Portland State University PDXScholar

Biology Faculty Publications and Presentations

Biology

2011

Genome-Wide Detection of Novel Regulatory RNAs in E. Coli

Rahul Raghavan Portland State University, rahul.raghavan@pdx.edu

Eduardo Groisman Yale University

Howard Ochman Yale University

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/bio_fac

Part of the Biology Commons, and the Genetics and Genomics Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Citation Details

Raghavan, R., Groisman, E. A., & Ochman, H. (2011). Genome-wide detection of novel regulatory RNAs in E. coli. Genome research, 21(9), 1487-1497.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

Research

Genome-wide detection of novel regulatory RNAs in *E. coli*

Rahul Raghavan,^{1,2} Eduardo A. Groisman,^{2,3} and Howard Ochman^{1,2,4}

¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06516, USA; ²Microbial Diversity Institute, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06516, USA; ³Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Section of Microbial Pathogenesis, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06516, USA

The intergenic regions in bacterial genomes can contain regulatory leader sequences and small RNAs (sRNAs), which both serve to modulate gene expression. Computational analyses have predicted the presence of hundreds of these noncoding regulatory RNAs in *Escherichia coli*; however, only about 80 have been experimentally validated. By applying a deep-sequencing approach, we detected and quantified the vast majority of the previously validated regulatory elements and identified 10 new sRNAs and nine new regulatory leader sequences in the intergenic regions of *E. coli*. Half of the newly discovered sRNAs displayed enhanced stability in the presence of the RNA-binding protein Hfq, which is vital to the function of many of the known *E. coli* sRNAs. Whereas previous methods have often relied on phylogenetic conservation to identify regulatory leader sequences, only five of the newly discovered *E. coli* leader sequences were present in the genomes of other enteric species. For those newly identified regulatory elements having orthologs in *Salmonella*, evolutionary analyses showed that these regions encoded new noncoding regulatory elements, we validated 53 sRNAs that were previously predicted but never detected and showed that the presence, within intergenic regions, of σ^{70} promoters and sequences with compensatory mutations that maintain stable RNA secondary structures across related species is a good predictor of novel sRNAs.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Small regulatory RNAs function in the transcriptional and posttranscriptional control of gene expression in organisms from all domains of life. Unlike protein-coding regions, which are specified by a genetic code, regulatory RNAs, as a group, have no clear-cut signatures that denote their boundaries or even their occurrence in a genome. In enteric bacteria, which includes species for which the most comprehensive information is available, these regulatory elements are typically on the order of 50 to 200 nt in length, can act in *cis* or *trans*, and have been shown to control a variety of processes, including stress responses, metabolic reactions, and pathogenesis (Romby et al. 2006; Lee and Groisman 2010; Mandin and Gottesman 2010; Park et al. 2010). Moreover, regulatory RNAs have been detected in a wide variety of non-enteric species, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Livny et al. 2006), *Helicobacter pylori* (Sharma et al. 2010), and *Vibrio cholerae* (Liu et al. 2009).

Since their initial characterization (Hindley 1967), the repertoire of bacterial small RNAs (sRNAs) has been expanding (Wassarman et al. 1999). About 80 sRNA transcripts have been experimentally verified in *Escherichia coli*; however, computational methods suggest the presence of hundreds of other sRNAs within its genome. These computational predictions have been based largely on (1) formation of stable RNA secondary structures, (2) proximity to σ^{70} promoters and Rho-independent terminators, and (3) conservation across species (Vogel and Sharma 2005). Each of these methods has defined somewhat different sets of putative sRNAs. However, because there is no consistent model of sequence evolution for these elements, it is difficult to assess the accuracy of

⁴Corresponding author.

E-mail howard.ochman@yale.edu.

Article published online before print. Article, supplemental material, and publication date are at http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.119370.110.

these predictions without experimental validation. Thus, many of the computationally predicted noncoding elements may not be authentic.

In addition to sRNAs, bacteria contain regulatory elements within the 5'-leader regions of several mRNAs (Tucker and Breaker 2005; Smith et al. 2010). These regulatory elements (such as riboswitches) control transcription elongation, mRNA stability, and initiation of translation in response to specific stimuli (Coppins et al. 2007). In *E. coli*, eight experimentally validated riboswitches and three putative riboswitch-like elements are known (Griffith-Jones et al. 2003). But, similar to sRNAs, regulatory leaders are difficult to identify based on primary sequence conservation alone. Covariance models that detect RNA secondary-structure conservation can circumvent this constraint, but they generally detect only those elements conserved in a large number of bacterial species (Yao et al. 2007).

In this study, we interrogate the transcriptome of E. coli by applying a deep sequencing technology that overcomes many of the technical limitations of previous experimental approaches (i.e., low expression levels and the small size and/or complex secondary structures of sRNAs that make them poor substrates for microarrays, Northern blots, copurification, and cloning techniques). This method requires no prior knowledge of sequence or structural conservation and offers a powerful means to identify novel regulatory RNAs in bacteria (Sittka et al. 2008). Moreover, it allowed us to detect and quantify transcripts of all known E. coli sRNAs, detect riboswitch-mediated transcription termination, and identify several new sRNAs and 5' leaders that were not previously recognized by any experimental or computational approach. In addition, we experimentally validate numerous computationally predicted sRNAs, thereby vastly increasing the number of known regulatory RNAs in E. coli.

Raghavan et al.

Results

Interrogation of intergenic transcripts at great depths

To characterize the transcriptome of wild-type E. coli, we obtained a total of 62.4 million 36-nt reads from cultures harvested during exponential phase in N-minimal media supplemented with 10 mM or 10 µM MgCl₂, which are repressing and inducing conditions, respectively, for the PhoQ/PhoP two-component system (Groisman 2001). Of these reads, a total of 61.7 million were of sufficient quality to be mapped onto the E. coli K-12 MG1655 genome. Structural RNAs typically account for the vast majority of RNAs in a cell, but on account of the mechanical removal of 16S and 23S rRNAs, these sequences represented <3% of the total reads in our sample. In contrast, 5S rRNAs and tRNAs, which were not excluded because they overlap in length with known sRNAs, constituted 68% and 6% of the reads, respectively. Importantly, ~4.3 million reads mapped back to intergenic sequences, indicating that the approach could identify even low-abundant transcripts from these regions.

The remaining 10.3 million reads corresponded to transcripts originating from annotated open reading frames (ORFs) and known noncoding sRNAs. The size-selected libraries were dominated by transcripts shorter than 330 nt in length—there was $70 \times$ higher coverage for ORFs in this size class—but even with this enrichment, we detected transcripts from ~97% of annotated ORFs regardless of their lengths, similar to that shown previously by Selinger et al. (2000).

Validation of transcripts mapped to intergenic regions and identification of Pho- and Mg²⁺-dependent sRNAs

To test the accuracy and specificity of our assays, we analyzed (1) expression of the sRNA *mgrR* and (2) transcription elongation controlled by the leader region of the magnesium transporter *mgtA* gene in wild-type and *phoP*-deleted strains of *E. coli* grown both at high and low Mg²⁺ concentrations (for complete read stats, see Supplemental Table S1). As predicted by the PhoP dependence of these transcripts, and in agreement with previous reports (Cromie et al. 2006; Moon and Gottesman 2009), we observed high expression of *mgrR* at low Mg²⁺ concentrations in a PhoP-dependent manner and increased transcription of the *mgtA* coding sequence in a PhoP- and Mg²⁺-concentration-dependent manner (Supplemental Table S2).

In addition to *mgrR* (Moon and Gottesman 2009), we identified four additional sRNAs that appear to be under the control of the PhoP/PhoQ two-component system (Supplemental Table S3). Two of these, *isrC* and *sokX*, are repressed in the presence of PhoP, whereas the other two, *glmY* and *gcvB*, are expressed at higher levels in the wild-type strain than in the *phoP* mutant. Interestingly, the expression patterns of *isrC*, *sokX*, and *gcvB* were independent of Mg^{2+} levels, suggesting that the PhoP-mediated regulation of these sRNAs responds to an additional cue, as shown earlier for acidresistance genes (Zwir et al. 2005). Transcript levels of three sRNAs (*sraA*, *eyeA*, and *ryjB*) increased at higher Mg^{2+} concentrations, but the opposite pattern was observed for *rprA*. These results show that this approach will effectively detect and quantify sRNAs from *E. coli* grown in different conditions; however, for the rest of this article, we present data only from the wild-type *E. coli* MG1655.

Detection of transcription termination by known riboswitches

Riboswitches present in the 5' ends of some mRNAs are known to regulate the expression of the downstream gene by transcriptional

and/or translational control (Barrick and Breaker 2007). We examined the mRNA levels between the leader and the corresponding coding region of eight known riboswitches in E. coli to try to detect transcription elongation control. We expected to detect an accumulation of prematurely terminated transcripts in riboswitches that exert transcriptional control and not in riboswitches that act exclusively by regulating translation. The level of transcription at each riboswitch and its 3' ORF was quantified by determining the number of reads overlapping the region followed by normalization to both the total number of reads in each library and the length of the region. The average of the values calculated from the two conditions (10 mM or 10 µM MgCl₂) is denoted as the Mean Expression Value (MEV). Except for the riboswitch upstream of the lysC gene, all riboswitches displayed substantially higher levels of transcripts in the leader region when compared to the downstream coding region (Fig. 1). We determined that in E. coli, all three TPP riboswitches control transcription elongation with the riboswitch 5' of thiC mRNA producing more prematurely terminated transcripts than the TPP riboswitches upstream of thiM and thiB (Fig. 1), when grown in defined media. This observation is in agreement with earlier studies that showed that the *thiC* riboswitch is a much stronger attenuator of transcription and translation than the thiM riboswitch (Winkler et al. 2002a). Similar to the transcription termination observed in this study, the Mg²⁺sensing riboswitch has been shown to terminate transcription of mgtA in Salmonella at high Mg²⁺ concentrations (Supplemental Table S2; Cromie et al. 2006).

The FMN riboswitch is thought to function as a transcriptional attenuator in Bacillus subtilis (Winkler et al. 2002b), and a short transcript (Vogel et al. 2003), presumably ascribed to Rhodependent transcriptional termination within the 5'-leader sequence of ribB (Peters et al. 2009) has been observed previously in E. coli. The lysine riboswitch (5' of lysC) is known to be a translational attenuator in Gram-negative bacteria (Serganov and Patel 2009) as opposed to functioning as a transcriptional attenuator in Gram-positive bacteria, which might account for the lack of transcription termination control in E. coli. The riboswitches upstream of btuB and moaA genes regulate translation by blocking ribosomebinding sites (Nahvi et al. 2002; Regulski et al. 2008). However, similar to our results, transcription termination within riboswitches has been observed even in the absence of sequences resembling classical intrinsic transcriptional terminators (Irnov et al. 2010; Livny and Waldor 2010). This suggests the presence of noncanonical intrinsic terminators or the involvement of the transcription factor Rho in the control of these riboswitches (Peters et al. 2009). From our results, it is clear that RNA-seq is a useful and sensitive method to identify leader sequences that regulate transcription elongation.

Identification of novel regulatory leader regions

We selected 28 transcripts that appeared to originate at least 100 nt upstream of the 3' ORF in order to identify new *cis*-acting regulatory sequences that affect mRNA levels. By examining the MEVs of the 5'-leader regions and the 3' ORFs, we found nine leader regions that have MEVs at least seven times greater than the MEVs for their 3' ORFs (Fig. 2; Table 1), a pattern similar to that of known riboswitches. We next used RNAz (Gruber et al. 2007), which identifies RNA structures based on both structural conservation and thermodynamic stability, to detect potential regulatory elements within these nine transcripts. RNAz predicted the presence of functional RNAs in five of the transcripts (Table 1), whereas there was no



в



Figure 1. Transcription termination at known riboswitches. (*A*) Ratios of mean expression values (MEV) of upstream leader regions to those of their corresponding ORFs. Positive values show that the MEVs of riboswitches are markedly higher than the MEVs of their downstream coding regions. (*B*) Expression profiles of leader sequences and downstream coding regions. The *y*-axes denote coverage at each nucleotide position, limited to a maximum coverage of 1000 (dashed lines). Numerical positions of transcription start sites (black arrows) follow the coordinates for the *E. coli* K12 genome (NC_000913.2). Wide gray arrows on *x*-axes, depicting ORFs, are not drawn to scale.

evidence of conserved regulatory elements in the leader sequences of *yahM*, *ybjM*, *ynaE*, and *ydfK*. Each of these leader sequences displayed a dramatic reduction in the number of reads mapped to its 3' ORF when compared to its 5' leader region (Fig. 2), suggesting that the expression of their corresponding coding regions is regulated at the level of transcription elongation/termination.

Many genes involved in sulfur metabolism are known to carry *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM)–responding riboswitches (Weinberg et al. 2008). Because *thil* is a sulfur transferase and its leader se-

Detection of E. coli regulatory RNAs

quence regulates gene expression in *Vibrio cholerae* (Livny and Waldor 2010), we tested the ability of the *thil* leader sequence to control transcription elongation in an in vitro system. As shown for other SAM-responding riboswitches (Winkler et al. 2003), we found that the presence of SAM retards transcript elongation into the coding region of *thil* by 76% (Fig. 2J). *S*-Adenosylhomocysteine (SAH), an analog of SAM, reduced transcription only by 18%, demonstrating that the putative riboswitch can discriminate between the two ligands. In contrast, the leader sequence of *mdtJ*, which transports spermidine, a polyamine synthesized from SAM, did not show transcription control in response to either SAM or SAH.

Because regulatory elements often function only in specific environments, it is possible that the lack of evidence for transcriptional elongation control reflects the particular growth condition and not the absence of a regulatory element. Therefore, we analyzed all remaining intergenic transcripts using RibEx (Abreu-Goodger and Merino 2005), which identifies conserved sequences upstream of orthologous genes in multiple genera. Based on this analysis, we detected riboswitch-like elements upstream of 10 additional genes. We further analyzed these candidate elements using RNAz to determine whether they have the potential to fold into secondary structures that are conserved across genera. We detected conserved structural RNAs upstream of three of the genes (Supplemental Table S4). These 10 elements, together with the nine others recognized by the RNA-seq analysis, yields a total of 19 new leader sequences with potential regulatory functions in the E. coli genome.

Expression levels of known sRNAs

A total of about 3 million reads from wild-type E. coli mapped to the 80 experimentally validated sRNAs (Supplemental Table S5). The level of transcription of each sRNA was quantified by determining its MEV, as described above. We also determined the percentage of nucleotides within each gene containing at least one mapped sequencing-read (PRM, percentage of region mapped). Applying a cutoff of MEV ≥ 1 and PRM $\ge 50\%$ for considering a gene as transcriptionally active, we detected substantial transcript production (MEV \ge 1 and PRM \ge 50%) from 78 of the known sRNAs, with 68 of them having an MEV ≥ 2 times higher than either of its 50 flanking nucleotides. The two experimentally validated sRNAs for which transcripts were not detected were (1) sroC, an sRNA element in the gltIJKL operon that was deleted from our laboratory strain during serial culture, and (2) rseX, which reduces levels of the outer membrane proteins OmpA and OmpC when overexpressed from a plasmid; however, it is noteworthy that transcripts from this genomic location have never been detected previously (Chen et al. 2002; Douchin et al. 2006).

Most sRNAs (59/80) have MEVs between 1 and 10,000, with a mean of 821. Ten sRNAs, including the housekeeping 6S RNA and tmRNA, were expressed at MEVs >10,000, whereas 11 sRNAs had MEVs <10. However, similar to what is observed for protein-coding regions, these known sRNAs are expressed at very different levels (Supplemental Fig. S1; Supplemental Table S5).

Detection of novel sRNAs

To uncover novel regulatory elements operating at the RNA level, we analyzed transcripts from every intergenic region (IGR) of *E. coli*. We determined that the vast majority (3087/3683; 86%) of them are transcriptionally active (at a cutoff of MEV ≥ 1 and





Figure 2. Transcription termination at putative regulatory leader sequences. (*A–I*) Expression profiles of leader sequences and downstream coding regions. The *y*-axes denote coverage at each nucleotide position, limited to a maximum coverage of 1000 (dashed lines). Putative transcription start sites (black arrows) and their locations in the *E. coli* genome (NC_000913.2) are shown on *x*-axes. Lengths of the corresponding ORFs (wide gray arrows) are not drawn to scale. (*J*) In vitro transcription of *thil* coding region by T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of 100 μ M *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) or *S*-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) relative to its expression in the absence of SAM and SAH. Data represent means of three experiments ± standard deviations.

PRM ≥ 50%) at the tested growth conditions. Of those, we focused our attention on the 1145 IGRs that were longer than 150 nt, the typical lengths of known sRNAs and riboswitches. We examined the levels of transcription from these IGRs and found 171 to contain substantial transcripts compared to their flanking sequences. After removing IGRs that contained repeat palindromic elements, including RIPs/REPs and ERICs (Wilson and Sharp 2006), 119 IGRs with potential regulatory elements remained (Supplemental Table S6). We discovered that 51 of these had transcripts that originated from sites that are different from the transcription start sites (TSSs) described for the flanking genes in EcoCyc (Keseler et al. 2009).

To identify new sRNAs, we selected 17 transcripts that (1) had good read coverage, (2) originated from previously undefined TSSs, and (3) appeared to terminate within the same IGR when examined on Artemis (Rutherford et al. 2000). The coding strand and boundaries of each of these transcripts were determined by a modified 3'-RACE procedure and by visualization of the transcripts on Artemis. The 3' ends of seven transcripts extended into the downstream ORF, and hence were considered to be leader regions, whereas the other 10 transcripts, ranging in size from 72 to 255 nt, appear to be bona fide sRNAs (Fig. 3; Table 2). Some of the sRNAs produced multiple bands in the 3'-RACE analysis (Supplemental Fig. S3), presumably due to processing of the transcript, as observed for other sRNAs (Argaman et al. 2001; Vogel et al. 2003). A majority of sRNAs in E. coli are known to require Hfq, an RNA-binding protein, for optimal regulation of target-gene expression (Gottesman 2004). To test whether Hfq stabilizes the putative sRNAs identified in this study, we measured their abundance during exponential growth in both wild-type and hfq-deleted strains of E. coli. As shown in Figure 4, five of these sRNAs were significantly more abundant in wildtype E. coli than in the mutant strain that lacked Hfq. In sum, we were able to identify 10 novel intergenic sRNAs, five of which exhibited higher stability in the presence of Hfq, denoting similarity in their regulation to many of the previously characterized sRNAs in E. coli.

Validating previously predicted sRNAs

Computational methods that predict the presence of sRNAs within bacterial genomes are usually based on sequence conservation, structural homology, and/or the presence of common features such as promoters and terminators. We compared the intergenic transcripts detected by RNA-seq to those predicted computationally in five genome-wide studies (Table 3) and detected substantial transcripts (\geq 1 MEV, \geq 50% PRM, and \geq 2× MEV than either of its 50 flanking nucleotides) from 133 candidate sRNAs, of which 58 have not been experimentally validated before (Supplemental

Table S7). Thirty-eight of the newly validated sRNAs were recognized in two studies that used locations with σ^{70} promoter and Rhoindependent terminator combinations in IGRs (Chen et al. 2002; Yachie et al. 2006), whereas 19 sRNAs were predicted by identifying IGRs that contained RNAs that maintained their secondary structures across related bacteria by compensatory mutations (Rivas et al. 2001). From these results, we conclude that while the presence of promoter–terminator combinations in intergenic regions is the most reliable predictor of sRNAs, a comparative approach that detects structure-preserving compensatory mutations is also a useful strategy.

Distinguishing sRNAs from unrecognized ORFs

Because nucleotide substitutions in protein-coding regions that change the amino acid (nonsynonymous) are usually more detrimental than those that do not (synonymous or silent substitutions), the number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous sites (K_s) far exceeds the number of nonsynonymous substitutions at

Detection of E. coli regulatory RNAs

 Table 1.
 New regulatory leader sequences in E. coli identified by RNA-seq

Transcription start site ^a	ORF start site ^a	Gene	Strand	Length (nt)	Relative MEV ^b	RNAz score ^c
344,476	344.628	vahM	+	153	13	_
440,692	440,773	thil	+	82	16	0.55
889,102	889,312	ybiM	+	211	8	_
1,432,597	1,432,281	ynaE	_	317	20	_
1,630,747	1,631,063	, ydfK	+	317	14	_
1,671,802	1,671,525	, mdt]	_	278	54	0.99
3,376,840	3,376,673	rplŃ ^d	_	168	7	0.99
3,628,944	3,628,625	yhil	_	320	78	0.80
4,083,996	4,083,845	, fdoG	-	152	33	0.91

^aNumbering according to E. coli MG1655 (NC_000913.2).

^bMEV (Mean Expression Value) of leader sequence/MEV of coding region. ^cValues of *P*, as calculated by RNAz (Gruber et al. 2007).

^dPreviously predicted by Rivas et al. (2001).

nonsynonymous sites (K_a) in regions that encode functional proteins. Hence, for most proteins, the K_a/K_s ratios are significantly <1, whereas for non-coding regions, this ratio approaches 1 (Ochman 2002). When comparing orthologous sequences from *E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, the K_a/K_s ratio for *mgrB*, a small protein-coding gene, is 0.07 in the reading frame, but the ratio is close to 1 in all other frames. Similarly the K_a/K_s ratio for *arcZ*, a known sRNA that does not encode a protein, is ~1 in all six reading frames. The K_a/K_s ratios for *sgrS* and *sibA*, two sRNAs that encode small proteins, were 0.3 and 0.6, respectively, in the ORFs and approached or exceeded 1 in all the other frames.

To test the possibility that some of newly identified regulatory elements might actually correspond to protein-coding regions, we calculated K_a/K_s ratios for five sRNAs and seven regulatory leaders newly identified in this study, and for 10 previously predicted sRNAs that are present in both E. coli and Typhimurium. For all of the regulatory leader regions and previously predicted sRNAs, K_a/K_s ratios were not significantly different from one in any of the six possible reading frames. In addition, for two of the sRNAs (those present in the *yejG-bcr* and *yhcC-gltB* IGRs), $K_a/K_s \sim 1$, indicating that these regions are unlikely to encode proteins. The sRNAs identified in the yigE-corA, glnA-typA, and ytfL-msrA IGRs have K_a/K_s values between 0.1 and 0.2 in one of the potential reading frames, suggesting the presence of small ORFs; but on closer examination, there was no clear presence of start and stop codons, or the putative proteins were interrupted by stop codons in one or the other species. For example, a 15-amino-acid ORF in the yigE-corA IGR of E. coli was disrupted in Typhimurium due to a 2-nt indel, and an 18-amino-acid, serine-rich ORF in Typhimurium in this same IGR was shortened to 10 amino acids in E. coli due to a 1-nt deletion. Similarly, a 43-amino-acid ORF in the glnAtypA IGR of Typhimurium was reduced to a 21-amino-acid ORF in E. coli due to a stop mutation, and a 31-amino-acid putative ORF in the ytfL-msrA IGR in E. coli was shortened to only eight amino acids in Typhimurium due to the deletion of 1 nt. Thus, these IGRs most probably contain new noncoding regulatory elements rather than unannotated ORFs.

Discussion

We identified new regulatory RNAs (10 sRNAs and 19 regulatory leader sequences) and experimentally validated 53 previously predicted sRNAs by RNA-seq. Five of these novel sRNAs were destabilized in cells lacking the RNA-binding protein Hfq, as expected of regulatory sRNAs (Moon and Gottesman 2009), and we further validated one of the newly detected regulatory leader sequences by an in vitro transcription assay. K_a/K_s ratios for those newly identified elements present in both *E. coli* and Typhimurium indicated that these elements do not encode small protein-coding regions; and by quantifying all previously known sRNAs, we demonstrated that the regulation of many are PhoP- or Mg²⁺dependent. These results validate the use of deep sequencing to uncover new regulatory RNAs, especially those that are either not conserved among related bacteria or are expressed at very low levels.

In E. coli, detection of new sRNAs has progressed in concert with experimental techniques that allow the detection of sRNAs expressed at successively lower levels (Table 4). The first sRNAs (6S, 4.5S, *spf*, *ssrA*, and *rnpB*) were discovered after the development of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (Ikemura and Dahlberg 1973), and the introduction of Northern blots (Alwine et al. 1977) and, more recently, microarrays (Schena et al. 1995), has increased dramatically the number of known sRNAs to about 80 (Hershberg et al. 2003). Concurrent with these methods, comparative genomics and computational approaches have fostered the identification of large numbers of putative sRNAs (Argaman et al. 2001; Rivas et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2002; Yachie et al. 2006; Tran et al. 2009). However, only a small fraction of the sRNAs that were recognized computationally has been experimentally validated, a disparity usually attributed to the limiting resolution of Northern blots, which had previously been the traditional method for detecting bacterial transcripts. Our application of a high-throughput RNA-seq approach has expanded the known repertoire of sRNAs in E. coli. In addition to detecting transcripts from all but one of the previously known sRNAs, we confirmed the presence of 10 novel sRNAs and 53 sRNAs that were predicted but never experimentally validated.

RNA-seq allows the simultaneous quantification of all known sRNAs in E. coli. A large majority of the sRNA pool (84%) consisted of transcripts corresponding to just 10 sRNAs (Supplemental Fig. S1), with RyhB, a regulator of genes involved in iron metabolism, being the most highly expressed. This sRNA is transcribed in lowiron conditions and promotes the production of siderophores (Massé and Gottesman 2002; Salvail et al. 2010). RyhB has been detected in abundant quantities in minimal media with glucose as the carbon source (Argaman et al. 2001; Wassarman et al. 2001), but not when grown in rich media, which parallels what we observed (Supplemental Table S5). ssrS (6S RNA), the other sRNA expressed at a high level, binds to the RNA-polymerase holoenzyme, down-regulating σ^{70} -dependent transcriptions during stationary phase (Wassarman 2007), and recent studies suggest additional possible regulatory roles during exponential phase (Neusser et al. 2010).

We were able to detect transcripts from nearly all of the 80 known sRNAs; however, 10 of the sRNAs did not reach our cutoff of having MEVs that were appreciably higher than their flanking sequences. This observation is in agreement with previous studies showing that at least seven of these sRNAs are not expressed by *E. coli* during exponential growth in glucose-supplemented minimal media. These seven sRNAs are the CRP-dependent *cyaR*, which is repressed during growth in glucose (Johansen et al. 2008; De Lay and Gottesman 2009); *rybB* and *ohsC*, which are induced in stationary phase (Vogel et al. 2003; Kawano et al. 2005); *istR-2* which is produced during the SOS response (Vogel et al. 2004); *rydC*, which is minimally expressed during log-phase growth (Antal et al. 2005); DicF, which is not expressed during exponential growth



Figure 3. Detection of novel sRNAs in intergenic regions. (*A–J*) Expression profiles of intergenic regions. The *y*-axes denote coverage at each nucleotide, limited to a maximum of 1000 (dashed lines). Positions of transcription start sites (TSS) and terminators (stem–loop structures) found within each intergenic region are depicted. Nucleotide positions follow the numbering of the *E. coli* genome (NC_000913.2). Wide arrows on *x*-axes contain named ORFs (gray), sRNAs (pink), and an unnamed small ORF (green). Lengths of flanking genes are not drawn to scale.

(Bouché and Bouché 1989); and *sokA*, an antisense sRNA (Fozo et al. 2008), which is a partially deleted pseudogene in *E. coli* MG1655. By examining wild-type and *phoP*-deleted strains grown at high and low Mg^{2+} concentrations, we identified sRNAs whose expression patterns were associated with the presence of PhoP and/or with the amount of Mg^{2+} in the growth medium, and experiments are under way to understand the physiological significance of these findings.

Five of the newly identified sRNAs are present in significantly higher amounts in wild-type *E. coli* than in an isogenic strain that lacks *hfq* (Fig. 4). This conservation suggests that, like other sRNAs (Sledjeski et al. 2001; Massé et al. 2003), these five sRNAs also require Hfq for stability and for optimal function. The sRNA detected in the *ychE-oppA* IGR overlaps a transcript previously reported to be part of the 5'-leader sequence of the *oppA* gene (Kawano et al. 2005), but its dependence on Hfq for stability suggests that it acts as a *trans*-acting sRNA. Of the 10 novel sRNAs, three—the sRNAs detected between *ynfM* and *asr* genes, the sRNA detected between

sRNA between *yigE* and *corA*—overlap three putative sRNAs predicted computationally based on the occurrence of a σ^{70} promoter and a Rho-independent terminator (Chen et al. 2002). Additionally, an intrinsic terminator is present at the 3' end of the sRNA identified between the *ygfI* and *yggE* genes (Kingsford et al. 2007). Because Rho-independent terminators were not detected at the 3' end of the six other novel sRNAs, termination of transcription of these elements may rely on noncanonical intrinsic terminators, be associated with paused RNA polymerase, or use Rho-dependent terminators. Unlike intrinsic terminators, the locations where the Rho protein act cannot be readily identified by sequence analysis, suggesting that experimental approaches (Peters et al. 2009) will be required to reveal the mechanisms by which transcription ter-

mination is controlled at these sites.

the sdiA and yecC coding regions, and the

Putative σ^{70} promoters are present upstream of all 10 newly recognized sRNAs, and the TSSs determined for eight of the sRNAs match TSSs identified in a recent study that mapped genome-wide RNA polymerase binding sites (Cho et al. 2009). The TSSs we identified for the sRNAs between sdiA and yecC genes, and the sRNA between *yejG* and *bcr* genes, were not detected in that study probably because of the differences in growth conditions between the two studies. The sRNA found between glnA and typA genes validates the prediction by Rivas et al. (2001) that this IGR contains an sRNA. In addition, our analyses confirmed 52 other sRNAs that were previously predicted by various computational approaches, thereby vastly increasing the number of experimentally verified sRNAs in E. coli. A majority of these sRNAs (Tables 2; Sup-

plemental Table S7) have associated σ^{70} promoters, indicating that the presence of a σ^{70} promoter that does not correspond to a known ORF is the best indicator of novel sRNAs. However, computational methods tend to produce numerous false positives due to low signal strength of bacterial promoters (Mendoza-Vargas et al. 2009). Our analyses also showed that the identification of regions that have undergone compensatory mutations to preserve RNA secondary structures in related organisms is also a good strategy to predict the presence of new sRNAs (Table 3; Supplemental Table S7; Rivas et al. 2001), but this strategy also produces numerous false positives due to the presence of *cis*-regulatory elements in the untranslated regions of mRNAs (Supplemental Table S8). Hence, by using a high-throughput analysis in conjunction with computational predictions, sRNAs can be identified rapidly at a genome-wide scale.

As with the computational detection of sRNAs, many of the current methods used to identify regulatory elements in the 5'-leader regions of genes also rely on nucleotide sequence or

Detection of E. coli regulatory RNAs

Left end ^a	Right end ^ª	Length (nt)	Strand	Flanking genes	MEV ^b	PRM ^c	Phylogenetic distribution ^d
1.298.697	1.298.951	255	+	vchE-oppA	801	100	1.2
1.668.973	1.669.160	188	_	vnfM-asr	501	100	1.2
1.994.930	1,995,121	192	+	sdiA-vecC	216	100	1, 2
2.276.280	2,276,520	241	_	veiG-bcr	280	100	í. 2. 3. 4
3.065.209	3,065,366	158	+	vafl-vaaE	70	100	1.2
3,352,086	3,352,191	106	+	vhcC-altB	430	100	, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
3.365.635	3,365,792	158	_	vhcF-vhcG	77	100	1 (K-12 only)
3.999.214	3,999,357	144	+	viaE-corA	295	100	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7
4.056.194	4.056.265	72	+	alnA-tvpA	197	100	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
4,439,248	4,439,353	106	_	ytfL-msrA	298	100	1, 2, 3, 4, 5

Table 2. New sRNAs in E. coli identified by RNA-seq

^aNumbering according to *E. coli* MG1655 (NC_000913.2) of left and right boundaries of sRNAs.

^bMean Expression Value.

^cPercentage region mapped.

^dSpecies designations are as follows: (1) E. coli, (2) Shigella spp., (3) Citrobacter spp., (4) Salmonella spp., (5) Enterobacter spp., (6) Klebsiella spp., (7) Yersinia spp.

secondary structure conservation (Weinberg et al. 2010). This approach, while effective, will only detect those elements that are maintained across a spectrum of bacterial species. In contrast, by directly detecting transcription termination on a genome-wide scale, we have identified regulatory elements in the leader sequences of numerous genes without the need to consider sequence or secondary structure. This allows the identification of regulatory leader RNAs that control species-specific genes. In addition, this experimental approach has the advantage of both detecting and experimentally validating regulatory leader sequences in a single step.

Most of the new regulatory leader regions detected in this study are restricted to members of the family Enterobacteriaceae. In one case, a suspected regulatory element was reported to occur in the 5'-leader region of the *rplM* gene in a Gram-positive Firmicute (Yao et al. 2007), and the element we discovered at the 5' end of the E. coli rplM gene has a very different sequence but similar secondary structure to the one in Firmicutes. Although computational tools did not detect conserved structural RNAs in the 5'-leader regions of the yahM, ybjM, ynaE, and ydfK genes, we observed strong control of transcriptional elongation at each of these sites (Fig. 2). Interestingly, prematurely terminated transcripts were detected from the 5'-leader sequences of the ybjM, ynaE, ydfK, mdtJ, typA, *yhil*, and *dinQ* genes, similar to earlier studies that detected small transcripts from within riboswitches (Vogel et al. 2003; Kawano et al. 2005; Mendoza-Vargas et al. 2009). We were able to identify a putative SAM-responding regulator in the 5'-leader sequence of the thil gene. Homologs of this thiamine biosynthesis and tRNA modification gene in several Gram-negative bacteria have been reported to contain a conserved motif in their 5'-leader sequences (Livny and Waldor 2010), suggesting that a similar regulatory mechanism might be present in related bacteria as observed in the E. coli thil leader region. Additionally, we observed an anti-sense RNA, which has been previously reported (Dornenburg et al. 2010) at this genomic region. The other regulatory RNAs identified in this study require further experiments to better understand their modes of action, and newer studies using alternative approaches will be needed to identify those regulatory RNAs that escaped detection due to the size selection (30-330 bp) we performed to generate the sequencing libraries. By quantifying the transcripts from exponentially growing E. coli on a genome-wide scale, we were able to detect several new sRNAs and riboswitch-like regulators yielding new insights into the highly dynamic regulatory RNA pool of E. coli.

Methods

RNA preparation, library construction, and sequencing

E. coli K-12 MG1655 (NC_000913.2) and *phoP*-deleted strain EG12976 were grown in N-minimal media (pH 7.7) supplemented with 10 mM or 10 μ M MgCl₂, 0.1% casamino acids, and 0.4% glucose to mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.4), as previously described (Zwir et al. 2005). Cells were chilled on ice and harvested by centrifugation at 4°C. Total RNA was isolated by a modified phenol/guanidine thiocyanate extraction procedure using TRI reagent (ABI) but without filtration steps in order to retain all small RNAs. Samples were rid of genomic DNA by treatment with DNase, and 16S and 23S rRNAs were removed with the MICROBExpress kit (ABI). Sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina mRNA-seq sample preparation kit as per the supplier's instructions except that (1) total RNA was not fragmented and (2) double-stranded cDNA was size-selected (100 to 400 bp) to maximize the recovery of small RNAs. After accounting for the added adapters



Figure 4. Hfq stabilization of sRNAs. Abundances of sRNAs in wild-type *E. coli* relative to the amounts in an isogenic *hfq*-deleted strain (normalized to 1, black line). The intergenic location of each sRNA is indicated on the *x*-axis. For each sRNA tested, data represent the means of three experiments \pm standard deviations. Statistically significant differences from expression in *hfq*-deleted strain are indicated by (***) $p \leq 0.001$ and (**) $p \leq 0.01$ (unpaired *t*-test).

Raghavan et al.

Table 3.	RNA-seg-based	detection of	previously	predicted sRNAs

Method of computational analysis	Number predicted	Total detected	New sRNAs detected	Source
Sequence conservation	24	14	0	Argaman et al. 2001
Secondary structure conservation	276	45	19	Rivas et al. 2001
Presence of σ^{70} promoter + terminator	102	48	28	Chen et al. 2002
Hidden Markov Model	60	25	10	Yachie et al. 2006
Sequence and structural features	6	1	1	Tran et al. 2009
Totals ^a	468	133	58	

acids as carbon and energy sources in the absence of glucose) (Ferrández et al. 2000; Torres et al. 2003) were 0.80. The MEV of the *lacAYZ* operon, which is suppressed in the presence of glucose (but known to be leaky), was 1.7, whereas that of the lacI inhibitor, which is expressed in the presence of glucose, was 9.9. Furthermore, only 32% of nucleotides within the mhp and paa operons had at least one sequencing-read mapped (PRM, percentage of region mapped) onto it, as opposed to 62% of bases within the lacAYZ operon and 86% within

^aSome sRNAs were predicted by more than one study; 53 of the 58 newly detected sRNA are unique. (See also Supplemental Table S7.)

the lacI gene. Based on these results, we

 $(\sim 70 \text{ bp})$, this size-selected library is enriched in reads originating from transcripts that are <330 nt in length. Libraries were amplified by 10 cycles of PCR with Phusion polymerase (Finnzymes) and assayed for quality on a Bioanalyser (Agilent Technologies). Each library was diluted to 8 pM and loaded onto a single lane of an Illumina GA flow-cell. Sequencing was performed at the Center for Genome Sciences (Washington University, St. Louis, MO) and the Keck Center (Yale University, New Haven, CT) with a cycle number (read length) of 36. The raw reads from all four sequencing runs have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accession number SRP006793), and the read stats are available in Supplemental Table S1.

Measuring Hfq dependence

An hfq-deleted strain of E. coli K-12 (JW4130-1) and its isogenic parent strain (BW25113) (Baba et al. 2006) were grown to mid-log phase $(OD_{600} = 0.4)$ in N-minimal medium, as described above. Total RNA was DNase-treated, and 1 µg was used as a template for preparing cDNA. Quantitative PCR was performed, and the abundances of each sRNA in the wild-type and the hfq-deleted strains were calculated from Ct (threshold cycle) values.

Mapping and visualization of sequence reads

Sequencing reads were plotted onto the E. coli genome using MAQ (Li et al. 2008) allowing up to two mismatches between a 36-nt read and the published E. coli K-12 MG1655 sequence. The number of piled sequences at each base was determined from the out. mapview file using an in-house Perl script that outputs a file with a column showing the coverage at each genomic base. This file was supplied to Artemis (Rutherford et al. 2000) as a graph in order to visualize the sequence coverage at each genomic position.

Transcript quantification

Coordinates of all intergenic regions (IGRs) and protein-coding genes in E. coli MG1655 were downloaded from EcoGene (Rudd 2000). The level of transcription of each region reported in Supplemental Tables S2 and S3 was quantified by determining the number of reads overlapping the region followed by normalization to both the total number of reads in each library and the length of the region. For the rest of the manuscript, expression values determined for the wild-type E. coli from both high and low magnesium conditions were averaged and are denoted as the Mean Expression Value (MEV). MEV cutoffs for designating a region as being expressed above background levels were based on sets of reference genes whose expression levels are known to be (1) absent, (2) very low, or (3) induced when E. coli is propagated in the presence of glucose. For example, the MEVs of both the mhpABCDFE and paaABCDEFGHIJK operons (which enable E. coli to use aromatic applied a cutoff of MEV \ge 1 and PRM \ge 50% for considering a region as transcriptionally active.

Discovery of intergenic regions with potential regulatory RNAs

To identify novel intergenic sRNAs and regulatory leader regions, we inspected all IGRs >150 nt in length in the E. coli MG1655 genome (n = 1145). To eliminate reads that overlap the junction between the IGR and a flanking gene, MEVs and PRMs were calculated after excluding the first and last 50 nt of each IGR.

Due to the possibility of read-through from upstream genes, the MEV of each IGR was evaluated in the context of its flanking genes. If flanking genes are divergent (i.e., transcribed in opposite directions away from the IGR), we required the MEV of the IGR to be at least two times higher than the MEV of either of the flanking genes to consider the IGR as being more highly expressed. For IGRs whose flanking genes are transcribed in the same direction, we required the MEV to be at least two times that of each flanking gene to consider the IGR as being more highly expressed. For IGRs whose flanking genes are convergent (transcribed toward one other), we require its MEV to be at least two times higher than the sum of the MEVs of the flanking genes to be considered as being more highly expressed. In each of these cases, the MEV of the IGR is compared to the 50 flanking nucleotides from each neighboring gene.

Detection and quantification of sRNAs

The locations of experimentally verified sRNA genes and other regulatory RNA elements in the E. coli genome were obtained from Rfam and EcoCyc (Keseler et al. 2009). The coordinates for candidate sRNAs that were predicted but not experimentally confirmed in earlier studies were assembled from the original sources (Argaman et al. 2001; Rivas et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2002; Yachie et al. 2006;

Table 4.	Detection of low abundance sRNAs by RNA-seq
----------	---

Method of detection	Number detected	Average MEV	Relative sensitivity ^a
PAGE	5	19,442	1
Northern blot and/or microarray	75	3345	6
RNA-seq ^b	59	181	107

^aRelative to average MEV (Mean Expression Value) of sRNAs initially detected by PAGE.

^bFifty-three unique sRNAs from Table 3 and six newly detected sRNAs from Table 1.

Tran et al. 2009) and from selab.janelia.org. For predictions that were made using the NC_000913.1 assembly, the coordinates of the candidate sRNAs were mapped to the NC_000913.2 version of the genome prior to RNA-seq analyses. Expression levels of these sRNA genes were determined by calculating the MEVs and PRMs for each predicted sRNA. sRNAs with an MEV that is two or more times greater than that of its 50 flanking nucleotides were considered to be more highly expressed.

Confirmation of coding strand and 3' ends of candidate sRNAs

We applied a modified RACE procedure (Kawano et al. 2005) to determine the 3' ends of candidate sRNAs. In brief, total RNA, depleted of 16S and 23S rRNA, was dephosphorylated with alkanine phosphatase (New England Biolabs), and a short oligonucleotide adapter was ligated to 3' ends using T4 RNA ligase (NEB). The 3'-adapter-ligated RNA was reverse-transcribed using a primer complementary to the adapter, and the resulting cDNA was used as the template in PCR reactions using primers specific to a candidate-sRNA along with an adapter-complementary primer. Amplicons were resolved on 3% low-range ultra agarose (Bio-Rad) gels to determine their lengths. To confirm that candidate sRNAs are not part of transcripts from flanking coding sequences, PCR with primers that anneal to the sRNAs in combination with primers that anneal to flanking ORFs was performed.

Secondary structure conservation analysis

Candidate regulatory RNAs were searched against the Rfam database to confirm that they were not homologs of previously identified elements. Nucleotide sequence alignments were built for RNAs having homologs that were identified by BLAST (*E*-value ≤ 1 and $\geq 50\%$ identity). Sequences were screened with the RNAz program (Gruber et al. 2007) to detect conserved secondary structures.

Prediction of terminators and promoters

Rho-independent terminators were predicted using RNAMotif (Macke et al. 2001) and TransTermHP (Kingsford et al. 2007). PPP (http://bioinformatics.biol.rug.nl/websoftware) and BDGP (Reese 2001) were used to predict promoters.

In vitro transcription assay

The leader and coding regions of the *thil* and *mdtJ* genes were PCRamplified using primers that introduced a T7 promoter sequence 5' of the leader sequences. The PCR products were purified using MinElute columns (QIAGEN), and 100 ng was used as template for in vitro transcription using a MEGAscript kit (ABI). In vitro transcription was performed for 30 min in the presence or absence of 100 μ M SAM or SAH as indicated in Figure 2J and then treated with Turbo DNase (ABI) for 15 min to remove the DNA templates. cDNA was synthesized from in vitro transcripts and used as templates for qPCR using primers that map to the coding regions of *thil* and *mdtJ*.

K_a/K_s ratios

Homologs in Typhimurium for regulatory RNAs in *E. coli* were identified by BLAST (*E*-value ≤ 1 and $\geq 50\%$ identity), confirmed by synteny, and aligned by ClustalW. K_a/K_s ratios were obtained with the program KaKs_Calculator (Zhang et al. 2006), which applies a codon-based, maximum-likelihood method (Goldman and Yang

Detection of E. coli regulatory RNAs

Data access

The raw reads from all four sequencing runs have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accession number SRP006793).

Acknowledgments

nificance of K_a and K_s values.

We thank John McCutcheon, Chih-Horng Kuo, and Patrick Degnan for helpful discussions. We are grateful to the Coli Genetic Stock Center at Yale University for providing the *E. coli* strains, Kim Hammond and Michelle Dawn for assistance with figures, and the reviewers for helpful comments. This research was supported in part by NIH grants GM74738 and GM56120 to H.O. and by AI49561 to E.A.G., who is an investigator at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Abreu-Goodger C, Merino E. 2005. RibEx: a web server for locating riboswitches and other conserved bacterial regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33: W690–W692.
- Alwine JC, Kemp DJ, Stark GR. 1977. Method for detection of specific RNAs in agarose gels by transfer to diazobenzyloxymethyl-paper and hybridization with DNA probes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 74: 5350– 5354.
- Antal M, Bordeau V, Douchin V, Felden B. 2005. A small bacterial RNA regulates a putative ABC transporter. J Biol Chem 280: 7901– 7908.
- Argaman L, Hershberg R, Vogel J, Bejerano G, Wagner EG, Margalit H, Altuvia S. 2001. Novel small RNA-encoding genes in the intergenic regions of *Escherichia coli*. *Curr Biol* **11**: 941–950.
- Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* 2: 2006.0008. doi: 10.1038/msb4100050.
- Barrick JE, Breaker RR. 2007. The distributions, mechanisms, and structures of metabolite-binding riboswitches. *Genome Biol* 8: R239. doi: 10.1186/ gb-2007-8-11-r239.
- Bouché F, Bouché JP. 1989. Genetic evidence that DicF, a second division inhibitor encoded by the *Escherichia coli dicB* operon, is probably RNA. *Mol Microbiol* **3**: 991–994.
- Chen S, Lesnik EA, Hall TA, Sampath R, Griffey RH, Ecker DJ, Blyn LB. 2002. A bioinformatics based approach to discover small RNA genes in the *Escherichia coli* genome. *Biosystems* **65**: 157–177.
- Cho BK, Zengler K, Qiu Y, Park YS, Knight EM, Barrett CL, Gao Y, Palsson BØ. 2009. The transcription unit architecture of the *Escherichia coli* genome. *Nat Biotechnol* **27:** 1043–1049.
- Coppins RL, Hall KB, Groisman EA. 2007. The intricate world of riboswitches. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **10**: 176–181.
- Cromie MJ, Shi Y, Latifi T, Groisman EA. 2006. An RNA sensor for intracellular Mg²⁺. *Cell* **125:** 71–84.
- De Lay N, Gottesman S. 2009. The Crp-activated small noncoding regulatory RNA CyaR (RyeE) links nutritional status to group behavior. *J Bacteriol* **191:** 461–476.
- Dornenburg JE, Devita AM, Palumbo MJ, Wade JT. 2010. Widespread antisense transcription in *Escherichia coli*. *mBio* 1: e00024-10. doi: 10.1128/ mBio.00024-10.
- Douchin V, Bohn C, Bouloc P. 2006. Down-regulation of porins by a small RNA bypasses the essentiality of the regulated intramembrane proteolysis protease RseP in *Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem* **281**: 12253–12259.
- Ferrández A, García JL, Díaz E. 2000. Transcriptional regulation of the divergent *paa* catabolic operons for phenylacetic acid degradation in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 275: 12214–12222.
- Fozo EM, Hemm MR, Storz G. 2008. Small toxic proteins and the antisense RNAs that repress them. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **72:** 579–589.
- Goldman N, Yang Z. 1994. A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for protein-coding DNA sequences. *Mol Biol Evol* 11: 725–736.

Raghavan et al.

Gottesman S. 2004. The small RNA regulators of Escherichia coli: Roles and mechanisms. Annu Rev Microbiol 58: 303-328.

- Griffiths-Jones S, Bateman A, Marshall M, Khanna A, Eddy SR. 2003. Rfam: an RNA family database. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 439–441.
- Groisman EA. 2001. The pleiotropic two-component regulatory system PhoP-PhoQ. J Bacteriol 183: 1835–1842.
- Gruber AR, Neuböck R, Hofacker IL, Washietl S. 2007. The RNAz web server: prediction of thermodynamically stable and evolutionarily conserved RNA structures. Nucleic Acids Res 35: W335-W338.
- Hershberg R, Altuvia S, Margalit H. 2003. A survey of small RNAencoding genes in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 1813-1820
- Hindley J. 1967. Fractionation of ³²P-labelled ribonucleic acids on polyacrylamide gels and their characterization by fingerprinting. J Mol Biol 30: 125-136
- Ikemura T, Dahlberg JE. 1973. Small ribonucleic acids of Escherichia coli. I. Characterization by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and fingerprint analysis. J Biol Chem 248: 5024-5032
- Irnov I, Sharma CM, Vogel J, Winkler WC. 2010. Identification of regulatory RNAs in Bacillus subtilis. Nucleic Acids Res 38: 6637-6651.
- Johansen J, Eriksen M, Kallipolitis B, Valentin-Hansen P. 2008. Downregulation of outer membrane proteins by noncoding RNAs: Unraveling the cAMP-CRP- and σ^{E} -dependent CyaR-ompX regulatory case. J Mol Biol 383: 1-9.
- Kawano M, Reynolds AA, Miranda-Rios J, Storz G. 2005. Detection of 5'- and 3'-UTR-derived small RNAs and cis-encoded antisense RNAs in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 1040-1050.
- Keseler IM, Bonavides-Martinez C, Collado-Vides J, Gama-Castro S, Gunsalus RP, Johnson DA, Krummenacker M, Nolan LM, Paley S, Paulsen IT, et al. 2009. EcoCyc: a comprehensive view of Escherichia coli biology. Nucleic Acids Res 37: D464-D470.
- Kingsford CL, Ayanbule K, Salzberg SL. 2007. Rapid, accurate, computational discovery of Rho-independent transcription terminators illuminates their relationship to DNA uptake. Genome Biol 8: R22. doi: 10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22.
- Lee EJ, Groisman EA. 2010. An antisense RNA that governs the expression kinetics of a multifunctional virulence gene. Mol Microbiol 76: 1020-1033
- Li H, Ruan J, Durbin R. 2008. Mapping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using mapping quality scores. Genome Res 18: 1851-1858.
- Liu JM, Livny J, Lawrence MS, Kimball MD, Waldor MK, Camilli A. 2009. Experimental discovery of sRNAs in Vibrio cholerae by direct cloning, 5S/ tRNA depletion and parallel sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 37: e46. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp080. Livny J, Waldor MK. 2010. Mining regulatory 5'UTRs from cDNA deep
- sequencing datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: 1504–1514. Livny J, Brencic A, Lory S, Waldor MK. 2006. Identification of 17
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa sRNAs and prediction of sRNA-encoding genes in 10 diverse pathogens using the bioinformatic tool sRNAPredict2. Nucleic Acids Res **34**: 3484–3493. Macke TJ, Ecker DJ, Gutell RR, Gautheret D, Case DA, Sampath R. 2001.
- RNAMotif, an RNA secondary structure definition and search algorithm. Nucleic Acids Res 29: 4724-4735.
- Mandin P, Gottesman S. 2010. Integrating anaerobic/aerobic sensing and the general stress response through the ArcZ small RNA. EMBO J 29: 3094-3107.
- Massé E, Gottesman S. 2002. A small RNA regulates the expression of genes involved in iron metabolism in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci 99: 4620-4625
- Massé E, Escorcia FE, Gottesman S. 2003. Coupled degradation of a small regulatory RNA and its mRNA targets in Escherichia coli. Genes Dev 17: 2374-2383.
- Mendoza-Vargas A, Olvera L, Olvera M, Grande R, Vega-Alvarado L, Taboada B, Jimenez-Jacinto V, Salgado H, Juárez K, Contreras-Moreira B, et al. 2009. Genome-wide identification of transcription start sites, promoters and transcription factor binding sites in E. coli. PLoS ONE 4: e7526. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007526.
- Moon K, Gottesman S. 2009. A PhoQ/P-regulated small RNA regulates sensitivity of Escherichia coli to antimicrobial peptides. Mol Microbiol 74: 1314-1330.
- Nahvi A, Sudarsan N, Ebert MS, Zou X, Brown KL, Breaker RR. 2002. Genetic control by a metabolite binding mRNA. Chem Biol 9: 1043-1049
- Neusser T, Polen T, Geissen R, Wagner R. 2010. Depletion of the non-coding regulatory 6S RNA in E. coli causes a surprising reduction in the expression of the translation machinery. BMC Genomics 11: 165. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-165.
- Ochman H. 2002. Distinguishing the ORFs from ELFs: short bacterial genes and the annotation of genomes. Trends Genet 18: 335-337.

- Park SY, Cromie MI, Lee EI, Groisman EA, 2010, A bacterial mRNA leader that employs different mechanisms to sense disparate intracellular signals. Cell 142: 737-748.
- Peters JM, Mooney RA, Kuan PF, Rowland JL, Keles S, Landick R. 2009. Rho directs widespread termination of intragenic and stable RNA transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 15406–15411.
- Reese MG. 2001. Application of a time-delay neural network to promoter annotation in the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Comput Chem 26: 51 - 56
- Regulski EE, Moy RH, Weinberg Z, Barrick JE, Yao Z, Ruzzo WL, Breaker RR. 2008. A widespread riboswitch candidate that controls bacterial genes involved in molybdenum cofactor and tungsten cofactor metabolism. Mol Microbiol 68: 918-932.
- Rivas E, Klein RJ, Jones TA, Eddy SR. 2001. Computational identification of noncoding RNAs in E. coli by comparative genomics. Curr Biol 11: 1369-1373.
- Romby P, Vandenesch F, Wagner EG. 2006. The role of RNAs in the regulation of virulence-gene expression. Curr Opin Microbiol 9: 229-236
- Rudd KE. 2000. EcoGene: a genome sequence database for Escherichia coli K-12. Nucleic Acids Res 28: 60-64.
- Rutherford K, Parkhill J, Crook J, Horsnell T, Rice P, Rajandream MA, Barrell B. 2000. Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. Bioinformatics 16: 944-945
- Salvail H, Lanthier-Bourbonnais P, Sobota JM, Caza M, Benjamin JA, Mendieta ME, Lépine F, Dozois CM, Imlay J, Massé E. 2010. A small RNA promotes siderophore production through transcriptional and metabolic remodeling. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107: 15223-15228
- Schena M, Shalon D, Davis RW, Brown PO. 1995. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. Science 270: 467-470.
- Selinger DW, Cheung KJ, Mei R, Johansson EM, Richmond CS, Blattner FR, Lockhart DJ, Church GM. 2000. RNA expression analysis using a 30 base pair resolution Escherichia coli genome array. Nat Biotechnol 18: 1262-1268
- Serganov A, Patel DJ. 2009. Amino acid recognition and gene regulation by riboswitches. Biochim Biophys Acta 1789: 592-611.
- Sharma CM, Hoffmann S, Darfeuille F, Reignier J, Findeiss S, Sittka A, Chabas S, Reiche K, Hackermüller J, Reinhardt R, et al. 2010. The primary transcriptome of the major human pathogen Helicobacter pylori. Nature 464: 250-255.
- Sittka A, Lucchini S, Papenfort K, Sharma CM, Rolle K, Binnewies TT, Hinton JC, Vogel J. 2008. Deep sequencing analysis of small noncoding RNA and mRNA targets of the global post transcriptional regulator, Hfq. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000163. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000163. Sledjeski DD, Whitman C, Zhang A. 2001. Hfq is necessary for regulation by the untranslated RNA DsrA. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 1997–2005.
- Smith AM, Fuchs RT, Grundy FJ, Henkin TM. 2010. Riboswitch RNAs: Regulation of gene expression by direct monitoring of a physiological signal. *RNA Biol* **7:** 104–110.
- Torres B, Porras G, Garcia JL, Diaz E. 2003. Regulation of the mhp cluster responsible for 3-(3 hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid degradation in *Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem* **278**: 27575–27585.
- Tran TT, Zhou F, Marshburn S, Stead M, Kushner SR, Xu Y. 2009. De novo computational prediction of non-coding RNA genes in prokaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics 25: 2897-2905.
- Tucker BJ, Breaker RR. 2005. Riboswitches as versatile gene control elements. Curr Opin Struct Biol 15: 342-348
- Vogel J, Sharma CM. 2005. How to find small non-coding RNAs in bacteria. Biol Chem 386: 1219-1238.
- Vogel J, Bartels V, Tang TH, Churakov G, Slagter-Jäger JG, Hüttenhofer A, Wagner EG. 2003. RNomics in Escherichia coli detects new sRNA species and indicates parallel transcriptional output in bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res **31:** 6435–6443.
- Vogel J, Argaman L, Wagner EG, Altuvia S. 2004. The small RNA IstR inhibits synthesis of an SOS induced toxic peptide. Curr Biol 14: 2271-2276
- Wassarman KM. 2007. 6S RNA: a regulator of transcription. Mol Microbiol 65: 1425-1431
- Wassarman KM, Zhang A, Storz G. 1999. Small RNAs in Escherichia coli. Trends Microbiol 7: 37-45.
- Wassarman KM, Repoila F, Rosenow C, Storz G, Gottesman S. 2001. Identification of novel small RNAs using comparative genomics and microarrays. Genes Dev 15: 1637-1651.
- Weinberg Z, Regulski EE, Hammond MC, Barrick JE, Yao Z, Ruzzo WL, Breaker RR. 2008. The aptamer core of SAM-IV riboswitches mimics the ligand-binding site of SAM-I riboswitches. RNA 14: 822-828.
- Weinberg Z, Wang JX, Bogue J, Yang J, Corbino K, Moy RH, Breaker RR. 2010. Comparative genomics reveals 104 candidate structured RNAs from bacteria, archaea, and their metagenomes. Genome Biol 11: R31. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-3-r31.

Detection of E. coli regulatory RNAs

- Wilson LA, Sharp PM. 2006. Enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC) sequences in *Escherichia coli*: Evolution and implications for ERIC-PCR. *Mol Biol Evol* 23: 1156–1168.
- Winkler W, Nahvi A, Breaker RR. 2002a. Thiamine derivatives bind messenger RNAs directly to regulate bacterial gene expression. *Nature* 419: 952–956.
- Winkler WC, Cohen-Chalamish S, Breaker RR. 2002b. An mRNA structure that controls gene expression by binding FMN. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 99: 15908–15913.
- Winkler WC, Nahvi A, Sudarsan N, Barrick JE, Breaker RR. 2003. An mRNA structure that controls gene expression by binding Sadenosylmethionine. Nat Struct Biol 10: 701–707.
- Yachie N, Numata K, Saito R, Kanai A, Tomita M. 2006. Prediction of noncoding and antisense RNA genes in *Escherichia coli* with Gapped Markov Model. *Gene* **372**: 171–181.
- Yao Z, Barrick J, Weinberg Z, Neph S, Breaker R, Tompa M, Ruzzo WL. 2007. A computational pipeline for high- throughput discovery of *cis*-regulatory noncoding RNA in prokaryotes. *PLoS Comput Biol* **3**: e126. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pcbi.0030126.
- Zhang Z, Li J, Zhao XQ, Wang J, Wong GK, Yu J. 2006. KaKs_Calculator: Calculating Ka and Ks through model selection and model averaging. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* 4: 259–263.
- Zwir I, Shin D, Kato A, Nishino K, Latifi T, Solomon F, Hare JM, Huang H, Groisman EA. 2005. Dissecting the PhoP regulatory network of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 102: 2862– 2867.

Received December 17, 2010; accepted in revised form June 8, 2011.



Genome-wide detection of novel regulatory RNAs in *E. coli*

Rahul Raghavan, Eduardo A. Groisman and Howard Ochman

Genome Res. 2011 21: 1487-1497 originally published online June 10, 2011 Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gr.119370.110

Supplemental Material	http://genome.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2011/06/10/gr.119370.110.DC1.html
References	This article cites 78 articles, 39 of which can be accessed free at: http://genome.cshlp.org/content/21/9/1487.full.html#ref-list-1
Creative Commons License	This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/.
Email Alerting Service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here.

To subscribe to Genome Research go to: http://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions