

Portland State University

PDXScholar

Mechanical and Materials Engineering Faculty
Publications and Presentations

Mechanical and Materials Engineering

2020

Hot Deformation Data for Haynes 214, Haynes 230 and Inconel 740H

Benjamin Adam
Oregon State University

Julie Tucker
Portland State University

Graham Tewksbury
Oregon State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/mengin_fac



Part of the [Materials Science and Engineering Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Citation Details

Adam, B., Tucker, J., & Tewksbury, G. (2020). Hot deformation data for Haynes 214, Haynes 230 and Inconel 740H. *Data in brief*, 28, 104923.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mechanical and Materials Engineering Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. For more information, please contact pdxscholar@pdx.edu.



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Hot deformation data for Haynes 214, Haynes 230 and Inconel 740H

Benjamin Adam ^{a, b, *}, Julie Tucker ^b, Graham Tewksbury ^a^a Department of Mechanical, Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331, USA^b Mechanical and Materials Engineering Department, Portland State University, Portland, OR, 97201, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 November 2019

Received in revised form 21 November 2019

Accepted 27 November 2019

Available online 12 December 2019

<https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/rpr7yykzx8/3>

Keywords:

Hot compression

Ni-based superalloys

Dynamic material modelling

Processing maps

Dynamic recrystallization

Microstructural evolution

ABSTRACT

This article presents the datasets gathered for the hot processing of three Ni-based superalloys intended for A-USC application, Haynes 214, Haynes 230 and Inconel 740H. Isothermal compression tests were conducted with a Gleeble 3500 at temperatures between 1000 °C and 1200 °C and strain rates between 0.01/s and 1/s to a full true strain of 0.7. The obtained true stress-true strain curves were used as basis for hot processing maps, linking temperature, stress and strain rate. Subsequently, all samples were sectioned through the geometric centre to provide microstructural information, captured using EBSD, as well as EDX for the evolution of secondary phases. Thermodynamic modelling was performed to validate compositions and mass fraction data from EDX measurements. These combined datasets help in understanding the deformation behaviour of a selected range of superalloys, under commercial processing conditions, aiding in process design optimizations and improvements. For complete interpretation of the data the reader should refer to the related publication “Comparative study of the hot processing behavior in advanced Ni-based superalloys for use in A-USC applications”.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.152907>.

* Corresponding author. Department of Mechanical, Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 97331, USA.

E-mail address: badam@pdx.edu (B. Adam).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104923>

2352-3409/© 2019 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Specifications Table

Subject	Metals and Alloys
Specific subject area	Hot processing behaviour of Ni-based superalloys
Type of data	Table Image Graph Figure
How data were acquired	Gleeble 3500; Zeiss Sigma 30kV VP FEG-SEM; Oxford Nanolys EBSD; Oxford EDX; Microsoft Excel; Originlab OriginPro 2018; Aztec 4.1; Channel5 Tango; ThermoCalc 2015; Overleaf Latex
Data format	Raw stress-strain, load-stroke ASCII data, '.d0x' type files. Computational data from ThermoCalc in the form of '.jpg' files and '.xlsx' Excel files
Parameters for data collection	JPG and PNG files of images and figures in Microsoft Powerpoint presentation Temperature 1000 °C–1200 °C in 50 °C steps; strain rates 0.01/s, 0.1/s, 1/s; true strain of 0.7
Description of data collection	Stress-strain data was acquired using an LVDT gauge and a loadcell, temperature was collected using a spot-welded type-K thermocouple
Data source location	Institution: Portland State University
Data accessibility	City/Town/Region: Portland, OR Country: United States Repository name: Mendeley Data identification number: 10.17632/rpr7yykzx8.1 Direct URL to data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/rpr7yykzx8/1
Related research article	Benjamin M. Adam, Julie D. Tucker, Graham Tewksbury Comparative study of the hot processing behavior in advanced Ni-based superalloys for use in A-USC applications Journal of Alloys and Compounds

Value of the Data

- The data obtained from hot deformation testing and microstructural observations allows for a more complete understanding of the complex hot processing behaviour of some advanced Ni-based superalloys.
- Both academic and industrial users of this type of material, interested in the hot processing behavior
- This data will be useful as baseline reference and comparison for similar studies as well as potential aid in optimization of material processing conditions of these materials.

1. Data

The true stress–true strain curves for are shown in combined graphs for each alloy and strain rate, with all 5 test temperatures in one graph. The EBSD data is split up in bandcontrast and inverse pole figure images, both saved in slides in powerpoint files, one image per processing condition. The property phase diagrams obtained with the ThermoCalc software are split up in separate images, for all stable phases, for the weight fraction and mole fraction of elements within each stable phase, for each alloy based on their as-received composition. Further, the compositions of each stable phase over temperature is saved as excel data files.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

The chamber atmosphere was controlled by evacuating to 1×10^{-2} mTorr and subsequent back-filling with research-grade argon gas. The heating rate was $2.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C s}^{-1}$, samples were soaked at the test temperature for 30 s, to ensure thermal equilibrium, during which the temperature was maintained. Adequate lubrication between the tungsten-carbide cobalt anvils and the samples was maintained using 0.125 mm thick graphite foil. After the deformation was done, samples remained in the chamber and cooled naturally by heat conduction through the anvils and heat convection through the gas. Using recorded data from attached thermocouples, the cooling rate was determined to be around $60 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for the first few hundred degrees.

Adiabatic heating corrections have been carried out in order to correct for the effect of additional heat on the flow stress curve, as compared to ideal isothermal conditions. This is an expected effect at higher strain rates, seen by various authors [1–3]. Various correction methods are proposed in the literature, including studies involving numerical solutions using FEM-simulations of hot-compression tests [4] and complex iterative approaches [5]. However, the more commonly used approach is shown in Eq. (5) [6,7].

$$\Delta T = \eta \alpha \int (\sigma d\epsilon) / (\rho C_p)$$

Here, σ and ϵ are the stress and strain values recorded from the compression tests. α corresponds to the amount of deformation energy transformed into heat, which is usually assumed to be 0.95–0.98, while η stands for the adiabatic correction factor. H is assumed to change between $\eta = 0$ for strain rates $\leq 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\eta = 1$ for strain rates $\geq 10^1 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The integral $\int \sigma d\epsilon$ represents the area underneath the uncorrected stress-strain curve, ρ is the material density of 8.05 g cm^{-3} for Inconel 740H and Haynes 214, and 8.97 g cm^{-3} for Haynes 230. C_p is the temperature-dependent heat capacity in $\text{J (kg } ^\circ\text{C)}^{-1}$, which is defined using a linear fit on the reported specific heat values for the corresponding temperature range from the manufacturer's datasheet [8–10].

Eq. (5) is generally correcting for the amount of heat transferred to the dies during adiabatic heating. In this dataset the values for η have been defined for each strain rate, based upon comparable values from the literature, i.e. for strain rate of 0.01 s^{-1} a value of 0.1, for 0.1 s^{-1} a value of 0.5, and for 1.0 s^{-1} a value of 0.9 [56, 63, 64]. The flow stress can then be corrected by Eq. (6) [11,12].

$$\Delta \sigma = \Delta T (\partial \sigma / \partial T)$$

where by $\partial \sigma / \partial T$ is obtained by plotting the uncorrected flow stress values at low true strain values (here: $\epsilon = 0.02$) against the temperature, and calculating the first derivative of a linear regression to the curve.

All tested samples were cut in half, parallel to the deformation axis, prior to hot-mounting in conductive resin. Sample preparation followed grinding with silicon carbide and stepwise polishing to a final finish of $0.04 \mu\text{m}$ with colloidal silica, and subsequent ultrasonic cleaning with ethanol. Analyses were performed on a Zeiss Sigma 30kV FEG-SEM with an Oxford Instruments Nanolys EBSD detector and an SDD EDX detector for chemical analysis. EDX mapping acquisition settings were a 10 keV spectral range, at 2048 channels, and 60–80 m counts per frame, for 2 frames per samples. Elemental EDX maps were processed in ImageJ/Fiji to optimize contrast and edge sharpness of the precipitates for best particle size detection. EBSD acquisition settings were 8×8 and 8×16 binning at 15 kV, and maps were recorded at a step size of $0.3\text{--}0.4 \mu\text{m}$ at various magnifications to allow for sufficient number of grains per field of view. All post-processing of maps, the grain size analysis and misorientation angle calculation, were performed in HKL Channel5 software.

Noise reduction was performed on EBSD patterns, with removal of wild spikes and zero solutions. Wild spikes are considered single pixels, that have a completely different orientation than the surrounding pixels, if these share a common orientation. Zero solutions are reduced if more than 4 neighboring grains are indexed, then removal and replacement with the neighbor average will occur. Grain reconstruction was based on a 5° minimum grain threshold angle, and minimum grain sizes of 20–200 pixels, depending on the grain size; the thresholds for low angle grain boundaries (LAGB) $\geq 5^\circ$, and $\geq 10^\circ$ for high angle grain boundaries (HAGB), respectively. CSL-type boundaries were excluded from the grain size measurements, using 5° maximum angular deviation for the detection. This is a tighter range than suggested by the commonly employed Brandon's criterion [13], but follows approaches suggested by e.g. Randle [14]. Determination of the fraction of recrystallized grains and the DRX grain size was done using the well-known grain orientation spread (GOS) approach [15–17], which gives the averaged difference of the misorientations between each pixel of a grain and the grain's mean misorientation. The GOS of a recrystallized grain will be much lower than for deformed or substructured grains, the threshold for the present alloys was between 2° and 3° . Grain size determination was performed using the equivalent circle

diameter (ECD) based on statistically significant datasets of many hundreds of grains per field-of-view.

Modeling of the stable phases in equilibrium was performed using ThermoCalc 2015a with the TCNi7.1 database, the stable phase diagrams for all three alloys can be found in the appendix, including a scaled view of the temperature range of interest. For the temperature range used in the mechanical testing, all alloys are predicted to have some amounts of secondary phases stable in equilibrium, except for Haynes 214, which only shows the γ -matrix. Both Haynes 230 and Inconel 740H are predicted to form primary carbides from the melt, stable to temperatures below 1000 °C. For Inconel 740H, this is a (Nb,Ti)C-type carbide, while for Haynes 230 it is a tungsten-rich M₆C-type carbide. In addition, Haynes 230 is predicted to form a M₂₃C₆-type carbide, also with FCCL12-type structure, stable from 1160 °C to below 1000 °C. In addition, due its aging treatment at 800 °C, Inconel 740H is expected to have initially some γ' -phase present, likely to be metastable due to the projected γ' -dissolution temperature of 995 °C.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. George Young at Dominion Engineering in Reston, VA, Mr. Evgueni Poliak at Arcelor Mittal R&D in East Chicago, IN and Dr. Martin Detrois at NETL in Albany, OR, for the valuable help in proofreading and the technical discussions about this paper. Thanks to Brian Baker from Special Metals for technical assistance and important background information.

Thanks to Tony Chen and Greg Baty at the Center for Electron Microscopy and Nanofabrication at Portland State University for assistance with SEM work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] A. Laasraoui, J.J. Jonas, Prediction of steel flow stresses at high temperatures and strain rates, *Metall. Trans. A* 22 (7) (Jul. 1991) 1545–1558.
- [2] Y. Liu, et al., Deformation characteristics of as-received Haynes230 nickel base superalloy, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 497 (1–2) (2008) 283–289.
- [3] H. Jiang, J. Dong, M. Zhang, Z. Yao, Evolution of twins and substructures during low strain rate hot deformation and contribution to dynamic recrystallization in alloy 617B, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 649 (2016) 369–381.
- [4] R.L. Goetz, S.L. Semiatin, The adiabatic correction factor for deformation heating during the uniaxial compression test, *J. Mater. Eng. Perform.* 10 (6) (2001) 710–717.
- [5] J. Castellanos, I. Rieiro, M. Carsí, J. Muñoz, M. El Mehtedi, O.A. Ruano, Analysis of adiabatic heating and its influence on the Garofalo equation parameters of a high nitrogen steel, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 517 (1–2) (2009) 191–196.
- [6] J.C. Zhao, M. Larsen, V. Ravikumar, Phase precipitation and time-temperature-transformation diagram of Hastelloy X, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 293 (1) (2000) 112–119.
- [7] S.L. Semiatin, T. Altan, Measurement and Interpretation of Flow Stress Data for the Simulation of Metal- Forming Processes, 2010. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH.
- [8] I. Haynes® International, HAYNES 214 Alloy, 2010.
- [9] I. Haynes® International, HAYNES ® 230 ® alloy, 2010.
- [10] Special Metals Corporation, Inconel Alloy 740H, 2017.
- [11] H.L. Gegel, J.C. Malas, S.M. Doraivelu, V.A. Shende, in: S.L. Semiatin (Ed.), “Volume 14: Forming and Forging,” in *ASM Handbook Vol. 14, Forming and Forging*, vol. 14, ASM International, 2004.
- [12] C. Devadas, D. Baragar, G. Ruddle, I.V. Samarasekera, E.B. Hawbolt, The thermal and metallurgical state of steel strip during hot rolling: Part II. Factors influencing rolling loads, *Metall. Trans. A* 22 (2) (1991) 321–333.
- [13] D. Brandon, The structure of high-angle grain boundaries, *Acta Metall.* 14 (11) (Nov. 1966) 1479–1484.
- [14] V. Randle, *The Role of Coincidence Site Lattice in Grain Boundary Engineering*, Institute of Materials, 1996.
- [15] S. Mitsche, P. Poelt, C. Sommitsch, Recrystallization behaviour of the nickel-based alloy 80 a during hot forming, *J. Microsc.* 227 (3) (2007) 267–274.
- [16] Y. Cao, H. Di, J. Zhang, J. Zhang, T. Ma, R.D.K. Misra, An electron backscattered diffraction study on the dynamic recrystallization behavior of a nickel-chromium alloy (800H) during hot deformation, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 585 (Nov. 2013) 71–85.
- [17] P. Poelt, C. Sommitsch, S. Mitsche, M. Walter, “Dynamic recrystallization of Ni-base alloys—experimental results and comparisons with simulations, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 420 (1–2) (Mar. 2006) 306–314.