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Abstract

Objective: To assess the association between food insecurity and depression symptom severity stratified by sex, and test for evidence of effect modification by social network characteristics.

Design: A population-based cross-sectional study. The nine-item Household Food Insecurity Access Scale captured food insecurity. Five name generator questions elicited network ties. A sixteen-item version of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist for Depression captured depression symptom severity. Linear regression was used to estimate the association between food insecurity and depression symptom severity while adjusting for potential confounders and to test for potential network moderators.

Setting: In-home survey interviews in south-western Uganda.

Subjects: All adult residents across eight rural villages; 96% response rate (n = 1669).

Results: Severe food insecurity was associated with greater depression symptom severity (b = 0.4, 95% CI 0.3, 0.5, P < 0.001 for women; b = 0.3, 95% CI 0.2, 0.4, P < 0.001 for men). There was no evidence of effect modification by social network factors for women. However, for men who are highly embedded within their village social network, and (separately) for men who have few poor contacts in their personal network, the relationship between severe food insecurity and depression symptom severity was stronger than for men on the periphery of their village social network, and for men with many poor personal network contacts, respectively.

Conclusions: In this population-based study from rural Uganda, food insecurity was associated with mental health for both men and women. Future research is needed on networks and food insecurity-related shame in relation to depression symptoms among food-insecure men.
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From 1990 to 2014, the number and proportion of undernourished people increased in nine countries in sub-Saharan Africa(1). This increase occurred despite a global focus over the last two decades on the first Millennium Development Goal calling for reductions in poverty and hunger. In 2015, the Sustainable Development Goals were created where Goal 2 was to ‘end hunger, achieve food security and improve nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture’ by 2030(2). Food insecurity is ‘defined by the lack of secure access to sufficient amounts of safe and nutritious food for normal growth and development and an active and healthy life’(3). Food insecurity experienced as an adult can lead to serious physical health consequences(4–9) and has been shown to correlate with psychological distress in some populations(5,9–17). In sub-Saharan Africa, past research has linked food insecurity to psychological distress among vulnerable populations including HIV-positive patients, pregnant and postpartum women, refugees and immigrants, and other samples of note(18–25). In addition, a longitudinal study in Zambia...
found that food insecurity affected mental health among a group of adults in eighty-one households across sixteen villages, and did so even more during the dry season\(^\text{26}\).

**Conceptual framework**

First, the relationship between food insecurity and mental health may differ between men and women across different societies depending on local gender norms. In cultures where women traditionally are responsible for food preparation such as in sub-Saharan Africa\(^\text{27}\), the relationship between food insecurity and depression may be stronger for women, which previous research suggests\(^\text{10,21,28,29}\). However, men might still feel responsible for making sure that enough money is available to purchase (or grow) sufficient food. Thus, it is important to assess the relationship between food insecurity and mental health separately for men and women to improve the design of interventions. In addition, the extent to which an independent and direct association exists between food insecurity and mental health after adjusting for socio-economic status should be assessed for both men and women as past work has found economic status to be a predictor of food insecurity\(^\text{30–33}\) as well as a predictor of mental health\(^\text{34,35}\).

Second, the social support and stress-buffering literature\(^\text{36–38}\) would suggest that social support may moderate the relationship between food insecurity and psychological distress. Although a few studies have found that perceptions of social support buffer the association between food insecurity and psychological distress\(^\text{21,29,39,40}\), at least one study has shown no evidence of effect modification\(^\text{41}\). Moreover, no studies have examined how the actual arrangement and composition of social ties around an individual (i.e. the social network) may inhibit (or enhance) the food security–depression relationship. On the one hand, social networks represent the structure through which support may flow and which may also themselves generate support, particularly in places lacking formal sources of assistance\(^\text{42,43}\). People experiencing food insecurity but who have many social ties, who are highly embedded within their community network or who have a tightly connected personal network may feel supported or be able to access resources if needed and exhibit fewer depression symptoms. In contrast, people experiencing substantial food insecurity who have few social ties, who are on the social periphery of a community network or who have a loosely connected personal network may feel less instrumentally or emotionally supported, experience more stress and exhibit more depression symptoms. Similarly, for people with personal contacts who are mostly poor, experiencing food insecurity may lead to more depression symptoms due to perceiving that their personal network would likely not be able to provide material help if asked, as compared with people who are also experiencing food insecurity but who have fewer poor contacts.

On the other hand, social network factors may also serve to heighten negative feelings associated with food insecurity such as shame and embarrassment\(^\text{44,45}\). For example, a food-insecure individual with many personal ties or who is highly centralized in a social network may begin to feel acute stress as gossip about his or her situation could spread to more people. Similarly, feelings of shame might be greater among food-insecure people with a personal network whom they assume to be less understanding of food insecurity, such as networks with a lower composition of visibly poor people. In addition, food-insecure individuals with a network comprising a small proportion of food-insecure contacts may internalize a negative sense of perceived ‘otherness’ as compared with food-insecure people with proportionally more food-insecure contacts. (This latter form of modification may only happen, however, if contacts do not attempt to hide their food insecurity status.)

Thus, social network work factors may modify the food insecurity–depression relationship; for example, by strengthening or weakening perceptions of support or actual support, or enhancing or reducing feelings of shame. These perceptions and feelings may, in turn, directly increase or reduce symptoms of depression. Alternatively, they may indirectly do so; for example, by reducing the likelihood that food-insecure individuals will request support from their networks. No prior research has assessed whether social network characteristics moderate the association between food insecurity and mental health within the general population. Moreover, sex differences could exist in how social network factors act as modifiers given sex-based effect modification results from past studies when using measures of perceived social support\(^\text{46–48}\). For example, food-insecure men might feel embarrassed by their situation among wealthy friends and thus develop further symptoms of depression. In contrast, food-insecure women might not if they are more used to the situation or it is more socially acceptable to talk about being very food insecure among their friends regardless of wealth status.

**The current study**

To address these gaps in the literature, we undertook a cross-sectional, population-based study in rural Uganda. In this context, the local economy is largely based on subsistence agriculture, and food and water insecurity are common\(^\text{49}\). Transportation is a difficult economic barrier and reinforces geographic isolation\(^\text{50,51}\). Few households have access to electricity, unequal gender norms are strong\(^\text{52}\) and fertility rates are high\(^\text{53}\). Healthcare infrastructure is limited and agricultural assistance and training are rare\(^\text{53}\).

Our study had two aims. First, we assessed the direct relationship between food insecurity and depression symptoms severity among adult men and women, separately, in rural Uganda after adjusting for several potential
confounders such as socio-economic status. Second, we assessed whether social network position, structure and composition (e.g. number of ties, social location within a community network, the structural arrangement of personal ties around an individual, the wealth composition and food security composition of an individual’s immediate contacts) moderated the association between food insecurity and depression for men and women separately.

Methods

Study population
The study targeted all adults (aged 18 years or older) whose main household was located within one parish containing eight villages in rural south-western Uganda, a very low-resource setting about 260 km south-west of the capital city, Kampala. The study team was comprised of several well-trained research assistants from the surrounding district who spoke the local language. They used a census enumeration to continuously search for all 1939 potential participants across the 716 households listed as belonging to the parish. By the end of the data collection period, the research assistants had found and interviewed 1669 eligible people. Among the remaining 270 people, sixteen refused, sixty-two could not be contacted (because the person was away from the parish during every attempted contact), 166 became ineligible as their primary residence had shifted outside the parish, eleven were consistently too incapacitated/sick to participate and fifteen had died. Thus, after excluding the people who were ineligible, incapacitated or who had died, the response rate was 1669/1747 = 96%. There was little variation in response rate across villages.

Procedures
Interview materials were translated, back-translated and pilot-tested in an iterative process to ensure accuracy, consistent word choice and linguistic equivalence. Between October 2011 and August 2012, trained local research assistants conducted one-on-one structured interviews (lasting about an hour) with eligible participants, typically at a participant’s place of residence. All participants provided written informed consent, either with a signature or, if there were cultural literacy reasons why a signature was not appropriate, a thumbprint.

Depression symptom severity
We used a slightly modified version of the fifteen-item Hopkins Symptom Checklist for Depression (HSCL-D)\(^{54}\), by including a sixteenth item (‘Feeling like I don’t care about my health’) that prior studies had included for use in the Ugandan context\(^{21,55–57}\). Participants were asked how often in the last 7 d they had experienced each of the sixteen symptoms using a 4-point scale representing ‘not at all’ to ‘extremely often’ (coded 0 to 3). Cronbach’s \(\alpha\) was 0.84. The total depression symptom severity score was the mean of all items (no missing permitted), with higher scores representing more symptoms. Some 4-6% of participants had a missing depression symptom severity score. For use in describing the population and in a sensitivity analysis, participants were classified as symptomatic of having ‘probable depression’ if they had a score of 1.75 or greater, which is a commonly used threshold\(^{21,54,58}\).

Food insecurity
Individual perception of household food insecurity was measured using the nine-item Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS or simply food insecurity)\(^{59}\), which a previous study had adapted for use in Uganda\(^{21}\). Participants were asked how often in the past 30 d they had experienced different food insecurity-related situations using a 4-point scale representing ‘never’ to ‘often’ (coded 0 to 3). Cronbach’s \(\alpha\) was 0.85. A summary score was created (no missing was permitted). Higher scores represented greater food insecurity (maximum = 27). Some 1-9% of participants had a missing food insecurity score. Using a validated scoring algorithm, the scores on the raw scale were used to assign respondents to categories of food insecurity severity: ‘none’ (food secure), ‘mildly food insecure’, ‘moderately food insecure’ and ‘severely food insecure’\(^{59}\).

Social network position, structure and composition
We first employed ‘name generator’ questions to elicit study participants’ social ties\(^{60}\). The canonical example of a name generator frequently used in US-based surveys is the question embedded in the US General Social Survey: ‘From time to time, most people discuss important matters with other people. Looking back over the last six months – who are the people with whom you discussed matters important to you?’\(^{60}\). Consistent with the literature\(^{61}\), however, we adapted five name generator questions for the local context. All participants were asked to name up to six adult parish residents in response to each of the name generator questions. The questions inquired about whom or to whom, in the past 12 months, the participant (i) spent free time, (ii) discussed financial topics, (iii) discussed health matters, (iv) went to for emotional support and (v) shared, exchanged, received or gave food, in that order. Names could be repeated for each network type. Previous research has shown that by utilizing information from across multiple name generators, estimates of network characteristics are more accurate\(^{62}\). Thus, we collapsed information across the five network types and created several variables to describe an individual’s position within the community social network, the structure of his or her personal network, and the composition of his or her personal network.
We started by calculating: (i) the number of people whom an individual nominated not including duplicates (i.e. ‘out degree’); (ii) the number of people whom an individual nominated who also nominated the individual (i.e. ‘reciprocal degree’); and (iii) the total number of out-going plus in-coming nominations not including duplicates (i.e. ‘total degree’), which is also referred to as personal network size. We then calculated the density of a participant’s personal network by dividing the total number of ties among a participant’s contacts (ignoring nomination direction) by the total number of ties that could have possibly existed among a participant’s contacts. In addition, we calculated a variable representing a participant’s embeddedness within his or her village social network. That is, we calculated how close a participant was to all other people within his or her village network by taking the inverse of the sum of the shortest distance across same-village ties between a participant and every other person in his or her village (i.e. ‘closeness’). We then created equally distributed quintile categories representing an individual’s closeness, which could range from very peripheral (lowest quintile) to very central (highest quintile). These variables are typically included in social network analysis and represent traditional measures of an individual’s centrality in a network and network structure\(^\text{65,64}\). Finally, to measure personal network composition, we calculated the percentage of a participant’s personal network that was poor (see next paragraph for wealth definition) and the percentage of the participant’s personal network that reported moderate or severe food insecurity. We then categorized these composition percentages of 0 to 100 into quintiles.

Other explanatory variables

Participants also reported age, tribe, marital status, educational attainment, alcohol consumption frequency and HIV status. Age was categorized as: (i) less than 30 years old; (ii) 30–49 years; (iii) 50–59 years; (iv) 60–69 years; and (v) 70 years or older. Participants were either part of the Banyankore tribe or another tribe. Marital status was split as married or other. Education was categorized as primary schooling or less \(v\) secondary schooling or more; and alcohol consumption as two or more times per week \(v\) less often. Participants reported being HIV positive or negative/unknown. In addition, two household-level measures were included: (i) whether there had been a death in the household in the past 12 months; and (ii) household wealth. The latter was measured via a household asset index, by conducting a principal components analysis on twenty-six separate variables representing household assets and housing characteristics. We retained the first principal component to define the asset index\(^\text{65}\) and categorized anyone in a household in the first two quintiles of the asset index as ‘poor’. We also created a variable indicating whether the interview was conducted in the rainy season, as prior research has shown food insecurity to be greater during the dry season in Uganda\(^\text{66,67}\) and across sub-Saharan Africa more generally\(^\text{68}\). In addition, dummy variables were created to represent village of residence. These explanatory variables were utilized in our analyses to adjust for potential confounding as prior research has linked them to food security and mental health outcomes in similar populations\(^\text{10,21,26,69–71}\).

Statistical analyses

After removing participants with missing responses on any of the study variables, the final analytic population consisted of 1499 people (677 men and 822 women). The distribution of men and women across sociodemographic characteristics was calculated. To test for simple differences between men and women in terms of depression symptom severity, having symptoms indicative of ‘probable depression’ and, separately, being food insecure, we used Pearson’s \(\chi^2\) test for categorical variables and the non-parametric equality-of-medians test for the continuous variable. To assess the continuous association between food insecurity and depression symptom severity among adults in rural Uganda, we first fitted a linear regression model stratified by sex with random effects at the household level and a series of fixed effects for explanatory variables (Model 1). Our main independent variable was food insecurity although we also adjusted for age, tribe, marital status, HIV status, alcohol consumption, education, household wealth, having had a death in the household in the past year, village and season in which the interview was conducted. We also fitted a fully adjusted, sex-stratified logistic regression model (where having ‘probable depression’ was the outcome) to check whether those estimates were similar to estimates produced by the linear model. Finally, to test for effect modification by sex, we fitted a supplemental linear regression model where we pooled data for men and women and included an interaction effect between food insecurity and sex.

To address our second study aim and look for evidence of effect modification by social network characteristics, we ran a series of sex-stratified analyses using a second model that included the main effect for a network variable and the associated interaction effect between that network variable and food insecurity in addition to the variables in Model 1. (When Model 2 included the personal network structure variable or a network composition variable, we also adjusted for the main effect of total personal network size.) All statistical tests were two-sided and significance was considered at \(P<0.05\) for all tests.

Results

Overall, the mean level of depression symptom severity was greater among women than among men \(t\text{-}test, 1.52, P<0.001\), as was the prevalence of symptoms that were indicative of having ‘probable depression’ (women: \(n=205\),
25%; men: n 63, 9%; P < 0.001; Table 1). Likewise, 76% of women and 67% of men reported any degree of food insecurity (P < 0.001), with 24% of women and 16% of men reporting severe food insecurity (P < 0.001). While the mean number of nominated contacts was a little over five contacts for both men and women, men had a mean total personal network size of 9.1 (sd 6.0) contacts and women had a mean total personal network size of 8.6 (sd 4.7) contacts. Men’s mean closeness score was 0.23 and women’s mean closeness score was 0.24, both with sd of 0.02. About one-third of an individual’s personal network contacts (33% for men and 30% for women) were categorized as poor, on average (with sd of 24 and 25%, respectively). Finally, 48% of men’s personal network contacts and 56% of women’s personal network contacts, on average, reported moderate or severe food insecurity (with sd of 23% for both men and women).

According to sex-stratified regression analyses, the results from Model 1 showed that severe food insecurity among women was associated with a 0.41-point increase in depression symptom severity (95% CI 0.31, 0.50)

| Table 1 Descriptive characteristics of men and women aged 18 years or older across eight villages in rural south-western Uganda |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                 | Women |     | Men |     |
|                 | n    | %   | n   | %   |
| Total           | 822  | 55  | 677 | 45  |
| Having symptoms indicative of ‘probable depression’ |     |     |     |     |
| No              | 617  | 75  | 614 | 91  |
| Yes             | 205  | 25  | 63  | 9   |
| Food insecurity |     |     |     |     |
| None            | 194  | 24  | 218 | 32  |
| Mild            | 141  | 17  | 138 | 20  |
| Moderate        | 291  | 35  | 213 | 31  |
| Severe          | 196  | 24  | 108 | 16  |
| Age             |     |     |     |     |
| <30 years       | 356  | 43  | 284 | 42  |
| 30–39 years     | 159  | 19  | 145 | 21  |
| 40–49 years     | 124  | 15  | 118 | 17  |
| 50–59 years     | 66   | 8   | 61  | 9   |
| 60–69 years     | 52   | 6   | 33  | 5   |
| ≥70 years       | 35   | 4   | 36  | 6   |
| Tribe           |     |     |     |     |
| Banyankore tribe| 733  | 89  | 641 | 95  |
| Other tribe     | 89   | 11  | 36  | 5   |
| Married         |     |     |     |     |
| No              | 352  | 43  | 261 | 39  |
| Yes             | 470  | 57  | 416 | 61  |
| HIV positive    |     |     |     |     |
| No/unknown      | 755  | 92  | 641 | 95  |
| Yes             | 67   | 8   | 36  | 5   |
| Drinks alcohol ≥2 times/week |     |     |     |     |
| No              | 807  | 98  | 520 | 77  |
| Yes             | 18   | 2   | 157 | 23  |
| Education       |     |     |     |     |
| Secondary school or more | 221  | 27  | 253 | 37 |
| Primary school or less | 601  | 73  | 424 | 63 |
| Poor            |     |     |     |     |
| No              | 526  | 64  | 454 | 67  |
| Yes             | 296  | 36  | 222 | 33  |
| Household death in past year |     |     |     |     |
| No              | 757  | 92  | 624 | 92  |
| Yes             | 65   | 8   | 53  | 8   |

compared with women reporting no food insecurity, adjusting for several other factors (Table 2). Separately, severe food insecurity among men was associated with a 0.31-point increase in depression symptom severity (95% CI 0.24, 0.39) compared with men reporting no food insecurity. Similarly, moderate food insecurity was associated with greater depression symptom severity for both men and women although the estimate was three to four times less than the estimate for severe food insecurity. In relative terms, the magnitude of the association of severe (and moderate) food insecurity with depression symptom severity was greater than that of all the other socio-demographic variables. The logistic regression analyses using the binary outcome of having symptoms indicative of ‘probable depression’ demonstrated similar results; women and men reporting severe food insecurity were about 5.2 times (95% CI 2.9, 9.4) and 4.6 times (95% CI 1.9, 11.0) more likely, respectively, to have symptoms indicative of ‘probable depression’ than adults reporting no food insecurity. In addition, women who experienced moderate food insecurity were 2.0 times more likely to have symptoms indicative of ‘probable depression’ (95% CI 1.1–3.5). The estimate for the parallel group of men was similar, but not significant.

Supplemental regression results indicated a statistically significant interaction effect between sex and severe food insecurity (b = 0.14, 95% CI 0.02, 0.25, P = 0.03); women with severe food insecurity were predicted to have 0.28-point increase in depression symptom severity compared with men with severe food insecurity. There were no differences in depression symptom severity between women and men at lower levels of food insecurity.

Regression analyses testing for evidence of moderator effects found no statistically significant interactions between any of the social network variables and food insecurity for women. (Moreover, there were no main effect associations of social network characteristics with depression symptom severity for women.) For men, however, regression results from Model 2 indicated a statistically significant interaction between food insecurity and closeness quintile (i.e. an individual’s social location within the village network; F = 3.41, P = 0.019; Table 3). Thus, when stratifying Model 2 by categories of closeness, among men in the most peripheral category of closeness, there was no statistically significant association of any level of food insecurity with depression symptom severity. In contrast, among men in the most central category of closeness, severe food insecurity had a statistically significant association with depression symptom severity (b = 0.53, 95% CI 0.28, 0.77, P = 0.004), which was more than 1.5 times larger in magnitude than the overall estimate of the association between food insecurity and depression symptom severity for men. Similarly, among men with the greatest social closeness in their village network, moderate food insecurity also had a statistically significant association with depression symptom severity.
Table 2  Multilevel linear regression estimates for food insecurity and descriptive characteristics predicting depression symptom severity among men and women aged 18 years or older in eight villages in rural south-western Uganda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1 – Women</th>
<th>Model 1 – Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.28***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No food insecurity (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild food insecurity</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate food insecurity</td>
<td>0.12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe food insecurity</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (10-year categories)</td>
<td>0.05***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banyankore tribe (v. other)</td>
<td>–0.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (v. not)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positive (v. not/unknown)</td>
<td>0.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinks alcohol ≥2 times/week (v. less often)</td>
<td>–0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school or less (v. secondary school or more)</td>
<td>0.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household asset index</td>
<td>–0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death in household in past year (v. none)</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% CI 1.12, 1.44 | 0.90, 1.17

Estimates were obtained using a two-level, random-intercepts linear regression model which accounted for clustering at the household level and included dummy variables for rainy season and villages.

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Table 3  Multilevel linear regression estimates of interaction effects between food insecurity and two social network characteristics, separately, in predicting depression symptom severity among men aged 18 years or older in eight villages in rural south-western Uganda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 2 – Men; including personal social ‘closeness’ in the village network</th>
<th>Model 2 – Men; including ‘percentage of personal network contacts who are poor’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.16***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No food insecurity (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild food insecurity</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate food insecurity</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe food insecurity</td>
<td>0.16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closeness centrality (continuous quintiles)</td>
<td>–0.04**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of network who are poor (based on quintiles representing 0 to 100 %)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% CI 1.05, 1.26 | 0.86, 1.21

Interaction effects

| Percentage of contacts who are poor × No food insecurity | –                                                                           | –                                                                           |
| Percentage of contacts who are poor × Mild food insecurity | –                                                                           | –                                                                           |
| Percentage of contacts who are poor × Moderate food insecurity | –                                                                           | –                                                                           |
| Percentage of contacts who are poor × Severe food insecurity | –                                                                           | –                                                                           |

95% CI –0.01, 0.08 | –0.09, 0.05

Estimates were obtained using a two-level, random-intercepts linear regression model which accounted for clustering at the household level and adjusted for all covariates included in Model 1 in Table 2, as well as for total degree.

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

(b=0.20, 95% CI 0.02, 0.37, P=0.03), which was more than twice the size of the overall estimate in magnitude.

In addition, a statistically significant interaction effect was also estimated in Model 2 between food insecurity and the poverty composition of men’s personal networks (e.g. quintiles of percentage poor; F=2.71, P=0.047). Thus, when stratifying Model 2 by network poverty composition, among men with few (0–20%) poor contacts in their personal network, severe food insecurity had a statistically significant association with depression symptom severity (b=0.42, 95% CI 0.28, 0.57, P<0.001).

This estimate was about one-third more in magnitude than the overall estimate of the relationship between severe food insecurity and depression symptom severity for men. In contrast, among men with 40% or more poor contacts in their network, severe food insecurity had a smaller statistically significant association with depression symptom severity (b=0.19, 95% CI 0.04, 0.35), which was about one-third less in magnitude than the overall estimate. No other social network variables exhibited interaction effects or main effect associations for men.
Discussion

More than two-thirds of adults in the current rural, population-based study in Uganda reported some level of food insecurity. The study demonstrates that within a general population of adults in a rural setting in Uganda, severe food insecurity (and to some extent moderate food insecurity) was a primary predictor of depression symptom severity for both men and women. These results are similar to findings from population-based studies in New Zealand, the USA and Canada\(^\text{10,29,72}\), and to a nationally representative study on US adults who fell 150% below the federal poverty level\(^\text{73}\). The relationship between food insecurity and depression symptom severity for both men and women in the present study remained robust after accounting for other factors including socio-economic status and social network characteristics. Therefore, the potential for food insecurity to lead to significant mental health consequences among the general population in Uganda is of critical public health concern.

In addition, the study found novel evidence that, for men, two social network characteristics (i.e. social centrality in one’s village network and the percentage of contacts in one’s personal network who are poor) may moderate the way their perceived household food insecurity is related to their depression symptoms severity. In contrast, social networks did not seem to matter in the food insecurity and depression dynamic for women. These results differ from a study finding that instrumental social support moderated the association between food insecurity and depression symptom severity for women on antiretroviral therapy in Uganda, but not for men\(^\text{23}\). The new results also differ from another study finding that instrumental social support moderated the relationship between food insufficiency and depression symptom severity among pregnant women in peri-urban South Africa\(^\text{23}\). It is possible that severe food insecurity is experienced so regularly or profoundly by most women in rural Uganda that they typically experience less shame and stigma compared with men who may not experience severe food insecurity in such a persistent or profound way. Therefore, the mechanisms linking food insecurity and depression may differ, in part, between women in general and men in general in rural Uganda. For example, perhaps the link between food insecurity and depression has a greater biological and/or generalized stress basis for women and a more social basis for men. If so, then social network factors could be less likely to affect the food insecurity–depression relationship for Ugandan women and more so for men. (Separately, the mechanisms linking food insecurity and depression may also differ between general populations of adults and specific marginalized populations given results from prior work.)

Future studies should explore whether perceived food insecurity-related stigma and shame could be possible mechanisms through which social networks moderate the role of food insecurity on men’s mental health. Perhaps an inability to provide food for oneself or family is (perceived to be) judged very harshly by peers for men in patriarchal settings\(^\text{74–76}\) and thus it leads to feelings of shame. If so, then perhaps severely food-insecure men in the centre of their village social network would feel even more embarrassed and stressed about their situation (due to the greater possibility that more people would find out about their severe food insecurity) than would severely food-insecure men on the periphery of their village social network. This additional stress may turn into increased depression symptom severity for these food-insecure men who are highly embedded within their village social network. Similarly, men may make assumptions about whether their contacts are experiencing food insecurity based on their contacts’ visible assets, which may not be a good indicator if men tend to hide food insecurity. If food-insecure men with few poor contacts assume a significant proportion of their network to either not experience food insecurity and/or not understand their situation, then such assumptions could create a heightened sense of ‘otherness’ and shame, and ultimately lead to greater depression symptoms as compared with food-insecure men with many poor contacts who may not feel like an outsider or as judged.

Although future research is needed to test those hypotheses, the lack of moderation on the food insecurity–depression dynamic by the prevalence of moderate to severe food insecurity among men’s personal networks supports this theory about the potential role of food-related stigma. If men generally try to hide their food insecurity status, then men may not be aware of others’ food insecurity in the same way they are aware of others’ tangible assets. Thus, feeling the need to hide one’s food insecurity in the presence of wealthy people could increase shame and, therefore, depression symptoms, among severely food-insecure men with less poor networks, as well as reduce their likelihood to ask for help, as compared with severely food-insecure men with poor networks. Evidence from a study on men and women in rural Zambia of a negative relationship between relative deprivation and BMI would seem to support this idea of shame and men not wanting to reach out to others if they feel relatively worse off\(^\text{77}\).)

Taken together, these results suggest that food insecurity interventions may help alleviate depression symptoms (in addition to food insecurity) and that they might be more effective (and produce fewer unintended consequences) if they are designed with different populations in mind. For example, among the overall population of food-insecure women, general food insecurity interventions may reduce women’s depression symptom severity (as well as food insecurity). The same interventions may be less effective, however, in addressing food insecurity and depression for severely food-insecure men and may be contingent on their social network context (e.g. the
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psychological distress(84), and a recent quasi-experimental
tution, a study from the USA recently demonstrated that
food insecurity led to depression symptoms. In addi-
tions are consistent with the results from four recent
longitudinal studies that employed a fixed-effects study
design (of which three were conducted with sub-
populations in sub-Saharan Africa)(21,23,26,83). Adjusting
for unobserved time-invariant confounding, they found
that food insecurity led to depression symptoms. In addi-
tion, a study from the USA recently demonstrated that
participation in a nutrition assistance programme reduced
psychological distress(84), and a recent quasi-experimental
study from Bangladesh found that food insecurity was the
primary mediator between poverty and distress as well as
having a direct effect(85). Future research employing a
study design to determine causal direction between food
insecurity and mental health outcomes, and including
measures of perceived food insecurity-related stigma,
social support and overall time spent experiencing food
insecurity, may uncover potential mechanisms linking
social network characteristics, food insecurity and depression, particularly for men.

Conclusions
The current study provides clear evidence of a robust link
between moderate to severe food insecurity and depression
symptoms for both men and women in the general
population in rural Uganda. This finding is particularly
concerning given that the vast majority of people in
Uganda live in rural areas and many rely on subsistence
farming(95,97), that Uganda is one of the few countries
where the proportion of undernourished people actually
increased from 1990–92 to 2012–14(1), that the majority of
adults in the present study reported some level of food
insecurity, and that depression is a leading cause of dis-
ability(98). Thus, population-wide nutrition interventions
aimed at improving food insecurity in Uganda might
actually address at least two major health and develop-
ment issues for the general population. Future research is
needed, however, to elucidate the role of social network
composition on the food insecurity–mental health
dynamic for men and why evidence of effect modification
does not appear for women. The effectiveness of food
insecurity interventions might be greater if men’s social
context and social interpretation of food insecurity were
acknowledged in the design of such interventions and
opportunities for public embarrassment reduced.
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Interpretation of the study’s findings is subject to several
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which study participants met formal diagnostic criteria for
major depressive disorder. Sub-syndromal symptoms are
commonly experienced during the course of mood
disorders, however, and are associated with significant
psychosocial impairment(78,79). In addition, our food
insecurity measure did not differentiate between house-
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Second, we lacked data to adjust for any physical health
outcomes and other potential confounders that may be
linked to both food insecurity and depression symptoms.
Third, the data are self-reported and therefore are subject
to the challenges inherent to all studies based on
self-reported data. Collecting objective data such as
stress-related biomarkers, anthropometric data and
micronutrient levels may help clarify the physical and
psychosocial health-related consequences of food
insecurity(81).

Finally, the cross-sectional design precludes our ability
to make causal claims. It is possible that the direction of
influence could run from depression symptom severity to
food insecurity or in both directions as a longitudinal study
of rural families in the USA found(82). However, our find-
ings are consistent with the results from four recent
longitudinal studies that employed a fixed-effects study
design (of which three were conducted with sub-
populations in sub-Saharan Africa)(21,23,26,83). Adjusting
for unobserved time-invariant confounding, they found
that food insecurity led to depression symptoms. In addi-
tion, a study from the USA recently demonstrated that
participation in a nutrition assistance programme reduced
psychological distress(84), and a recent quasi-experimental
study from Bangladesh found that food insecurity was the
primary mediator between poverty and distress as well as
having a direct effect(85). Future research employing a
study design to determine causal direction between food
insecurity and mental health outcomes, and including
measures of perceived food insecurity-related stigma,
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