#### Portland State University

## **PDXScholar**

Environmental Science and Management Faculty Publications and Presentations

**Environmental Science and Management** 

9-2015

## Coastal Sediment Elevation Change Following Anthropogenic Mangrove Clearing

Heather Lyn Hayden Portland State University

Elise F. Granek Portland State University, graneke@pdx.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm\_fac

Part of the Biochemistry Commons, Marine Biology Commons, Oceanography Commons, and the Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

#### **Citation Details**

Hayden, H.L., Granek, E.F., COASTAL sediment elevation change following anthropogenic mangrove clearing, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.09.004.

This Post-Print is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Environmental Science and Management Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

# COASTAL SEDIMENT ELEVATION CHANGE FOLLOWING ANTHROPOGENIC MANGROVE CLEARING

- 3
- 4
- 5 Heather L. Hayden<sup>1</sup>, Elise F. Granek<sup>12</sup>
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Environmental Science and Management, Portland State University, Portland,
   7 Oregon 97207, USA
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>2</sup>Corresponding author: <u>graneke@pdx.edu</u>; 1-503-725-4241; 1719 SW 10<sup>th</sup> Ave,
- 9 Environmental Science and Management, Portland State University, Portland,
- 10 Oregon 97207, USA
- 11

- 13
- 14 Key Words: accretion, Belize, deforestation, ecosystem service, erosion,
- 15 mangrove
- 16
- 17

#### 1 <u>ABSTRACT</u> 2

Coastal mangrove forests along tropical shorelines serve as an important 3 4 interface between land and sea. They provide a physical buffer protecting the 5 coastline from erosion and act as sediment "traps" catching terrestrial sediment, thus preventing smothering of subtidal coral reefs. Coastal development that 6 7 removes mangrove habitat may impact adjacent nearshore coral reefs through 8 sedimentation and nutrient loading. We examined differences in sediment 9 elevation change between patches of open-coast intact and anthropogenically 10 cleared red mangroves (*Rhizophora mangle*) on the east side of Turneffe Atoll. 11 Belize, to quantify changes following mangrove clearing. Samples were collected 12 over a 24 month period at five study sites, each containing paired intact 13 (+mangrove) and cleared (-mangrove) plots. Five sediment elevation pins were 14 deployed in each plot: behind areas cleared of mangroves (-mangrove) and 15 behind adjacent intact mangroves (+mangrove). Sediment elevation increased at 16 intact mangrove sites (M= +3.83 mm, SE=0.95) whereas cleared mangrove 17 areas suffered elevation loss (M= -7.30 mm, SE=3.38). Mangroves inshore of partial or continuous gaps in the adjacent fringing reefs had higher rates of 18 elevation loss (M=-15.05mm) than mangroves inshore of continuous fringing 19 20 reefs (M=-1.90mm). Our findings provide information on potential effects of 21 mangrove clearing and the role of offshore habitat characteristics on coastal 22 sediment trapping and maintenance of sediment elevation by mangroves. With 23 implications for coastline capacity to adjust to sea level rise, these findings are 24 relevant to management of coastal fringing mangrove forests across the 25 Caribbean.

- 26
- 27 28
- 29
- 30 31
- 32

33

34

1 2 <u>I. INTRODUCTION</u>

3

4 Mangrove forests serve integral ecological functions in tropical coastal zones (Mumby et al. 2004), yet damage to and loss of mangrove forests has 5 6 been extensive worldwide (Crooks and Turner 1999). Mangrove forests have 7 been rapidly cleared and converted for real estate speculation, construction of 8 tourist resorts, agricultural land reclamation and other coastal development; 9 shrimp aquaculture; and to a lesser extent, collection of lumber (Kaly et al. 1997, 10 Stevenson 1997, Valiela et al. 2001). Mangrove forest loss due to anthropogenic 11 clearing has occurred at an alarming rate (-1% annually in the 1980's [FAO 12 2003]; -0.66% annually between 2000-2005 [FAO 2007]) resulting in a significant decrease in global mangrove forest cover (estimated losses of up to 35% during 13 the last two decades of the 20<sup>th</sup> century [Valiela et al. 2001]). Similarly, mainland 14 Caribbean mangroves have suffered a 1.7% annual decline in aerial cover 15 16 (Ellison and Farnsworth 1996). Some researchers project a complete loss of this 17 ecosystem type from certain regions within the next 100 years (Duke et al. 2007). 18 Mangroves play a key role in ecological functioning of adjacent nearshore 19 habitats and provide a number of ecosystem services to surrounding coastal 20 communities. Coastal mangrove forests serve as a key habitat for ecologically 21 and commercially important species (e.g., Mumby et al. 2004, Igulu et al. 2014) 22 and are important for carbon storage (Blue Carbon, e.g., Donato et al. 2011). 23 This coastal ecosystem also serves as an key physical buffer, protecting coastal zones from erosion, extensive wave action, and flooding during tropical storms 24 and hurricanes (Field 1998, Ellison 2000, Danielsen et al. 2005, Kar 2005, 25

Granek and Ruttenberg 2007). As oceanic storms increase in frequency and
intensity with warming sea surface temperatures (Williams 2005) and as sea
level rises, the importance of mangroves is expected to increase. Finally, coastal
mangroves serve as sediment "traps" accreting terrestrial sediment and
preventing it from smothering subtidal coral reefs (Bird 1971, Wolanski and Ridd
1986, Augustinus 1995, Blasco et al. 1996, Woodroffe 2002, Golbuu et al. 2003,
Thampanya et al. 2006, Victor et al. 2006).

8 These ecological functions can be disrupted when natural disturbance 9 events cause mangrove mortality (e.g., Cahoon et al. 2003). However, the 10 sediment trapping response of these systems to anthropogenic clearing is largely 11 absent from the literature. Comparative research assessing differences in 12 sediment elevation between intact and anthropogenically cleared mangrove 13 stands is an existing data gap. We quantify how coastal development that 14 removes mangrove habitat impacts shoreline sediment levels since sediment 15 loss has potential implications for nutrient loading and sedimentation on adjacent nearshore habitats as well as on shoreline loss in the face of climate change. 16 We tested the hypothesis that in coastal fringing red mangrove (*Rhizophora* 17 18 mangle) ecosystems on Turneffe Atoll, Belize, sediment elevation levels decline 19 following mangrove clearing relative to levels in adjacent intact mangroves.

20 21

## II. METHODS

22

## 23 Study Site24

The study was conducted on Turneffe Atoll off the Caribbean coast of
 Belize. Turneffe Atoll is a carbonate atoll with little or no allochthonous sediment

1 input; sediment in the mangroves is autochthonous, derived from accumulation of 2 biogenic material, primarily mangrove subsurface peat with lenses of calcareous sand (McKee et al. 2007). The coastline of Turneffe Atoll is characterized by 3 4 open coast fringing R. mangle (red mangrove) trees, except in locations where 5 mangroves were removed for development and/or agriculture. The submerged vegetation in front of the study sites is dominated by Thalassia testudinum (turtle 6 7 grass). Five locations were sampled along the east coast of Turneffe Atoll with 8 each study site consisting of paired intact (+mangrove) and cleared (-mangrove) 9 areas. All study sites were along the open coast and located within a 15km 10 stretch of coastline (Figure 1 and Table 1). Wave energy is comparable within 11 each pair. The distance between the mangrove or cleared shoreline and the 12 fringing reef and the continuity of the fringing reef are consistent within pairs but 13 variable among sites, leading to potential between site differences in wave 14 energy reaching the shoreline. The following criteria were used for site selection: 1) at least 75m along-15

shore length of cleared *R. mangle* adjacent to stretches of at least 100m of intact 16 17 R. mangle habitat; and 2) >2km from major human development to reduce 18 potential sources of anthropogenic nutrients and sediment. The cleared 19 mangrove areas ranged from 75m to 250m in length along the shore and ranged 20 from recent (within 2 years of study onset) to historic (40-200 years prior) 21 clearings (Table 1). At the recently cleared sites, above ground root structure 22 was still present, though no above ground roots were evident at the historically 23 cleared sites. Elevations were similar within pairs at recently cleared sites at the

- 1 beginning of the study; however, at Calabash there was some seagrass growing
- 2 in the cleared sediment elevation pin plot indicating lower elevation than its
- 3 adjacent paired intact site.

4



5 6

6 Figure 1. Location of study area in the Caribbean Sea (left) with sites marked on

7 Turneffe Atoll map (center): from north to south, sites include Fisherman's Cut

8 (FC), Airport (A), Oceanic Society (OS), Calabash (C), and Ropewalk (RW);

- 9 Airport study site (right) with sediment elevation pins in intact and cleared
- 10 mangrove areas inshore and fringing reef offshore.
- 11
- 12

Areas with intact mangroves were characterized by monospecific stands

13 of *R. mangle with* submerged prop roots and infrequent aerial roots colonized

- 14 with sponges, epibiotic algae, tunicates and anemones. Recently cleared
- 15 mangrove areas retained some structure from the submerged decaying prop
- 16 roots; the soil was "muddy" with roots throughout. Historically cleared areas had

1 little to no submerged decaying prop roots, with soil consisting of coarse sand 2 with seagrass moving into former mangrove habitat. 3 Cleared areas were absent of mature trees and had sparsely dispersed settlement of seedlings (~1 seedling per m<sup>2</sup>) compared to adjacent intact 4 mangrove forest with mature trees and high seedling densities (~8 seedlings per 5 m<sup>2</sup>). The cleared areas lack forest structure. The mangroves settled in cleared 6 7 areas were "dwarfed" (~1 m tall) relative to individuals in intact areas (up to 4 m 8 tall). 9 Additional anthropogenic disturbances impacted two sites during the study 10 period (in 2009). In the intact mangrove area at Airport (Figure 1), a section of 11 mangroves was cleared and dredging was conducted for condominium 12 development. Two sediment elevation pins were lost during the clearing. 13 Adjacent to the cleared site at Ropewalk, dredging was conducted to facilitate

14 boat access, increasing suspended sediment in the cleared area.

15

16 Sediment Elevation Pins

Tubes of PVC piping with 2.5 cm external diameter x 1.5 m length were used as sediment elevation pins to quantify changes in elevation (e.g., Stokes et al. 2009). Elevation changes may be due to accretion or erosion. Drivers of erosion include sediment leaving the system, compaction, and shrink/swell of aerially exposed sediment. Drivers of accretion include sediment trapping and subsurface expansion from root growth and groundwater influx (Krauss et al. 2014, Cahoon et al. 2003). At each site, five sediment elevation pins were deployed behind areas cleared of

1 mangroves (-mangrove) and five pins were deployed at the same distance from the 2 seaward edge of the mangroves behind intact forest (+mangrove). At all sites except 3 Calabash, these pins were placed during a previous study by S. Waddington and E. 4 Granek. The protocol employed for placing the sediment elevation pins was adopted from dune studies by Moreno-Cassola (1986) and Arens and Slings (2004). The 5 research plots are located near fishing camps, developed resorts, homes, and a Belize 6 7 National Coast Guard Station. The current method of measuring mangrove sediment 8 accretion/erosion, Surface Elevation Table (SET) (Cahoon et al. 2003) equipment, was 9 infeasible at our study site due to the risk of equipment removal by transient fishers. 10 Therefore we employed a low-tech, low-cost technique to measure sediment elevation 11 change relative to a ~1-m deep benchmark.

The 1.5m long PVC piping tubes were buried in the substrate with 60cm of tube remaining above ground. Differences in rates of sediment elevation change were quantified by measuring the length of tube above the sediment at 0-, 6-, 12- and 24months post deployment. The PVC tubes were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm from the top of the tube to the sediment on the ocean and terrestrial sides. Each pin was gently pulled upward and pushed downward to confirm that pins were secure and not floating in the hole. All pins were secure at each sampling period.

19 Data analysis

20

The five sediment elevation pins per plot were averaged and examined at 12and 24- months post deployment. Changes in sediment elevation between intact and cleared mangrove plots and among sites were examined using a two-way ANOVA. A

1 multi-factor ANOVA was used to examine whether sedimentation rates differed by 2 continuity of adjacent reef structure, site, and mangrove condition (intact vs. cleared). 3 4 **III. RESULTS** Sediment elevation increased (M= 3.83mm, SE=0.95) at the intact mangrove 5 plots but decreased (M= -7.30 mm, SE=3.38) at the cleared mangrove plots over the 6 7 course of the study (Table 1). Between June 2008 and June 2010, sediment elevation 8 change differed between intact and cleared areas (F= 79.52, p=0.001), across sites (F=6.41, p<0.001), and the differences in elevation change between intact and cleared 9 10 areas varied by site (F=9.57; p<0.001)(Figure 2). 11 In cleared areas, elevation loss rates were greater at sites with gaps in the adjacent fringing reef (Airport and Oceanic; M=-15.05mm) than at sites with continuous 12 13 fringing reef (M=-1.90mm; F-18.59, p<0.001). In intact mangrove forest, elevation gain did not differ between sites with reef gaps (M=4.11mm) and sites with continuous reef 14 15 (M=3.65mm). Sediment elevation gain was greater in intact than cleared areas (F=81, p<0.001) and the effects of reef continuity on sediment elevation gain or loss varied by 16 17 mangrove condition (F=32.33, p<0.001). 18

Table 1. Fringing reef structure, anthropogenic disturbances during study period, and mean (and standard error) sediment elevation gain (+) and loss (-) rates at cleared and intact plots for each site (Year 1 = June 2008 to June 2009, Year 2 = June 2009 to June 2010).

Site	Airport	Calabash	Fisherman's	Ropewalk	Oceanic
Fringe Reef Protection	Few gaps	Complete	Complete	Complete	Gaps
Dominant sediment type	Sandy	Sandy	Detrital mud	Sandy	Sandy
Years since clearing	4	~40	2	8	~200
Anthropogenic disturbances	Dredging	None	None	Dredging	None
	& clearing			2009	

		2009				
Mean soil accretion (mm) in intact plots	Year 1	+1.62	+5.33	+2.04	+0.73	+3.58
	Year 2	+2.08 (0.82)	+4.89 (2.23)	+4.92 (1.12)	+1.13 (0.72)	+6.14 (0.31)
Mean soil elevation loss (mm) cleared	Year 1	-10.7	-0.35	-2.3	-0.25	-6.32
areas	Year 2	-16.73 (7.39)	-2.06 (0.19)	-3.56 (0.48)	-0.09 (0.97)	-20.18 (2.3)

1

2



<sup>Figure 2. Sediment elevation change rates (in mm) between June 2008 and June 2010
at five study sites on Turneffe Atoll.</sup> 

6 7

### 8 IV. DISCUSSION

9

Coastal mangrove forests function as important physical buffers protecting

10 coastlines from erosion and nearshore marine ecosystems from sedimentation (Gillis et

- al. 2014). When mangroves are naturally or anthropogenically thinned or removed, this
- 12 function is reduced or eliminated (e.g., Cahoon et al. 2003; Stokes et al. 2009).
- 13 Similarly, intact mangroves on Turneffe Atoll demonstrate sediment elevation gains

whereas adjacent cleared areas suffered sediment elevation losses over the same time
period. Cleared sites with discontinuous fringing reef offshore suffered higher sediment
elevation loss rates than sites with continuous fringing reefs. These data add to the
growing body of evidence quantifying the ecological function provided by coastal
mangrove forest habitat to maintain soil elevation (e.g., Stokes et al. 2009, Cahoon et
al. 2003, Krauss et al. 2003) and facilitate coastline adaptations to sea level rise (e.g.,
Krauss et al. 2014).

8 Natural variability in fringing reef structure can affect sediment patterns (Table 1; Gillis et al. 2014). Study sites with (50-100 m alongshore gaps in the fringing reef 9 10 (Airport and Oceanic), had higher sediment elevation loss rates (-16 to -20 mm) in the 11 cleared areas. Gaps in the fringing reef reduce protection from incoming wave action 12 (Kunkel et al. 2006, Sheppard 2005), which can result in higher sediment elevation loss 13 rates when mangroves are removed. On the other hand, Calabash and Fisherman's have extensive and continuous reef protection and are located further from the reef 14 15 (Fisherman's is ~500 m and Oceanic is ~100 m inshore); these sites experienced lower sediment elevation loss rates in the cleared areas (2-3.5 mm). These sites demonstrate 16 17 landscape-scale facilitation between reefs and mangroves (Gillis et al. 2014). Anthropogenic disturbance events such as dredging can reduce sediment 18 19 elevation loss at cleared mangrove sites due to sediment resuspension. At Ropewalk,

20 dredging increased sediment suspension for 4 months during the summer of 2009; we

21 hypothesize that this increased sediment suspension led to increased sediment

22 deposition at the cleared area, counteracting sediment elevation loss due to absent

23 mangrove root structure (Figure 2).

1 Our sites on Turneffe Atoll have variable sediment dynamics due to variability in 2 the fringing reef and the resultant wave energy in the mangroves as well as additional 3 anthropogenic disturbances at certain sites. Though our method cannot identify the specific causes of the sediment elevation loss we report, the losses likely results from a 4 combination of surface and subsurface subsidence from peat collapse due to 5 decomposition of organic material (including roots) and erosion of the substrate due to 6 7 the absence of root structure and cessation of mangrove organic matter deposition from 8 loss of mangrove trees (Krauss et al. 2014, Cahoon et al. 2003). Reported rates of accretion in mangroves vary by geographic location, ranging from -38mm year<sup>-1</sup> in 9 Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand (Stokes et al. 2009) to +10.0 to +11.0 mm year<sup>-1</sup> in 10 Cairns, Queensland, Australia (Bird and Barson 1977; Spencely 1982). Our reported 11 12 rates of sediment elevation loss and gain fall within this range. However, to date, little 13 research in mangrove ecosystems has directly compared sediment elevation changes 14 between intact and cleared mangrove areas (but see Stokes et al. 2009). McKee et al. (2007) and McKee (2011) suggest that mangrove removal could lead to land and 15 16 habitat stability losses due to a cessation of soil accretion concurrent with ongoing 17 decomposition, compaction and erosion processes. Field data quantifying the effects of Caribbean mangrove clearing on sediment retention are absent from the current 18 19 literature. Our data from sediment elevation pins provide the first quantitative evidence 20 of sediment elevation loss in cleared areas at sites that gain sediment elevation in 21 adjacent land where mangroves are present.

The intact mangroves on Turneffe Atoll likely protect sediment from elevation
 loss or erosion due to surface and subsurface physical and biological processes

1 including sedimentation, ground water swelling, plant litter, woody debris and root 2 accumulation and algal mat development. These processes result in vertical sediment accretion in Caribbean mangrove ecosystems (Krauss et al. 2014; McKee 2011; Rogers 3 et al. 2005). McKee (2011) reported low accretion rates in Belizean fringing mangroves 4 but subsurface root growth accounting for 8.8 mm year<sup>-1</sup> vertical change, with an overall 5 elevation change of 4.1 mm year<sup>-1</sup> after accounting for compaction, decomposition and 6 7 hydrodynamics. Given that our accumulation rates in the intact mangrove areas were 8 comparable, we hypothesize similar processes at work.

Our research finds that removal of mangrove vegetation leads to sediment 9 10 elevation loss with potential for increased submergence and land loss. This loss is 11 exacerbated where fringing reef is discontinuous and can vary as a result of additional 12 disturbance events. These findings highlight the need to consider the role of mangroves 13 in managing coastline protection with ongoing sea-level rise (Alongi 2015) and the importance of carefully considering subtidal features adjacent to sites slated for 14 15 mangrove removal or transformation. Such information may prove useful in identifying areas that are most vulnerable to sediment elevation loss following mangrove removal 16 and therefore areas of coastline most vulnerable to submergence with sea level rise. 17 Better understanding and consideration of these processes can serve as a potential tool 18 19 for determining where mangrove clearing will have the greatest impact on coastal 20 protection generally and sea-level driven land loss specifically.

21

#### 22 V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the students from the Portland State University Belize Capstone Class
 from 2008, Oceanic Society for their in-kind and logistical support, Marion Usher and

Mr. Billy, our great boat tender. 1

2

**VI. LITERATURE CITED** 

3 4 5 Alongi, DM. (2015) The impact of climate change on mangrove forests. Curr Clim 6 Change Rep 1:30-39 7 Arens SM, Slings Q, De Vries CN (2004) Mobility of a remobilised parabolic dune in 8 Kennemerland, The Netherlands. Geomorphology 59(1):175-188 9 Augustinus PGEF (1995) Chapter 12 Geomorphology and Sedimentology of 10 Mangroves. In: Perillo GME (ed) Developments in Sedimentology, Book Volume 53. Elsevier 11 12 Bird ECF (1986) Mangroves and intertidal morphology in Westernport Bay, Victoria, 13 Australia. Marine Geology 69:251-271 14 Bird ECF, Barson MM (1977) Measurement of physiographic changes on mangrovefringed estuaries and coastlines. Marine Research in Indonesia, 18:73-80 15 Blasco F, Saenger P, Janodet E (1996) Mangroves as indicators of coastal change. 16 17 CATENA 27:167-178 18 Cahoon DR, Hensel P, Rybczyk J, McKee KL, Proffitt CE, Perez BC (2003) Mass tree 19 mortality leads to mangrove peat collapse at Bay Islands, Honduras after Hurricane Mitch. Journal of Ecology 91:1093–1105 20 21 Crooks S, Turner RK (1999) Integrated Coastal Management: Sustaining Estuarine Natural Resources. In: Nedwell D, Raffaelli D (eds) Advances in Ecological 22 23 Research, Book Volume 29. Academic Press 24 Danielsen F, Sørensen MK, Olwig MF, Selvam V, Parish F, Burgess ND, Hiraishi T, Karunagaran VM, Rasmussen MS, Hansen LB, Quarto A, Suryadiputra, N. (2005) 25 The Asian tsunami: a protective role for coastal vegetation. Science, 310:643 26 27 Donato D.C, Kauffman J.B, Murdiyarso D, Kurnianto S, Stidham M, Kanninen M. (2011) 28 Mangroves among the most carbon-rich forests in the tropics. Nature Geoscience 29 4(5) 293-297. 30 Duke NC, Meynecke J-O, Dittmann S, Ellison AM, Anger K, Berger U, Cannicci S, Diele 31 K, Ewel KC, Field CD, Koedam N, Lee SY, Marchand C, Nordhaus I, Dahdouh-Guebas F (2007) A world without mangroves? Science 317:41-42 32 33 Ellison AM (2000) Mangrove Restoration: Do We Know Enough? Restoration Ecology 34 8:219-229 35 Ellison AM, Farnsworth EJ. (1996) Anthropogenic disturbance of Caribbean mangrove 36 ecosystems: Past impacts, present trends and future predictions. Biotropica 28: 37 549-565. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) (2003) Status and 38 39 Trends in Mangrove Area Extent Worldwide. Forest Resources Division, Paris. 40 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) (2007) The world's mangroves 1980–2005. FAO Forestry Paper 153. FAO, Rome. 41 42 Field CD (1998) Rehabilitation of mangrove ecosystems: an overview. Mar. Pollut. Bull.

37:383-392 43

$\frac{1}{2}$	Gillis LG, Bouma TJ, Jones CG, van Katwijk MM, Nagelkerke I, et al. (2014) Potential for landscape-scale positive interactions among tropical marine ecosystems.
$\frac{2}{3}$	Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 503:289–303
4	Golbuy Y. Victor S. Wolanski E. Richmond RH (2003) Trapping of fine sediment in a
5	semi-enclosed bay. Palau. Micronesia. Estuarine. Coastal and Shelf Science
6	57:941-949
7	Granek EF. Ruttenberg BI (2007) Protective capacity of mangroves during tropical
8	storms: a case study from 'Wilma' and 'Gamma'. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 343:101–105
9	Greipsson S. Davy AJ (1996) Sand accretion and salinity as constraints on the
10	establishment of <i>Leymus arenarius</i> for land reclamation in Iceland. Annals of
11	Botany 78: 611-618
12	Hugenholtz CH. Wolfe SA. Walker IJ. Moorman BJ (2009) Spatial and temporal patterns
13	of aeolian sediment transport on an inland parabolic dune. Bigstick Sand Hills.
14	Saskatchewan, Canada, Geomorphology 105(1):158-170
15	loulu MM. Nagelkerken I. Dorenbosch M. Grol MG. Harborne AR. Kimirei IA Mgava YD
16	(2014) Mangrove habitat use by juvenile reef fish: meta-analysis reveals that tidal
17	regime matters more than biogeographic region. PloS one, 9(12), e114715.
18	Kaly UL, Eugelink G, Robertson AI (1997) Soil conditions in damaged North
19	Queensland mangroves. Estuaries 20:291 - 300
20	Kar R. Kar RK (2005) Mangroves can check the wrath of tsunami. Current Sci
21	(Bangalore) 88:675
22	Krauss KW, Allen JA, Cahoon DR. (2003) Differential rates of vertical accretion and
23	elevation change among aerial root types in Micronesian mangrove forests.
24	Estuarine, Coastal, and Shelf Science 56: 251-259
25	Krauss KW, McKee KL, Lovelock CE, Cahoon DR, Saintilan N, Reef R, Chen L (2014)
26	How mangrove forests adjust to rising sea level. New phytologist, 202(1), 19-34
27	Kukel CM, Hallberg RW, Oppenheimer M (2006) Coral reefs reduce tsumnami impact in
28	model simulations. Geiophysical Research Letters 33:23
29	Levin N, Kidron GJ, Ben- Dor E (2006) The spatial and temporal variability of sand
30	erosion across a stabilizing coastal dune field. Sedimentology 53(4): 697-715
31	McKee KL (2011) Biophysical controls on accretion and elevation change in Caribbean
32	mangrove ecosystems. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 1(4):475-483
33	McKee KL, Cahoon DR, Feller IC (2007) Caribbean mangroves adjust to rising sea level
34	through biotic controls on change in soil elevation. Global Ecology and
35	Biogeography 16(5):545-556
36	Moreno-Casasola P (1986) Sand movement as a factor in the distribution of plant
37	communities in a coastal dune system. Plant Ecology 65: 67-76
38	Mumby PJ, Edwards AJ, Arias-Gonzalez JE, Lindeman KC, Blackwell PG, Gall A,
39	Gorczynska MI, Harborne AR, Pescod CL, Renken H, Wabnitz CC, Llewellyn G
40	(2004) Mangroves enhance the biomass of coral reef fish communities in the
41	Caribbean. Nature 427:533-536
42	Rogers K, Saintilan N, Cahoon DR, (2005) Surface elevation dynamics in aregenerating
43	mangrove forest at Homebush Bay, Australia. Wetl. Ecol. Manage. 13, 587–598
44	Sheppard C, Dixon DJ, Gourlay M, Sheppard A, Payet R (2005) Coral mortality
45	increases wave energy reaching shores protected by reef flats: examples from the
46	Seychelles. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 64(2):223-234

- Spenceley, AP (1982) Sedimentation patterns in a mangal on Magnetic Island near
   Townsville, North Queensland, Australia. Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography
   3:100-107
- Stevenson NJ, Lewis RR, Burbridge PR (1999) Disused Shrimp Ponds and Mangrove
   Rehabilitation. In: Streever W (ed) An International Perspective on Wetland
   Rehabilitation. Springer Netherlands
- Stokes DJ, Healy TR, and Cooke PJ. 2009. Surface elevation changes and sediment
   characteristics of intertidal surfaces undergoing mangrove expansion and
- 9 mangrove removal, Waikaraka Estuary, Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand. 10 *International Journal of Ecology and Development* 12: 88-106.
- Thampanya U, Vermaat JE, Sinsakul S, Panapitukkul N (2006) Coastal erosion and
   mangrove progradation of Southern Thailand. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science
   68:75-85
- Valiela I, Bowen JL, York JK (2001) Mangrove Forests: One of the World's Threatened
   Major Tropical Environments. BioScience 51:807-815
- Victor Ś, Neth L, Golbuu Y, Wolanski E, Richmond RH (2006) Sedimentation in
- mangroves and coral reefs in a wet tropical island, Pohnpei, Micronesia. Estuarine,
   Coastal and Shelf Science 66:409-416
- 19 Williams N (2005) Tsunami insight to mangrove value. Current Biology 15:R73
- Wolanski E, Ridd P (1986) Tidal mixing and trapping in mangrove swamps. Estuarine,
   Coastal and Shelf Science 23:759-771
- Woodruffe CD (2002) Coasts: form, process and evolution. Cambridge University Press,
   Cambridge, England
- 24
- 25
- 26

Highlights:

- We compared sediment elevation changes in adjacent cleared and intact mangroves.
- Sediment elevation increased in intact areas and decreased in cleared areas.
- Cleared sites with discontinuous fringing reef experienced greater elevation loss.
- Condition of mangroves and of offshore habitat affect mangrove sediment elevation.

CER MAN