12-15-2023

Enrichment-Planting with Pines Alters Fuel Amount and Structure in Endangered Araucaria Araucana Forests in Northwestern Patagonia, Argentina

Sofia Cingolani

Ignacio A. Mundo

Ivan Barbera

Andrés Holz
Portland State University, andres.holz@pdx.edu

Thomas T. Veblen

See next page for additional authors

Citation Details

Cingolani, S., Mundo, I. A., Barberá, I., Holz, A., Veblen, T. T., & Paritsis, J. (2023). Enrichment-planting with pines alters fuel amount and structure in endangered Araucaria araucana forests in northwestern Patagonia, Argentina. Forest Ecology and Management, 550, 121512.

Authors
Sofia Cingolani, Ignacio A. Mundo, Ivan Barbera, Andrés Holz, Thomas T. Veblen, and Juan Paritsis

Enrichment-planting with pines alters fuel amount and structure in endangered *Araucaria araucana* forests in northwestern Patagonia, Argentina

Sofía Cingolani[a], Ignacio A. Mundo[bc], Iván Barberá[a], Andrés Holz[d], Thomas T. Veblen[e], Juan Paritsis[a]

[a] Instituto de Investigaciones en Biodiversidad y Medioambiente – CONICET – Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Quintral 1250, Bariloche 8400, Argentina

[b] Laboratorio de Dendrocronología e Historia Ambiental, IANIGLA-CONICET, 5500 Mendoza, Argentina

[c] Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, 5502 Mendoza, Argentina

[d] Department of Geography, Portland State University, Portland, OR 97207, United States of America

[e] Department of Geography, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA.

Corresponding author: Sofía Cingolani; sofia.cingolani1996@gmail.com; Pasaje Gutierrez 1125, San Carlos de Bariloche, 8400, Río Negro, Argentina

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- Enrichment planting with pines in *Araucaria araucana* stands changes the structure of the native plant community and increases fuel loads, contributing to increased flammability.

- The transition to crown fires may be more likely in stands where pines have been introduced because they contribute to the vertical continuity of fuels.

- Pines change the composition of dominant species that form the litter and increase the load of fine fuels on the ground.

- Our study on the effects of enrichment planting of pines on flammability suggests that pine invasion into open stands of *A. araucana* may also promote stand-level flammability.

Abstract

The introduction of non-native tree species for large-scale afforestation may alter the fire regime of native ecosystems by modifying fuel proprieties. We quantified changes in fuel amount and structure resulting from the establishment of commercial *Pinus* spp. plantations in *Araucaria araucana* ecosystems in northwestern Patagonia, Argentina. Specifically, we assessed the amount, distribution, and condition (live/dead) of surface and standing fine fuel in *A. araucana* stands with mature pine plantations (*i.e.* > 20 cm dbh) and in stands dominated only by *A. araucana* (control). Our study shows that both types of stands are prone to wildfires, but pine plantations have fuel characteristics that imply greater flammability due to higher fuel load and vertical continuity in the understory and in the overstory canopy. In the absence of fuel mitigation practices, *A. araucana* stands with plantations exhibit greater flammability than the control *A. araucana* stands, potentially promoting the occurrence and spread of fires of greater severity. This study contributes to understanding the effects of enrichment planting of pines, and possibly pine invasions, on *A. araucaria* ecosystem flammability and their potential consequences on fire behavior.

Keywords: fine fuels, non-native, plantation, fuel continuity, flammability.

1. Introduction

Planting of fast-growing tree species for large-scale afforestation is a common way of introducing invasive non-native species to many regions worldwide (Richardson 1998). These introductions contribute significantly to the economies of many countries, but there are also important ecological drawbacks associated with their widespread use in forestry. In afforested areas, non-native species can impact negatively on soil, hydrology, habitat structure, micro-environment, food resources and ecological processes (*e.g.* Lara and Veblen 1992, Céspedes-Payret *et al.* 2012; Armstrong and Van Hensbergen 1996; Scott and Prinsloo 2008; Milkovic, *et al.* 2019; Araujo and Austin 2015; Zaloumis and Bond 2011; Principe et al. 2015). In addition, the traits that make some tree species highly suitable for forestry, like rapid growth rates and early sexual maturation, also allow them as escaped plants to spread quickly and rapidly modify native environments (Richardson 1998; Williams and Wardle 2005). One major concern of non-native tree plantations (*e.g. Pinus* spp. and *Eucalyptus* spp.) is their potential to significantly alter the fire regime of native ecosystems, resulting in community changes and ecosystem-level transformations (Bowman *et al.* 2019, Hermoso *et al.* 2021).

Both planted and invading non-native plants may lead to changes in fuel load and vegetation structure, having crucial implications on fire activity, possibly altering the spread, severity and extent of fire events. A new species in the ecosystem may modify fuel through changes in the amount or spatial arrangement of the fuel load (Dibble and Rees 2005) or it might strongly alter the flammability of the community by contributing allochthonous chemical compounds that may be flammable (Pausas and Keeley, 2014). This may lead to a change in the flammability of the ecosystem (Brooks *et al.* 2004; Mandle *et al.* 2011) and endanger native plants that are adapted to a different fire regime (Keeley *et al.* 2011).

In northwestern (NW) Patagonia (Chile and Argentina), there is a particular type of forest vegetation dominated by the paleoendemic conifer *Araucaria araucana* (Mol.) C. Koch. (monkey puzzle tree, or pewén) which is globally recognized as an endangered species (Premoli et al. 2013, Sanguinetti et al. 2023) and is of central cultural significance for local (Pewenche) Indigenous Mapuche People (*e.g.*, Aagasen 2004; dos Reis *et al.* 2014). *A. araucana* and some associated co-existing species (*e.g. Nothofagus antarctica*) have adaptations that make them relatively resistant and/or resilient to fire (Veblen et al. 1996, González and Veblen 2007). Large (*e.g.* > 40 cm dbh) individuals of *A. araucana* have a thick, fire-resistant bark that develops into distinctive polygonal plates (Angli 1918). Moreover, upon reaching an age of approximately 100 years, the basal branches begin to detach, generating umbrella-shaped crowns where the foliage is relatively distant from surface fires (Veblen *et al.* 2003). In some locations, mainly in the drier eastern slopes of the Andes, within a matrix of vast Patagonian steppe and shrublands, *A. araucana* stands consist of sparse individuals with limited or no canopy connections, thus reducing the chances of fires spreading among crowns. If fire reaches the crowns, individuals may still survive (under less extreme burning) and develop epicormic shoots on the branches and trunk (Schilling and Donoso 1976). In addition, the terminal meristems of branches are protected by differentiated leaves that help trees survive and continue to grow (Montaldo 1974). Altogether, these conditions favor the tolerance and resistance of this species to low and medium intensity fires (Alfonso 1941; Tortorelli 1942). Despite these adaptations and stand structure attributes, changes in the fire regime caused by introduced non-native tree species may endanger *A. araucana* ecosystems and surrounding natural and human-modified environments.

In the *A. araucana* region, pine plantations first appeared in the 1970s and continue to be established today (Schlichter and Laclau 1998). The most widely planted species in *A. araucana*-dominated landscapes are *Pinus ponderosa* Dougl. (Laws) (ponderosa pine) and *Pinus contorta* Dougl. (lodgepole pine) (Sarasola *et al.* 2006). The particularity of most of these plantations is that the planting of pine juveniles occurred under the canopy of adult *A. araucana* in relatively open stands, without felling individual trees of this native species. Despite maintaining the native trees, this "enrichment planting" (Forest Restoration Research Unit, 2008) procedure raises concerns about the mid- to long-term persistence of *A. araucana* stands due to multiple factors, such as competition for resources (*e.g.* light, soil moisture) negatively impacting the recruitment of *A. araucana* saplings and potential changes in the fire regime. It is logical to expect that enrichment planting would increase flammability because of the known flammable traits of these pine species (Keeley *et al.* 2012; Cobar-Carranza *et al.* 2014). In addition, studies conducted in other Patagonian ecosystems (*e.g.* steppe and shrublands) have shown that pine plantations and areas invaded by pines have elevated fuel loads and altered potential fire behavior (Taylor *et al.* 2017, Paritsis *et al.* 2018). Widespread invasions of introduced pines into the natural and semi-natural systems contiguous to the plantations may contribute to greater potential for fire spread at a broad landscape scale (Higgins and Richardson 1998; Sarasola *et al.* 2006). Nevertheless, the possible alteration of *A. araucana* ecosystems' fire regime in response to non-native woody species is complex and not easily predictable and deserves system-specific studies. Careful evaluation of native and non-native fuel attributes is needed to understand and predict potential changes in fire regimes in those ecosystems where non-native species are planted or invading.

The objective of this study was to evaluate changes in fuel amount and structure due to the enrichment planting of two *Pinus* species (*P. ponderosa* and *P. contorta*) in *A. araucana* ecosystems. Specifically, we studied and compared the amount, distribution, and condition (live/dead) of surface and standing fine fuel in mature (dbh > 20 cm) pine plantations established in *A. araucana* stands and in contiguous natural ecosystems dominated by *A. araucana*. We expect that stands of *A. araucana* with mature pine plantations will show more flammable attributes than their counterparts without plantations.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Area

The study area is located in the eastern foothills of the Andes mountain range, between 38°50' S and 38°58' S in Aluminé county, Neuquén province, Argentina (Fig. 1A). The climatic conditions of this area are governed by Pacific Ocean air masses that bring rain to the Andes, generating a pronounced precipitation and moisture gradient that declines eastward (Barros *et al.* 1983). Annual rainfall varies from 2500 to 1200 mm/year at from 1600 to 800 m a.s.l., respectively, and decreases exponentially towards the east, reaching 200 mm/year in the steppe (Bianchi *et al.* 2016; Paruelo *et al.* 1998). Precipitation (rain and snow) occurs mainly during the cold season (April-September). Summers (December-February) are dry and warm with temperatures of up to 30 °C (de Fina 1972; Heusser *et al.* 1988), making summer the season most prone to the occurrence of fires. The sites selected for this study are located at elevations ranging from 1600 to 1200 m.a.s.l., with a mean annual precipitation of *c.* 1600 mm/year, mainly as snow. *A. araucana* can form monospecific or mixed forests, establishing different associations with *Nothofagus* spp. depending on elevation, aspect and soil conditions. The monospecific forests have little development of understory and can be found at forest edges on mountains or in isolated patches along the forest-steppe ecotone. In our study area, in mixed forests, *A. araucana* commonly associates with the shrubby subcanopy tree *Nothofagus antarctica* (ñire) at warmer and drier sites, or, at moister sites, with *Nothofagus pumilio* (lenga), reaching heights of *c.* 20 m but still typically much shorter than the tallest emergent *A. araucana* individuals. In these mixed forests, the native bamboo *Chusquea culeou* (caña colihue) forms dense thickets in the understory, often reaching heights > 4 m (Peña *et al.* 2008).

Extensive timber extraction in our study area began in 1945 with the exploitation of the native forest, mainly *N. pumilio* and *A. araucana*. At the beginning of the '70s, a policy that prohibited the extraction and commercialization of *A. araucana*'s timber products was implemented by the Argentinean and Chilean governments. This resulted in the beginning of commercial afforestation of the drier steppe with fast-growing non-native species of the genus *Pinus*, including enrichment planting in *Araucaria*-dominated stands (Schlichter and Laclau 1998). The most widely planted species has been *P. ponderosa* Dougl. (Laws) (ponderosa pine), followed by *P. contorta* Dougl. (Murrayana pine) (Sarasola *et al.* 2006). By the year 2012, approximately 14,000 ha in Aluminé county had been planted with these species of *Pinus* (Stecher and Valverde 2012). Due to the protected status of *A. araucana* against logging and high demand for pine timber and more recently carbon credits, some pine plantations were established under the canopy of adult *A. araucana* trees, avoiding the prior extraction of these protected native trees. Therefore, it is common to find pine plantations mixed with remnant *A. araucana* individuals. Today, the study area is within a jointly administered territory known as "Corporación Interestadual Pulmarí" (CIP, Pulmarí Interstate Corporation) –an administrative entity made up of the Argentine National Government, the Argentine Army, and the Province of Neuquén– where Native American Mapuche populations, livestock producers and private concessionaires are residents and resource users (Stecher and Valverde 2012).

2.2 Experimental design

We established five pairs of measurement sites with the following contrasting forest structure (stand types): 1. *A. araucana*-dominated forest with no pines (stand without pines, or control) and 2.

Pine plantations within originally *A. araucana*-dominated stands (stand with pines; Fig. 2). Two pairs of sites were on the southern slope of Batea Mahuida volcano and three in the vicinity of Moquehue town (Fig. 1A). The stands with pines corresponded to mature (dbh > 20 cm, *c.* 50 years old) plantations of *P. contorta* and/or *P. ponderosa* (mean density > 800 trees/ha), with a minimum of 15 % canopy cover of *A. araucana* (dbh > 20 cm). At a distance of at least 200 m from each stand with pines, the closest stand without pines with otherwise similar biophysical conditions was selected as a control, with a minimum of 15 % canopy cover of *A. araucana* on average. In the stands with pines a very low proportion of pre-existing native vegetation was removed at the time of the enrichment planting. There were no signs of past or current management activities (*e.g.* thinning or pruning of basal branches) in any of the sampled stands, which had an area of approximately 1400 m^2 (70 m x 20 m; Fig. 1B). The abundance of basal branches below 2 m in pine trees evidenced lack of thinning and pruning. Litter, coarse woody debris and branches of varying diameters were found accumulated on the ground surface of plantations, indicating that no understory clearing was done on the area. Also, some young pine individuals and native shade-tolerant plant species were growing under the pine canopy. In both types of stands, there were small amounts of livestock feces and few overall signs of browsing, indicating a low livestock pressure. At each stand we established three 70 m parallel transects spaced 10 m from each other. Along each transect, fuel sampling stations were placed every 2 m (*i.e.*, 36 sampling stations per transect and 108 per stand; Fig. 1B). Sampling was carried out during the austral summer (February) of 2020, *i.e.*, dry season in the study area.



Figure 1. **A.** Study Area. The sites selected for this study are located in the municipality of Villa Pehuenia-Moquehue, Neuquén, Argentina. Squares indicate the location of each site (10 sites), and the color shows the corresponding *A. araucana* stand type: without pines (green) or with pines (pink). Sites of different stand type separated by at least 200 m form pairs (5 pairs in total). **B.** Experimental design: scheme of a sampling site. Parallel transects placed in one site and sampling stations distributed along transects (distances are not to scale).



Figure 2. Photographs of the analyzed stand types, without pines (left) and with pines (right), showing the differences in vertical structure due to the presence of non-native pine species in the *A. araucana* stands. The panels on the left show an *A. araucana* stand without pines, co-occurring with *Nothofagus pumilio* or *N. antarctica*. The panels on the right show *A. araucana* stands with mature pine plantations (*c.* 50 years old) with native vegetation in the understory.

2.3 Fuel Characterization

2.3.1 Vegetation structure and fine fuel measurements

At every sampling station, we characterized the vertical structure of fine fuels following the intercept methodology used by Paritsis *et al.* (2015) and Tiribelli *et al.* (2018) and detailed here. With a 25-mm diameter and 4-m height pole divided into 16 25-cm segments, we recorded intercepts between the pole and vegetation (twigs and leaves) of all fine fuels (< 6 mm diameter) as rated by the National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS 2006). For every fuel intercept we recorded the species and its condition (dead or live). We quantified fuels from the ground up to 4 m because understory fuels are key for the start and spread of most fires (Pickard and Wraight 1961). In addition, this procedure allows the characterization of potential ladder fuels, which are those that connect surface fuels with those of the tree crowns and, consequently, allow the spread of surface fires to the tree canopy (Merrill and Alexander 1987; Dentoni and Muñoz 2013). On the other hand, the accuracy of the field measurements decreases considerably above 4 m due to the reduced visibility of the pole apex. In each sampling station we also measured maximum shrub height to further characterize understory fuel structure.

To assess crown-level fuel continuity and structure we chose the nearest tree at every fifth sampling station (six trees per transect), with tree defined as an individual with one or more stems with diameter ≥4 cm at 1.3 m height (dbh) and height ≥4 m. For each individual (focal tree), we recorded its identity (species), distance from the transect (to estimate tree density), dbh, height, height of basal branches (*i.e.*, lowest height of branch tips), maximum diameter of the tree crown and horizontal distance from the crown to the four closest crowns (*i.e.*, distance between neighbours). This distance was measured at the height where the maximum width of the crown of the focal individual was located and only those trees taller than 5 m were considered, thus excluding individuals of *N. antarctica* which have a tall-shrub physiognomy. For each of the four neighbor trees we measured its height and dbh.

2.3.2 Flammability Components

Flammability in ecosystems depends on the functional characteristics of the species present (*e.g.* proportion of fine fuels) and the spatial arrangement (*e.g.* horizontal and vertical structure) of the vegetation (White and Zipperer 2010). Traditionally, four components define the concept of flammability, which was initially described by Anderson (1970) and Martin *et al.* (1994) and then modified by White and Zipperer (2010): 1) *ignitability* refers to how easy a fuel starts burning; 2) *sustainability* is the ability of a fuel to continue burning; 3) *consumability* refers to how much of the available fuel can burn in a fire event; and 4) *combustibility* refers to the rapidity of the combustion after ignition. These components are not directly quantifiable, but rather are indirectly measured by various estimators (Prior *et al.* 2018). To characterize the flammability of *A. araucana* stands, as described below, we used the fuel data as estimators of ignitability, consumability and sustainability. The estimators we used can be related to one or more flammability components.

We estimated ignitability using litter and understory vegetation variables. In each sampling station we measured litter cover and depth, and the near surface vegetation cover (cover below 50 cm height, Keane 2015). These characteristics are related to the ignitability of a stand because greater litter depth and cover and/or more vegetation in the understory implies a greater accumulation of surface fuels, which are critical for increasing the probability of successful ignition and initial fire spread (Behm *et al.* 2004). Consumability was estimated with data from the intercept method. At each sampling station, we estimated the amount of dead, live and total fine fuels. We determined the amount of fine fuels as the number of segments with at least one fuel intercept divided by the total number of segments (16) at each sampling station (*i.e.*, proportion of fine fuels). These variables are related to consumability, given that they are good estimators of the amount of fuel readily available to burn (*i.e.*, fine fuel; Behm *et al.* 2004). Litter depth, litter cover and near surface vegetation cover can also be related to consumability. Vertical fine fuel structure was used to estimate sustainability. In each site we modeled a fine fuel profile of the understory up to 4 m: for every height (16 25-cm segments) we calculated the proportion of sampling stations with fuel presence, considering live and dead fuels together and separately (following Paritsis *et al.* 2015 and Tiribelli *et al.* 2018). We used this fuel profile to determine the continuity of fine fuel in the vertical dimension, indicating how likely the fire is to spread from the understory to the canopy. Distance between crowns of the focal and neighboring trees served as an estimator of horizontal fine fuel continuity at crown height.

2.4 Data Analysis

To compare vertical fine fuel profiles between types of stand we fitted a generalized additive model (GAM) with a binomial distribution (logit link function) for each fine fuel condition (dead, live, and total) using the mgcv R package (Wood 2017). GAMs were fitted for the fine fuel proportion (%): the number of sampling stations with fine fuel over the number of sampling stations by height and site. Model predictors included the stand type (without pines and with pines) as a fixed effect, the site and the pair of sites as random effects to account for spatial autocorrelation and the height as a continuous predictor. The effect of the height on the fuel proportion was modelled as a smooth non-linear function using a thin plate spline for each type of stand separately, and the random effects of the site and pair of sites were modelled with thin plate splines allowing the intercept and the height effect to vary (base "fs", for *factor smoothing*, in the `mgcv` package; Wood 2017). To check model assumptions, we verified that the overdispersion parameter was less than 1.5 and graphically checked model fit. This analysis allows to estimate a continuous vertical profile of fuels, showing the probability of finding fine fuels at a given height. To compare the probability of fine fuel proportion between stand types, we calculated the predicted mean as a function of height and the corresponding 95 % confidence interval in each stand type.

To compare the remaining flammability proxies between stand types we fitted a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) for each measured variable, using the `mgcv` R package (Wood 2017). We included the stand type as a fixed effect, and the site and pair of sites as random effects. We assumed the following distributions and link functions for the response variables:

- fine fuel proportion: binomial (logit link),
- litter and vegetation cover < 50 cm in height: beta (logit link),
- distance from the focal tree to the transect and distance between crowns: gamma (log link).

All analyses were carried out in R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team 2020).

3. Results

There were clear differences in the fine fuel amount and structure between the two types of *A. araucana* stands. The fine fuel vertical profiles showed that these differences become evident 2 m above ground level, where a greater load of total fine fuel was found in stands with pines and, therefore, greater fine fuel continuity (Fig. 3A). Between the ground and 2 m, the amount of total fine fuel (Fig. 3A.1) was similar between the two types of stands. Only within the first 0.25 m above ground level there was a tendency for a greater amount of total fine fuel in stands without pines (Fig. 3A.1). From 2 m and up to 4 m above ground level, the amount of total fine fuel was greater in stands with pines compared to stands without pines, with these differences increasing progressively with height (Fig. 3A.1). At heights close to 4 m, the total fine fuel in stands with pines reached between 5% and 37% greater than in stands without pines. Dead and live fine fuel profiles showed slightly different patterns with respect to the total fine fuel profile (Fig. 3A.2 and 3A.3). The dead fine fuel profile showed no differences between stand types up to 2 m above ground level (Fig. 3A.2).

From there, up to 4 m, the amount of dead fine fuel increased progressively in stands with pines, reaching between 3 % and 22 % greater than in stands without pines near 4 m height. Live fine fuel showed no differences between stand types across most of the profile (Fig. 3A.3). However, from 3.1 m to 4 m it was higher in stands with pines.

Independently of height variability, a similar trend was found in the proportion of fine fuels for stands with pines versus stands without pines (Fig. 3B). Stands with pines had an average of 5 % more vertical 25-cm segments with presence of fine fuel than stands without pines (Fig. 3B.1). The differences in the presence of total fine fuel between stand types is attributed mainly to differences in the proportion of dead fuel (Fig. 3B.2; 5 % [CI: 4 %; 6 %] in stands without pines and 8 % [6 %; 10 %] in stands with pines -maximum likelihood estimate and 95 % CI in brackets-), since the proportion of live fuel was similar in both stand types (Fig. 3B.3; 11 % [CI:8 %; 14 %] in stands without pines and 11 % [CI:9 %; 15 %] in stands with pines).



Figure 3. Fuel amount quantification for each *A. araucana* stand type (without pines and with pines) and for each fuel condition (total, dead and live). **A.** Vertical distribution (meters) up to 4 m height of the mean fine fuel proportion (%). The color indicates the stand type. The lines and envelopes indicate the estimated mean fuel proportion with its corresponding 95 % CI, and the points show the observed mean proportion for each 25-cm segment. Note that the response variable is displayed in the y-axis. **B.** Proportion of fine fuels (%) in the understory (up to 4 m) by stand type. The columns indicate the estimated mean proportion of fine fuels, with bars showing the 95% confidence interval. The points indicate the observed mean for each site and the

same color links the paired sites. In all cases the statistical significance is indicated (*$p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$).

At ground level, differences were found in the litter properties, but not in the near surface vegetation cover (Fig. 4). Both values in litter coverage (Fig. 4A) and depth (Fig. 4B) were significantly lower in stands without pines than in stands with pines. Mean litter cover in stands without pines was 55 % [CI: 48 % - 62 %] with a mean depth of 0.74 cm [CI: 0.64 cm - 0.91 cm], whereas in stands with pines the mean cover was 83% [CI: 79% - 87%] with a mean depth of 1.41 cm [CI: 1.19 cm - 1.67 cm]. In stands without pines, the litter consisted mainly of leaves of *A. araucana* and *Nothofagus* spp., whereas in stands with pines it consisted mainly of leaves of *A. araucana* and needles of *Pinus* spp. The bamboo *C. culeou* also contributed leaves to the litter in the sites where it was present. The near surface vegetation cover (height < 50 cm) was not significantly different between stand types (Fig. 4C).



Figure 4. Litter depth (cm; **A**), litter cover (%; **B**), and near surface vegetation cover (%; height < 50 cm; **C**) for each *A. araucana* stand type (without pines and with pines). Bars indicate overall means and colored dots indicates the mean at each site. Color indicates the pair of associated sites, consistent across panels.

Whiskers denote a 95% confidence interval. Asterisks indicate the level of significance for the statistical test (*p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001).

Tree- and shrub-defined structural parameters were different between the two *A. araucana* stand types (Fig. 5). Our proxy of mean tree density was significantly higher in stands with pines (1290 trees/ha [CI: 880 - 2044 trees/ha]) than in stands without pines (274 trees/ha [CI: 182 - 412 trees/ha]). The method used to calculate the density could imply an underestimation of the true mean, but it is a systematic approximation that is appropriate for the scope of this study. The distance among tree crowns was significantly lower in stands with pines (0.41 m [CI: 0.22 m – 0.72 m]) compared to stands without pines (2.50 m [CI: 1.55 m – 4.10 m]), indicating higher fuel canopy continuity under pine presence (Fig. 5A). Height of the basal branches of trees was significantly lower in stands with pines (1.77 m [CI: 1.59 m – 2.01 m]) than in stands without pines (mean 4.35 m [CI: 3.82 m – 5.04 m]) (Fig. 5B). No significant differences were found for the maximum shrub height between both stand types (Fig. 5C). The allometric characteristics (tree height, dbh, crown diameter and height of basal branches) of each woody species present in both stand types were similar between stands (Fig. A1 in Appendix).



Figure 5. Mean distance among the focal tree crown and four neighbouring trees (meters; **A**), height of lowest branch tips (meters; **B**), and shrub maximum height (meters; **C**) for each *A. araucana* stand type (without

pines and with pines). Bars indicate overall means and colored dots indicate the mean at each site. Color indicates the pair of sites, consistent across panels. Whiskers denote a 95 % confidence interval. Asterisks indicate the level of significance for the statistical test (*p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001).

4. Discussion

A. araucana stands with pines exhibit fuel characteristics that imply greater flammability compared to similar stands lacking pines. This indicates that *A. araucana* stands with enrichment planting of pines (particularly in the absence of any fuel management) may have elevated flammability compared to native *A. araucana* ecosystems, thus promoting the occurrence and spread of fires of greater severity and higher tree mortality. These structural changes increased fuel connectivity due to pine planting in the native vegetation and are significant not only because they involve increased flammability within a stand, but also because they can favor more extensive fire spread to the adjacent native ecosystems.

Fine fuel loads within the first 2 m vertical segment were similar between stands with and without planted pines, but at heights greater than 2 m stands with pines had a greater proportion of fine fuels. Whereas fine fuel amount and structure along the vertical profile in stands without pines is mainly explained by the *Nothofagus* species with which *A. araucana* is associated, in stands with pines it is mainly explained by the branches of *Pinus* spp*.* trees, which have higher content of flammable oils than *Nothofagus antarctica* (*e.g.* Cóbar-Carranza et al. 2014). The height of the pines and the width of their crowns prevent the entry of light, which inhibits the growth and regeneration of the vegetation native in the understory (García *et al.* 2018; Paritsis and Aizen 2008). The thick Araucaria-pine canopy changes the original species composition and decreases the fuel load in the first few meters above the ground. In turn, the basal branches of the pines dry up due to the lack of light created by the increased canopy density of the plantation. The dry basal branches of pine trees, the presence of some native shade-tolerant understory species such as the flammable bamboo *C. culeou*, and, to a lesser extent, the dry branches of other woody species suppressed by the lack of light, provide additional dry fuel that favor rapid vertical fire spread. From 2 m to 4 m in height, the proportion of fine fuel in *A. araucana* stands with pines begins to progressively increase. From 2 m to 3 m in height, the dry branches of the pines are the ones that provide the greatest fuel load and from 3 m upwards the live branches also contribute to the fine fuel load. The high load and vertical continuity of fuels in stands with pines could favor the transition from surface fires to crown fires (Menning and Stephens 2007; Paritsis *et al.* 2018), which could seriously damage large *A. araucana* individuals.

Although in both stand types it is possible that fine fuels act as a fuel ladder, the transition to crown fires may be more likely in stands with pines, especially under non-extreme fire weather. First, the lower fuel load and the more discontinuous vertical distribution in *A. araucana* stands without pines imply lower probabilities of crown fire. Although in these stands there are native shrubs with a maximum height similar to the lowest branches of *A. araucana* trees that may act as fuel ladders, these shrubs are mainly live fuel, whereas the basal branches of the pines that reach the same height as the shrubs are mainly dead fine fuel and are more flammable due to their lower moisture content (Bianchi *et al.* 2019). In addition, compared to stands with pines, the spread of a crown fire among individuals of *A. araucana* in stands without pines would be more difficult because, as we found, there is a lower density of trees and their crowns are further apart (Cobar-Carranza *et al.* 2014). Conversely, in stands with pines, although the load of fine fuel between the ground and 2 m is low on average, their dry basal branches could act as fuel ladders reaching the crowns (Paritsis et al. 2018). In this case, the individuals of *A. araucana* immersed in the plantation would be susceptible to severe damage because the spread of a crown fire would be facilitated by the continuity of the canopy of pines.

Enrichment planting of pines in *A. araucana* stands also changes the composition of dominant species that form the litter and produces an increase in the load of fine fuels on the ground. Several studies provide evidence that the degree of flammability of litter depends on the traits of the species making up the litter. For example, in ecosystems dominated by *Pinus radiata* litter has higher flammability than in temperate native *Nothofagus dombeyi* forests in Patagonia (Franzese *et al.* 2020). A similar change could be occurring in the flammability of our study system, since in stands without pines the litter is composed mainly of *A. araucana* leaves, which are broad and thick (*i.e.*, less surface-area-to-volume ratio), while in the presence of pines, it is mainly composed of thin pine needles (*i.e.*, more surface-area-to-volume ratio). The greater accumulation of litter in *A. araucana* stands with pines suggests that these have a higher probability of ignition, and that fire can spread more easily at the ground level (Varner *et al.* 2015). In addition, several studies found positive correlations between litter depth and fire-spread physical variables such as released heat (Ganteaume *et al.* 2011; Ormeño *et al.* 2009) and flame height (Ganteaume *et al.* 2011; Kane *et al.* 2008). Thus, in stands with pines the higher flammability of litter may facilitate fire to overcome the relative vertical discontinuity of the first 2 m, reaching dry branches and generating a crown fire.

The results of the present study show that fine fuel loads within the first 4 m is higher in stands of *A. araucana* with mature pine plantations (*c.* 50 years old) than in *A. araucana* stands without pines. Contrary to our finding, Franzese *et al.* (2022) showed that mature plantations (purely of pines) and advanced invasions of *Pinus radiata* (both approximately 30 years old) have lower total fuel load within the first 4 m of height compared to the native *Nothofagus dombeyi* forest in more mesic habitats further south in Patagonia (*i.e.*, *c.* 42 ºS). These differences may be due to the fact that native ecosystems dominated by *A. araucana* within our study area are drier and tend to be more open and with a lower density of understory vegetation than mesic forests dominated by *N. dombeyi* (Veblen *et al.* 2006). Additionally, in the enriched plantations evaluated in our study, a large portion of the original native vegetation was not removed when pines were planted and therefore large *A. araucana* trees (both canopy and subcanopy) and other vegetation can be found within a matrix of pines. Finally, the enriched plantations we studied have not been actively managed (*e.g.* no thinning nor pruning of basal branches); thus, dead fuels might be higher than in managed plantations (either pure or enriched). Our findings of fuel load and continuity in the *A. araucana* stands with pine plantations are similar to what Cobar-Carranza et al. (2014) suggest about mature pine invasions in *A. araucaria* forests: they propose that the infilling of the pine-*A. araucana* stands is achieved by increasing canopy fuel load and connectivity compared with *A. araucana* dominated forests. Even though caution is advised when interpreting the flammability of pine plantations and pine invasions as equivalent (Franzese *et al.* 2022), our study of the effects of enrichment planting of pines on flammability suggests that pine invasion into open stands of *A. araucana* will similarly increase stand-level flammability, thus providing a justification for preventive measures to be taken.

For the period 2000 to 2017, a total of 50,858 ha of plantations in Argentinean Patagonia were lost due to fires, which represents approximately 3 % of the total burned area by year in this region (SAyDS Reports, 2018). Although they do not occupy an extensive area of the territory yet, plantations are foci where high severity fires can start and easily spread into surrounding native ecosystems (Raffaele *et al.* 2015). When proper management is not applied, plantations tend to increase their total fuel load as they age (Cruz *et al.* 2008), and thus increase flammability at both stand and landscape levels (Defossé *et al.* 2011; Raffaele *et al.* 2015). Because the area occupied by plantations in Argentinean Patagonia is relatively small, there is still time to take preventive and corrective management actions. As new areas are planted each year, they add to the existing mature plantations and increase density of individuals that can invade adjacent ecosystems (Godoy *et al.* 2013; Paritsis *et al.* 2018; Raffaele *et al.* 2015). Over time, as more of the landscape becomes dominated by pines, whether from planting or invasion, the occurrence of large and severe fires is likely to become more frequent (Godoy *et al.* 2013). Furthermore, the ongoing and predicted increase in temperature and decrease in precipitation for NW Patagonia is and will promote a decrease in fuel moisture, favoring extreme fire danger conditions (Ellis *et al.* 2021; Kitzberger *et al.* 2022). In addition, an increase in convective storm activity in Patagonia is predicted, which would increase the frequency of lightning ignitions (Veblen et al., 2008; Garreaud *et al.* 2014; Kitzberger *et al.* 2016). All of these conditions add to the urgency of understanding how pine establishment in NW Patagonia alters the flammability of the landscape to inform active management to reduce fuel loads and fire risk.

Although a local law for the classification of priority areas for conservation which prohibits installation of new enrichment planting in *A. araucana*-dominated stands has been implemented (Neuquén provincial legislation, 2011), such planting strategy has already affected hundreds of hectares. Furthermore, the ongoing expansion of pine invasions is expected to result in similar flammability outcomes as observed in the enrichment plantings of this study. Although practical experience with fuels management in *A. araucana*-dominated stands is limited, as is any long-term monitoring of the outcomes of fuel treatments, we suggest some common sense practices to reduce the impacts of pine planting on fire potential in this ecosystem type (also see Paritsis et al. 2018 for pure pine plantations). Silvicultural practices can be applied to generate breaks in the vertical and horizontal continuity of fuels. For example, branch pruning can be applied to raise crown base height but must be followed by immediate removal or pile burning (outside the fire season) of flammable fine- and coarse-fuel residues that accumulate on the surface when pruning is conducted. Additionally, pre-commercial thinning could be performed to decrease overall fuel loads and potential of crown fire spread. Pruning and thinning must be implemented with caution and appropriate management of the generated residues, because otherwise, residues may increase, rather than reduce, fire hazard (Paritsis *et al.* 2018). Plantations near high fire risk areas (*e.g.* near settlements) should be prioritized for fire management (Mundo *et al.* 2013, Lindenmayer *et al.* 2023), as should incipient pine invasions before they change the flammability of native ecosystems (Taylor et al. 2016). Also, it would be critically important to monitor the medium-term effects of these silvicultural treatments on fuel loads of the non-target native species, such as *C. culeou* bamboos and understory shrubs and small trees (*e.g. N. antarctica*), which in the absence of pines contribute significantly to the flammability of Patagonian ecosystems (Kitzberger et al. 2016).

In conclusion, the enrichment of *A. araucana* stands by pine plantations leads to changes in the structure of the native plant community and increases fuel loads, contributing to increase the flammability of these ecosystems. Despite the current relatively limited area of enrichment planting of pines under open canopies of *A. araucana*, pine invasion into open stands of the native forest is likely to originate a similar increase in flammability over the larger landscape. This study contributes to the understanding of the effects of pine planting, and possibly invasions, on the flammability of *A. araucana* ecosystem. Detailed flammability studies on a larger scale and the adoption of appropriate fuel management procedures in areas of pine planting should be considered to help reduce the risk of fires in the region.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Sofía Cingolani: Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Formal analysis, Visualization**; Ignacio A. Mundo:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration**; Iván Barberá:** Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing**; Andrés Holz:**

Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; **Thomas T. Veblen:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition**; Juan Paritsis:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (award # 1832483) and by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina). Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (grant PICT-2019-406).

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Mapuche Puel family and the Pulmarí Interstate Corporation for the permission to access the study sites. We also thank Rosario Ballester for fieldwork assistance.

References

Alfonso, J. L. (1941). El pehuén, araucaria o pino del Neuquén en la Argentina. *Revista ingeniería agronómica*, *14*, 89-100.

Angli, J. (1918). La *Araucaria araucana* (Mol.) Koch (="*Araucaria imbricata*" R. Pav.) y su resina. Sus relaciones con las demás coníferas. Boletín de la Academia Nacional de Ciencias XXIII: 1–84.

Araujo, P. I., & Austin, A. T. (2015). A shady business: pine afforestation alters the primary controls on litter decomposition along a precipitation gradient in Patagonia, Argentina. *Journal of Ecology*, *103*(6), 1408-1420.

Armstrong, A. J., & Van Hensbergen, H. J. (1996). Impacts of afforestation with pines on assemblages of native biota in South Africa. *South African Forestry Journal*, *175*(1), 35-42.

Barros, V., Cordón, V., Forquera, J., Moyano, C., Méndez, R., & Pizio, O. (1983). *Cartas de precipitación de la zona oeste de las provincias de Río Negro y Neuquén, primera contribución.* Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias.

Behm, A. L., Duryea, M. L., Long, A. J., & Zipperer, W. C. (2004). Flammability of native understory species in pine flatwood and hardwood hammock ecosystems and implications for the wildland urban interface. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *13*(3), 355–365. https://doi.org/10.1071/WF03075

Bianchi, E., Villalba, R., Viale, M., Couvreux, F., & Marticorena, R. (2016). New precipitation and temperature grids for northern Patagonia: Advances in relation to global climate grids. *Journal of Meteorological Research*, *30*(1), 38–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-015-5058-y

Bianchi, L. O., Oddi, F. J., Muñoz, M., & Defossé, G. E. (2019). Comparison of leaf moisture content and ignition characteristics among native species and exotic conifers in Northwestern Patagonia, Argentina. *Forest Science*, *65*(4), 375-386.

Bowman, D. M., Moreira-Muñoz, A., Kolden, C. A., Chávez, R. O., Muñoz, A. A., Salinas, F., ... & Johnston, F. H. (2019). Human–environmental drivers and impacts of the globally extreme 2017 Chilean fires. *Ambio*, *48*, 350-362.

Brooks, M. L., D'Antonio, C. M., Richardson, D. M., Grace, J. B., Keeley, J. E., DiTomaso, J. M., Hobbs, R. J., Pellant, M., & Pyke, D. (2004). Effects of Invasive Alien Plants on Fire Regimes. *BioScience*, *54*(7), 677. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0677:eoiapo]2.0.co;2

Céspedes-Payret, C., Piñeiro, G., Gutiérrez, O., & Panario, D. (2012). Land use change in a temperate grassland soil: afforestation effects on chemical properties and their ecological and mineralogical implications. *Science of the total environment*, *438*, 549-557.

CIEFAP, MAyDS, 2016. Actualización de la Clasificación de Tipos Forestales y Cobertura del Suelo de la Región Bosque Andino Patagónico. Informe Final. CIEFAP.

Cóbar-Carranza, A. J., García, R. A., Pauchard, A., & Peña, E. (2014). Effect of Pinus contorta invasion on forest fuel properties and its potential implications on the fire regime of Araucaria araucana and Nothofagus antarctica forests. *Biological Invasions*, *16*(11), 2273–2291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-014-0663-8

Cruz, M. G., Alexander, M. E., & Fernandes, P. A. M. (2008). Development of a model system to predict wildfire behaviour in pine plantations. *Australian Forestry*, *71*(2), 113–121.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00049158.2008.10676278

de Fina, A. L. (1972). El clima de la región de los bosques Andino-Patagónicos. In *Sinopsis General. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria* (Issue Dimitri M, editor., pp. 35–58.).

Defossé, G. E., Loguercio, G., Oddi, F. J., Molina, J. C., & Kraus, P. D. (2011). Potential CO2 emissions mitigation through forest prescribed burning: A case study in Patagonia, Argentina. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *261*(12), 2243–2254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.021

dos Reis, M. S., Ladio, A., & Peroni, N. (2014). Landscapes with Araucaria in South America: Evidence for a cultural dimension. *Ecology and Society*, *19*(2). https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-06163-190243

Ellis, T. M., Bowman, D. M. J. S., Jain, P., Flannigan, M. D., & Williamson, G. J. (2021). Global increase in wildfire risk due to climate-driven declines in fuel moisture. In *Global Change Biology* (Issue November). https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16006

Franzese, J., Raffaele, E., Blackhall, M., Rodriguez, J., & Soto, A. Y. (2020). Changes in land cover resulting from the introduction of non-native pine modifies litter traits of temperate forests in Patagonia. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *31*(2), 223–233. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12847

Franzese, J., Raffaele, E., Chiuffo, C. M., & Blackhall, M. (2022). The legacy of pine introduction threatens the fuel traits of Patagonian native forests. *Biological Conservation, , 109472*, 267.

Forest restoration research unit (2008). Glossary. Research for Restoring Tropical Forest Ecosystems: A Practical Guide. Biology Department, Science Faculty, Chiang Mai University, Thailand. Retrieved on July 11, 2023 from https://www.un-redd.org/glossary/enrichment-planting

Ganteaume, A., Marielle, J., Corinne, L. M., Thomas, C., & Laurent, B. (2011). Effects of vegetation type and fire regime on flammability of undisturbed litter in Southeastern France. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *261*(12), 2223–2231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.09.046

García, R. A., Franzese, J., Policelli, N., Sasal, Y., Zenni, R. D., Nuñez, M. A., Taylor, K., & Pauchard, A. (2018). *Non-native Pines Are Homogenizing the Ecosystems of South America*. *January*, 245–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99513-7_15

Garreaud, R. D., Nicora, M. G., Bürgesser, R. E., & Ávila, E. E. (2014). Lightning in Western Patagonia. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *3*, 180–198. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD021040.Received

Godoy, M. M., Defossé, G. E., Bianchi, L. O., Davel, M. M., & Withington, T. E. (2013). Fire-caused tree mortality in thinned Douglas-fir stands in Patagonia, Argentina. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *22*(6), 810–814. https://doi.org/10.1071/WF12107

González, M. E., & Veblen, T. T. (2007). Wildfire in Araucaria araucana forests and ecological considerations about salvage logging in areas recently burned. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*, *80*(2), 243–253. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-078X2007000200009

Hermoso, V., Regos, A., Morán-Ordóñez, A., Duane, A., & Brotons, L. (2021). Tree planting: A double-edged sword to fight climate change in an era of megafires. *Global Change Biology*, *27*(13), 3001-3003.

Heusser, C. J., Rabassa, J., & Brandani, A. (1988). Late-Holocene vegetation of the Andean Araucaria region, Province of Neuquén, Argentina. *Mountain Research and Development*, 53–63.

Higgins, S. I., & Richardson, D. M. (1998). Pine invasions in the southern hemisphere: Modelling interactions between organism, environment and disturbance. *Plant Ecology*, *135*(1), 79–93. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009760512895

Kane, J. M., Varner, J. M., & Hiers, J. K. (2008). The burning characteristics of southeastern oaks: Discriminating fire facilitators from fire impeders. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *256*(12), 2039–2045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.07.039

Keane, R. E. (2015). *Wildland fuel fundamentals and applications* (No. 11904). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.

Keeley, J. E., Pausas, J. G., Rundel, P. W., Bond, W. J., & Bradstock, R. A. (2011). Fire as an evolutionary pressure shaping plant traits. *Trends in Plant Science*, *16*(8), 406–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.04.002

Keeley, J. E. (2012). Ecology and evolution of pine life histories. *Annals of Forest Science*, *69*(4), 445–453.

Kitzberger, T., Perry, G. L. W., Paritsis, J., Gowda, J. H., Tepley, A. J., Holz, A., & Veblen, T. T. (2016). Fire–vegetation feedbacks and alternative states: common mechanisms of temperate forest vulnerability to fire in southern South America and New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, *54*(2), 247–272. https://doi.org/10.1080/0028825X.2016.1151903

Kitzberger, T., Tiribelli, F., Barberá, I., Gowda, J. H., Morales, J. M., Zalazar, L., & Paritsis, J. (2022). Projections of fire probability and ecosystem vulnerability under 21st century climate across a trans-Andean productivity gradient in Patagonia. *Science of the total environment*, *839*, 156303.

Lara, A., & Veblen, T. T. (1993). Forest plantations in Chile: a successful model. *Afforestation: policies, planning and progress*, 118-139.

Lindenmayer, D. B., Yebra, M., & Cary, G. J. (2023). Better managing fire in flammable tree plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *528*, 120641.

Menning, K. M., & Stephens, S. L. (2007). Fire climbing in the forest: a semiqualitative, semiquantitative approach to assessing ladder fuel hazards. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry*, *22*(2), 88-93.

Milkovic, M., Paruelo, J. M., & Nosetto, M. D. (2019). Hydrological impacts of afforestation in the semiarid Patagonia: A modelling approach. *Ecohydrology*, *12*(6), e2113.

Montaldo, P. R. (1974). The bio-ecology of *Araucaria araucana*. In *Boletin, Instituto Forestal Latino Americano* (pp. 3–55).

Mundo, I. A., Wiegand, T., Kanagaraj, R., & Kitzberger, T. (2013). Environmental drivers and spatial dependency in wildfire ignition patterns of northwestern Patagonia. *Journal of environmental management*, *123*, 77-87.

Neuquén Legislatura Provincial (2011) Ley 2780: Ley de Ordenamiento Territorial de Bosques Nativos. Retrieved from: chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/arg126020.pdf

Ormeño, E., Céspedes, B., Sánchez, I. A., Velasco-García, A., Moreno, J. M., Fernandez, C., & Baldy, V. (2009). The relationship between terpenes and flammability of leaf litter. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *257*(2), 471–482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.09.019

Paritsis, J., & Aizen, M. A. (2008). Effects of exotic conifer plantations on the biodiversity of understory plants, epigeal beetles and birds in Nothofagus dombeyi forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *255*(5–6), 1575–1583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.11.015

Paritsis, J., Veblen, T. T., & Holz, A. (2015). Positive fire feedbacks contribute to shifts from *Nothofagus pumilio* forests to fire-prone shrublands in Patagonia. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *26*(1), 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12225

Paritsis, J., Landesmann, J. B., Kitzberger, T., Tiribelli, F., Sasal, Y., Quintero, C., Dimarco, R. D., Barrios García, M. N., Iglesias, A. L., Diez, J. P., Sarasola, M., & Nuñez, M. A. (2018). Pine plantations and invasion alter fuel structure and potential fire behavior in a Patagonian forest-steppe ecotone. *Forests*, *9*(3), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9030117

Paruelo, J. M., Beltran, A., Jobbagy, E., Sala, O. E., & Golluscio, R. A. (1998). The climate of Patagonia: General patterns and controls on biotic processes. *Ecologia Austral*, *8*(2), 85–101.

Pausas, J. G., & Keeley, J. E. (2014). Abrupt Climate-Independent Fire Regime Changes. *Ecosystems*, *17*(6), 1109–1120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9773-5

Peña, E., Hidalgo, M., Langdon, B., & Pauchard, A. (2008). Patterns of spread of *Pinus contorta* Dougl. ex Loud. invasion in a Natural Reserve in southern South America. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *256*(5), 1049-1054.

Pickard, R. W., & Wraight, H. (1961). The Effect of Moisture on the Ignition and Flame Propagation of Thin Cellulosic Materials. *Fire Research Station*.

Premoli, A., Quiroga, P., & Gardner, M. (2013). *Araucaria araucana : Monkey Puzzle Tree 1*. *8235*, 1–2.

Principe, R. E., Márquez, J. A., Martina, L. C., Jobbágy, E. G., & Albariño, R. J. (2015). Pine afforestation changes more strongly community structure than ecosystem functioning in grassland mountain streams. *Ecological Indicators*, *57*, 366-375.

Prior, L. D., Murphy, B. P., & Bowman, D. M. J. S. (2018). Conceptualizing ecological flammability: An experimental test of three frameworks using various types and loads of surface fuels. *Fire*, *1*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/fire1010014

Raffaele, E., Núñez, M. A., & Relva, M. A. (2015). Plantaciones de coníferas exóticas en Patagonia: Los riesgos de plantar sin un manejo adecuado. *Ecologia Austral*, *25*(2), 89–92. https://doi.org/10.25260/ea.15.25.2.0.153

Richardson, D. M. (1998). Forestry trees as invasive aliens. *Conservation biology*, *12*(1), 18-26.

Sanguinetti, J., Ditgen, R. S., Donoso-Calderón, S. R., Hadad, M. A., Gallo, L., González, M. E., ... & Zamorano-Elgueta, C. (2023). Información científica clave para la gestión y conservación del ecosistema biocultural del Pewén en Chile y Argentina. *Bosque (Valdivia)*, *44*(1), 179-190.

Sarasola, M. M., Rusch, V. E., Schlichter, T. M., & Ghersa, C. M. (2006). Invasión de coníferas forestales en áreas de estepa y bosques de ciprés de la cordillera en la Región Andino Patagónica. *Ecología Austral*, *16*(2), 143–156.

Secretaría de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sustentable (SAyDS). 2018. *Estadística de Incendios Forestales- Informes Secretaría de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sustentable.* (2000-2017). Retrieved from: https://www.argentina.gob.ar/ambiente/bosques/estadistica-forestal

Schilling, G., & Donoso, C. (1976). Reproducción vegetativa natural de Araucaria araucana (Mol.) Koch. *Investigación Agrícola*, *2*, 121–122.

Schlichter, T., & Laclau, P. (1998). Ecotono estepa-bosque y plantaciones forestales en la Patagonia norte. *Ecologia Austral*, *8*(2), 285–296.

Scott, D. F., & Prinsloo, F. W. (2008). Longer-term effects of pine and eucalypt plantations on streamflow. *Water Resources Research*, *44*(7).

Stecher, G., & Valverde, S. (2012). *Los proyectos de desarrollo rural y forestal en contextos de pluriculturalidad . Las comunidades indígenas en la jurisdicción de la "Corporación Interestadual Pulmarí", Provincia de Nequén, Argentina*. 169–180.

Taylor, K. T., Maxwell, B. D., McWethy, D. B., Pauchard, A., Nuñez, M. A., & Whitlock, C. (2017). *Pinus contorta* invasions increase wildfire fuel loads and may create a positive feedback with fire. *Ecology*, *98*(3), 678–687. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1673

Taylor, K. T., Maxwell, B. D., Pauchard, A., Nuñez, M. A., Peltzer, D. A., Terwei, A., & Rew, L. J. (2016). Drivers of plant invasion vary globally: Evidence from pine invasions within six ecoregions. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *25*(1), 96–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12391

Taylor, K. T., Maxwell, B. D., McWethy, D. B., Pauchard, A., Nuñez, M. A., & Whitlock, C. (2017). Pinus contorta invasions increase wildfire fuel loads and may create a positive feedback with fire. *Ecology*, *98*(3), 678-687.

Tiribelli, F., Kitzberger, T., & Morales, J. M. (2018). Changes in vegetation structure and fuel characteristics along post-fire succession promote alternative stable states and positive fire–vegetation feedbacks. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *29*(2), 147–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12620

Tortorelli, L. A. (1942). La explotación racional de los bosques de Araucaria de Neuquén. Su importancia económica. *Servir*, *6*, 1-74.

Varner, J. M., Kane, J. M., Kreye, J. K., & Engber, E. (2015). The flammability of forest and woodland litter: a synthesis. *Current Forestry Reports*, *1*, 91-99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-015-0012-x

Veblen, T. T., Donoso, C., Kitzberger, T., & Rebertus, A. J. (1996). Ecology of southern Chilean and Argentinean Nothofagus forests. *The ecology and biogeography of Nothofagus forests*, *10*, 93-353.

Veblen, T. T., Kitzberger, T., Raffaele, E., & Lorenz, D. C. (2003). Fire history and vegetation changes in northern Patagonia, Argentina. In *Fire and climatic change in temperate ecosystems of the western Americas* (pp. 265–295). Springer.

Veblen, T. T., Kitzberger, T., Raffaele, E., & Lorenz, D. C. (2006). Fire History and Vegetation Changes in Northern Patagonia, Argentina. *Fire and Climatic Change in Temperate Ecosystems of the Western Americas*, 265–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-21710-x_9

Veblen, T. T., Kitzberger, T., Raffaele, E., Mermoz, M., González, M. E., Sibold, J. S., & Holz, A. (2008). The historical range of variability of fires in the Andean–Patagonian Nothofagus forest region. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *17*(6), 724-741.

White, R. H., & Zipperer, W. C. (2010). Testing and classification of individual plants for fire behaviour: Plant selection for the wild and urban interface. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *19*(2), 213–227 https://doi.org/10.1071/WF07128

Williams, M. C., & Wardle, G. M. (2005). The invasion of two native Eucalypt forests by *Pinus radiata* in the Blue Mountains, New South Wales, Australia. *Biological conservation*, *125*(1), 55-64.

Wood, S. N. (2017). *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R*. CRC press.

Zaloumis, N. P., & Bond, W. J. (2011). Grassland restoration after afforestation: No direction home?. *Austral Ecology*, *36*(4), 357-366.

Appendix

Figure A.1



715

716 Figure A.1 Stand structure values (mean ± standard error) of the dominant species in *A. araucana*
717 stand type (without pines and with pines). A. Diameter at breast height (cm) B. Tree height (m) C.
718 height of lowest tree tip branches (m) D. Maximum crown diameter (m)

719

720

721