## Portland State University

# [PDXScholar](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/)

[Environmental Science and Management](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm_fac)  [Faculty Publications and Presentations](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm_fac) [Environmental Science and Management](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm) 

6-2018

# Sediment Chemistry of Urban Stormwater Ponds and Controls on Denitrification

Joanna R. Blaszczak Duke University

Meredith Steele Virginia Polytechnic and State University

Brian D. Badgley Virginia Polytechnic and State University

James B. Heffernan Duke University

Sarah E. Hobbie University of Minnesota

See next page for additional authors Follow this and additional works at: [https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm\\_fac](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm_fac?utm_source=pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu%2Fesm_fac%2F255&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

Part of the [Environmental Monitoring Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/931?utm_source=pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu%2Fesm_fac%2F255&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Natural Resources Management and Policy](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/170?utm_source=pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu%2Fesm_fac%2F255&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

[Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/170?utm_source=pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu%2Fesm_fac%2F255&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Let us know how access to this document benefits you.](http://library.pdx.edu/services/pdxscholar-services/pdxscholar-feedback/?ref=https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/esm_fac/255) 

## Citation Details

Blaszczak, J. R., Brian D. Badgley, Christopher Neill, Diane E. Pataki, Emily S. Bernhardt, Erin N. Rivers, … Sharon J. Hall. (2018). Sediment chemistry of urban stormwater ponds and controls on denitrification. Ecosphere, 9(6), e02318.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Environmental Science and Management Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: [pdxscholar@pdx.edu](mailto:pdxscholar@pdx.edu).

## Authors

Joanna R. Blaszczak, Meredith Steele, Brian D. Badgley, James B. Heffernan, Sarah E. Hobbie, Jennifer L. Morse, Erin N. Rivers, Sharon J. Hall, Christopher Neill, Diane E. Pataki, Peter M. Groffman, and Emily S. Bernhardt



# Sediment chemistry of urban stormwater ponds and controls on denitrification

Joanna R. Blaszczak, $^{1,2,1}_\cdot$ † Meredith K. Steele, $^3$  Brian D. Badgley, $^{3,4}_\cdot$  Jim B. Heffernan, $^2$ Sarah E. Hobbie, $^5$  Jennifer L. Morse, $^6$  Erin N. Rivers, $^6$  Sharon J. Hall, $^7$  Christopher Neill, $^8$ DIANE E. PATAKI, $^9$  Peter M. Groffman, $^{10}$  and Emily S. Bernhardt $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Biology Department, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708 USA Biology Department, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708 USA<sup>2</sup><br><sup>2</sup>Nicholae School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 2771 Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708 USA<sup>3</sup><br>School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Viroinia Polytechnic and State University, Blacksburg, Viroin<sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup>School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061 USA <sup>4</sup>Global Change Center, Virginia Polytechnic and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061 USA  $5$ Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108 USA <sup>6</sup>Department of Environmental Science and Management, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon 97201 USA  $^7$ School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287 USA <sup>8</sup>Woods Hole Research Center, Falmouth, Massachusetts 02540 USA  $^{9}$ Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 USA  $^{10}$ Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, New York 12545 USA

Citation: Blaszczak, J. R., M. K. Steele, B. D. Badgley, J. B. Heffernan, S. E. Hobbie, J. L. Morse, E. N. Rivers, S. J. Hall, C. Neill, D. E. Pataki, P. M. Groffman, and E. S. Bernhardt. 2018. Sediment chemistry of urban stormwater ponds and controls on denitrification. Ecosphere 9(6):e02318. [10.1002/ecs2.2318](info:doi/10.1002/ecs2.2318)

Abstract. Stormwater ponds and retention basins are ubiquitous features throughout urban landscapes. These ponds are potentially important control points for nitrogen (N) removal from surface water bodies via denitrification. However, there are possible trade-offs to this water quality benefit if high N and contaminant concentrations in stormwater pond sediments decrease the complete reduction of nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ , a potent greenhouse gas, to dinitrogen  $(N_2)$  during denitrification. This may occur through decreasing the abundance or efficiency of denitrifiers capable of producing the  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  reductase enzyme. We predicted that ponds draining increasingly urbanized landscapes would have higher N and metal concentrations in their sediments, and thereby greater  $N_2O$  yields. We measured potential denitrification rates, N<sub>2</sub>O reductase (nosZ) gene frequencies, as well as sediment and porewater chemistry in 64 ponds distributed across eight U.S. cities. We found almost no correlation between the proportion of urban land cover surrounding ponds and the nutrient and contaminant concentrations in the stormwater pond sediments within or across all cities. Regression analysis revealed that the proportion of potential  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$ production that could be explained was under different environmental controls. Our survey raises many new questions about why N fluxes and transformations vary so widely both within and across urban environments, but also allays the concern that elevated metal concentrations in urban stormwater ponds will increase N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Urban stormwater ponds are unlikely to be a problematic source of N<sub>2</sub>O to the atmosphere, no matter their denitrification potential.

Key words: contaminants; denitrification; metals; nitrogen; nitrous oxide; nitrous oxide reductase; stormwater ponds; urban biogeochemistry.

Received 5 January 2018; revised 2 May 2018; accepted 3 May 2018. Corresponding Editor: Debra P. C. Peters. Copyright: © 2018 The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. E-mail: joanna.blaszczak@duke.edu

#### INTRODUCTION

Urban stormwater runoff is a leading cause of surface water impairment throughout the developed and developing world (US EPA 2004, UNEP GEMS 2008). Constructed stormwater retention and detention ponds are designed to reduce peak stormwater discharge and remove pollutants through passive sedimentation (NRC 2009). The construction of these ponds is a key way that municipalities attempt to reduce the impact of stormwater runoff on downstream water bodies (Bernhardt et al. 2008). Stormwater ponds have consequently become a ubiquitous feature of urban areas in all physiographic regions (Steele et al. 2014).

Stormwater ponds may also act as critical control points (sensu Bernhardt et al. 2017) in watershed-scale nitrogen (N) retention by capturing particulate N and by supporting N removal through denitrification (Zhu et al. 2004, Collins et al. 2010, Bettez and Groffman 2012, Larson and Grimm 2012). Denitrification is a microbially mediated process by which nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  is reduced to gaseous N products (i.e., nitric oxide [NO], nitrous oxide [N<sub>2</sub>O], and dinitrogen [N<sub>2</sub>]) under low oxygen conditions. It is the primary mechanism by which excess  $NO_3^-$  can be permanently removed before entering downstream aquatic ecosystems.

The timing and magnitude of excess  $NO_3^$ delivery to stormwater ponds, and the emissions of N gases from these ponds, can be highly heterogenous within and among cities because of the wide range of residential, commercial, and industrial land uses found in most major U.S. cities. We currently know little about the chemical composition of stormwater pond sediments from these complex urban landscapes and whether stormwater ponds act as important bioreactors to remove  $NO_3^-$  as an aquatic pollutant.

The proportions of N gases that are released to the atmosphere by denitrification (i.e.,  $N_2O$  vs.  $N_2$ ) in stormwater pond sediments are also unclear. High reactive N concentrations tend to result in the emission of more gaseous  $N_2O$  relative to inert  $N_2$  gas, but at the same time, high concentrations of reduced carbon tend to favor complete reduction to  $N<sub>2</sub>$  (Firestone et al. 1980, Weier et al. 1993). Understanding whether rates of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  removal in stormwater ponds are associated with  $N_2O$ 

production is important, because  $N_2O$  is a potent greenhouse gas that contributes substantially to both global warming and stratospheric ozone destruction (Ravishankara et al. 2009).

Differences in the community composition and abundance of microbial denitrifiers in pond sediments can also alter the composition of the final gaseous N products released to the atmosphere (Wallenstein et al. 2006). While denitrifiers with genes encoding for nitrite reductase (nirS and nirK) that lead to the production of  $N_2O$  are generally unperturbed by many contaminants (Bissett et al. 2013), populations of denitrifiers capable of producing the nitrous oxide reductase enzyme (via the nosZ gene) are sensitive to metal contamination (Holtan-Hartwig et al. 2002). A low abundance or efficiency of these nitrous oxide reducers under stressful conditions can lead to a higher proportion of gaseous N released as  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  relative to  $N<sub>2</sub>$  (the  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  yield). The abundance of nitrous oxide reducers has been shown to decline in the sediments of increasingly urban environments (Perryman et al. 2011b, Wang et al. 2011) which tend to have elevated concentrations of metals (Makepeace 1995). This implies that the combination of elevated  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  and contaminant concentrations within the sediments of ponds receiving runoff from highly urbanized landscapes could significantly increase N2O yields (Bernhardt et al. 2008).

In this study, we determined whether ponds receiving runoff from urbanized landscapes support high rates of denitrification and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production. We measured potential denitrification rates, denitrification gene frequencies (nosZ gene), and sediment and porewater chemistry in 64 permanently wet ponds distributed within and around eight U.S. cities. Our goal was to document and explain the variation in potential rates of the denitrification products  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  from urban surface waters as a function of watershed characteristics and microbial gene abundance. We expected that potential denitrification rates would increase as a function of the amount of adjacent urban land cover and that the proportion of total denitrification products emitted as  $N_2O$  would be higher in more heavily urbanized ponds because of higher N and contaminant loading. We compared our denitrification, sediment chemistry, and porewater chemistry measurements with those reported in the literature and to consensusbased ecotoxicological thresholds.

## **METHODS**

#### Study ponds

During the summer of 2014, we collected sediments from 64 ponds within and around the metropolitan statistical areas of Baltimore, Maryland; Boston, Massachusetts; Durham, North Carolina; Miami, Florida; Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota; Phoenix, Arizona; Portland, Oregon; and Salt Lake City, Utah (Fig. 1; Appendix S1: Fig. S1). We selected eight permanently wet ponds per city from a database of urban water bodies compiled by Steele et al. (2014) to span the maximum possible range in adjacent urban land cover. This range included ponds draining a variety of urban land uses (i.e., residential, highways, commercial) to ponds on the outskirts of cities draining primarily unmanaged landscapes. Land cover metrics for both pond watersheds and buffers of increasing distance from the ponds were calculated based on the 2011 National Land Cover dataset (NLCD) and the associated Impervious Surface Cover dataset, both from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Seamless Server (Fig. 1; Homer et al. 2015). We calculated the proportion of urban land cover in buffers and watersheds as the sum of the percent area covered by NLCD land cover classes 22, 23, and 24 within 250 m of the pond edge. Mean annual precipitation was calculated using PRISM precipitation data for 2010–2014 for each city (PRISM Climate Group 2017).

We delineated pond watersheds using 3 and 10-m digital elevation models, ArcGIS Hydrology tools (ESRI, Redlands, California, USA), and the USGS National Hydrography Dataset. Ponds located in areas with moderate topographic relief, suburbs, and natural areas generally had watersheds delineated accurately with an elevation model-flow accumulation method. However, in urban areas where artificial storm water drainage systems direct runoff into ponds, the watershed delineation process was challenging, particularly when drainage was not visually evident from high-resolution aerial photographs because we lacked access to GIS storm water data in most cities. Due to these many complications in delineating urban watersheds, a confidence rating was assigned to each watershed (Appendix S1: Table S1). In cases of low ratings, knowledge of the storm water drainage system was deemed necessary to accurately delineate the watershed and this led to the exclusion of calculating two pond watersheds in Phoenix, Arizona, USA, where such knowledge was insufficient.

## Sediment collection, characterization, and chemical analysis

We sampled sediment in 2- to 3-d sampling campaigns within each city from June to August 2014 (Appendix S1: Table S2). At each pond, a 2-L composite sample of sediment was taken by scooping the top 5–10 cm of sediment at a 0.5- L m water depth at three distributed edge locations per pond. Surface water samples were taken from a single location and filtered in the field through Whatman GF/F filters (Whatman, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA). Samples were held at 4°C until they were shipped on ice to Durham, North Carolina, USA, arriving within a maximum of 6 d after sampling.

Upon arrival, surface water samples were frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and sediment samples were stored at 4°C. Composite sediment samples from each pond were sieved through a 2-mm brass sieve. Potential copper and zinc contamination by the sieve was assumed to be insignificant relative to the high concentrations in the sediments, and therefore, copper and zinc were still included in further analyses. We subsampled <2 mm diameter sediment into triplicate 1.7-mL plastic centrifuge tubes for DNA analysis and froze the tubes at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Sieved sediments were then subsampled to determine sediment characteristics such as organic matter content, pH, bulk density, and microbial biomass. We dried sediment samples at 60°C for 48 h and then combusted them at 500°C for 4 h to determine the ash-free dry mass (AFDM). We calculated percent organic matter (% OM) using the equation  $%$ OM = ((dry weight  $-$ AFDM)/dry weight)  $\times$  100. Sediment pH was measured using 3-g air-dried samples in 5 mL of 0.01 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub>, the addition of which can lower sediment pH by  $\sim 0.5$  pH units compared to water pH (Carter 1993). Ten percent of pH samples were run in triplicate with an average standard deviation of 0.14. The bulk density of sieved sediments was determined by weighing 25 mL of homogenized wet sediment. The maximum potential rate of heterotrophic respiration was estimated using a modified version (Fierer et al. 2003) of the substrate-induced respiration (SIR) method (West



Fig. 1. (A) Map of the eight metropolitan study areas (MSAs). (B) The proportion of urban land cover (NLCD 2011, classes 22–24) within a 250 m buffer around each study pond are shown in gray and written in black over each horizontal bar. Undeveloped areas are shown in green bars. The median area (range in values) of each pond, its watershed, and the ratio of the watershed area to pond area (WA : PA), and the 2010–2014 mean annual precipitation  $(\pm SD)$  are shown below a corresponding boxplot for each MSA. Level I ecoregions include Eastern Temperate Forest (ET Forest), Tropical Wet Forest (TW Forest), North American Desert (NA Desert), and Marine West Coast Forest (MWC Forest; Omernik 1987). Study metropolitan areas include Baltimore, Maryland (BES), Boston, Massachusetts (BOS), Durham, North Carolina (DM), Miami, Florida (MIA), Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota (MN), Phoenix, Arizona (PHX), Portland, Oregon (PDX), and Salt Lake City, Utah (SLC).

and Sparling 1986) as a proxy of microbial biomass. Triplicate sediment samples  $(5 \pm 0.25$  g) were amended with 10 mL autolyzed yeast extract solution and sealed in 40-mL acid-washed

tubes. Rates of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production were measured over 4.5 h using an infrared gas analyzer (LI-6265; LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) with  $N_2$  as the carrier gas. Because of the wide range of sediment types, we calculated the maximum rate (µg  $C$ -CO<sub>2</sub>·[g dry sediment]<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) measured over three time points as the index of SIR-responsive microbial biomass.

Porewater solutes were extracted by shaking  $2.5 \pm 0.25$  g of wet sediment with 25 mL of deionized water for 4 h at room temperature. After settling overnight at 4°C, we centrifuged samples, filtered the supernatant through Whatman GF/F filters, and froze the porewater at 20°C until analysis. We measured chloride  $(Cl^-)$ , nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$ , bromide  $(Br^-)$ , and sulfate  $(SO_4^2$ <sup>-</sup>) concentrations in porewater samples using an ion chromatograph (ICS-2000) equipped with an AS18 anion column and KOH eluent generator (Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, California, USA). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) were measured on a TOC analyzer with a TN module (TOC-V CPH; Shidmadzu, Kyoto, Japan). We measured soluble reactive phosphate (SRP) concentrations on a Lachat QuickChem 8500 automated system (Lachat Instruments, Loveland, Colorado, USA) using EPA method 365.1. Ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) concentrations were measured using the OPA fluorometric technique (Holmes et al. 1999) and a field fluorometer (10 AU; Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, California, USA).  $Br^-$  and SRP were not used in further analyses because  $71\%$  of ponds had Br<sup>-</sup> concentrations and 61% of ponds had SRP concentrations below the detection limit of 0.01 mg/L.

To determine sediment metal concentrations, dried sediments (48 h at 60°C) were ground using a mortar and pestle and passed through a #80 stainless steel mesh sieve (Method 3051A, US EPA 2007). Sediment samples were microwave digested (SW846-3051, MARS HP500 Plus; CEM Corporation, Matthews, North Carolina, USA) at a ratio of 0.3 g tissue dry weight to 9 mL omnitrace nitric acid  $(HNO<sub>3</sub>)$  and 3 mL omnitrace hydrochloric acid (HCl). Digested samples were analyzed for arsenic (As), aluminum (Al), cadmium (Cd), calcium (Ca), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), lead (Pb), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), silicon (Si), silver (Ag), sodium (Na), strontium (Sr), and zinc (Zn) by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, X-Series, Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). For every 18 samples run, we ran one sample in triplicate, two method blanks, and two replicates of fresh water sediment certified reference material (CNS392, RT Corporation, Laramie, Wyoming, USA). Certified reference material recovery was >94% for Zn and Pb  $(n = 8)$ . Values below the minimum limit of detection ( $LOD = 1$  ppb) were replaced with half the minimum detection limit (0.5 ppb) before all measurements were standardized by organic carbon content determined from AFDM.

#### Denitrification incubations

We simultaneously measured rates of  $N_2$  and N2O production during laboratory incubations to estimate potential denitrification in pond sediments. Sediment was weighed into glass containers with air-tight lids (~75 g into 300 mL BOD bottles or  $~15$  g into 60 mL BOD bottles) following methods used in Fork and Heffernan (2014). Common water for the incubations was spiked with  $0.72$  g of  $KNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $0.5$  g glucose per liter (Groffman et al. 1999). The goal of the denitrification potential assay is to remove limiting factors to facilitate the maximum activity of existing denitrification enzymes present in the sediment when the sample was taken. However, due to the diversity of the denitrifying community, certain components of the community are not optimized in this assay.

To promote anoxic conditions during incubations, spiked water in each jug was degassed by bubbling compressed helium gas for  $\sim$ 30 min before use. Water was siphoned from the bottom of the jug into glass bottles with sediment until they were overflowing. Then bottles were inverted several times to release any bubbles trapped in the sediment before being refilled again to overflowing. Glass stoppers were pushed into place, and the time was recorded as the start of the incubation. Bottles were kept in the dark at room temperature while incubating and inverted every two hours to minimize diffusion limitation of nitrate supply to the sediment.

Triplicate samples for each time point were run on a membrane-inlet mass spectrometer (MIMS) to determine dissolved  $N_2$  and Ar concentrations in the water layer of the sediment incubations (Kana et al. 1994). An in-line copper reduction column heated to 600°C was used to reduce  $O_2$  interference with  $N_2$  measurements (Eyre et al. 2002). BOD bottles were destructively

sampled at approximately 20 min, 3 h, and 6 h after the start of the incubation. Standards for  $N_2$ concentrations (humid-atmosphere-equilibrated deionized water stirring in high-precision water baths) at 12°C and 22°C were run every six to eight samples. Control BOD bottles filled with only degassed, spiked water were run for each time point and group of samples ( $n = 6$ ). To correct for drift, we interpolated parameter values based on a linear slope and intercept calculated from the change in the  $N_2$ :Ar in the standards over time. To correct for the common water being originally degassed, we calculated the Ar concentrations in the controls based on solubility formulas from Hamme and Emerson (2004) and then multiplied the control Ar value by the  $N_2$ : Ar of each sample in the group associated with that control to determine the  $N_2$  concentrations in each sample. Following the determination of dissolved  $N_2$  concentrations, we determined the maximum rate of dry-sediment  $N_2$  production measured among the three time points by dividing the average change in  $N_2$  by the incubation time and dry sediment mass. This approach was taken to avoid reporting differences in peak rates that might be attributable to denitrifier response time as a function of sediment type.

To measure  $N_2O$  production potential rates, an inverted 60-mL evacuated headspace bottle simultaneously pulled water up through a doublegauged needle near the MIMS intake. Headspace equilibrations were performed by over pressurizing each bottle with 15 mL of  $N_2$  gas and shaking for two minutes. Gas (10 mL) was pulled out of the headspace and injected into 9-mL gas vials. We recorded the volume of water in the headspace bottle.  $N_2O$  concentrations were measured within a week using a Teledyne Tekmar 7000 headspace autosampler (Teledyne Tekmar, Mason, Ohio, USA) to inject samples into a Shimadzu GC-17A ver.3 gas chromatograph with a Porapak Q column and electron capture detector (injector temperature = 380°C, column temperature =  $80^{\circ}$ C, detector temperature =  $340^{\circ}$ C, with N2 carrier gas; Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). We used Bunsen coefficients to determine N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in each sample and converted  $N_2O$  to  $N_2O-N$ . The maximum rate of  $N_2O$  production during the incubations was calculated by dividing the average change in  $N_2O$  by the incubation time and dry sediment mass in the flask.

#### Functional gene abundance

We measured the abundance of the *nosZ* gene, which encodes for nitrous oxide reductase, in sediments to compare the abundance of nitrous oxide reducers among study ponds. We extracted DNA from sediments in triplicate for each pond with PowerSoil DNA Isolation kits (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, California, USA). Following extraction, samples were diluted to 3 ng/µL to avoid PCR inhibition. Denitrifier DNA was then amplified using nosZ primers (Scala and Kerkhof 1998) and iTaq Universal SYBR mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA). The average efficiency of the PCR reaction was 79% (minimum: 76%), and all standard curves had  $R^2$  values ≥0.997. Copies were normalized to the amount of extracted sediment. We focused our microbial analysis on dissimilatory reduction via typical denitrifier nosZ gene abundance, which encodes for  $N_2O$  reductase. We did not try to evaluate the presence or functional influence of atypical non-denitrifier  $N_2O$ reduction (Sanford et al. 2012, Van Groenigen et al. 2015) that could have occurred.

#### Statistical analysis

The goal of this study was to span the widest possible range of conditions in urban stormwater ponds across the United States to understand the drivers of denitrification and  $N_2O$  yields. We performed all statistical analyses using R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team 2017). To determine whether sediment and porewater characteristics were correlated with adjacent urban land cover, we first calculated the proportion of urban land cover within each delineated watershed and 50, 100, 250, 500, 750, and 1000 m buffers around each pond. We chose the proportion of urban land cover within a 250 m buffer as representative of the intensity of urbanization draining into each pond. We chose to do this because we did not have watershed land cover information for every site, but land cover metrics were available for each buffer distance around every pond. In addition, the 250 m buffer had the strongest correlation with the proportion of urban land cover in delineated watersheds.

Spearman rank correlation analysis was then performed between site characteristics and the proportion of adjacent urban land cover within cities and across all ponds with Bonferroni

corrections within each variable  $(n = 9)$ . This approach was also used to evaluate correlations between adjacent urban land cover and potential rates of denitrification ( $n = 24$ ). We evaluated differences in potential denitrification rates  $(N_2,$  $N_2O$ , and  $N_2O$  yield) among cities with pairwise comparisons using Tukey and Kramer (Nemenyi) tests with Tukey-Dist approximation for independent samples in the "PMCMR" package (Pohlert 2014). This pairwise comparison was also used for evaluating differences among cities in sediment and porewater characteristics.

We developed multiple linear regression models for potential denitrification rates and denitrifier gene abundance across all surveyed ponds that first separately and then synergistically compared the explanatory power of four different categories of possible predictor variables: substrates, stressors, microbial properties, and sediment and pond properties (Morse et al. 2012). Individual predictor variables were evaluated using log–log linear regressions (except for pH and bulk density which were not transformed) with adjusted P-values for multiple comparisons within each potential denitrification response variable using Bonferroni corrections ( $n = 19$ ).

We built submodels with predictor variables from each categorical group separately for potential  $N_2$  production, potential  $N_2O-N$  production, and nosZ gene abundance. TDN, Cu, and Zn were discarded as predictor variables due to Spearman's rho correlations >0.7 with other variables that were better predictors to reduce redundancy within submodels. Candidate predictor variables were put into each multiple linear regression model by order of the adjusted  $R^2$ of their individual relationships with potential denitrification rates. For each submodel, we used the step function in R to perform stepwise multiple linear regression (forward and backward selection) with automated variable selection based on corrected Akaike's information criterion (AIC). This procedure identified the combination of variables within each categorical group that best fit the rates of potential  $N_2$  production, potential  $N_2O$  production, and nosZ gene abundance. We then combined the AIC selected predictor variables from each submodel into a single model in the order of their individual relationships and used stepwise regression again to identify the best predictor variables across all groups. To reduce the probability of AIC overfitting, the final AIC was corrected for finite sample sizes.

#### **RESULTS**

Urban land cover within a 250 m pond buffer around ponds was not well correlated with either sediment or porewater chemistry (Tables 1, 2). Across all cities, only Zn sediment concentrations were positively correlated with the extent of development in pond buffers  $(P = 0.02,$  Spearman's rho = 0.40). Within individual cities, we found only one strong relationship between chemical

Table 1. Median (range in values) of sediment properties and porewater and sediment chemical substrates across ponds within different cities.

	Sediment properties		Porewater and sediment chemical substrates							
City	$pH(-log([H^*]))$	<b>Bulk</b> density (g/mL)	$%$ OM (% mass)	DOC. (mg/kg sed)	$NH_4^+$ –N	$NO3--N$	<b>TDN</b>			
<b>BES</b>	6.4(4.1–7.8)	$1.2(1-1.7)$	$10.6(0.3 - 30.1)$	$371(33 - 1653)$	$18.9(0.5-69.8)$	1.5(0.1–5.6)	$30.7(2.2 - 116)$			
<b>BOS</b>	$4.6(4.1 - 5.7)$	$1.6(1-1.8)$	$7.0(0.4 - 54.8)$	$132(34 - 370)$	$1.2(0-2.9)$	$0.9(0.4-1.3)$	$8.2(2.5-21.1)$			
DM	$4.1(3.2 - 7.2)$	$1.5(1.1-1.9)$	$7.0(4.9-13.6)$	$69(45-325)$	$2.9(1.1-35.9)$	$1(0.4-2.3)$	$6.9(2.7-59.8)$			
<b>MIA</b>	7(6.7–7.1)	$1.5(1.3-1.8)$	$1.5(0.5-13.8)$	238 (108-450)	$2(0.3 - 7.1)$	$0.7(0.3-13.8)$	$23(5.1 - 366.8)$			
<b>MN</b>	6.8(5.1–7.2)	$1.4(1-1.8)$	$3.1(0.4 - 36.3)$	$126(56 - 535)$	$5.9(1.8-33.9)$	$0.5(0.2-4.9)$	$10(3.5-93.4)$			
<b>PHX</b>	$7.2(7.1 - 7.5)$	$1.6(1.1-1.9)$	$3(0.7-5.3)$	174 (98-337)	$3.4(0.1 - 8.5)$	$0.2(0.1-0.6)$	$6.3(3.6-11.9)$			
<b>PDX</b>	$4.8(4.4-6.3)$	$1.2(1.1-1.7)$	$11(1.6-23.6)$	$57(30-153)$	$4.5(0.1 - 38.9)$	$0.2(0.1-0.6)$	$10.4(1.2 - 46.2)$			
<b>SLC</b>	7.4(6.1–7.9)	$1.2(1.1-1.6)$	$3.3(0.5-15.9)$	$125(48-1505)$	$1.9(0.1 - 45.4)$	$0.5(0.3-1.4)$	$4.7(2.8 - 63.2)$			
All	6.7(3.2–7.9)	$1.41(1-1.9)$	$4.6(0.3 - 54.8)$	134 (30-1653)	$3.5(0-69.8)$	$0.6(0.1-13.8)$	$9.0(1.2 - 366.8)$			

Notes: City abbreviations are the same as in Fig. 1. Variables include pH, bulk density (Bulk Dens.), percent organic matter (%OM), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N), and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN). DOC,  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, and TDN were measured in the sediment porewater, while pH and Bulk Dens. were measured in the sedi-<br>ment. There were no significant Spearman correlations (P < 0.05 after Bonferroni corrections with ables and the proportion of urban land cover (NLCD 2011) within a 250 m buffer around each pond.

	Microbial properties		Porewater and sediment chemical stressors						
City	nosZ	$SIR$ (µg $C$ ·	$Cl^{-}$	$SO_4^2$ <sup>-</sup>	Zn	Pb	Cu	As	
	$(10^6 \text{ copies/g sed})$	$[g \text{ sed}]^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$	$(mg/kg \text{ sed})$	$(mg/kg \text{ sed})$	(mg/g C)	(mg/g C)	(mg/g C)	(mg/g C)	
<b>BES</b>	368	179	231	365	1.4	0.5	0.6	40	
	$(8 - 2449)$	$(23 - 1571)$	$(19 - 1622)$	$(13 - 1391)$	$(0.5-4)$	$(0.1 - 1.1)$	$(0.2 - 3.6)$	$(12 - 256)$	
<b>BOS</b>	45	24	160	25	2.6	1.4	1.1	149	
	$(2 - 93)$	$(5-61)$	$(53 - 2921)$	$(10-166)$	$(0.1 - 14.3)$	$(0-6.2)$	$(0-4.3)$	$(9 - 1173)$	
DM	$173+$	80	30	34	1.0	0.21	0.4	48	
	$(13 - 571)$	$(25 - 266)$	$(16-62)$	$(2 - 100)$	$(0.5 - 2.7)$	$(0.2 - 0.5)$	$(0.2 - 0.4)$	$(33 - 81)$	
MIA	39	49	61	43	1.0	0.1	0.8	78	
	$(26 - 238)$	$(34 - 91)$	$(30 - 292)$	$(20-104)$	$(0.1 - 4.1)$	$(0-1.1)$	$(0.1 - 4.5)$	$(22 - 160)$	
MN	83	40	63	99	2.6	0.3	0.8	82	
	$(21 - 4825)$	$(15 - 264)$	$(29 - 1783)$	$(41 - 265)$	$(0.2 - 5.2)$	$(0.1 - 10.1)$	$(0.1-2)$	$(16-1193)$	
<b>PHX</b>	222	74	236	178	1.9	0.4	1.6	270	
	$(13 - 1633)$	$(38 - 206)$	$(34 - 648)$	$(121 - 732)$	$(1.1 - 3.4)$	$(0.2 - 0.8)$	$(1-3.4)$	$(154 - 1078)$	
<b>PDX</b>	85	225	25	43	1.6	0.2	0.5	36	
	$(3 - 1087)$	$(135 - 884)$	$(9 - 1211)$	$(5 - 305)$	$(0.3-4.3)$	$(0.1 - 0.6)$	$(0.2 - 1.2)$	$(4 - 166)$	
<b>SLC</b>	7	88	98	340	2.6	0.6	1.5	189	
	$(4-42)$	$(52 - 517)$	$(44 - 1924)$	$(18 - 985)$	$(0.7-18.6)$	$(0.2 - 49.9)$	$(0.4 - 5.7)$	$(49 - 15, 771)$	
All	51	75	67	85	$1.5\$	0.3	0.7	81	
	$(2 - 4825)$	$(5 - 1571)$	$(9 - 2921)$	$(2-1391)$	$(0.1 - 18.6)$	$(0-49.9)$	$(0 - 5.7)$	$(4 - 15, 771)$	

Table 2. Median (range in values) of microbial properties and porewater and sediment chemical stressors across ponds within different cities.

Notes: City abbreviations are the same as in Fig. 1. Variables include gene copies of nosZ (nosZ), substrate inducible respiration (SIR), chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>), sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), copper (Cu), and arsenic (As). Cl and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> were measured in the sediment porewater, while all other variables were measured in the sediment. Significant Spearman correlations (P < 0.05 after Bonferroni corrections within variables) between variables and the proportion of urban land cover (NLCD 2011) within a 250 m buffer around each pond are indicated in bold.

Spearman's rho =  $-0.96$ ,  $P = 0.027$ 

 ${\rm Spearman's}$  rho = -0.95,  $P = 0.009$ .

 $\dot{\S}$  Spearman's rho = 0.40,  $P = 0.018$ .

parameters and urban development, with a strong negative correlation between sediment Pb concentrations and the extent of buffer development across urban ponds in Durham, North Carolina, USA ( $P = 0.009$ , Spearman's rho = -0.95).

Although concentrations of inorganic N and carbon in the sediment and porewater spanned a wide range across all ponds, there were few differences in substrate availability among cities (Table 1). Porewater  $NH_4^+$ -N concentrations were highest and spanned the widest range in Baltimore, Maryland, USA, but median values in cities were otherwise similar. On average,  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup>-N accounted for <10% of TDN in the porewater. Baltimore, Maryland and Durham, North Carolina had the highest median  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$  concentrations, but two ponds in Miami, Florida had exceptionally high porewater  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$  (10.7 and 13.8 mg N/kg sed). The same two ponds had the highest porewater TDN (325.8 and 366.8 mg N/kg sed), while the rest of ponds had concentrations <120 mg N/kg sed. Sediment organic matter (%OM) was <25% in more than 95% of the ponds. Porewater DOC was exceptionally high in one pond in Baltimore, Maryland (1653 mg C/kg sed) and another in Salt Lake City, Utah, USA (1505 mg C/kg sed), but otherwise cities had overlapping ranges.

Concentrations of potential stressors for denitrifying microbes that included metals in the sediment (As, Cu, Pb, Zn) and ions in the porewater  $(Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^2$ ) spanned a wide range but had limited variation among cities (Table 2). All sediment metals correlated highly ( $P < 0.05$ , Spearman's rho  $> 0.5$ ) with each other, and Pb and As were the most variable (coefficient of variation >4). Elevated concentrations of metals did not tend to co-occur within the same cities. Instead, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, had the highest median Pb concentrations, while Phoenix, Arizona, USA, had the highest median Cu and As concentrations. Porewater  $Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^2$  were highly variable within cities; however, Durham, North Carolina and Portland, Oregon, tended to have lower concentrations.

Rates of potential  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  production and nosZ gene abundance were highly variable both within and across cities (Table 2, Fig. 2). Potential  $N_2$  production rates ranged from 0.42 to 35.9  $\mu$ g N $(g \text{ sed})^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>, with the highest rates in ponds from Baltimore, Maryland, and Minneapolis, Minnesota. Potential N<sub>2</sub>O production rates ranged from 0 to 1.7  $\mu$ g N $(g \text{ sed})^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ , with the highest average rates measured in the set of ponds sampled in Baltimore, Maryland.  $N_2O$  yield was below 20% (mean = 4%; median  $= 1\%$ ) for all cities except for Portland, Oregon (maximum = 39%), and Minneapolis, Minnesota (maximum  $= 22\%$ ). We observed no consistent relationship between urban land cover adjacent to the ponds and potential  $N_2$  or  $N_2O$ production in pond sediments (Appendix S1: Fig. S2). Median copies of the *nosZ* gene in sediments ranged from  $7 \times 10^6$  copies/g sed in Salt Lake City, Utah, to  $368 \times 10^6$  copies/g sed in Baltimore, Maryland (Table 2). nosZ abundance was highly variable within cities, but Salt Lake City, Utah, tended to have the least denitrifiers with the gene in pond sediments (Appendix S1: Fig. S3). The proportion of adjacent urban land cover was only correlated with reduced nosZ abundance in Durham, North Carolina (Table 2).

No single variable explained more than 22% of the observed variation in either  $N_2$  or  $N_2O$ production potential and no single variable explained any significant variation in measured N<sub>2</sub>O yields (Table 3). The only land cover metric that was individually correlated with a denitrification product was the ratio of watershed area to pond area (WA:PA), which explained a small amount of the variation in  $N_2$  production  $(P = 0.045, R<sub>adj</sub><sup>2</sup> = 0.13)$ . All substrate variables except sediment %OM were weakly positively related to  $N_2$  production rates, yet there were no significant correlations between any measured substrate variable and the observed variation in N<sub>2</sub>O production (Table 3). For stressors, only As concentrations were correlated (negatively) with  $\rm N_2O$  production ( $P = 0.03$ ,  $R^2_{\rm adj} = 0.14$ ) and only Cu concentrations were correlated (negatively) with  $N_2$  production (P = 0.04,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2$  $= 0.13$ ). Porewater pH was the strongest negative predictor of N<sub>2</sub>O production (*P* = 0.02,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2$  = 0.15). Single variable regression models predicting nosZ abundance explained at most 22% of its variance and had predictor variables in common



Fig. 2. Potential N<sub>2</sub>O–N and N<sub>2</sub> production (left y-axis) and N<sub>2</sub>O yield (right y-axis) within each study metropolitan area (same abbreviations as Fig. 1).

ECOSPHERE ❖ www.esajournals.org 9 June 2018 ❖ Volume 9(6) ❖ Article e02318

					$N_2$		$N_2O-N$		$N2O$ Yield		nosZ	
Category	Variable	Source	Units	df	$+/-$	$R_{\text{adj}}^2$	$+/-$	$R_{\text{adj}}^2$	$+/-$	$R_{\text{adj}}^2$	$+/-$	$R_{\text{adj}}^2$
Potential	$N_2$	I	$\mu$ g N·g sed <sup>-1</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup>	62								
Denitrif.	$N_2O-N$	I	$\mu$ g N·g sed <sup>-1</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup>	62	$^{+}$	0.09						
	N <sub>2</sub> O Yield	I	% Total N	62	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.08	$^{+}$	$0.49***$				
	nosZ	S	$10^6$ copies/g sed	58	$^{+}$	$0.18**$	$^{+}$	0.12	$^{+}$	0.00	$\ddot{\phantom{0}}$	
Substrates	$%$ OM	S	$%$ mass	62	$^{+}$	0.06	$^{+}$	0.10	$^{+}$	0.00	$^{+}$	0.06
	DOC	PW	mg C/kg sed	62	$^{+}$	$0.19**$	$^{+}$	0.00	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.05	$^{+}$	0.08
	<b>TDN</b>	PW	mg N/kg sed	62	$^{+}$	$0.22**$	$^{+}$	0.01	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.01	$^{+}$	0.12
	$NO3 - N$	PW	mg N/kg sed	62	$^{+}$	$0.19**$	$^{+}$	0.02	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.00	$^{+}$	0.01
	$NH_4^+$ -N	PW	mg N/kg sed	59	$^{+}$	$0.19**$	$^{+}$	0.07	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.00	$^{+}$	$0.22**$
Stressors	Cl	PW	mg/kg sed	62	$^{+}$	0.06	$^{+}$	0.00	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.03	$^{+}$	0.00
	SO <sub>4</sub>	PW	mg/kg sed	62	$^{+}$	0.02	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.04	$^{+}$	0.05
	Zn	S	mg/gC	61	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.02	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.01	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.06
	Pb	S	mg/gC	61	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	0.07
	Cu	S	mg/g C	61	$\qquad \qquad -$	$0.13*$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.04	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00		0.08
	As	S	$\mu$ g/g C	61	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.12	$\qquad \qquad -$	$0.14*$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	0.01	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0.14*$
Microbial	<b>SIR</b>	S	$\mu g C - CO_2 (g \text{ sed})^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$	62	$^{+}$	0.00	$^{+}$	0.08	$^{+}$	0.07	$^{+}$	$0.17*$
Sediment	pH	S	$-\log([H+])$	61	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.00	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0.15*$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.10	$^{+}$	0.00
and pond	<b>BD</b>	S	g/mL	62	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.01	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.05	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.01	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	0.00
properties	WA:PA	$\overline{A}$	ratio	60	$^{+}$	$0.13*$	$^{+}$	0.01	$\qquad \qquad$	0.00	$^{+}$	$0.22**$

Table 3. Summary of log-transformed linear regression models among potential denitrification rates, nitrous oxide reducer abundance, and explanatory variables.

Notes: Variable abbreviations include gaseous nitrogen  $(N_2)$ , nitrous oxide  $(N_2O-N)$ ,  $N_2O$  Yield (calculated as  $N_2O-N$ )  $(N_2+N_2O-N)$ ), bulk density (BD), the ratio of watershed area to pond area (WA:PA), and those listed in Table 1. The source of the measurement is listed as I, incubation; S, sediment; PW, porewater, and A, area. All simple linear regression results are based on log-log relationships, except for pH and bulk density. Any adjusted  $R^2 (R_{\text{adj}}^2)$  v indicated with  ${}^*P$  < 0.05,  ${}^{**}P$  < 0.01,  ${}^{***}P$  < 0.001.

with both potential  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  production (Table 3).  $\hat{NH}_4^+$ –N and WA:PA were the strongest individual predictor variables ( $P = 0.003$ and 0.002,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2 = 0.22$  and 0.22, respectively). Unlike either denitrification potential product, SIR rates were positively related to nosZ abundance ( $P = 0.01$ ,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2 = 0.17$ ).  $nosZ$  abundance was correlated (positively) with  $N_2$  ( $P = 0.008$ ,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2$  = 0.18) but not N<sub>2</sub>O potential production  $(P = 0.07, R<sub>adj</sub><sup>2</sup> = 0.12).$ 

Bi-directional stepwise multiple regression with automated variable selection by AIC on subsets of explanatory variables revealed different multivariate controls on potential  $N_2$  and N2O production (Table 4). The substrate submodel of  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup>-N and  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup>-N accounted for 33% of the variation in  $N_2$  production, which performed better than both the stressor  $(P = 0.0003, R_{\text{adj}}^2 = 0.23)$  and sediment and pond properties ( $P = 0.002$ ,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2 = 0.13$ ) submodels. After the combination of the four submodels and another round of bi-directional stepwise AIC variable selection, 46% of the variation was accounted for and  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup>-N was the most important predictor variable (Table 4). In contrast to the best predictor submodels of  $N_2$ , the stressor and sediment and pond properties submodels accounted for the most variability in potential N<sub>2</sub>O production (*P* = 0.001 and 0.0003,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2$  = 0.18 and 0.21, respectively; Table 4). The combined submodels performed worse at predicting  $N_2O$  potential production than  $N_2$ , and only explained 25% of the variation. In order of relative importance, pH, bulk density, and sediment As concentrations emerged as the best predictors in the combined model.

Only the stressor and sediment and pond properties multiple linear regression submodels explained more of the variation in nosZ abundance than any single variable model after a bi-directional stepwise procedure ( $P = 0.0002$  and <0.0001,  $R_{\text{adj}}^2$  = 0.24 and 0.29, respectively; Table 4). Land cover represented by WA:PA was the strongest predictor variable in the final combined model

ECOSPHERE ❖ www.esajournals.org 10 June 2018 ❖ Volume 9(6) ❖ Article e02318

Rate and abundance models	AIC selected models		P	К	AIC	$AIC_c$	Rejected variables
Potential $N_2$ production Submodels							
Substrates	$0.43 \times NO_3^-$ + 0.23 $\times$ NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> + 1.04	0.33	< 0.0001	2	$-6.7$	$-6.5$	DOC, %OM
<b>Stressors</b>	$-0.46 \times$ As + 0.23 $\times$ Cl <sup>-</sup> + 0.22 $\times$ $Pb + 2.36$	0.23	0.0003	3	$-1.3$	$-0.9$	$SO_4^{2-}$
Microbial	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	$\Omega$	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>SIR</b>
Soil & pond properties	$0.28 \times WA:PA + 0.21$	0.13	0.0024	1	7.8	7.9	pH, BD
Combined submodel	$0.45 \times NO_3^-$ + 0.20 $\times$ NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> + 0.12 $\times$ $WA:PA$ - 0.25 $\times$ As + 0.22 $\times$ $Pb + 1.93$	0.46	< 0.0001	5	$-14.7$	$-13.6$	$Cl^{-}$
Potential N <sub>2</sub> O production							
Submodels							
Substrates	$0.57 \times \%$ OM - 4.40	0.10	0.0058	1	95.7	95.8	$NH4+, NO3-, DOC$
<b>Stressors</b>	$-0.94 \times$ As + 0.48 $\times$ Pb + 1.12	0.18 0.08	0.0012	2	89.4	89.6	$Cl^-$ , $SO_4^2^-$
Microbial	$0.63 \times$ SIR $- 3.87$		0.0120	1	97.0	97.1	<b>NA</b>
Soil & pond properties	$-0.66 \times pH - 2.32 \times BD + 3.68$	0.21	0.0003	$\overline{2}$	86.3	86.5	WA:PA
Combined submodel	$-0.53 \times pH - 1.94 \times BD - 0.39 \times$ $As + 4.07$	0.25	0.0002	3	84.2	84.6	%OM, SIR, Pb
nosZ gene abundance Submodels							
Substrates	$0.51 \times NH_4^+ + 3.57$	0.22	0.0002	1	61.2	61.3	$NO3-$ , %OM, DOC
<b>Stressors</b>	$-0.60 \times As + 0.41 \times SO42- + 5.09$	0.24	0.0002	2	61.3	61.5	$Cl^-$ , Pb
Microbial	$0.72 \times$ SIR + 3.85	0.17	0.0006	$\mathbf{1}$	66.7	66.8	
Soil & Pond Properties	$0.73 \times WA:PA + 0.32 \times pH + 0.03$	0.29	< 0.0001	2	57.1	57.3	<b>BD</b>
Combined submodel	$0.59 \times WA:PA + 0.31 \times SIR - 0.43 \times$ $As + 0.41 \times pH + 1.67$	0.42	< 0.0001	4	46.4	47.2	$NH_4^+$ , $SO_4^{2-}$

Table 4. Multiple linear regression model comparisons.

Notes: Variables and statistical metrics include Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). Only the coefficient estimates (coef.) for individual variables in the final 'Combined Submodels' model, and the same abbreviations as those reported in Table 1 and Table 2. All variables have been log transformed except for pH and bulk density.

which accounted for 42% of the variance in  $nosZ$ gene abundance in pond sediments (Table 4).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Contrary to our expectations, urban stormwater ponds did not support substantially higher potential rates of denitrification or  $N_2O$  production relative to ponds draining less urbanized landscapes. This suggests that despite receiving potentially elevated nutrient loads, the capacity of these hydrologic control structures to remove excess N was still constrained to the same extent by environmental controls as ponds in less developed watersheds. It also suggests that these stormwater ponds are not important sources of the potent greenhouse gas  $N<sub>2</sub>O$ . We found that emissions of  $N_2$  made up >90% of potential gaseous N emissions from most ponds and that

approximately one-third of the variation in  $N_2$ production across all ponds could be explained by differences in the availability of inorganic nitrogen. While  $N_2O$  emissions were highly variable within and across cities, no combination of potential explanatory variables could account for more than 25% of this variation.

Prior work had led us to expect that most urban ponds would have a combination of high nitrogen inputs (Collins et al. 2010) and metal contaminated sediments (Campbell 1994, Mayer et al. 1996, Liebens 2001, Nowell et al. 2013) that might promote high rates of incomplete denitrification. Because we found no strong relationship between the intensity of urban land use surrounding ponds and the ratio of denitrification products  $(N_2O)$ yield) produced by the sediment microbial community, our work calls both of these starting assumptions into question. Neither the availability



Table 5. Ranges in sediment copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and lead (Pb) concentrations in various stormwater controls measures reported in a non-exhaustive review of published literature.

Note: bdl, below detection limit.

† Values approximated from Fig. 3 in Karlsson et al. (2010).

of substrates (inorganic N and DOC) nor the concentrations of stressors (metals) were consistently related to surrounding land use across ponds. Instead, we measured a great deal of variation across all of the ponds and found, similar to Baalousha et al. (2016), that even ponds surrounded by significant buffers of undeveloped land were receiving measurable quantities of urban pollutants via direct deposition.

The range of sediment Cu, Zn, and Pb concentrations reported in this study fell within the range of those found in other stormwater ponds (Table 5; Nightingale 1975, 1987, Wigington et al. 1983, Yousef et al. 1990, Mayer et al. 1996, Bishop et al. 2000, Liebens 2001, Karouna-Renier and Sparling 2001, Dechesne et al. 2004, Scher et al. 2004, Sparling et al. 2004, Casey et al. 2005, Clozel et al. 2006, Camponelli et al. 2010, Karlsson et al. 2010, Gallagher et al. 2011, El-Mufleh et al. 2014). The majority of ponds (56%) had sediment metal concentrations that were below the freshwater sediment threshold effect concentrations (TECs) believed to be the lower limit of toxicity to benthic macroinvertebrates and other sediment-dwelling organisms (Table 6, MacDonald et al. 2000). However, five of the 64 ponds exceeded the Probable Effect Concentrations (PECs) for at least one urban Table 6. A comparison of sediment metal concentrations reported in this study to consensus-based threshold effects concentrations (TEC) and probable effects concentrations (PEC) for sediment-dwelling organisms established by MacDonald et al. (2000).



metal, and 27 were between the recommended TEC and PEC levels (Table 6). Pollution in this small subset of stormwater ponds likely reduces their potential value as suitable habitat that promotes aquatic biodiversity within cities (Oertli 2018), turning them instead into ecological traps for pollution intolerant species (Clevenot et al. 2018).

Although we expected current rates of both nutrient and pollutant loading to be related to land cover, these loads might not have translated into predictable shifts in sediment chemistry because of differences in the age of ponds and nearby urban development, retention time, groundwater exchange (Hall et al. 2016, Gabor et al. 2017), and the imprint of legacy pollutants from agricultural or industrial activity. In addition, differences in current management strategies (such as lawn fertilization around ponds or the addition of Cu as an algaecide) among commercial, residential, and industrial urban land uses may have resulted in variable chemical loading. What is clear is that the chemistry of urban pond sediments was not as consistently altered by urbanization as has been observed for streams. The land cover metric of the ratio of watershed area to pond area (WA:PA) played a surprisingly important role in predicting both potential  $N_2$  production and *nosZ* gene abundance. This ratio may be a better indicator of the delivery of limiting resources to the ponds in this study than the concentrations we measured in the snapshot sediment samples.

#### Variation in  $N_2$

Because we did not find the expected relationship between the extent of urbanization and pond porewater and sediment chemistry, we abandoned our initial plan to compare highly urbanized and green space protected ponds to one another and instead examined the potential for substrate, stressor, and land cover factors to explain the observed variation across all ponds in the production of  $N_2$ and  $N_2O$  and in the abundance of  $nosZ$  genes. As anticipated, porewater  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$  was the single strongest predictor of potential  $N_2$  production. Increasing  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$  availability in the permanently anaerobic sediments of stormwater ponds has been previously shown to stimulate denitrifier activity in Baltimore (Groffman et al. 2005, Bettez and Groffman 2012), and our regression analysis indicated that this holds across many cities. We were therefore surprised that  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$  was unrelated to potential  $N_2O$  production,  $N_2O$  yield, or nosZ gene abundance.

We found limited evidence for our hypothesis that sediment metal contamination might reduce denitrification efficiency. Only sediment Cu concentrations were negatively correlated with potential  $N_2$  production in single factor regression analysis, yet this interaction was a weaker predictor than the highly correlated sediment As and Pb concentrations and therefore not included in the multiple regression analysis. Indeed, our best model to predict  $N_2$  variation uncovered a positive association between Pb and potential rates of  $N_2$  production. While this is certainly not a strong test of the role of metal contaminants in affecting denitrification, it does suggest that metals are not a primary constraint on denitrification rates. Similarly, while high  $Cl^-$  concentrations have been shown to inhibit denitrification in urban stream sediments (Hale and Groffman 2006), we found no evidence that  $Cl^-$  levels were an important constraint on denitrification potential or denitrifier abundance. It is possible that denitrifier communities in most of the ponds in this study were resilient to stressors such as Cl and metals (Perryman et al. 2011a) because of a history of previous exposure and adaptation.

## Variation in N<sub>2</sub>O

A survey of streams throughout the continental United States found the highest  $N_2O$  emissions from streams draining urbanized landscapes (Beaulieu et al. 2011). We did not find any direct evidence of the same trend in potential  $N_2O$  emissions from urban pond sediments (Appendix S1: Fig. S2). Porewater pH was the strongest predictor of potential  $N_2O$  production, with higher pH associated with declining  $N_2O$  production (Table 3). Sediment pH can act as a distal control on rates of denitrification by altering denitrifier community composition and diversity (Wallenstein et al. 2006). The majority of the ponds we sampled had  $N_2O$  yields  $\leq 10\%$ , which was comparable to the yields previously reported for streams by Beaulieu et al. (2011). We found only eight ponds (13% of 64 ponds) that had  $N_2O$  yields that exceeded 10%. These eight ponds tended to have lower median DOC (59 mg C/kg sed) and  $Cl^-$  (29 mg/kg sed) concentrations in their porewater as compared to the entire dataset, and four of these high yield ponds were in Portland, Oregon.

#### Variation in nosZ gene abundance

Denitrifiers can only produce the nitrous oxide reductase enzyme to reduce gaseous  $N_2O$  to  $N_2$  if they have the necessary nosZ gene. The relative abundance of this gene was clearly not just a function of microbial biomass because we found a relatively weak relationship between SIR (a proxy for microbial biomass) and the abundance of the nosZ gene (Table 3). Instead, the relative abundance of the *nosZ* gene was also responsive to WA:PA, sediment As concentrations, and pH (Table 4). The relatively weak correlation between nosZ gene

abundance and  $N_2$  rates (Table 3) suggested that environmental controls play an important role constraining synthesis of the nitrous oxide reductase enzyme as well. The limited strength of this correlation could also be the result of an incomplete characterization of all possible  $N_2O$  reducers. We did not measure atypical non-denitrifier  $N_2O$ reducers that have been shown to play a significant functional role in  $N_2$  production (Sanford et al. 2012, Van Groenigen et al. 2015). Our best model to predict variation in typical nosZ abundance shared predictor variables with those of both the best  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  models. While each model was distinct, none of them explained more than half of the variation in the response variable. This raises the question whether this unexplained variability in potential denitrification rates and nosZ gene abundance was controlled by common or distinct factors not measured in this study, which could affect niche differentiation among different clades of denitrifiers (Juhanson et al. 2017).

#### Variation across all ponds

When compared to rates measured using the denitrification enzyme assay (DEA) method (Groffman et al. 1999) reported by Bettez and Groffman (2012) from Baltimore, Maryland, the rate of mean potential  $N_2O-N$  production in Baltimore, Maryland  $(0.41 \pm 0.55 \text{ mg N} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1})$ , was lower and more variable than previously found in wet stormwater management control structures  $(1.07 \pm 0.25)$ mg  $N \text{ kg}^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>) in the same city. The mean potential  $N_2O-N$  production rate in Phoenix, Arizona ponds  $(0.03 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$ , was also lower than that reported previously for retention basins in Phoenix, Arizona (0.1–2.8 mg N  $kg^{-1} h^{-1}$ ) by Larson and Grimm (2012). This might be expected because the acetylene in DEA assays blocks the reduction of  $N_2O$  to  $N_2$  and causes a greater accumulation of  $N_2O$ , but it is unclear whether acetylene more broadly inhibits denitrification. By measuring  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  simultaneously without acetylene inhibition and by using the same technique for all ponds, we compared the variability in pond sediment denitrification potential within and across U.S. cities without the methodological artifacts that arise from comparing denitrification rates among studies.

Our results suggest that physiographic and climatic factors could have an influence on the potential denitrification rates of stormwater ponds. Western cities (Phoenix, Arizona, Portland, Oregon, and Salt Lake City, Utah) had lower potential  $N_2$ production rates relative to eastern cities (Fig. 2). However, the three western cities did not have any unique measured characteristics shared among all three relative to eastern cities that might explain this difference. Only Salt Lake City, Utah, had distinctly lower nosZ abundance that might explain the reduction in potential  $N_2$  production (Appendix S1: Fig. S3). While broad survey studies such as this study give us a better understanding of the range of possible potential denitrification rates, they are limited in their ability to measure and predict the complex set of factors that drive denitrification.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

In the most geographically distributed survey to date of denitrification potential in urban ponds in the United States, we found enormous chemical and biophysical variation (over two orders of magnitude) and a limited ability to explain denitrification potential as a function of land use or sediment or porewater chemistry. There was no single and simple link between the intensity of urban land cover and potential denitrification rates. The  $N_2O$  yield from most ponds was within the range of those documented in freshwater bodies draining undeveloped landscapes, leading us to conclude that urban stormwater ponds are not likely to be important sources of  $N_2O$  to the atmosphere, no matter their potential rates of denitrification.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Thank you to Ethan Baruch, Anika Bratt, Dan Dillon, Jacques Finlay, Victoria Green, Jennifer Learned, Tara Trammell, and Megan Wheeler for providing the resources for and/or assistance with field work. Thanks to Brooke Hassett and Brynn O'Donnell for assistance with sample processing at Duke. At Virginia Tech, thanks to Julie Burger, Jinshi Jian, and Lucas Waller for assistance with sample processing and Jefferey Parks for ICP-MS analysis. Thank you to Dean Urban, Marie Simonin, the Bernhardt lab group, and the Duke River Center for helpful feedback on ideas and early drafts of the manuscript. This research was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation's Macrosystems Biology program (NSF EF-1065785) and by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (NSF GDE-1644868). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

## **LITERATURE CITED**

- Baalousha, M., Y. Yang, M. E. Vance, B. P. Colman, S. McNeal, J. Xu, J. Blaszczak, M. Steele, E. Bernhardt, and M. F. Hochella. 2016. Outdoor urban nanomaterials: the emergence of a new, integrated, and critical field of study. Science of the Total Environment 557–558:740–753.
- Beaulieu, J. J., et al. 2011. Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream and river networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 108:214–219.
- Bernhardt, E. S., L. E. Band, C. J. Walsh, and P. E. Berke. 2008. Understanding, managing, and minimizing urban impacts on surface water nitrogen loading. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1134:61–96.
- Bernhardt, E. S., J. R. Blaszczak, C. D. Ficken, M. L. Fork, K. E. Kaiser, and E. C. Seybold. 2017. Control points in ecosystems: moving beyond the hot spot hot moment concept. Ecosystems 20:665–682.
- Bettez, N. D., and P. M. Groffman. 2012. Denitrification potential in stormwater control structures and natural riparian zones in an urban landscape. Environmental Science and Technology 46:10909–10917.
- Bishop, C. A., J. Struger, L. J. Shirose, L. Dunn, and G. D. Campbell. 2000. Contamination and wildlife communities in stormwater detention ponds in Guelph and the Part I: wildlife communities. Water Quality Research Journal of Canada 35:437–474.
- Bissett, A., M. Brown, S. Siciliano, and P. Thrall. 2013. Microbial community responses to anthropogenically induced environmental change: towards a systems approach. Ecology Letters 16:128–139.
- Campbell, K. R. 1994. Concentrations of heavy metals associated with urban runoff in fish living in stormwater treatment ponds. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 27:352–356.
- Camponelli, K. M., S. M. Lev, J. W. Snodgrass, E. R. Landa, and R. E. Casey. 2010. Chemical fractionation of Cu and Zn in stormwater, roadway dust and stormwater pond sediments. Environmental Pollution 158:2143–2149.
- Carter, M. R. 1993. Soil sampling and methods of analysis. Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Casey, R. E., A. N. Shaw, L. R. Massal, and J. W. Snodgrass. 2005. Multimedia evaluation of trace metal distribution within stormwater retention ponds in

suburban Maryland, USA. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 74:273–280.

- Clevenot, L., C. Carré, and P. Pech. 2018. A review of the factors that determine whether stormwater ponds are ecological traps and/or high-quality breeding sites for amphibians. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 6:40.
- Clozel, B., V. Ruban, C. Durand, and P. Conil. 2006. Origin and mobility of heavy metals in contaminated sediments from retention and infiltration ponds. Applied Geochemistry 21:1781–1798.
- Collins, K. A., T. J. Lawrence, E. K. Stander, R. J. Jontos, S. S. Kaushal, T. A. Newcomer, N. B. Grimm, and M. L. Cole Ekberg. 2010. Opportunities and challenges for managing nitrogen in urban stormwater: a review and synthesis. Ecological Engineering 36:1507–1519.
- Dechesne, M., S. Barraud, and J. P. Bardin. 2004. Spatial distribution of pollution in an urban stormwater infiltration basin. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 72:189–205.
- El-Mufleh, A., B. Bechet, V. Ruban, M. Legret, B. Clozel, S. Barraud, C. Gonzalez-Merchan, J.-P. Bedell, and C. Delolme. 2014. Review on physical and chemical characterizations of contaminated sediments from urban stormwater infiltration basins within the framework of the French observatory for urban hydrology (SOERE URBIS). Environmental Science and Pollution Research 21:5329–5346.
- Eyre, B. D., S. Rysgaard, T. Dalsgaard, and P. B. Christensen. 2002. Comparison of isotope pairing and N2: Ar methods for measuring sediment denitrification. Estuaries 25:1077–1087.
- Fierer, N., J. P. Schimel, and P. A. Holden. 2003. Variations in microbial community composition through two soil depth profiles. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35:167–176.
- Firestone, M. K., R. B. Firestone, and J. M. Tiedje. 1980. Nitrous oxide from soil denitrification: factors controlling its biological production. Science 208:749–751.
- Fork, M. L., and J. B. Heffernan. 2014. Direct and indirect effects of dissolved organic matter source and concentration on denitrification in Northern Florida Rivers. Ecosystems 17:14–28.
- Gabor, R. S., et al. 2017. Persistent urban influence on surface water quality via impacted groundwater. Environmental Science and Technology 51:9477–9487.
- Gallagher, M. T., J. W. Snodgrass, D. R. Ownby, A. B. Brand, and R. E. Casey. 2011. Watershed-scale analysis of pollutant distributions in stormwater management ponds. Urban Ecosystems 14:469–484.
- Groffman, P. M., A. M. Dorsey, and P. M. Mayer. 2005. N processing within geomorphic structures in urban streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 24:613–625.
- Groffman, P. M., E. A. Holland, D. D. Myrold, G. P. Robertson, and X. Zou. 1999. Denitrification. Pages 272–290 in G. P. Robertson, C. S. Bledsoe, D. C. Coleman and P. Sollins, editors. Standard soil methods for long-term ecological research. Oxford University Press, New York, New York, USA.
- Hale, R. L., and P. M. Groffman. 2006. Chloride effects on nitrogen dynamics in forested and suburban stream debris dams. Journal of Environmental Quality 35:2425–2432.
- Hall, S. J., S. R. Weintraub, D. Eiriksson, P. D. Brooks, M. A. Baker, G. J. Bowen, and D. R. Bowling. 2016. Stream nitrogen inputs reflect groundwater across a snowmelt-dominated montane to urban watershed. Environmental Science and Technology 50:1137–1146.
- Hamme, R. C., and S. R. Emerson. 2004. The solubility of neon, nitrogen and argon in distilled water and seawater. Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 51:1517–1528.
- Holmes, R. M., A. Aminot, R. Kérouel, B. A. Hooker, and B. J. Peterson. 1999. A simple and precise method for measuring ammonium in marine and freshwater ecosystems. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 56:1801–1808.
- Holtan-Hartwig, L., M. Bechmann, T. Risnes Høyås, R. Linjordet, and L. Reier Bakken. 2002. Heavy metals tolerance of soil denitrifying communities:  $N_2O$ dynamics. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34:1181– 1190.
- Homer, C. G., J. A. Dewitz, L. Yang, S. Jin, P. Danielson, G. Xian, J. Coulston, N. D. Herold, J. D. Wickham, and K. Megown. 2015. Completion of the 2011 National Land Cover Database for the conterminous United States-Representing a decade of land cover change information. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing 81:345–354.
- Juhanson, J., S. Hallin, M. Söderström, M. Stenberg, and C. M. Jones. 2017. Spatial and phyloecological analyses of nosZ genes underscore niche differentiation amongst terrestrial  $N_2O$  reducing communities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 115:82–91.
- Kana, T. M., C. Darkangelo, M. D. Hunt, J. B. Oldham, G. E. Bennett, and J. C. Cornwell. 1994. Membrane inlet mass spectrometer for rapid high-precision determination of  $N_2$ ,  $O_2$ , and Ar in environmental water samples. Analytical Chemistry 66:4166–4170.
- Karlsson, K., M. Viklander, L. Scholes, and M. Revitt. 2010. Heavy metal concentrations and toxicity in water and sediment from stormwater ponds and sedimentation tanks. Journal of Hazardous Materials 178:612–618.
- Karouna-Renier, N. K., and D. W. Sparling. 2001. Relationships between ambient geochemistry, watershed land-use and trace metal concentrations in

aquatic invertebrates living in stormwater treatment ponds. Environmental Pollution 112:183–192.

- Larson, E. K., and N. B. Grimm. 2012. Small-scale and extensive hydrogeomorphic modification and water redistribution in a desert city and implications for regional nitrogen removal. Urban Ecosystems 15:71–85.
- Liebens, J. 2001. Heavy metal contamination of sediments in stormwater management systems: the effect of land use, particle size, and age. Environmental Geology 41:341–351.
- MacDonald, D. D., C. G. Ingersoll, and T. A. Berger. 2000. Development and evaluation of consensusbased sediment quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 39:20–31.
- Makepeace, D. K. 1995. Urban stormwater quality: summary of contaminant data. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 25:93–139.
- Mayer, T., J. Marsalek, and E. D. Reyes. 1996. Nutrients and metal contaminants status of urban stormwater ponds. Lake and Reservoir Management 12:348–363.
- Morse, J. L., M. Ardón, and E. S. Bernhardt. 2012. Using environmental variables and soil processes to forecast denitrification potential and nitrous oxide fluxes in coastal plain wetlands across different land uses. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 117:G02023.
- National Research Council. 2009. Urban stormwater management in the United States. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Nightingale, H. I. 1975. Lead, zinc, and copper in soils of urban storm-water retention basins. Journal of the American Water Works Association 67:443– 446.
- Nightingale, H. I. 1987. Accumulation of As, Ni, Cu, and Pb in retention and recharge basin soils from urban runoff. Water Resources Bulletin 23:663–672.
- Nowell, L. H., P. W. Moran, R. J. Gilliom, D. L. Calhoun, C. G. Ingersoll, N. E. Kemble, K. M. Kuivila, and P. J. Phillips. 2013. Contaminants in stream sediments from seven United States metropolitan areas: part I: distribution in relation to urbanization. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 64:32–51.
- Oertli, B. 2018. Editorial: Freshwater biodiversity conservation: the role of artificial ponds in the 21st century. Aquatic Conservation: marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 28:264–269.
- Omernik, J. M. 1987. Map supplement: Ecoregions of the conterminous United States. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 77:118–125.
- Perryman, S. E., G. N. Rees, and M. R. Grace. 2011a. Sediment bacterial community structure and function in response to C and Zn amendments: urban

ECOSPHERE ❖ www.esajournals.org 16 June 2018 ❖ Volume 9(6) ❖ Article e02318

and nonurban streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 30:951–962.

- Perryman, S. E., G. N. Rees, C. J. Walsh, and M. R. Grace. 2011b. Urban stormwater runoff drives denitrifying community composition through changes in sediment texture and carbon content. Microbial Ecology 61:932–940.
- Pohlert, T. 2014. The pairwise multiple comparison of mean ranks package (PMCMR). [https://cran.r-pro](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/PMCMR/index.html) [ject.org/web/packages/PMCMR/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/PMCMR/index.html)
- PRISM Climate Group, O. S. U. 2017. PRISM Climate Group.<http://prism.oregonstate.edu>
- R Core Team. 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ravishankara, A. R., J. S. Daniel, and R. W. Portmann. 2009. Nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ : the dominant ozonedepleting substance emitted in the 21st century. Science 326:123–125.
- Sanford, R. A., et al. 2012. Unexpected nondenitrifier nitrous oxide reductase gene diversity and abundance in soils. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 109:19709–19714.
- Scala, D. J., and L. J. Kerkhof. 1998. Nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) gene specific primers for the detection of denitrifiers and the three nosZ genes from marine sediments. FEMS Microbiology Letters 162:61–68.
- Scher, O., P. Chavaren, M. Despreaux, and A. Thiéry. 2004. Highway stormwater detention ponds as biodiversity islands? Archives des Sciences 57:121–130.
- Sparling, D. W., J. D. Eisemann, and W. Kuenzel. 2004. Contaminant exposure and effects in red-winged blackbirds inhabiting stormwater retention ponds. Environmental Management 33:719–729.
- Steele, M. K., et al. 2014. Convergent surface water distributions in U.S. cities. Ecosystems 17:685–697.
- UNEP GEMS. 2008. Water quality for ecosystem and human health. Second edition. UNEP GEMS, Burlington, Ontario, Canada.
- US EPA. 2004. The National Water Quality Inventory: Report to Congress for the 2004 reporting cycle – A profile. US EPA, Washington, D.C., USA.
- US EPA. 2007. Method 3051A, revision 1, February 2007, final update III to the third edition of the test methods for evaluating solid waste, physical/chemical methods, EPA publication SW-846. US EPA, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Van Groenigen, J. W., D. Huygens, P. Boeckx, T. W. Kuyper, I. M. Lubbers, T. Rutting, and P. M. Groffman. 2015. The soil N cycle: new insights and key challenges. Soil 1:235–256.
- Wallenstein, M. D., D. D. Myrold, M. Firestone, and M. Voytek. 2006. Environmental controls on denitrifying communities and denitrification rates: insights from molecular methods. Ecological Applications 16:2143–2152.
- Wang, S.-Y., E. B. Sudduth, M. D. Wallenstein, J. P. Wright, and E. S. Bernhardt. 2011. Watershed urbanization alters the composition and function of stream bacterial communities. PLoS ONE 6: e22972.
- Weier, K. L., J. W. Doran, J. F. Power, and D. T. Walters. 1993. Denitrification and the dinitrogen/nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57:66–72.
- West, A. W., and G. P. Sparling. 1986. Modifications to the substrate-induced respiration method to permit measurement of microbial biomass in soils of differing water contents. Journal of Microbiological Methods 5:177–189.
- Wigington, P. J., C. W. Randall, and T. J. Grizzard. 1983. Accumulation of selected trace metals in soils of urban runoff detention basins. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association 19:709–718.
- Yousef, Y. A., T. Hvitved-Jacobsen, H. H. Harper, and L. Y. Lin. 1990. Heavy metal accumulation and transport through detention ponds receiving highway runoff. Science of the Total Environment 93:433–440.
- Zhu, W. X., N. D. Dillard, and N. B. Grimm. 2004. Urban nitrogen biogeochemistry: status and processes in green retention basins. Biogeochemistry 71:177–196.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online at: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.2318/full) [2318/full](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.2318/full)