case, Paxson, & Aledinger 2004; Cluver, Orkin, Boyes, Gardner, & Meinck, 2011; Dalen, Nkintende, & Musisi, 2009; Daniel & Mathias, 2012).

Our study has several strengths. The sample was statistically drawn to be representative of both institution-dwelling and family-dwelling OSC at six sites in five LMIC; an

Figure 1. (a–f) Age at first intercourse among adolescents >=16 years old, overall (a) and stratified by gender (b), site (c) and setting (d), any trauma before age 12, (e) and any abuse before age 12 (f).
additional convenience sample of non-OSC was identified as a qualitative comparison group. Furthermore, the POFO study has high overall retention: 82% at 36-month follow-up and 69% at 90-month follow-up. We attribute this to dedicated study staff and the rapport interviewers built with study participants. Although the current analysis draws primarily on the 90-month follow-up interview due to the recent introduction of sexual health questions, the longitudinal nature of the study has created long-standing rapport between participants and interviewers, allowing sensitive questions such as those used here to be asked. Importantly, we documented rates of sexual debut across multiple geographically and politically diverse LMIC, across male and female genders, and across multiple ages of adolescence.

This study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the current analysis prevents complete temporal assessment. Because trauma exposures are life-time measures and sexual risk was assessed within the past-year, it is reasonable to assume that most trauma experiences occurred before the sexual risk behaviors, though reverse causality cannot be ruled out. Second, we used self-reported traumatic events and sexual health behaviors. However, a prior study in this population showed that caregiver reports under-reported traumatic experiences (Rajan et al., 2014). The sensitive nature of sexual behavior questions can result in reporting more socially desirable answers. Substantial efforts were made to enhance the validity of self-reported data, including matching participants with an interviewer of the same gender, pairing participants with the same interviewer over time, and reminding participants at key points that their responses were confidential. Many respondents did not answer the safer sex peer norms questions. We investigated possible selection bias; responses were non-differential by gender, age, or OSC type, but were differential by site. Nagaland had a very low response percentage (4%); sensitivity analyses excluding Nagaland negligibly changed estimates and conclusions remained the same. The topic may be particularly sensitive there, warranting consideration when formulating educational programs. Finally, measuring PTEs can be difficult, particularly across cultural settings. The LEC was selected for its ability to predict anxiety and depression and its wide use across different cultural settings (Elhai et al., 2005).

Healthy sexual behaviors are critically important for adolescents in all settings, but OSC in areas with high prevalence of HIV/AIDS are particularly vulnerable to adverse consequences of risky sexual behavior. This study highlights the need for caregivers, program managers, and policymakers to normalize condom use and identify interventions for trauma support services. Orphans living in family-based care may be particularly vulnerable to early sexual debut and unprotected sexual activity.
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Table 4. Multivariable associations between trauma types and sexual health outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>Past-year sex</th>
<th>Past-year unprotected sex</th>
<th>Heard of condoms</th>
<th>Safe sex norms^a</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any Trauma</td>
<td>1.39 (0.47, 4.07)</td>
<td>3.47 (0.60, 19.91)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.87, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.50 (−1.61, 2.62)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical or sexual abuse</td>
<td>1.01 (0.53, 1.91)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.55, 3.02)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.00, 1.14)</td>
<td>1.33 (−0.21, 2.88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster or accidents</td>
<td>1.44 (0.76, 2.75)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.45, 3.02)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.00, 1.16)</td>
<td>0.61 (−0.44, 1.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War, riots, killings</td>
<td>1.61 (0.65, 4.00)</td>
<td>2.37 (0.89, 6.33)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.00, 1.16)</td>
<td>0.20 (−1.07, 1.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Violence</td>
<td>1.41 (0.74, 2.70)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.56, 2.88)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.97, 1.12)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.17, 2.34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnessed Family Death</td>
<td>1.03 (0.50, 2.15)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.47, 3.05)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.92, 1.13)</td>
<td>0.73 (−0.57, 2.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced to leave home</td>
<td>0.72 (0.41, 1.26)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.46, 1.49)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89, 1.11)</td>
<td>0.50 (−0.97, 1.97)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Trauma</td>
<td>1.06 (0.93, 1.20)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.94, 1.08)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01, 1.04)</td>
<td>0.18 (−0.14, 0.50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aA linear scale based on seven questions with Likert responses ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree; higher value is more favorable.
^bEach estimate is from a separate model with one trauma type as the exposure and adjusted for site, setting, gender, grade-for-age, orphan type, age, alcohol use; all estimates are weighted using sampling weights.
^cScore ranging from 0 to 17 based on number of trauma items endorsed.
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