### Portland State University PDXScholar

**Geology Faculty Publications and Presentations** 

Geology

2020

# Deuterium excess and 170-excess variability in meteoric water across the Pacific Northwest, USA

John Bershaw Portland State University, bershaw@pdx.edu

Dougal Hansen University of Wisconsin-Madison

Andrew Schauer University of Washington - Seattle Campus

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/geology\_fac

Part of the Geology Commons, and the Meteorology Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

#### **Citation Details**

John Bershaw, Dougal D. Hansen & Andrew J. Schauer (2020) Deuterium excess and 170-excess variability in meteoric water across the Pacific Northwest, USA, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 72:1, 1-17, DOI: 10.1080/16000889.2020.1773722

This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Geology Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.





**Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology** 

ISSN: (Print) 1600-0889 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/zelb20

### Deuterium excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess variability in meteoric water across the Pacific Northwest, USA

John Bershaw, Dougal D. Hansen & Andrew J. Schauer

To cite this article: John Bershaw, Dougal D. Hansen & Andrew J. Schauer (2020) Deuterium excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess variability in meteoric water across the Pacific Northwest, USA, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 72:1, 1-17, DOI: 10.1080/16000889.2020.1773722

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/16000889.2020.1773722

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa
UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis
Group

6

View supplementary material 🖸

-	0

Published online: 12 Jun 2020.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗗

Article views: 316



View related articles 🗹



View Crossmark data 🗹



## Deuterium excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess variability in meteoric water across the Pacific Northwest, USA

By JOHN BERSHAW<sup>1\*</sup>, DOUGAL D. HANSEN<sup>2</sup>, and ANDREW J. SCHAUER<sup>3</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA; <sup>2</sup>University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA; <sup>3</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

(Manuscript Received 22 July 2019; in final form 17 May 2020)

#### ABSTRACT

High-precision triple oxygen isotope analysis of water has given rise to a novel second-order parameter, <sup>17</sup>O-excess (often denoted as  $\Delta^{17}$ O), which describes the deviation from a reference relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{17}$ O. This tracer, like deuterium excess (d-excess), is affected by kinetic fractionation (diffusion) during phase changes within the hydrologic cycle. However, unlike d-excess, <sup>17</sup>O-excess is present in paleowater proxy minerals and is not thought to vary significantly with temperature. This makes it a promising tool in paleoclimate research, particularly in relatively arid continental regions where traditional approaches have produced equivocal results. We present new  $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^{17}O$ , and  $\delta^{2}H$  data from stream waters along two east-west transects in the Pacific Northwest to explore the sensitivity of <sup>17</sup>O-excess to topography, climate, and moisture source. We find that discrepancies in d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess between the Olympic Mountains and Coast Range are consistent with distinct moisture source meteorology, inferred from air-mass back trajectory analysis. We suggest that vapor d-excess is affected by relative humidity and temperature at its oceanic source, whereas <sup>17</sup>O-excess vapor is controlled by relative humidity at its oceanic source. Like dexcess, 17O-excess is significantly affected by evaporation in the rain shadow of the Cascade Mountains, supporting its utility as an aridity indicator in paleoclimate studies where  $\delta^2 H$  data are unavailable. We use a raindrop evaporation model and local meteorology to investigate the effects of subcloud evaporation on dexcess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess along altitudinal transects. We find that subcloud evaporation explains much, but not all of observed increases in d-excess with elevation and a minor amount of <sup>17</sup>O-excess variation in the Olympic Mountains and Coast Range of Oregon.

#### **KEY POINTS**

- <sup>17</sup>O-excess correlates spatially with relative humidity across the Pacific Northwest, supporting its use as an aridity indicator in paleoclimate studies.
- Discrepancies in d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess between the Olympic Mountains and Oregon Coast Range suggest that their moisture source is different.
- Subcloud evaporation explains most of observed increases in d-excess with elevation, and a minor amount of <sup>17</sup>O-excess variation in the Olympic Mountains and Oregon Coast Range.

Keywords: stable isotopes, meteoric water, Pacific Northwest, climate, atmospheric circulation, hydrologic cycle

#### 1. Introduction

The isotopic composition of meteoric water ( $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^{2}H$ , and increasingly,  $\delta^{17}O$ ) has been used extensively to

UBLISHED BY THE INTERNATIONAL METEOROLOGIC

investigate the hydrologic cycle. This is because stable isotopes fractionate during phase changes in often predictable ways as a function of their environment (Dansgaard, 1964), enabling an understanding of the oceanic source of continental moisture (Gupta et al.,

1

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. e-mail: jbershaw@gmail.com

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



*Fig. 1.* Location map showing two east-west transects that were sampled across mountain ranges in the Pacific Northwest. The southern transect is at  $\sim$ 44.6° and crosses Oregon (red circles). The northern transect is at  $\sim$ 47.8° and crosses Washington (blue circles). Both transects dissect two major mountain ranges, the Coast Range and Cascade Mountains in Oregon and the Olympic and Cascade Mountains in Washington. Moisture is typically brought into the study area by westerlies with significant rainout on the windward (western) flank of the Coast Range, Olympic Mountains, and Cascade Mountains. A substantial rain shadow exists east of the Cascade Mountains. The background digital elevation map (DEM) is from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM).

2005), the relative contribution of glacier melt to surface water (Karim and Veizer, 2002; Fan et al., 2015), the amount of surface water recycling in arid to semi-arid regions (Bershaw et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016b; Li and Garzione, 2017), and mechanisms of precipitation (Rozanski et al., 1993; Risi et al., 2008; Rohrmann et al., 2014). In addition, stable isotope geochemistry has also been applied to the rock record using a variety of proxies for paleowater that constrain environmental change on geologic timescales, including paleoclimate and paleo-altimetry investigations (e.g. Garzione et al., 2009; Mulch et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Kent-Corson et al., 2009; Bershaw et al., 2010; Leier et al., 2013; Saylor and Horton, 2014; Kar et al., 2016).

Though stable isotopes of both oxygen ( $\delta^{18}$ O) and hydrogen ( $\delta^{2}$ H) have great utility, explanations of observed isotopic patterns are often underconstrained, resulting in non-unique interpretations (e.g. Ehlers and Poulsen, 2009; Galewsky, 2009; Botsyun et al., 2019). The combination of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{2}$ H, expressed as deuterium excess (d-excess), adds constraints to modern water isotope interpretation as d-excess is uniquely sensitive to relative humidity during evaporation, both at the moisture source (an ocean, sea, or continental surface water) and as precipitation falls through an unsaturated air column (subcloud evaporation) (Gat and Carmi, 1970; Tian et al., 2007; Froehlich et al., 2008; Uemura et al., 2008; Bershaw et al., 2012). However, d-excess is challenging to obtain from the rock record as most minerals used to reconstruct paleoclimate reflect either oxygen or hydrogen, not both. <sup>17</sup>O-excess (often denoted as  $\Delta^{17}$ O) has been shown to respond similarly to d-excess (Landais et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010), but only requires preservation of oxygen to measure, as it is a function of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{17}$ O. This is promising for paleoclimate research, as shown in preliminary studies of  $\delta^{17}$ O in sedimentary carbonates (Passey et al., 2014; Passey and Ji, 2019).

Although there are a growing number of studies on <sup>17</sup>O-excess patterns in polar ice and snow (Landais et al., 2008; Risi et al., 2010; Winkler et al., 2011; Landais et al., 2012; Schoenemann et al., 2014), meteoric water on the continental scale (Luz and Barkan, 2010; Li et al., 2015), and in tropical moisture (Landais et al., 2010), patterns of <sup>17</sup>O-excess along altitudinal transects across stark changes in climate are not well characterized and understood. Here, we present stable isotope data (d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess) from surface water along two altitudinal transects in the Pacific Northwest (Fig. 1) to investigate the relationship between isotopic parameters and both climate and topography. Our results suggest that subcloud evaporation impacts meteoric water d-excess (and <sup>17</sup>O-excess) up the windward side of mountain ranges,



*Fig.* 2. Plot of climate parameters versus longitude for both the Washington (A) and Oregon (B) transects. For the Olympic Mountains (Washington) and Coast Range (Oregon), precipitation amount increases to the highest elevations. For the Cascades, precipitation amount and relative humidity start to decrease before reaching the range crest. Climate information is derived from the PRISM 30-year normals at 800 m resolution. Relative humidity is estimated from mean annual temperature and mean annual dew point temperature using Eq. (4) in Supplementary Materials. Elevation information is derived from a 200 m resolution digital elevation model (DEM) from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). Each curve represents the average value along a 100 km swath centered along each transect at (A) 47.8° and (B) 44.5° latitudes.

consistent with altitudinal transects elsewhere (Froehlich et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2013; Bershaw, 2018). However, both d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess increase with elevation more than the modeling of subcloud evaporation predicts, raising the possibility that upper tropospheric and/or stratospheric water vapor is influencing the isotopic composition of meteoric water in the mountains (e.g. Bony et al., 2008; Blossey et al., 2010; Galewsky and Samuels-Crow, 2014; Samuels-Crow et al., 2014; Salmon et al., 2019). In addition, isotopic differences between transects suggest that the moisture source for precipitation in the Olympic Mountains is significantly different from that in the Coast Range of Oregon. Lastly, we show that both d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess are significantly affected by evaporation in the rain shadow of the Cascade Mountains, confirming that <sup>17</sup>O-excess can be used in paleoclimate research to constrain aridity.

#### 2. Background

#### 2.1. Climate

Pacific Northwest climate is shaped by its mid-latitude location in the northern hemisphere where westerlies dominate throughout most of the year. The climate is characterized as Mediterranean in that winters are wet and summers are dry. Precipitation seasonality is influenced by the Aleutian Low during the winter and Pacific High during the summer (Whiteman, 2000). In the wet season (winter), moist southwesterly air comes into contact with cooler polar air, often resulting in steady precipitation. When this moist air encounters the Pacific Northwest coast, it cools over the land surface. Precipitation is a combination of cyclonic convergence and convection, intensified by an orographic component on the windward (west) flanks of the Olympic Mountains (Washington), Coast Range (Oregon), and Cascade Mountains inland. Orographic enhancements on the windward side of the Cascades are thought to increase precipitation amounts by a factor of 2-3 (Hobbs et al., 1975; Dettinger et al., 2004). Precipitation maxima have been shown to follow smoothed topography (e.g. Pedgley, 1970), though erratic patterns can exist due to deep valleys and convective activity (Barry, 2008). In the Coast Range of Oregon and the Olympic Mountains, annual precipitation amounts increase with elevation up to the range crests. In the Cascade Mountains, annual precipitation amounts start to decrease before reaching the range crest (upwind to the west) (Fig. 2). This is consistent with models of orographic precipitation where the generation of condensation decreases exponentially with elevation, leaving the crests of large mountain ranges relatively dry (Roe, 2005). A rain shadow extends into the lee (east) of the Cascade Mountains associated with relatively arid conditions across the inland Pacific Northwest. The stark contrast in precipitation amount across the region is exemplified by the Hoh rain forest on the west side of the Olympic Mountains (Washington) which receives up to 400 cm annually, while Richland, a town located in the lee of the Cascade Mountains receives less than 20 cm of precipitation (Whiteman, 2000). By summer, the polar jet stream shifts northward and highpressure cells associated with the subtropical horse latitudes develop over the north Pacific and continental interior, resulting in persistently dry conditions from late June to September.

per		I
ces		
l pla		
cima		
4 de		
to		
own		
ie sh		
es ai		
valu		
5 <sup>17</sup> O		
sd. č		
ershe		
wat		
iated		
ISSOCI		
nd 8		
ite a		
ple s		
sam		
each		
for		
ters		
rame		
l pa		
ogica		
orol		
mete		
and		
ata,		
pic d		
sotol		
on, i		
mati	3).	
infor	(201	
ion	st al.	
Locat	ann é	
<i>I</i> . I	inem	
Table	Schoe	Í

					-	17 <b>0</b> arcase			I atituda	Ionaituda	Elevetion	нам	Basin	Dote	C:do of		
IJ	Type	$d^{18}O$	$d^{17}O$	db	d excess	$(D^{17}O)$	Latitude	Longitude	(Centroid)	(Centroid)	(m)	(m)	(km <sup>2</sup> )	sampled	range	State	Region
DHOW-06	Stream	-11.42	-6.0192	-84.9	6.5	27	44.4284	-121.7257	44.3986	-121.7980	1,030	1,363	57.4	10/4/2015	Leeward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-09	Stream	-10.90	-5.7488	-79.4	7.8	19	44.4121	-122.0431	44.3993	-122.0890	986	1,312	28.5	10/4/2015	Leeward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-11	Stream	-9.95	-5.2361	-71.9	7.7	31	44.4025	-122.2698	44.3925	-122.2810	467	815	1.1	10/4/2015	Windward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-13	Stream	-9.06	-4.7556	-64.0	8.5	38	44.4041	-122.4388	44.4422	-122.3710	307	794	54.1	10/4/2015	Windward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-14	Stream	-9.01	-4.7356	-64.6	7.5	32	44.3727	-122.6232	44.3276	-122.5270	233	740	134.5	10/4/2015	Windward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-15	Stream	-8.59	-4.5151	-63.0	5.7	28	44.3975	-122.7345	44.3641	-122.6930	167	360	29.8	10/4/2015	Windward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-18	Stream	-9.16	-4.8213	-65.6	7.7	27	44.6302	-123.0042	44.5724	-122.9480	69	93	25.8	10/4/2015	Windward	OR	Cascades
WA16-1	Stream	-9.11	-4.8131	-72.7	0.2	7	48.5298	-122.1367	48.5509	-122.1327	41	476	3.9	8/11/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA17-1	Stream	-12.51	-6.6008	-98.4	1.7	26	48.6316	-121.3253	48.8823	-121.0506	126	1,387	3,262.9	8/11/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA17-2	Stream	-11.94	-6.2997	-93.5	2.0	20	48.5876	-121.3953	48.6746	-121.4323	428	1,094	132.2	8/11/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA17-3	Stream	-10.67	-5.6200	-82.7	2.6	26	48.5239	-121.7135	48.5583	-121.6100	60	951	63.9	8/11/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA18-1	Stream	-11.25	-5.9326	-88.0	2.1	26	48.7173	-121.1500	48.7544	-121.2012	271	1,247	58.9	8/11/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA19-2	Stream	-14.08	-7.4335	-112.4	0.2	24	48.5992	-120.5348	48.5663	-120.6140	928	1,690	102.5	8/11/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
WA36-1	Stream	-14.37	-7.5834	-116.1	-1.1	29	48.3632	-119.7204	48.4014	-119.7181	722	1,067	20.0	8/11/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
WA36-2	Stream	-14.90	-7.8692	-120.5	-1.3	25	48.3669	-120.0064	48.3818	-119.9420	678	1,197	48.6	8/11/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
WA47-1	Stream	-9.90	-5.2279	-79.7	-0.5	11	47.8366	-121.6594	47.7716	-121.3378	<i>LT</i>	1,059	1,391.5	8/12/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA47-2	Stream	-10.77	-5.6843	-84.4	1.7	17	47.7280	-121.3384	47.8069	-121.2566	322	1,100	251.6	8/12/2015	Windward	WA	Cascades
WA48-1	Stream	-11.42	-6.0216	-90.2	1.2	26	47.7737	-120.9673	47.7650	-121.0439	812	1,315	72.0	8/12/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
WA48-2	Stream	-12.36	-6.5087	-97.3	1.6	36	47.7854	-120.8474	47.7550	-120.9820	665	1,372	184.8	8/12/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
WA48-3	Stream	-12.54	-6.6074	-99.6	0.7	32	47.6640	-120.7361	47.8859	-120.8785	583	1,287	1,738.1	8/12/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
WA50-1	Stream	-13.95	-7.3848	-117.6	-6.0	9	47.6282	-120.0344	47.6353	-120.0804	783	870	48.0	8/12/2015	Leeward	WA	Cascades
DHOW-07	Lake	-11.38	-5.9928	-84.8	6.3	35	44.4274	-121.7297	44.3984	-121.7984	1,039	1,364	57.1	10/4/2015	Leeward	OR	Cascades
DHOW-20	Stream	-7.07	-3.7247	-50.8	5.8	15	47.7110	-124.4143	47.7143	-124.4015	18	73	1.4	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-22	Stream	-10.18	-5.3597	-74.6	6.8	28	47.9541	-123.8332	47.9395	-123.8372	559	1,069	1.5	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-23	Stream	-10.40	-5.4708	-76.1	7.1	34	47.9505	-123.8119	47.9563	-123.8110	640	993	0.2	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-24	Stream	-10.66	-5.6048	-78.9	6.4	37	47.9425	-123.7836	47.9269	-123.7944	720	1,232	1.4	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-25	Stream	-11.06	-5.8247	-80.9	7.5	28	47.9345	-123.7690	47.9421	-123.7644	804	1,139	0.3	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-26	Stream	-11.28	-5.9334	-83.1	7.1	37	47.9321	-123.7488	47.9404	-123.7489	962	1,367	0.5	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-27	Stream	-11.08	-5.8364	-80.8	7.9	32	47.9245	-123.7345	47.9187	-123.7358	1,173	1,372	0.8	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-28	Stream	-11.16	-5.8857	-81.0	8.3	24	47.9154	-123.7300	47.9100	-123.7299	1,333	1,454	1.2	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-29	Lake	-10.18	-5.3646	-77.4	4.0	24	47.9113	-123.7320	47.9113	-123.7349	1,428	1,494	0.2	9/10/2016	Windward	WA	Coast
DHOW-38	Stream	-12.47	-6.5527	-92.4	7.4	50	47.7205	-123.2778	47.7335	-123.2833	760	1,594	0.2	9/27/2016	Leeward	WA	Coast
DHOW-40	Stream	-10.85	-5.7042	-79.7	7.1	38	47.7070	-123.3145	47.7085	-123.3225	1,031	1,518	0.7	9/27/2016	Leeward	WA	Coast
DHOW-43	Stream	-12.31	-6.4831	-90.5	8.0	36	47.7417	-123.0546	47.7531	-123.0562	202	666	0.4	9/27/2016	Leeward	WA	Coast
DHOW-44	Stream	-11.22	-5.9061	-82.1	7.7	35	47.7191	-122.9432	47.7466	-122.9726	92	769	21.3	9/27/2016	Leeward	WA	Coast
JBOW-16	Stream	-8.35	-4.3865	-56.1	10.7	32	44.5039	-123.5639	44.5049	-123.5640	918	1,022	0.2	8/21/2015	Windward	OR	Coast
																0	(pənui tu

(Continued)	
Ι.	
able	

													Basin				
					-	<sup>17</sup> O-excess			Latitude	Longitude ]	Elevation	MBH	area	Date	Side of		
D	Type	d <sup>18</sup> O	d <sup>17</sup> O	dD	d excess	(D <sup>17</sup> 0)	Latitude	Longitude	(Centroid)	(Centroid)	(m)	(m)	$(\mathrm{km}^2)$	sampled	range	State	Region
JBOW-17	Stream	-8.19	-4.2944	-54.9	10.6	41	44.4742	-123.5286	44.4754	-123.5280	672	803	0.3	8/21/2015 1	Windward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-20	Stream	-7.83	-4.1055	-52.5	10.2	37	44.3850	-123.6208	44.4066	-123.6320	85	403	16.3	8/21/2015 1	Windward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-21	Stream	-7.70	-4.0384	-51.9	9.7	37	44.3786	-123.7306	44.4339	-123.7290	54	410	70.7	8/21/2015 1	Windward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-22	Stream	-6.76	-3.5460	-45.0	9.1	28	44.4056	-123.9494	44.3844	-123.9650	9	215	11.9	8/21/2015 1	Windward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-39	Stream	-8.35	-4.3893	-57.9	8.9	30	44.4569	-123.3372	44.4444	-123.4047	85	287	58.1	8/26/2015 1	Leeward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-40	Stream	-8.09	-4.2852	-55.8	9.0	-3	44.4878	-123.4408	44.4730	-123.4760	133	333	23.3	8/26/2015 1	Leeward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-41	Stream	-8.29	-4.3493	-57.9	8.4	34	44.5003	-123.4394	44.5143	-123.5040	121	460	34.5	8/26/2015 1	Leeward	OR (	Coast
JBOW-42	Stream	-6.83	-3.5801	-51.3	3.3	30	44.5400	-123.3867	44.5989	-123.5124	92	300	275.6	8/26/2015 1	Leeward	OR (	Coast
DHOW-30	Lake	-10.20	-5.3713	-77.8	3.8	27	47.9109	-123.7332	47.9108	-123.7362	1,418	1,528	0.1	9/10/2016	Windward	WA (	Coast
OR431	Stream	-12.64	-6.6702	-103.4	-2.3	21	45.3466	-118.2220	45.1948	-118.3800	905	1,421	1,545.0	8/13/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Eastern
OR432	Stream	-14.19	-7.4921	-109.2	4.4	27	45.6199	-117.7280	45.4084	-117.4330	677	1,587	2,385.2	8/13/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Eastern
OR441	Stream	-15.12	-7.9762	-118.3	2.7	37	45.4199	-117.2704	45.3166	-117.1860	2,298	1,700	380.3	8/13/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Eastern
<b>OR</b> 571	Stream	-16.22	-8.5604	-124.2	5.5	36	45.1816	-117.0539	45.1756	-117.1210	1,681	2,267	38.8	8/13/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Eastern
<b>OR</b> 572	Stream	-14.87	-7.8460	-112.5	6.5	32	45.1509	-116.8779	45.1161	-117.0990	1,219	1,972	243.3	8/13/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Sastern
<b>OR</b> 573	Stream	-15.21	-8.0293	-116.2	5.5	33	45.0082	-116.9107	45.0430	-117.0140	975	1,735	7.9.7	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Bastern
OR631	Stream	-13.33	-7.0342	-105.1	1.5	29	44.4137	-120.4961	44.4633	-120.4230	1,229	1,485	82.8	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Bastern
OR641	Stream	-8.82	-4.6432	-86.2	-15.6	26	44.5328	-119.8806	44.4994	-120.0090	1,142	1,439	233.5	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Bastern
OR651	Stream	-13.46	-7.1019	-108.9	-1.2	30	44.4662	-119.5327	44.1769	-119.4310	714	1,459	1,573.3	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Eastern
OR661	Stream	-13.84	-7.3041	-109.1	1.6	27	44.4177	-118.9090	44.4185	-118.6790	959	1,525	1,012.7	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Bastern
<b>OR</b> 671	Stream	-12.87	-6.7986	-104.4	-1.5	18	44.6649	-117.9638	44.7408	-118.1360	1,166	1,622	449.6	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Bastern
<b>OR</b> 672	Stream	-15.44	-8.1584	-118.1	5.5	25	44.5852	-118.5033	44.5058	-118.4580	1,253	1,660	95.1	8/14/2015 1	Leeward	OR	Bastern
WA22-2	Stream	-14.23	-7.5082	-117.4	-3.6	29	48.6589	-118.8471	48.6706	-118.9015	947	1,292	86.0	8/10/2015 I	Leeward	WA H	Bastern
WA25-1	Stream	-13.40	-7.0812	-113.0	-5.8	18	48.5108	-117.2771	47.4523	-114.3130	624	1,506 6	4,991.0	8/10/2015 I	Leeward	WA H	Bastern
WA67-1	Stream	-13.94	-7.3763	-116.4	-4.9	8	47.4460	-118.1859	47.4831	-118.1714	671	701	41.9	8/13/2015 1	Leeward	WA H	Bastern
WA55-2	Lake	-12.48	-6.5846	-104.9	-5.0	26	47.9039	-117.3435	48.0491	-117.1649	826	816	1,155.4	8/12/2015 1	Leeward	WA H	Bastern
JBOW-26	Ocean	0.05	0.0367	-2.4	-2.8	10	42.8331	-124.5564			0	0		8/22/2015		OR	

#### 2.2. Notation and isotope systematics

Changes in the ratio of water isotopes  $(R_x)$  are expressed with respect to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water  $(R_{VSMOW})$  in delta notation ( $\delta$ ) and expressed as per mil  $(\%_{0})$ :

$$\delta = \left(\frac{R_x}{R_{VSMOW}} - 1\right) * 1000. \tag{1}$$

The relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^2$ H for meteoric waters is defined as the global meteoric water line (GMWL) and defined by Craig (1961) as:

$$\delta^2 H = 8 * \delta^{18} O + 10.$$
 (2)

The y-intercept of this line is referred to as d-excess, which can vary significantly based on local environmental conditions, such as the temperature of condensation, the amount of subcloud evaporation during rainout, and the degree of local moisture recycling (Jouzel and Merlivat, 1984; Rank and Papesch, 2005; Gat and Airey, 2006; Liotta et al., 2006; Froehlich et al., 2008; Kurita and Yamada, 2008; Cui et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016a). A direct relationship between d-excess and elevation is observed in the Himalaya and on the windward side of mountains generally, where high elevations are associated with relatively high d-excess values of surface water (Froehlich et al., 2008; Bershaw et al., 2012; Kong et al., 2013). This is largely due to greater degrees of subcloud evaporation at lower elevations as raindrops fall through a relatively tall and warm air column, decreasing d-excess values of precipitation at low elevations. High d-excess values associated with vapor from the relatively dry upper troposphere may also contribute (Galewsky and Samuels-Crow, 2014).

The relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{17}$ O is often shown in natural log space, where meteoric waters generally plot along a slope ( $\lambda$ ) of 0.528 (Luz and Barkan, 2010). This is slightly less than the slope of water undergoing pure equilibrium fractionation (0.529 ± 0.001) (Barkan and Luz, 2005) and higher than the slope of water vapor diffusing through air (0.5185 ± 0.0003) (Barkan and Luz, 2007). Similar to the GMWL for  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{2}$ H, the reference line for  $\delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{18}$ O in meteoric waters defines an average <sup>17</sup>O-excess of 37 ppm (per meg, 0.001‰, or 10<sup>-6</sup>) and is defined by Luz and Barkan (2010) as:

$$\Delta^{17} \mathbf{O} = \ln\left(\frac{\delta^{17} \mathbf{O}}{1000} + 1\right) - 0.528 \ln\left(\frac{\delta^{18} \mathbf{O}}{1000} + 1\right).$$
(3)

Miller (2018) suggests that the slope is lower for meteoric waters outside Antarctica ( $\lambda = 0.527$ ), which would increase <sup>17</sup>O-excess values calculated using Eq. (3) (e.g. Uechi and Uemura, 2019). Like d-excess, <sup>17</sup>O-excess is thought to be affected by relative humidity during evaporation (Landais

et al., 2010; Li et al., 2015), but not as sensitive as d-excess to temperature (Angert et al., 2004).

#### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Water sampling and analysis

Our strategy was to collect stream water along two east-west transects in the Pacific Northwest to investigate the relationship between stable isotopes ( $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^{17}O$ , and  $\delta^{2}$ H), climate, and topography on the windward and leeward side of mountain ranges (Fig. 1). We targeted stream waters as these have been shown to integrate numerous precipitation events, similar to what is observed in long-term precipitation records (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). We followed the approach of Garzione et al. (2000) by sampling small catchments (<100 km<sup>2</sup>) when possible in order to minimize the elevation range and catchment area represented by each water sample. However, in arid regions where active tributaries are scarce in summer months, water from larger rivers was collected. In total, 58 stream water samples, three lake samples, and one ocean water sample were collected in water-tight plastic containers. Samples were kept refrigerated prior to isotopic analysis.

High-precision triple water-isotope ratios were measured at the University of Washington's Isolab with a Picarro L2140-i, a cavity ring-down spectroscopy analyzer with laser-current-tuned cavity resonance (Steig et al., 2014). Data were normalized to the VSMOW-SLAP scale (Schoenemann et al., 2013), which assumes SLAP values of  $\delta^{18}O = -55.5\%$ , <sup>17</sup>O-excess = 0 ppm, and gives a reference slope ( $\lambda$ ) between  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta^{17}O$  in natural log space of 0.528. Samples were measured in triplicate according to Schauer et al. (2016) and values presented are an average of these. Measurement precision for  $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^{2}H$ , d-excess,  $\delta^{17}O$ , and <sup>17</sup>O-excess is 0.07‰, 0.42‰, 0.46‰, 0.04‰, and 8 ppm respectively, where precision is the root mean square error (Schauer et al., 2016).

#### 4. Results

We present stream water  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta^{17}$ O, and  $\delta^{2}$ H values for two different east–west transects in the Pacific Northwest. One spans northern Oregon (45°N) from the Pacific Ocean east to Idaho (~600 km inland) and includes 28 stream water samples and one lake sample. The second is a similar length transect east–west, but across north-central Washington (48°N) and includes samples from 30 streams and two lakes. We also sampled the ocean once on the central Oregon Coast (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

 $\delta^{18}$ O and d-excess values generally become more negative inland (eastward) with averages of -9.2% and 7.2%



*Fig. 3.* (A) Plot of  $\delta^{18}$ O versus  $\delta^{2}$ H for all samples analyzed in this study. Circles are stream samples and squares are lake samples. Solid symbols are from west of the Cascades Mountains and open symbols are from east of the Cascades. The slope of the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) (solid) is slightly higher than the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) (dashed) at 8.86 versus 8, respectively. Significant evaporation in the rain shadow of the Cascade Mountains (east) lowering d-excess in more distilled (continental) samples is likely the primary cause as the LMWL for Oregon Coast Range and Olympic Mountain samples (not shown) has a slope similar to the GMWL (y=8.1x+9.3;  $R^2 = 0.99$ ). (B) Plot of  $\ln(\delta^{18}O/1000+1)$  and  $\ln(\delta^{17}O/1000+1)$  for all samples analyzed in this study. This is the same sample population shown in (A). The mass-dependent fractionation coefficient ( $\lambda$ ) for all samples is 0.5280 ± 0.0005. This is close to the theoretically determined coefficient for equilibrium fractionation of water ( $\lambda = 0.529 \pm 0.001$ ) (Barkan and Luz, 2005) and the same as that observed in meteoric waters ( $\lambda = 0.528$ ) (Meijer and Li, 1998; Luz and Barkan, 2010). Uncertainty in  $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^{2}H$ , d-excess,  $\delta^{17}O$ , and  ${}^{17}O$ -excess are  $0.07_{00}^{\circ}$ ,  $0.46_{00}^{\circ}$ ,  $0.04_{00}^{\circ}$ , and 8 ppm, respectively.

respectively for all Coast Range samples, compared to averages of -13.8% and -0.4% respectively for all samples east of the Cascades Mountains. The behavior of <sup>17</sup>O-excess is more varied, with relatively high values in the Coast Range (average = 30 ppm), lower values in the Cascades (average = 25 ppm), and similar to slightly higher values east of the Cascades (average = 26 ppm).

The local meteoric water line (LMWL) for all samples has a slope of 8.9 ( $R^2 = 0.96$ ) (Fig. 3A), which is higher than the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) slope of 8. When only stream water samples collected from east of the Cascades (rain shadow) are considered, the LMWL slope is 5.2 ( $R^2 = 0.77$ ) with an average d-excess value of -0.4% (Fig. 4A). When only Coast Range (Oregon) and Olympic Mountains (Washington) stream samples are considered, the LMWL slope is 8.1 ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) with an average d-excess value of 7.8% (not shown). These differences suggest that subcloud and surface water evaporation east of the Cascades (rain shadow) is the likely cause of a LMWL slope > 8 for all samples, as eastern samples with lower  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{2}$ H values plot below the GMWL (lower d-excess).

The oxygen isotope fractionation coefficient ( $\lambda$ ) for all stream and lake samples analyzed in this study is 0.5280 ± 0.0005 (Fig. 3B). This is close to the theoretically determined coefficient for equilibrium fractionation of

water ( $\lambda = 0.529 \pm 0.001$ ) (Barkan and Luz, 2005) and the same as that observed in meteoric waters generally ( $\lambda = 0.528$ ) (Meijer and Li, 1998; Luz and Barkan, 2010).

#### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Isotopic lapse rates

An isotopic lapse rate (change in  $\delta^{18}$ O of meteoric water with elevation) is observed on the windward sides of both the Coast Range and Cascade Mountains for stream samples of  $-3.15 \frac{10}{100}$  km ( $R^2 = 0.7$ ) (Fig. 5). This is similar to the average for mountains generally which is -2.8%/km (Poage and Chamberlain, 2001) and indicates that Rayleigh distillation of air masses is occurring, creating an 'altitude effect', or inverse relationship between  $\delta^{18}$ O and elevation, for ranges in the Pacific Northwest (e.g. Rozanski et al., 1993). However, we do not observe a significant relationship between  $\delta^{18}O$  and elevation east of the Cascade Mountains ( $R^2 = 0.17$ ), likely because evaporation is significantly affecting the isotopic composition of sampled surface water at relatively high elevations. Thus, the modern isotopic lapse rate from the Coast Range and Cascade Mountains applied to the isotopic composition of paleowater proxies from the rain shadow is likely to predict erroneously low elevations due to evaporative enrichment.



*Fig.* 4. (A) Plot of  $\delta^{18}$ O versus  $\delta^{2}$ H for stream samples in the rain shadow (east) of the Cascade Mountains in Washington (> -119° longitude) and Oregon (> -121° longitude). The slope, *m*, of the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) is significantly lower than the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) (*m* = 5.15 versus *m* = 8), reflecting the influence of evaporation under relatively arid conditions. (B) Plot of  $\ln(\delta^{18}O/1000 + 1)$  and  $\ln(\delta^{17}O/1000 + 1)$  for the same sample population as (A). The slope ( $\lambda$ ) is 0.5235, significantly lower than that observed for meteoric water globally ( $\lambda$  = 0.528) (Luz and Barkan, 2010). Sample OR641 has been excluded from plots due to anomalously high  $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^{17}O$ , and  $\delta^{2}H$  values. Uncertainty in  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta^{2}H$  values are less than 0.07% and 0.42%, respectively.

#### 5.2. Subcloud evaporation

A positive relationship between d-excess and elevation along the windward side of mountain ranges has been observed globally and is interpreted, in part, as a decrease in subcloud evaporation of raindrops as elevation increases (Froehlich et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2013; Bershaw, 2018). Relatively low temperatures of condensation at high elevations also cause an increase in d-excess of precipitation ( $\sim 0.3\%$ /-1°C) based on empirically derived fractionation experiments (Majoube, 1971). High d-excess values in vapor from the relatively dry upper troposphere may also contribute (e.g. Bony et al., 2008; Blossey et al., 2010; Galewsky and Samuels-Crow, 2014; Samuels-Crow et al., 2014; Salmon et al., 2019).

On the windward side of the Olympic Mountains and Coast Range of Oregon, we observe this positive relationship between d-excess and elevation (Fig. 6). Linear regressions through these two sample populations give  $R^2$ values of 0.6 (p value = 0.02) and 0.8 (p value = 0.04), respectively. For the Olympics, if the lowest elevation sample is removed (DHOW-20), the  $R^2$  decreases to 0.3. A lower correlation coefficient in the Olympic Mountains relative to the Coast Range may be due to larger amounts of high elevation snow. The crest of the Olympic Mountains receives annual average snowfall of ~10 m while precipitation in the central Coast Range of Oregon occurs largely as rain due to its lower elevations (Fig. 2). Falling snow is not affected by subcloud evaporation, but its meltwater contributes significantly to streams. That said, linear regressions through each transect have similar slopes (Fig. 6) suggesting that processes responsible for increases in d-excess with elevation are similar for both ranges.

We estimate the effect of subcloud evaporation on d-excess across the windward side of the Coast Range in Oregon and Olympic Mountains in Washington using a model of subcloud evaporation based on local meteorology. Estimated d-excess values of precipitation at the cloud base (unaffected by subcloud evaporation) are shown as open symbols in Fig. 6, suggesting that the majority of d-excess changes with elevation can be explained by subcloud evaporation, consistent with the windward side of ranges elsewhere (Bershaw, 2018). Generally, subcloud evaporation decreases with elevation as the average surface air temperature decreases and relative humidity of the unsaturated air column increases. Our modeled change in raindrop d-excess as a function of the amount evaporated is about -1%/1%, consistent with studies in the Tian Shan of Central Asia (Kong et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016b). Input parameters are generally consistent with modeling of d-excess in the Alps (Froehlich et al., 2008) and the Tian Shan of China (Kong et al., 2013). Please refer to the Supplementary Materials for a description of model assumptions and equations.

Unlike d-excess, we do not observe a clear relationship between <sup>17</sup>O-excess and elevation for the windward Olympic Mountains and Coast Range of Oregon (Fig. 7). Linear regressions through these two sample populations



*Fig.* 5. Plot of  $\delta^{18}$ O versus elevation for the windward side of the Olympic Mountains (solid circles) and Cascades (solid triangles) in Washington, and the Coast Range (open circles) and Cascades (open triangles) in Oregon. Note that elevation is on the *x*-axis and  $\delta^{18}$ O is on the *y*-axis. A linear regression through these data shows an isotopic lapse rate of -3.2%/km of elevation gain, similar to the global average of -2.8%/km (Poage and Chamberlain, 2001). Elevation is reported as mean basin hypsometry and is derived from a DEM from the SRTM at ~200 m resolution.

give  $R^2$  values of 0.5 (*p* value = 0.05) and 0.1 (*p* value = 0.65), respectively. Scatter in <sup>17</sup>O-excess may simply be due to analytical uncertainty, which has a lower signal-to-noise ratio relative to d-excess. That said, along the Olympic Mountains transect (Fig. 7A), it appears that higher elevation samples have higher <sup>17</sup>O-excess values than the low elevation sample in excess of analytical uncertainty (±8 ppm), similar to trends in d-excess. More data are needed as this observation is based on one low elevation sample and the Oregon Coast Range transect does not show a clear pattern (Fig. 7B).

Previous studies have suggested that <sup>17</sup>O-excess is affected by subcloud evaporation on the continental scale (Li et al., 2015) and in the tropics (Landais et al., 2010). We use this same subcloud evaporation model described above to predict <sup>17</sup>O-excess of unevaporated precipitation at the cloud base (open symbols in Fig. 7). The model predicts a change of -1 ppm/1% of raindrop evaporated fraction. Unlike d-excess, the effect of subcloud evaporation on <sup>17</sup>O-excess is minor relative to variation with elevation. This suggests that <sup>17</sup>O-excess changes with elevation are more likely related to analytical uncertainty or are influenced by upper tropospheric and/or lower stratospheric <sup>17</sup>O (e.g. Bechtel and Zahn, 2003; Webster and Heymsfield,



*Fig. 6.* Plot of d-excess versus elevation for samples from the windward side of the (A) Olympic Mountains (triangles) and (B) Oregon Coast Range (circles). Right-angle arrows show where the cloud base is inferred to intersect with the ground surface, above which there is no subcloud evaporation (relative humidity is 100%). We estimate this is roughly  $\sim$ 750 m for the Olympics and 500 m for the Oregon Coast Range based on the elevation of maximum precipitation amount (Fig. 2). The open symbols show d-excess values of precipitation at the cloud base estimated from a subcloud evaporation model. Subcloud evaporation explains much of the difference between high and low elevation samples. Linear regressions through each transect have similar slopes suggesting that there is a similar reduction in subcloud evaporation with elevation for both ranges. The difference in *y*-intercept is likely due to unique moisture provenance for each transect as shown in Fig. 9. Elevation is reported as mean basin hypsometry and is derived from a DEM from the SRTM at ~200 m resolution. Uncertainty in d-excess values is  $\pm 0.46_{00}^{\prime}$ .



*Fig.* 7. Plot of <sup>17</sup>O-excess versus elevation for samples from the windward side of (A) the Olympic Mountains (triangles) and (B) Oregon Coast Range (circles). Right-angle arrows show where the cloud base is inferred to intersect with the ground surface, above which there is no subcloud evaporation (relative humidity is 100%). This is roughly  $\sim$ 750 m for the Olympics and 500 m for the Oregon Coast Range based on the elevation of maximum precipitation amount (Fig. 2). The open symbols show <sup>17</sup>O-excess values of precipitation at the cloud base estimated from a subcloud evaporation model. Though there is significant scatter, the disparity between low- and high-elevation samples does not appear to be explained by subcloud evaporation alone. Elevation is reported as mean basin hypsometry and is derived from a DEM from the SRTM at  $\sim$ 200 m resolution. Uncertainty in <sup>17</sup>O-excess values is ±8 ppm.



*Fig. 8.* Plot of d-excess (A) and <sup>17</sup>O-excess (B) versus relative humidity (*H*) for samples from the windward sides of the Coast Range and Cascades. Circles are colored by elevation which is reported as mean basin hypsometry (MBH). Two anomalous samples are not included in this regression. They are DHOW-20 from Ruby Beach, whose PRISM-derived *H* is anomalously high, likely because it is right next to the ocean, and WA16-1, a shallow, slow moving, and likely highly evaporated stream. Uncertainty in d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess values are less than  $0.46_{00}^{\circ}$  and 8 ppm, respectively.

2003; Lin et al., 2013; Winkler et al., 2013), possibly through tropopause folding events (Shapiro, 1980).

In contrast to the Olympic Mountains and Coast Range of Oregon, the Cascade Mountains in Washington and Oregon do not show a significant relationship between d-excess or <sup>17</sup>O-excess and elevation ( $R^2 = 0.18$  and 0.17, respectively). We speculate this is because high elevations in the Cascades are relatively dry, resulting in evaporation at both low and high elevations, with a wet band of precipitation in-between (Fig. 2). At mid-

latitudes, condensation decreases exponentially with surface height. The decrease in water content of an air mass with height (a function of vapor saturation pressure) is a natural log of temperature as defined by the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, resulting in lower moisture removal rates at higher elevations and thus relatively dry mountain tops (Roe, 2005). This is observed in larger mountain ranges and is a reason why precipitation rates maximize at lower elevations on their windward slopes. The effect of relatively dry conditions at high and low elevations in the Cascade Mountains is seen in the plot of relative humidity versus d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess for windward Olympic, Coast Range, and Cascade Mountain samples (Fig. 8). The relationship between relative humidity and d-excess (1% per 0.76%) is within the range observed for precipitation in the Tian Shan of Central Asia (1% per 0.1-1.0%) (Wang et al., 2016b). Though we suspect that evaporation at lower elevations is likely due to subcloud evaporation, the nature of high elevation evaporation in the Cascade Mountains could be a combination of subcloud and surface water evaporation, in addition to sublimation of snow (Lechler and Niemi, 2012).

#### 5.3. Moisture source

Though both the windward Olympic Mountains and Coast Range exhibit similar slopes in a plot of d-excess versus elevation (Fig. 6), the estimated d-excess values at the cloud base  $(d_c)$  differ by ~2.5%, significantly more than analytical uncertainty in d-excess (0.46%). At low elevation coastal sites, the d-excess value of precipitation at the cloud base  $(d_c)$  is estimated as 7.3% in the Olympic Mountains and 9.8% along the Oregon Coast Range transect. We investigate whether relatively low d-excess values in Olympic mountain water samples compared to Oregon Coast Range samples for the same elevations may be explained by differences in relative humidity at their oceanic source (e.g. Rozanski et al., 1993; Jouzel et al., 1997; Pfahl and Sodemann, 2014). A difference map of air mass back trajectories computed every 6-h over winter months (Oct-Mar) during the years of sampling (2015-2016) shows variation in moisture source between the two transects (Fig. 9). Refer to the Supplementary Materials for a detailed description of back-trajectory calculations. Some stream water sampled in the Olympic Mountains originated to the northwest in Canada where relative humidity is  $\sim 90\%$ , whereas many back trajectories for the Coast Range in Oregon originated to the southwest where relative humidity is lower, averaging  $\sim 80\%$ . The difference in d-excess between the two transects is consistent with observations elsewhere that d-excess negatively correlates with relative humidity for vapor above its oceanic source (e.g. Gat and Carmi, 1970; Merlivat and Jouzel, 1979; Benetti et al., 2014). Colder temperatures of evaporation to the northwest of the study area also promote lower d-excess values in Olympic Mountain water vapor relative to the Oregon Coast Range. Surface air temperatures averaged over the same time period (Oct–Mar during 2015–2016) are about 10 °C colder in northwest Canada compared to the ocean southwest of Oregon (NOAA/NCDC, 2010).

For <sup>17</sup>O-excess, the subcloud evaporation model predicts a difference between transects in cloud base precipitation ( $\Delta^{17}O_c$ ) of ~11 ppm (Fig. 7). At low elevation coastal sites, the <sup>17</sup>O-excess value of precipitation at the cloud base ( $\Delta^{17}O_c$ ) is estimated as 17 ppm in the Olympic Mountains and 28 ppm along the Oregon Coast Range transect. Unlike d-excess, we speculate that this variation is a function of differences in relative humidity at the source, not temperature, as changes in <sup>17</sup>O-excess related to the temperature of mass-dependent equilibrium fractionation are minimal (Angert et al., 2004; Landais et al., 2008).

#### 5.4. Rain shadow effects

East of the Cascade Mountains in Washington and Oregon, the isotopic composition of stream water is significantly affected by evaporation under semi-arid conditions as relative humidity drops over 15% (Fig. 2). The rain shadow sample population is defined as watersheds with a centroid that is  $> -119^{\circ}$  longitude (Washington) and  $> -121^{\circ}$  longitude (Oregon). Evaporation is shown in the region's LMWL which has a slope (5.15), much lower than the global average (m=8) (Fig. 4A). It is also shown in a plot of  $\ln(\delta^{18}O/1000 + 1)$  versus  $\ln(\delta^{17}O/1000 + 1)$ 1000 + 1) for the same sample population, which has a slope ( $\lambda$ ) of 0.5235 (Fig. 4B), less than the average of meteoric water ( $\lambda = 0.528$ ) (Luz and Barkan, 2010). This is evidence that  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta^{17}$ O, and  $\delta^{2}$ H are significantly affected by evaporation under a relatively dry climate in the rain shadow of the Cascade Mountains. That said, <sup>17</sup>O-excess and d-excess show weak to non-existent relationships with relative humidity in the rain shadow ( $R^2 =$ 0.6 and 0.2, respectively, not shown). High <sup>17</sup>O-excess and d-excess values are generally associated with low relative humidity in the rain shadow, the opposite of what we observe to the west of the Cascades (the latter shown in Fig. 8). Interestingly, both isotopic parameters show a weak positive correlation with elevation in the rain shadow (Fig. 10), suggesting subcloud evaporation of raindrops is affecting low-elevation samples more than high. Though high elevations are dry, subcloud evaporation is likely minimal considering average temperatures



*Fig. 9.* Surface relative humidity during winter months (Oct–Mar) with an overlay of the difference between air parcel provenance for the Olympic Mountains (blue circle and solid contours) compared to the Oregon Coast Range (red circle and dashed contours). Relatively low d-excess values in Olympic mountain water samples compared to Oregon Coast Range samples for the same elevations (Fig. 6) are likely due to comparatively high relative humidity (*H*) over its source area (~90% *H* over SW British Columbia compared to ~80% *H* over the Pacific Ocean offshore California). A similar pattern is observed in <sup>17</sup>O-excess with relatively low values in the Olympic Mountains relative to the Oregon Coast Range (Fig. 7). Refer to the Supplementary Materials section for details on how this map was created including parameters for HYSPLIT back trajectory analysis. Relative humidity is derived from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis dataset for years 2015–2016 (Kalnay et al., 1996).



*Fig. 10.* Plot of (A) d-excess and (B) <sup>17</sup>O-excess versus elevation for samples from the leeward (east) side of the Cascade Mountains in Washington (triangles) and Oregon (circles). Eastern population is defined as sample watersheds with a centroid that is  $>-119^{\circ}$  longitude (Washington) and  $>-121^{\circ}$  longitude (Oregon). Elevation is reported as mean basin hypometry and is derived from a DEM from the SRTM at  $\sim$ 200 m resolution. Uncertainty in d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess values are less than 0.46% and 8 ppm respectively.

during winter months at high elevations are near freezing. Upper tropospheric and/or stratospheric vapor may also be influencing the isotopic composition of precipitation at high elevations (e.g. Bony et al., 2008; Blossey et al., 2010; Galewsky and Samuels-Crow, 2014; Samuels-Crow et al., 2014; Salmon et al., 2019).

It is also likely that evaporation of water from the ground surface is significantly affecting d-excess and <sup>17</sup>Oexcess in eastern Washington and Oregon, particularly at low elevations. The closest Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) site east of the Cascades is Orem, Utah, which exhibits a LMWL slope of m = 6.9 ( $R^2 =$ 0.99) (IAEA/WMO, 2018). Because GNIP sites collect precipitation samples, evaporation occurs while raindrops are falling through the air column, not from the ground. Our stream water samples from eastern Washington and Oregon have a slope that is significantly lower than this (m = 5.15) (Fig. 4A). Assuming that the GMWL represents a minimally evaporated end member (m=8), the relative difference in slopes suggests that on average, the majority of evaporation in the Cascade Mountain rain shadow is occurring on the surface ( $\sim 60\%$ ), sometime after the raindrop hits the ground.

#### 6. Conclusions

Second-order isotopic parameters, d-excess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess from surface waters collected across the Pacific Northwest show the influence of kinetic fractionation (diffusion) during evaporation at all stages of the hydrologic cycle. Differences in relative humidity at the oceanic sources of Olympic Mountain (Washington) and Coast Range (Oregon) stream water are reflected in dexcess and <sup>17</sup>O-excess values. Our subcloud evaporation model for falling raindrops largely accounts for changes in d-excess with elevation on the windward sides of these ranges. Our model of subcloud evaporation does not explain observed variation in <sup>17</sup>O-excess with elevation, possibly due to analytical uncertainty or the influence of upper tropospheric and/or stratospheric vapor at high elevations. From the Cascade Mountains eastward, the relationship between d-excess, <sup>17</sup>O-excess, and elevation is weak, possibly because topography exceeds the moisture scale height, resulting in dry air at high elevations (Roe, 2005). In the Cascade Mountain rain shadow across eastern Washington and Oregon, subcloud and surface water evaporation is significant. The observation that <sup>17</sup>Oexcess is significantly affected by evaporation in the lee of the Cascade Mountains confirms its utility as a proxy for aridity in paleoclimate research.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### References

- Angert, A., Cappa, C. D. and DePaolo, D. J. 2004. Kinetic <sup>17</sup>O effects in the hydrologic cycle: Indirect evidence and implications. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 68, 3487–3495. doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2004.02.010
- Barkan, E. and Luz, B. 2005. High precision measurements of <sup>17</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O ratios in H<sub>2</sub>O. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 19, 3737–3742. doi:10.1002/rcm.2250
- Barkan, E. and Luz, B. 2007. Diffusivity fractionations of H2(16)O/H2(17)O and H2(16)O/H2(18)O in air and their implications for isotope hydrology. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 21, 2999–3005. doi:10.1002/rcm.3180
- Barry, R. 2008. Mountain Weather and Climate. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 506.
- Bechtel, C. and Zahn, A. 2003. The isotope composition of water vapour: A powerful tool to study transport and chemistry of middle atmospheric water vapour. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* 3, 3991–4036. doi:10.5194/acpd-3-3991-2003
- Benetti, M., Reverdin, G., Pierre, C., Merlivat, L., Risi, C. and co-authors. 2014. Deuterium excess in marine water vapor: Dependency on relative humidity and surface wind speed during evaporation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 119, 584–593. doi:10.1002/2013JD020535
- Bershaw, J. 2018. Controls on deuterium excess across Asia. Geosciences 8, 257. doi:10.3390/geosciences8070257
- Bershaw, J., Garzione, C. N., Higgins, P., MacFadden, B. J., Anaya, F. and co-authors. 2010. Spatial-temporal changes in Andean plateau climate and elevation from stable isotopes of mammal teeth. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 289, 530–538. doi:10. 1016/j.epsl.2009.11.047
- Bershaw, J., Penny, S. M. and Garzione, C. N. 2012. Stable isotopes of modern water across the Himalaya and eastern Tibetan Plateau: Implications for estimates of paleoelevation and paleoclimate. J. Geophys. Res. 117, n/a–n/a. doi:10.1029/ 2011JD016132
- Bershaw, J., Saylor, J. E., Garzione, C. N., Leier, A. and Sundell, K. E. 2016. Stable isotope variations (δ<sup>18</sup>O and δD) in modern waters across the Andean Plateau. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 194, 310–324. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.08.011
- Best, A. C. 1950. Empirical formulae for the terminal velocity of water drops falling through the atmosphere. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 76, 302–311. doi:10.1002/qj.49707632905
- Blossey, P. N., Kuang, Z. and Romps, D. M. 2010. Isotopic composition of water in the tropical troppause layer in cloud-resolving simulations of an idealized tropical circulation. J. Geophys. Res 115, D24309. doi:10.1029/ 2010JD014554
- Bony, S., Risi, C. and Vimeux, F. 2008. Influence of convective processes on the isotopic composition ( $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$ ) of precipitation and water vapor in the tropics: 1. Radiativeconvective equilibrium and Tropical Ocean–Global Atmosphere–Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Response

Experiment (TOGA-COARE) simulations. J. Geophys. Res. 113, D19305. doi:10.1029/2008JD009942

- Botsyun, S., Sepulchre, P., Donnadieu, Y., Risi, C., Licht, A. and co-authors. 2019. Revised paleoaltimetry data show low Tibetan Plateau elevation during the Eocene. *Science* 363, eaaq1436. doi:10.1126/science.aaq1436
- Caves, J. K., Winnick, M. J., Graham, S. A., Sjostrom, D. J., Mulch, A. and co-authors. 2015. Role of the westerlies in Central Asia climate over the Cenozoic. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 428, 33–43. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.07.023
- Craig, H. 1961. Isotopic variations in meteoric waters. *Science* 133, 1702–1703. doi:10.1126/science.133.3465.1702
- Cui, J., An, S., Wang, Z., Fang, C., Liu, Y. and co-authors. 2009. Using deuterium excess to determine the sources of high-altitude precipitation: Implications in hydrological relations between sub-alpine forests and alpine meadows. J. Hydrol. 373, 24–33. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.04.005
- Daly, C., Neilson, R. P. and Phillips, D. L. 1994. A statisticaltopographic model for mapping climatological precipitation over mountainous terrain. J. Appl. Meteorol. 33, 140–158. doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033<0140:ASTMFM>2.0.CO;2
- Daly, C., Taylor, G. and Gibson, W. 1997. The PRISM approach to mapping precipitation and temperature. In Proc., 10th AMS Conf. on Applied Climatology. Citeseer, pp. 20–23.
- Dansgaard, W. 1964. Stable isotopes in precipitation. *Tellus* 16, 436–468.
- Dettinger, M., Redmond, K. and Cayan, D. 2004. Winter orographic precipitation ratios in the Sierra Nevada—Largescale atmospheric circulations and hydrologic consequences. J. Hydrometeor. 5, 1102–1116. doi:10.1175/JHM-390.1
- Draxler, R. R. and Hess, G. 1998. An overview of the HYSPLIT\_4 modelling system for trajectories. Aust. Meteorol. Mag. 47, 295–308.
- Ehlers, T. A. and Poulsen, C. J. 2009. Influence of Andean uplift on climate and paleoaltimetry estimates. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 281, 238–248. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.02.026
- Espy, J. 1836. Essays on meteorology. No. IV: North east storms, volcanoes, and columnar clouds. J. Franklin Inst 22, 239–246.
- Fan, Y., Chen, Y., Li, X., Li, W. and Li, Q. 2015. Characteristics of water isotopes and ice-snowmelt quantification in the Tizinafu River, north Kunlun Mountains, Central Asia. *Quat. Int.* 380-381, 116–122. doi:10. 1016/j.quaint.2014.05.020
- Feng, R., Poulsen, C. J., Werner, M., Chamberlain, C. P., Mix, H. T. and co-authors. 2013. Early Cenozoic evolution of topography, climate, and stable isotopes in precipitation in the North American Cordillera. *Am. J. Sci.* 313, 613–648. doi: 10.2475/07.2013.01
- Froehlich, K., Kralik, M., Papesch, W., Rank, D., Scheifinger, H. and co-authors. 2008. Deuterium excess in precipitation of Alpine regions – moisture recycling. *Isotopes Environ Health Stud.* 44, 61–70. doi:10.1080/10256010801887208
- Galewsky, J. 2009. Rain shadow development during the growth of mountain ranges: An atmospheric dynamics perspective. J. Geophys. Res. 114, F01018. doi:10.1029/2008JF001085

- Galewsky, J. and Samuels-Crow, K. 2014. Water vapor isotopic composition of a stratospheric air intrusion: Measurements from the Chajnantor Plateau. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 119, 9679–9691. doi:10.1002/2014JD022047
- Garzione, C. N., Dettman, D. L., Quade, J., DeCelles, P. G. and Butler, R. F. 2000. High times on the Tibetan Plateau; paleoelevation of the Thakkhola Graben. *Geology* 28, 339–342. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28<339:HTOTTP>2.0. CO;2
- Gat, J. and Carmi, I. 1970. Evolution of the isotopic composition of atmospheric waters in the Mediterranean Sea area. J. Geophys. Res. 75, 3039–3048. doi:10.1029/ JC075i015p03039
- Gat, J. R. and Airey, P. L. 2006. Stable water isotopes in the atmosphere/biosphere/lithosphere interface: scaling-up from the local to continental scale, under humid and dry conditions. *Global Planet. Change* 51, 25–33. doi:10.1016/j. gloplacha.2005.12.004
- Gupta, S., Deshpande, R., Bhattacharya, S. and Jani, R. 2005. Groundwater  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D from central Indian Peninsula: Influence of the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal branches of the summer monsoon. *J. Hydrol.* 303, 38–55. doi:10.1016/j. jhydrol.2004.08.016
- Hobbs, P. V., Houze, R. A., Jr. and Matejka, T. J. 1975. The dynamical and microphysical structure of an occluded frontal system and its modification by orography. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 32, 1542–1562. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1975)032<1542:TDAMSO>2. 0.CO;2
- IAEA/WMO. 2018. Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation, The GNIP Database. Accessible at: http://isohis.iaea.org.
- Jouzel, J., Froehlich, K. and Schotterer, U. 1997. Deuterium and oxygen-18 in present-day precipitation: Data and modelling. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 42, 747–763. doi:10.1080/026266669709492070
- Jouzel, J. and Merlivat, L. 1984. Deuterium and oxygen 18 in precipitation: Modeling of the isotopic effects during snow formation. J. Geophys. Res. 89, 11749–11757. doi:10.1029/ JD089iD07p11749
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D. and co-authors. 1996. The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 77, 437–471. doi:10.1175/ 1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2
- Kar, N., Garzione, C. N., Jaramillo, C., Shanahan, T., Carlotto, V. and co-authors. 2016. Rapid regional surface uplift of the northern Altiplano plateau revealed by multiproxy paleoclimate reconstruction. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 447, 33–47. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.04.025
- Karim, A. and Veizer, J. 2002. Water balance of the Indus River Basin and moisture source in the Karakoram and western Himalayas: Implications from hydrogen and oxygen isotopes in river water. J. Geophys. Res. 107, 4362. doi:10.1029/ 2000JD000253
- Kendall, C. and Coplen, T. B. 2001. Distribution of oxygen-18 and deuterium in river waters across the United States. *Hydrol. Process.* 15, 1363–1393. doi:10.1002/hyp.217
- Kent-Corson, M., Ritts, B., Zhuang, G., Bovet, P., Graham, S. and co-authors. 2009. Stable isotopic constraints on the tectonic, topographic, and climatic evolution of the northern

margin of the Tibetan Plateau. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 282, 158–166. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.011

- Kinzer, G. D. and Gunn, R. 1951. The evaporation, temperature and thermal relaxation-time of freely falling waterdrops. J. Meteorol. 8, 71–83. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1951)008<0071:TETATR>2.0.CO;2
- Kong, Y., Pang, Z. and Froehlich, K. 2013. Quantifying recycled moisture fraction in precipitation of an arid region using deuterium excess. *Tellus B* 65, 1. doi:10.3402/ tellusb.v65i0.19251
- Kurita, N. and Yamada, H. 2008. The role of local moisture recycling evaluated using stable isotope data from over the middle of the Tibetan Plateau during the monsoon season. J. *Hydrometeor.* 9, 760–775. doi:10.1175/2007JHM945.1
- Landais, A., Barkan, E. and Luz, B. 2008. Record of δ<sup>18</sup>O and <sup>17</sup>O-excess in ice from Vostok Antarctica during the last 150,000 years. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35, L02709. doi:10.1029/2007GL032096
- Landais, A., Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., Descroix, L. and coauthors. 2010. Combined measurements of <sup>17</sup>O excess and dexcess in African monsoon precipitation: Implications for evaluating convective parameterizations. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 298, 104–112. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.07.033
- Landais, A., Steen-Larsen, H. C., Guillevic, M., Masson-Delmotte, V., Vinther, B. and co-authors. 2012. Triple isotopic composition of oxygen in surface snow and water vapor at NEEM (Greenland). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 77, 304–316. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.11.022
- Lawrence, M. G. 2005. The relationship between relative humidity and the dewpoint temperature in moist air: A simple conversion and applications. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 86, 225–234. doi:10.1175/BAMS-86-2-225
- Lechler, A. R. and Niemi, N. A. 2012. The influence of snow sublimation on the isotopic composition of spring and surface waters in the southwestern United States: Implications for stable isotope-based paleoaltimetry and hydrologic studies. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., B30467. 30461* 124, 318–334. doi:10. 1130/B30467.1
- Leier, A., McQuarrie, N., Garzione, C. and Eiler, J. 2013. Stable isotope evidence for multiple pulses of rapid surface uplift in the Central Andes, Bolivia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 371-372, 49–58. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.04.025
- Li, L. and Garzione, C. N. 2017. Spatial distribution and controlling factors of stable isotopes in meteoric waters on the Tibetan Plateau: Implications for paleoelevation reconstruction. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 460, 302–314. doi:10. 1016/j.epsl.2016.11.046
- Li, S., Levin, N. E. and Chesson, L. A. 2015. Continental scale variation in <sup>17</sup>O-excess of meteoric waters in the United States. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 164, 110–126. doi:10.1016/ j.gca.2015.04.047
- Lin, Y., Clayton, R. N., Huang, L., Nakamura, N. and Lyons, J. R. 2013. Oxygen isotope anomaly observed in water vapor from Alert, Canada and the implication for the stratosphere. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 15608–15613. 201313014. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1313014110
- Liotta, M., Favara, R. and Valenza, M. 2006. Isotopic composition of the precipitations in the central

Mediterranean: Origin marks and orographic precipitation effects. J. Geophys. Res. 111, 19302. doi:10.1029/2005JD006818

- Luz, B. and Barkan, E. 2010. Variations of <sup>17</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O in meteoric waters. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 6276–6286. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.08.016
- MacCready, P. B. Jr, 1955. High and low elevations as thermal source regions. *Weather* 10, 35–40. doi:10.1002/j.1477-8696. 1955.tb00136.x
- Majoube, M. 1971. Fractionnement en oxygene 18 et en deuterium entre l'eau et sa vapeur. J. Chim. Phys. 68, 1423–1436. doi:10.1051/jcp/1971681423
- Meijer, H. and Li, W. 1998. The use of electrolysis for accurate δ17O and δ18O isotope measurements in water. *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.* 34, 349–369. doi:10.1080/10256019808234072
- Merlivat, L. 1978. Molecular diffusivities of  $H_2$  <sup>16</sup>O, HD<sup>16</sup>O, and  $H_2$  <sup>18</sup>O in gases. *J. Chem. Phys.* 69, 2864–2871. doi:10. 1063/1.436884
- Merlivat, L. and Jouzel, J. 1979. Global climatic interpretation of the deuterium-oxygen 18 relationship for precipitation. J. Geophys. Res. 84, 5029–5033. doi:10.1029/JC084iC08p05029
- Miller, M. F. 2018. Precipitation regime influence on oxygen triple-isotope distributions in Antarctic precipitation and ice cores. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 481, 316–327. doi:10.1016/j.epsl. 2017.10.035
- Mulch, A., Graham, S. A. and Chamberlain, C. P. 2006. Hydrogen isotopes in Eocene river gravels and paleoelevation of the Sierra Nevada. *Science* 313, 87–89. doi:10.1126/science. 1125986
- NOAA/NCDC. 2010. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, North Carolina, Database accessible at: http://www.ncdc. noaa.gov.
- Numaguti, A. 1999. Origin and recycling processes of precipitating water over the Eurasian continent: Experiments using an atmospheric general circulation model. J. Geophys. Res. 104, 1957–1972. doi:10.1029/1998JD200026
- Passey, B. H., Hu, H., Ji, H., Montanari, S., Li, S. and coauthors. 2014. Triple oxygen isotopes in biogenic and sedimentary carbonates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 141, 1–25. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.06.006
- Passey, B. H. and Ji, H. 2019. Triple oxygen isotope signatures of evaporation in lake waters and carbonates: A case study from the western United States. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 518, 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.04.026
- Pedgley, D. 1970. Heavy rainfalls over Snowdonia. *Weather* 25, 340–350. doi:10.1002/j.1477-8696.1970.tb04117.x
- Pfahl, S. and Sodemann, H. 2014. What controls deuterium excess in global precipitation?. *Clim. Past* 10, 771–781. doi:10. 5194/cp-10-771-2014
- Poage, M. A. and Chamberlain, C. P. 2001. Empirical relationships between elevation and the stable isotope composition of precipitation and surface waters; considerations for studies of paleoelevation change. *Am. J. Sci.* 301, 1–15. doi:10.2475/ajs.301.1.1
- Rank, D. and Papesch, W. 2005. Isotopic composition of precipitation in Austria in relation to air circulation patterns

and climate. Isotopic composition of precipitation in the Mediterranean basin in relation to air circulation patterns and climate, IAEA-TECDOC-1453, IAEA, Vienna, 19–36.

- Risi, C., Bony, S. and Vimeux, F. 2008. Influence of convective processes on the isotopic composition (d<sup>18</sup>O and dD) of precipitation and water vapor in the tropics: 2. Physical interpretation of the amount effect. J. Geophys. Res. 113, D19306. doi:10.1029/2008JD009943
- Risi, C., Landais, A., Bony, S., Jouzel, J., Masson-Delmotte, V. and co-authors. 2010. Understanding the <sup>17</sup>O excess glacialinterglacial variations in Vostok precipitation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, D10112. doi:10.1029/2008JD011535
- Roe, G. H. 2005. Orographic precipitation. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 33, 645–671. doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.33.092203. 122541
- Rohrmann, A., Strecker, M. R., Bookhagen, B., Mulch, A., Sachse, D. and co-authors. 2014. Can stable isotopes ride out the storms? The role of convection for water isotopes in models, records, and paleoaltimetry studies in the central Andes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 407, 187–195. doi:10.1016/j. epsl.2014.09.021
- Rozanski, K., Araguas-Araguas, L. and Gonfiantini, R. 1993. Isotopic patterns in modern global precipitation. Climate Change in Continental Isotopic Records 78, 1–36.
- Salamalikis, V., Argiriou, A. A. and Dotsika, E. 2016. Isotopic modeling of the sub-cloud evaporation effect in precipitation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 544, 1059–1072. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2015.11.072
- Salmon, O. E., Welp, L. R., Baldwin, M. E., Hajny, K. D., Stirm, B. H. and co-authors. 2019. Vertical profile observations of water vapor deuterium excess in the lower troposphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 19, 11525–11543. doi:10. 5194/acp-19-11525-2019
- Samuels-Crow, K. E., Galewsky, J., Sharp, Z. D. and Dennis, K. J. 2014. Deuterium excess in subtropical free troposphere water vapor: Continuous measurements from the Chajnantor Plateau, northern Chile. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 8652–8659. doi:10.1002/2014GL062302
- Saylor, J. E. and Horton, B. K. 2014. Nonuniform surface uplift of the Andean plateau revealed by deuterium isotopes in Miocene volcanic glass from southern Peru. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 387, 120–131. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.11.015
- Schauer, A. J., Schoenemann, S. W. and Steig, E. J. 2016. Routine high-precision analysis of triple water-isotope ratios using cavity ring-down spectroscopy. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 30, 2059–2069. doi:10.1002/rcm.7682
- Schoenemann, S. W., Schauer, A. J. and Steig, E. J. 2013. Measurement of SLAP2 and GISP  $\delta^{17}O$  and proposed VSMOW-SLAP normalization for  $\delta^{17}O$  and  $^{17}O(\text{excess})$ . *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 27, 582–590. doi:10.1002/ rcm.6486
- Schoenemann, S. W., Steig, E. J., Ding, Q., Markle, B. R. and Schauer, A. J. 2014. Triple water-isotopologue record from WAIS Divide, Antarctica: Controls on glacial-interglacial changes in <sup>17</sup>O excess of precipitation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 119, 8741–8763. doi:10.1002/2014JD021770

- Shapiro, M. 1980. Turbulent mixing within tropopause folds as a mechanism for the exchange of chemical constituents between the stratosphere and troposphere. J. Atmos. Sci. 37, 994–1004. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<0994:TMWTFA>2.0.CO;2
- Sjostrom, D. J. and Welker, J. M. 2009. The influence of air mass source on the seasonal isotopic composition of precipitation, eastern USA. J. Geochem. Explor. 102, 103–112. doi:10.1016/j.gexplo.2009.03.001
- Steig, E., Gkinis, V., Schauer, A., Schoenemann, S., Samek, K. and co-authors. 2014. Calibrated high-precision <sup>17</sup>O-excess measurements using cavity ring-down spectroscopy with lasercurrent-tuned cavity resonance. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 7, 2421–2435. doi:10.5194/amt-7-2421-2014
- Stewart, M. K. 1975. Stable isotope fractionation due to evaporation and isotopic exchange of falling waterdrops: Applications to atmospheric processes and evaporation of lakes. J. Geophys. Res. 80, 1133–1146. doi:10.1029/ JC080i009p01133
- Strachan, S. and Daly, C. 2017. Testing the daily PRISM air temperature model on semiarid mountain slopes. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 122, 5697–5715. doi:10.1002/2016JD025920
- Tian, L., Yao, T., MacClune, K., White, J. W. C., Schilla, A. and co-authors. 2007. Stable isotopic variations in west China: A consideration of moisture sources. J. Geophys. Res. 112, 10112.
- Uechi, Y. and Uemura, R. 2019. Dominant influence of the humidity in the moisture source region on the <sup>17</sup>O-excess in precipitation on a subtropical island. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 513, 20–28. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.02.012
- Uemura, R., Barkan, E., Abe, O. and Luz, B. 2010. Triple isotope composition of oxygen in atmospheric water vapor. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, L04402. doi:10.1029/2009GL041960
- Uemura, R., Matsui, Y., Yoshimura, K., Motoyama, H. and Yoshida, N. 2008. Evidence of deuterium excess in water vapor as an indicator of ocean surface conditions. J. Geophys. Res. 113, D19114. doi:10.1029/2008JD010209
- Wallace, J. M. and Hobbs, P. V. 2006. Atmospheric Science: An Introductory Survey. Boston, Massachusetts: Elsevier.
- Wang, S., Zhang, M., Che, Y., Zhu, X. and Liu, X. 2016a. Influence of below-cloud evaporation on deuterium excess in precipitation of Arid Central Asia and its meteorological controls. J. Hydrometeor. 17, 1973–1984. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0203.1
- Wang, S., Zhang, M., Hughes, C. E., Zhu, X., Dong, L. and coauthors. 2016b. Factors controlling stable isotope composition of precipitation in arid conditions: an observation network in the Tianshan Mountains, central Asia. *Tellus B* 68, 26206. doi:10.3402/tellusb.v68.26206
- Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Kong, X., Shao, X. and co-authors. 2008. Millennial- and orbital-scale changes in the East Asian monsoon over the past 224,000 years . *Nature* 451, 1090–1093. doi:10.1038/nature06692
- Webster, C. R. and Heymsfield, A. J. 2003. Water isotope ratios D/H, 18O/16O, 17O/16O in and out of clouds map dehydration pathways. *Science* 302, 1742–1745. doi:10.1126/ science.1089496
- Whiteman, C. D. 2000. *Mountain Meteorology: fundamentals and Applications*. New York, New York: Oxford University Press.

- Winkler, R., Landais, A., Risi, C., Baroni, M., Ekaykin, A. and co-authors. 2013. Interannual variation of water isotopologues at Vostok indicates a contribution from stratospheric water vapor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110, 17674–17679. doi:10.1073/pnas.1215209110
- Winkler, R., Landais, A., Sodemann, H., Dümbgen, L., Prié, F. and co-authors. 2011. Deglaciation records of 17O-excess in East Antarctica: reliable reconstruction of oceanic relative humidity from coastal sites. *Clim. Past Discuss.* 7, 1845–1886. doi:10.5194/cpd-7-1845-2011