

Portland State University

**PDXScholar**

---

Student Research Symposium

Student Research Symposium 2021

---

# A Feature Matching Protocol for Telepractice Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) Evaluation

Jessi Ryann Gallagher  
*Portland State University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/studentsymposium>

**Let us know how access to this document benefits you.**


---

Gallagher, Jessi Ryann, "A Feature Matching Protocol for Telepractice Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) Evaluation" (2021). *Student Research Symposium*. 14.  
<https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/studentsymposium/2021/Posters/14>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Research Symposium by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: [pdxscholar@pdx.edu](mailto:pdxscholar@pdx.edu).



# A Feature Matching Protocol for Telepractice Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) Evaluation



## Poster Author

Jessi Gallagher, B.A.

## Project Authors

Jessi Gallagher, B.A., Brandon Eddy, M.A., CCC-SLP, endeaver\* Corbin

## Background

- A variety of communication modalities are used by people with communication disabilities.
- Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) refers to systems, strategies, and tools used to support an individual's expressive and/or receptive communication when barriers to spoken/signed language are present.
- Speech-language pathologists (SLPs) provide evaluation and recommendations of AAC systems
- Barriers to effective service delivery
  - limited training
  - lack of research on efficacy of evaluation techniques;
  - barriers to in-person SLP services
- SLPs transitioned clients to telepractice due to COVID-19
- Lack of evidence or guidance for telepractice AAC evaluations

## Purpose

- Develop a protocol for an AAC feature matching assessment to be administered via telepractice.
  - Criterion-referenced measures were developed to assess clients using alternative access (e.g., scanning, head-pointing) in the areas of: access methods, symbol set, display type, message organization, and additional features.

Barriers to in-person services including due to COVID-19 pandemic

Individuals continue to need timely access to effective communication supports

The need to communicate about health and safety is critical during global health crises.

## Next Steps

- Consult with adults with communication disabilities, including individuals who use AAC, using community-based research principles, and make revisions based on input provided
- Increase accessibility of protocol materials for access by clinicians and researchers with disabilities
- Conduct research studies to evaluate the generalizability of the protocol to a diverse set of clients who may benefit from AAC

## Limitations

- This project involves co-researchers with communication disabilities, including one AAC user- however AAC user/s were not involved from project onset
- Unaided symbol sets were not included (ex. Signed Language)

## Methods



### Pre-Assessment

Davies (2020), Curtis (2014), Kanter (2020), Van Tatenhove (2013)


- Asynchronous
  - Video Observation with Permission
  - Questionnaire/Survey
- Synchronous
  - Technology Troubleshooting
  - Interview



### Access Method

Beukelman & Light (2020)  
Beukelman & Mirenda (2013)


- Direct Access
- Indirect Access (Switches)



### Symbol Set Assessment

Beukelman & Light (2020)  
Beukelman & Mirenda (2013)


- Receptive Formats
  - Functional Use
  - Receptive Labeling
  - Yes/No
  - Alternative Visual Matching
- Expressive Format
  - Question and Answer
  - Requesting



### Vocabulary Presentation

Beukelman & Light (2020)  
Beukelman & Mirenda (2013)

- Array Size
- Layout



### Message Organization

Fallon, Light, Achenbach (2003)  
Beukelman & Light (2020)

- Sorting: taxonomic, syntactic-semantic
- Sorting: activity, pragmatic, syntactic-semantic



### Display

Beukelman & Light (2020)  
Beukelman & Mirenda (2013)


- Static Display
- Dynamic Display

“People with disabilities are underrepresented in teams designing and conducting research... Very few published studies in the rehabilitation literature have included consumers in the research process in roles other than research subject.”

(Ehde, 2013)


## Materials

(Excerpts from Protocol)



### Aided Symbol Set Assessment

Identify potential types of representation



#### Teach Target

“Look, this symbol means jump.”

#### Teach/Demonstrate Selection

“Let’s tell (parent) to jump! We can tell (parent) to jump by choosing the jump symbol.”

Demonstrate using the identified access method to choose target symbol.

Instruct parent/caregiver to jump when target is selected.

“Now it’s your turn!”



#### Test Items 1-4

“Let’s tell (parent) to jump!”

Instruct (parent) to jump if target is selected.

If a non-target cell is selected, label the symbol “That’s a (non-target item). Let’s tell (parent) to jump!”

Record selection. Advance to the next item.





### Message Organization

Identify potential organization strategies

Provide categories and ask individual to sort the symbols

1. Teach meaning of each category. (animals, places, people, actions)
2. Instruct client to sort items



## Discussion

Scan QR Code for Reference List

