Portland State University

PDXScholar

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation

Oregon Sustainable Community Digital Library

9-9-2004

Meeting Notes 2004-09-09

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/oscdl_jpact

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation, "Meeting Notes 2004-09-09" (2004). *Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation*. 391.

https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/oscdl_jpact/391

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

Ε Ν D

600 NORTHEAST GRAND AVENUE PORTLAND, OREGON 97232-2736



MS RENEE CASTILLA **METRO** 600 NE GRAND AVE PORTLAND OR 97232

TEL 503-797-1916 FAX 503-797-1930

MEETING:

JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

DATE:

Thursday, September 9, 2004

TIME:

7:15 a.m.

PLACE:

Metro Regional Center, Council Chambers

7:15		Call to Order and Declaration of a Quorum	Rod Park, Chair
7:20		Citizen communications to JPACT on non-agenda items	Rod Park, Chair
7:25	*	Review of Minutes – <u>APPROVAL REQUESTED</u>	Rod Park, Chair
7:30	*	State Legislative Concepts - <u>DISCUSSION</u>	Andy Cotugno (Metro)
7:50	*	State Freight Route Comment letter - <u>APPROVAL</u> <u>REQUESTED</u>	Bridget Wieghart (Metro)
8:00	*	Draft Staff Recommendations for Narrowing the MTIP Applications for further consideration - Draft 1 st Cut List - <u>DISCUSSION</u>	Ted Leybold (Metro)
8:40	#	October 14-15, 2004 MPO Summit in Eugene - INFORMATIONAL	Rex Burkholder (Metro)
8:50	*	Columbia River Crossing Task Force - INFORMATIONAL	Rex Burkholder (Metro)
9:00		ADJOURN	Rod Park, Chair

Material available electronically. Please call 503-797-1916 for a paper copy

All material will be available at the meeting.

^{**} Material to be emailed at a later date.

[#] Material provided at meeting.

JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION August 12, 2004

MEMBERS PRESENT AFFILIATION

Rod Park Metro Council

Matthew Garrett Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT – Region 1)

Fred Hansen TriMet

Rob Drake City of Beaverton, representing Cities of Washington County

Rod Monroe Metro Council
Bill Kennemer Clackamas County

Stephanie Hallock Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)

Don Wagner Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)

Roy Rogers Washington County Jim Francesconi City of Portland

Larry Haverkamp City of Gresham, representing Cities of Multnomah County
Karl Rohde City of Lake Oswego, representing Cities of Clackamas County

Rex Burkholder Metro Council Bill Wyatt Port of Portland

MEMBERS ABSENT AFFILIATION

Maria Rojo de Steffey Multnomah County
Judith Stanton Clark County
Royce Pollard City of Vancouver

ALTERNATES PRESENT AFFILIATION

Dean Lookingbill SW Washington RTC

GUESTS PRESENT AFFILIATION

Kathy Busse Washington County
Laurel Wentworth City of Portland
Richard Gray City of Portland

Steve Clark Community Newspapers

Edward Barnes Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)

Mark Garrity Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)

Dave Nordberg Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)

Dick Pedersen Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)

Ron Papsdorf City of Gresham

Jim Leahy

John Rist Clackamas County Karen Schilling Multnomah County

Olivia Clark TriMet

GUESTS PRESENT AFFILIATION

Phil Selinger TriMet

Ann Gardner Schnitzer Investment City of Cornelius Jef Dalin Port of Portland Robin Katz Swan Island TMA Lenny Anderson Rebecca Eistminger Port of Vancouver John Fratt Port of Vancouver Danielle Cowan City of Wilsonville City of Milwaukie Jeff King

Rob De Graff Oregon Department of Transportation

Deborah Murdock Portland State University

Lainie Smith Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT - Region 1)

Mike Clark Washington State Department of Transportation

STAFF

Richard Brandman Renée Castilla Andy Cotugno Tom Kloster Ted Leybold Lance Lindahl Randy Tucker Mark Turpel Patty Unfred Montgomery

I. CALL TO ORDER AND DECLARATION OF A QUORUM

Chair Rod Park called the meeting to order and declared a quorum at 7:19 a.m.

II. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO JPACT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

There were no citizen communications.

III. REVIEW OF MINUTES

<u>ACTION TAKEN:</u> Mr. Fred Hansen moved to approve the meeting minutes of June 10, 2004. The motion passed.

<u>ACTION TAKEN:</u> Councilor Rex Burkholder moved and Commissioner Roy Rogers seconded the motion to approve the meeting minutes of July 8, 2004 as amended. The motion passed.

IV. RESOLUTION NO. 04-3469 UPDATED BYLAWS FOR THE TPAC THAT FORMALIZE NEW TECHNICAL SUBCOMMITTEES

Tom Kloster presented Resolution No. 04-3469 (included as part of this meeting record).

Commissioner Roy Rogers directed the committee members to Article V of Exhibit A. He expressed concern that establishing subcommittees without bylaws may make the subcommittees seem unprofessional.

Mr. Tom Kloster stated that any of the subcommittees that are established without bylaws would be viewed as short-term committees set up for specific purposes and would end in less than six months.

Chair Rod Park stated that the language could be rephrased to say that a subcommittee could not be formed without bylaws if the committee was going to operate for longer than six months.

Mr. Matthew Garrett stated that it was important that the purpose and responsibility of the temporary subcommittees be transparent.

Mr. Tom Kloster stated that there are committees that TPAC wanted to sanction officially and require bylaws of them. He directed the committee members to Exhibit A.

Mr. Don Wagner asked that the Port of Vancouver be listed as a non-voting associate member and have a place at the table.

Mr. John Fratt, Port of Vancouver, stated that he participates through the Bi-State committee of JPACT but would like to be able to participate at the TPAC table.

Chair Rod Park asked staff for explanation of the distinction between the Port of Portland and their status with TPAC vs. the Port of Vancouver.

Mr. Tom Kloster stated that there are other committees in Washington where the Port of Vancouver has a voice.

Chair Rod Park stated that it was important to formalize the ties through bi-state coordination, thereby formalizing the Port of Vancouver's status on TPAC and having the Port of Portland included in Washington's committees.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Mr. Don Wagner moved and Councilor Rex Burkholder seconded the motion to add the Port of Vancouver as a non-voting associate member. The motion <u>passed</u>.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Commissioner Roy Rogers moved and Mr. Matthew Garrett seconded the motion to add the importance of transparency to the subcommittees. The motion <u>passed</u>.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Commissioner Roy Rogers moved and Mr. Matthew Garrett seconded the motion to approve Resolution No. 04-3469. The motion <u>passed</u>.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS TO JPACT FOR NARROWING THE TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT APPLICATIONS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

Ted Leybold presented recommendations to JPACT for narrowing the transportation enhancement applications for further consideration (included as part of this meeting record).

Chair Rod Park asked for explanation regarding the TE process and if it was different than normal.

Ted Leybold explained that during the MTIP process staff receives full applications that are evaluated on their technical merits and travel forecasting models. He said that once staff has reviewed the applications, they are brought through TPAC for further review which allows for TPAC members to provide further corrections and comments prior to the JPACT decision making process. He stated that the TE application timeline was constrained. Thus staff was forced to shorten review.

Mr. Matthew Garrett asked for explanation of the Oregon City project and the eight applications on the recommended list compared to the seven allotted spots.

Mr. Ted Leybold stated that based upon the pre-application, there had been an initial concern by staff at the TE level (state) that the Oregon City project would not be eligible. Further, it remains difficult for staff to review the project without the full application. Therefore the TE staff has warned Oregon City that their project may not be eligible. If Oregon City cannot make their eligibility then they would fall off of the recommended, leaving seven applicants.

Mr. Matthew Garrett stated that a project could be bumped from the recommended list to the alternate list if Oregon City does make their case for the project. He stated that what the TE staff would do with the other project would be up to them. He said that after the TE process runs its course, he has to sign off of the applicants. Thus, he would probably abstain from the vote.

Mr. Fred Hansen recommended that one of the two alternate projects, TV Hwy, move up the list to the recommended projects. Further, he would ask the City of Portland to rank their projects by priority from their perspective.

Councilor Karl Rohde expressed concern that there was no supporting documentation included or any explanation of how the projects ranked against the criteria given.

Chair Rod Park replied that ODOT requested staff to narrow the projects by the pre-application summary.

Councilor Karl Rohde stated that the purpose of bypassing TPAC was to give staff time to review the projects and provide the necessary information to JPACT for the decision making process.

Chair Rod Park commented that there was a very short timeline for staff to be able to review the projects.

Councilor Karl Rohde reiterated that staff did not have any backup or explanation for their decisions.

Mr. Ted Leybold stated that staff did not have enough information to adequately use the state point system. Therefore, he had four staff people including himself read through the preapplications submitted and rank them based upon what they thought were the best projects. Then they compared the four lists and where they found consensus is how they ranked. He said that the deadline to submit the pre-application was July 9, 2004 and explained that each jurisdiction had to submit their notice of intent, amount of funds requested and short project description.

Councilor Larry Haverkamp concurred with Councilor Rohde and expressed concern that more information was not taken into account when ranking the projects.

Ms. Stephanie Hallock stated that staff has said that the pre-application process and the review time was inadequate. She asked if there was time in the ODOT process for Metro staff to be able to see the full applications and provide a qualified recommendation back to JPACT.

Mr. Matthew Garrett stated that the timing of the STIP process is the issue.

Ms. Pat Fisher stated that there is an issue of timing because they are trying to sync the TE process with the STIP and its public comment period. In addition, they had to wait for comments from the OTC on how much money the program would have, what the focus areas would be, etc. She explained that the intent of the screening process was to limit the number of applications coming forward to the TE committee. She said that her committee members were not looking for a ranked list but a list of applicants that would be able to submit their full application in September. She said that using the Metro priorities process to determine which of the applications would go forward was to prevent a situation where a project would score very high with the Enhancement Committee but not be a priority to the region.

Commissioner Jim Francesconi asked if there could be more projects added to the list.

Ms. Pat Fisher replied that she could ask the Advisory Committee to allow more alternates.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Mr. Fred Hansen moved and Mayor Rob Drake seconded the motion to approve the full list of Transportation Enhancement recommended projects and alternates.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Mr. Fred Hansen moved and Commissioner Roy Rogers seconded the motion to move the Tualatin Valley Highway project from the alternate list to the recommended list. The motion <u>passed</u> with Mr. Matthew Garrett abstaining.

Chair Rod Park stated that he trusted that Metro staff reviewed the projects to the best of their ability and had good reasons to select the projects that they did.

Ms. Pat Fisher stated that there should be time for Metro staff to review the full applications before the next JPACT meeting if the JPACT wanted all of the interested jurisdictions to submit full applications.

Mr. Richard Brandman stated that the timeline does not allow ODOT to give the applications to Metro staff in time to review. He said that the jurisdictions would have to submit the full applications within one week of the conclusion of the August JPACT meeting in order for staff to review.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Mr. Fred Hansen moved and Mr. Matthew Garrett seconded the motion that the City of Portland prioritizes their projects.

Councilor Rex Burkholder commented that it seems reasonable that since half of the population lives in the City of Portland, that half of the projects moving forward would benefit half the population. He said it was JPACT's responsibility to support those citizens.

Mr. Fred Hansen replied that it was not unfair to ask a jurisdiction to prioritize their projects for a regional process.

Councilor Rex Burkholder stated that all of the projects on the list are good projects and further stated that JPACT should be jurisdiction blind.

Ms. Pat Fisher stated that their office does not need a ranking. She further stated that the region is not guaranteed two projects, that it is a statewide competition. She said the region could end up with more than two projects selected or none at all.

Councilor Rod Monroe stated that all of the projects should be ranked.

Mayor Rob Drake stated that he understood that the projects were ranked to begin with.

Chair Rod Park stated that the projects were ranked by consensus.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: The motion to request the City of Portland to prioritize their projects <u>failed</u> with the following vote:

Voting yes: Mr. Matthew Garrett, Mr. Fred Hansen, Mayor Rob Drake, Councilor Larry Haverkamp, Councilor Karl Rohde, and Commissioner Roy Rogers.

Voting No: Councilor Rod Monroe, Mr. Bill Wyatt, Mr. Dean Lookingbill, Councilor Rex Burkholder, Commissioner Jim Francesconi, Ms. Stephanie Hallock, Mr. Don Wagner, Mr. Bill Wyatt, and Commissioner Bill Kennemer.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: The motion to approve the Transportation Enhancement list as amended <u>passed</u> with Councilors Larry Haverkamp and Karl Rohde voting no and Mr. Matthew Garrett abstaining.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DRAFT 06-09 STIP

Matthew Garrett presented the Recommendations for the Draft 06-09 STIP (included as part of this meeting record).

Commission Roy Rogers commended ODOT for their willingness to assist with the funding stream for the Sellwood Bridge. However, he explained that Washington County has consistently looked at JPACT as a principled group with an obligation to help regional projects. He expressed concern that there has been no discussion regarding the funding sources for the Sellwood Bridge project, i.e. match monies. He asked the committee if they were aware of what Multnomah County's financial contribution would be.

Councilor Rod Monroe stated that he has been having several conversations with Commissioner Rojo de Steffey in addition to interested stakeholders, i.e. freight and neighborhood leaders. He said that several thought differences between groups has blocked the bridge replacement and now that the bridge has a ten ton limit and is a major transit route for the region, a compromise

must be found. He said that Metro is committed to finding resolution for this severe bridge issue.

Commissioner Jim Francesconi concurred that the Sellwood Bridge is important to the entire region. He further stated that he has been having conversations with the Commissioner and Brant Williams regarding whether the County should be in the bridge business at all. He also said that there has been discussion on a gas tax to be directed toward the replacement of the Sellwood Bridge. He said that the City of Portland does not have the match for the project and that Multnomah County is having a hard time with the match as well.

Commissioner Roy Rogers stated that he is sensitive to the hard financial details that both the City of Portland and Multnomah County are facing. However, that said, Washington County has several hundreds of bridges that deal with commerce and moving people and that could be defined as regional facilities. He said that it has been difficult for him to agree that the Sellwood Bridge is more important than any other bridge in their jurisdiction considering that the interested parties have no idea how the bridge replacement would be funded.

Mr. Matthew Garrett stated that he appreciated all of the comments because they lead to better-informed decisions. He said that he confidant that Multnomah County would be able to identify funding for a full Environmental Impact Statement.

Councilor Rex Burkholder expressed his concern that the region did not receive its fair share of funding at the OTC and suggested advocating with the OTC, legislature and the Governor for an increase share of the MOD dollars for the region.

Mr. Matthew Garrett stated that the region received 47% of the freight funding, had two earmarks fully funded and received 38% of the mod/equity split. He said that the region did not receive much in the statewide significance category simply because the region did not have projects ready to go whereas other regions did. Therefore, the OTC made the decision to fully fund those other projects, Highway 20 and Highway 62. He said that the region needed to move projects into ready mode so that it can take advantage of the next funding cycle.

Councilor Rex Burkholder said that it was important to ensure balance among the regions.

VII. PREPARATION FOR FALL MPO SUMMIT

The discussion for the preparation for the fall MPO summit was held over until the next JPACT meeting.

VIII. AREA COMMISSION ON TRANSPORTATION (ACT) CORRESPONDENCE TO THE OTC

Councilor Rex Burkholder presented the Area Commission on Transportation (ACT) correspondence to the OTC (included as part of this meeting record)

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: Councilor Karl Rohde moved and Commissioner Roy Rogers seconded the motion to approve the letter to the OTC regarding an ACT.

Ms. Susie Lahsene expressed concern on how the OTC would react to the region not becoming an ACT as they were asked.

Mayor Rob Drake stated that he had full respect for the state and for ODOT, however the region does get the respect from Salem that it deserves. He said that JPACT as an entity gives them a broad position with equal footing. He said that the region's needs are unique and distinct and becoming an ACT would not make better the situation. He expressed his concern that the region remains a net exporter of dollars and sees less returned. While supporting the state and other regions is important, it still bothers him and hence his support of never becoming an ACT.

Commissioner Jim Francesconi stated that there are two issues that remain. One is whether to become an ACT and the other is whether there should be added business representation on JPACT. He disagreed that the many "part-time" business owners represent the business community fairly. He further stated that if JPACT does formalize the Regional Freight Committee, then it seems logical to appoint a member from that subcommittee to sit on JPACT.

Mr. Matthew Garrett stated that he would vote no on the letter to the OTC because he does not agree that JPACT has worked all of the issues appropriately. He also said that JPACT needed to be better informed on certain issues and with the OTC strongly encouraging ACTs statewide it is important for JPACT to consider resurrecting the conversation.

Commissioner Bill Kennemer commented that the City of Sandy and other areas are investigating their options of becoming an ACT of their own.

Ms. Stephanie Hallock stated that should probably be abstaining from the vote, however does not support changing the structure of JPACT.

<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>: The motion to approve the letter to the OTC regarding ACTs <u>passed</u> with Mr. Matthew Garrett voting no and Ms. Stephanie Hallock abstaining from the vote.

IX. TRANSPORTATION FUNDING STRATEGY

The committee agreed to hold this item until the next regular JPACT meeting.

X. STATUS REPORT ON OXYGENATED FUELS

Ms. Stephanie Hallock stated that DEQ is not ready to make their decision on oxygenated fuels and would rather come back to JPACT after the public comment period has ended and their decision has been made.

Chair Rod Park asked Ms. Hallock to come to the next JPACT meeting prepared to speak briefly on the oxygenated fuels issue.

XI. ADJOURN

There being no further business Chair Park adjourned the meeting at 9:05 a.m.

Respectfully submitted, Renée Castilla

Draft JPACT Legislative Concept

September 9, 2004

Seek support from Governor Kulongoski and legislative leaders in the development of a transportation finance legislative proposal, including:

a. Road funding:

- i. Operations & Maintenance Increase the gas tax and equivalent weight-mile tax.
- ii. OTIA 4 Support an increase in the vehicle registration fee and titling fee for the next OTIA Modernization funding package.
- b. A multi-modal lottery funding package consisting of:
 - i. Lottery funds for metropolitan passenger rail projects.
 - ii. Lottery funds for intermodal passenger, freight rail, and marine capital improvements.
- c. ODOT funding for bus replacement and transportation demand management.
- d. Initiate an updated Transportation Finance Study in preparation for the '07 Legislature.



September 12, 2004

Mr. Bruce Warner Oregon Department of Transportation 355 Capitol St NE, Rm. 135 Salem, OR 97301-3871

Dear Mr. Warner:

We have reviewed the August 4 draft of the Freight Route Advisory Project staff report, which will inform an update of the Oregon Highway Plan. The report is well written and informative. We have several comments, however, which we would like to see addressed before changes to the OHP freight routes and policies are taken to the Oregon Transportation Commission this fall.

In addition to the tonnage and connectivity criteria used in the 1999 OHP update, a number of "factors for consideration" are proposed for use in the identification of freight routes to be designated as OHP freight routes. While we agree with most of these factors, the report needs to be clearer about how they will be applied. Regional freight routes, in particular should be elevated to a criterion. In areas that have established regional or local freight systems, classification as a major route on these plans should be a prerequisite for designation as an OHP freight route. The various systems need to be consistent and the planning processes established in the State Transportation Planning Rule and federal regulations should be observed.

We are concerned about the characterization of funding as a significant issue for OHP Route Designation in section III B. Elsewhere the report recognizes that there are significant routes, which provide regional and state mobility that are not OHP freight routes. One of the factors of consideration is "major freight routes on local facilities" and action 4A.8 recognizes that local truck routes provide important linkages in the movement of freight throughout the state. It is critical that the State view the freight routes as a system and provide funding where it is most needed to support the efficient movement of freight, regardless of whether it is on a State highway or local route. We therefore recommend that action 4A.8 be amended to add language that indicates that major freight routes on local roads that have regional and/or statewide significance will receive priority consideration for funding along with state routes and NHS intermodal connectors.

As you know, the FRAP was established in response to concerns raised during the designation of Special Transportation Areas last fall. Local jurisdictions asked for more clarity as to the highway segment management plan requirements and approval process at that time. The August

4 draft outlines the required elements only very generally and provides no review timeframe. It is our understanding that only one management plan has been approved statewide to date. It is critical that a simple and clear process be outlined in detail and reviewed with local jurisdictions. Further, we disagree with the requirement that the management plan must be put in place prior to the STA designation. The local jurisdiction should be required to prepare a management plan before implementing any roadway or streetscape improvements that would reduce the existing roadway capacity within an STA. The management plan could be developed either in conjunction with a TSP update, or separately. This would be an efficient way to meet planning requirements without placing undue burdens on local jurisdictions.

Finally, we request that the update reference the below listed planned additions to the OHP freight system:

New US 30 Bypass: (from St. John's bridge north to Columbia Boulevard to I-5) As part of the 2000 RTP update, this route was reclassified as a principal arterial and freight route. A process should be initiated to redesignate the US 30 Bypass to the Columbia route to be consistent with the acknowledged RTP. This route should be reflected in the state highway freight system once the US 30 Bypass designation is completed. ODOT and the City of Portland will coordinate to ensure that Lombard Street will continue to accommodate over-dimensional vehicles and locals until the new US 30 Bypass Route has improvement in place capable of supporting this function.

I-84/US 26 Connector: The need for a highway connection in this area is identified in the RTP. As part of the next RTP update, a permanent connection between I-84 and US 26 will be designated. The state highway freight system should include a note that a highway connection in this area is needed and will be designated in the next RTP update.

I-5/99W Connector: The state highway freight system should include a note that a major freight connection between I-5 and 99W is needed and is currently being planned. Washington County, with the support of ODOT and Metro, is leading a study to identify a new highway connection in the Tualatin area. The state highway freight system should include a note about the study and indicate that a future state highway freight route will be added once the route is identified.

Metro will be leading a review of the regional freight system and networks as part of the next RTP update starting in 2006. Additional routes may be identified as part of that process. Once that process is completed more routes may be proposed for addition to the OHP freight system.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

David Bragdon Council President Councilor Rod Park Chair, Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation



September 12, 2004

Mr. Bruce Warner Oregon Department of Transportation 355 Capitol St NE, Rm. 135 Salem, OR 97301-3871

Dear Mr. Warner:

We have reviewed the <u>September 1 August 4</u> draft of the Freight Route Advisory Project staff report, which will inform an update of the Oregon Highway Plan. The report is well written and informative. We have several comments, however, which we would like to see addressed before changes to the OHP freight routes and policies are taken to the Oregon Transportation Commission this fall.

In addition to the tonnage and connectivity criteria used in the 1999 OHP update, a number of "factors for consideration" are proposed for use in the identification of freight routes to be designated as OHP freight routes. While we agree with most of these factors, the report needs to specify be clearer about how they will be applied. Regional freight routes, in particular should be elevated to a criterion. In areas that have established regional or local freight systems, classification as a major route on these plans should be a prerequisite for designation as an OHP freight route. The various systems need to be consistent and the planning processes established in the State Transportation Planning Rule and federal regulations should be observed.

We are concerned about the characterization of funding as a significant issue for OHP Route Designation in section III B. Elsewhere the report recognizes that there are significant routes, which provide regional and state mobility that are not OHP freight routes. One of the factors of consideration is "major freight routes on local facilities" and action 4A.8 recognizes that local truck routes provide important linkages in the movement of freight throughout the state. It is critical that the State view the freight routes as a system and provide funding where it is most needed to support the efficient movement of freight, regardless of whether it is on a State highway or local <u>facilityroute</u>. We therefore recommend that action 4A.8 be amended to add language that indicatinges that major freight routes on local roads <u>which that</u> have regional and/or statewide significance will receive priority consideration for funding along with state routes and NHS intermodal connectors.

As you know, the FRAP was established in response to concerns raised during the designation of Special Transportation Areas last fall. Local jurisdictions asked for more clarity as to the

highway segment management plan requirements and approval process at that time. The September 1 August 4 draft outlines the required elements only very generally and provides no review timeframe. It is our understanding that only one management plan has been approved statewide to date. It is critical that a simple and clear process be outlined in detail and reviewed with local jurisdictions. Further, we disagree with the requirement that the management plan must be put in place prior to the STA designation. The local jurisdiction should be required to prepare a management plan before implementing any roadway or streetscape improvements that would impact freight movement and access reduce the existing roadway capacity within thean STA segment. The management plan could be developed either in conjunction with- a TSP update, or separately. This would be an efficient way to meet planning requirements without placing undue burdens on local jurisdictions.

Finally, we request that the update reference the below listed planned additions to the OHP freight system:

New US 30 Bypass: (from St. John's bridge north to Columbia Boulevard to I-5) As part of the 2000 RTP update, this route was reclassified as a principal arterial and freight route. A process should be initiated to redesignate the US 30 Bypass to the Columbia route to be consistent with the acknowledged RTP. This route should be reflected in the state highway freight system once the US 30 Bypass designation is completed. ODOT and the City of Portland will coordinate to ensure that Lombard Street will continue to accommodate over-dimensional vehicles and locals until the new US 30 Bypass Route has improvement in place capable of supporting this function.

I-84/US 26 Connector: The need for a highway connection in this area is identified in the RTP. As part of the next RTP update, a permanent connection between I-84 and US 26 will be designated. The state highway freight system should include a note that a highway connection in this area is needed and will be designated in the next RTP update.

I-5/99W Connector: The state highway freight system should include a note that a major freight connection between I-5 and 99W is needed and is currently being planned. Washington County, with the support of ODOT and Metro, is leading a study to identify a new highway connection in the Tualatin area. The state highway freight system should include a note about the study and indicate that a future state highway freight route will be added once the route is identified.

Metro will be leading a review of the regional freight system and networks as part of the next RTP update starting in 2006. Additional routes may be identified as part of that process. Once that process is completed more routes may be proposed for addition to the OHP freight system.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

David Bragdon Council President Councilor Rod Park Chair, Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation



WASHINGTON COUNTY OREGON

September 8, 2004

To:

JPACT

From:

Washington County Coordinating Committee

Subject:

Proposed Revisions to 2006-09 MTIP Staff Recommendation

The Washington County Coordinating Committee met on September 7, 2004 and proposes the following revisions to the 2006-09 MTIP Staff Recommendation:

- 1. Move the \$950,000 Tualatin Valley Highway Corridor Study (P13121) from the Planning category Recommended List to the Not Recommended List.
- 2. Move \$639,000 of the \$950,000 to the Ash St. Extension (VC8038) to cover preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition and move this project to the Recommended List in the Transit category.
- 3. Move the remaining \$311,000 of the \$950,000 to the \$1,100,000 million Beaverton-Hillsdale/Oleson/Scholls Ferry intersection (RC1184) on the existing Recommended List Road Capacity category. This results in a revised \$1,411,000 request.
- 4. Reduce the unfunded amount for Beaverton-Hillsdale/Oleson/Scholls Ferry (RC1184) on the Not Recommended List Road Capacity category to \$1,489,000.

The primary reasons for these changes is that, given more immediate needs in Washington County, it makes more sense to focus on funding improvements to Ash St. and Beaverton-Hillsdale/Oleson/Scholls Ferry rather than trying to address longer term needs on TV Highway. The Ash St. project will provide a needed secondary access to the Tigard park and ride lot for the Beaverton-Wilsonville Commuter Rail and reduce congestion at the lot's primary entrance on Main Street. The City of Tigard is considering increasing their local match on this project to cover the remaining \$212,537 needed for construction. The Beaverton-Hillsdale/Oleson/Scholls Ferry intersection is one of the most hazardous intersections in the metropolitan area for bicycle, pedestrian and motor vehicle travel. Providing additional funding for PE will improve safety, reduce congestion and facilitate future development of this 2040 Town Center. Over the next several months, Washington County and its partner jurisdictions will pursue funding the remaining \$1,489,000 to complete preliminary engineering on this important project.

cc: Washington County Coordinating Committee

Transportation Priorities 2006-09 Draft Metro Staff First Cut Recommendation

₽ Bike/Trail ✓	equested Amount Ilions of \$)	Boulevard	Requested Amount (millions of \$)	☑ Large Bridge	Requested Amount millions of \$)
Recommended for Further Consideration		Recommended for Further Consideration		Recommended for Further Consideration	n
1 Bk1009 Eastbank Trail/Springwater: SE 19th to SE Umatilla	\$1.629	1 Bd3020 Rose Biggi extension: Crescent St. to Hall	\$3.807	RR101 Sellwood Bridge Replacement: Type, Size & Location Study, Preliminary environmenal	\$1.750
2 Bk4011 Marine Dr. Bike Lanes & Trail Gaps: 6th Ave. to 185th	\$1.651	2 Bd3169 Burnside Street: Bridge to E 14th (PE only)	\$3.360		
Bk2055 Springwater Trailhead at Main City Park Bk2052 Junction	\$0.310 \$0.890	3 Bd1260 Killingsworth: Minnesota to MLK4 Bd3124 Cornell Road: Saltzman to 119th	\$3.029 \$2.535		
5 Bk5026 Trolley Trail: Arista to Glen Echo 6 Bk3012 Rock Creek Trail: Orchard Park to NW Wilkens	\$1.484 \$0.675				
7 Bk5110 Jennifer St. 106th to 122nd Reguerton Powerline Trail: Schuenback Park to	\$0.550				
8 Bk3072 Burntwood Drive	\$1.500 \$0.250				
Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$8.939	Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$12.731	Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$1.750
9 Bk6057 Washington Square Greenway: Hwy. 217 to Fanno	1		00.447	RR101 Sellwood Bridge Replacement: Type, Size &	\$1.850
Creek Trail 10 Bk6020 Powerline Trail (South): Barrows to Beef Bend Rd.	\$1.256 \$0.942	5 Bd3169 E Baseline: 10th to 20th	\$2.447	 Location Study, Preliminary environmenal 	φ1.000
ODOT Preservation Supplement: Powell: 52nd to I-	\$0.250				
Subtotal:	\$2.448	Subtotal:	\$2.447	Subtotal	\$1.850
₽ Re	\$11.387 equested	Mode Category Total:	\$15.178 Requested	Mode Category Total: Planning	\$3.600 Requested
Green Streets /	Amount illions of \$)	e riegit	Amount (millions of \$)	"	Amount (millions of \$)
Recommended for Further Consideration		Recommended for Further Consideration		Recommended for Further Consideration	on
GS122 1 4 NE Cully Boulevard: Prescott to Killingsworth	\$2.457	1 Fr4063 N Lombard: Slough overcrossing Svv านลาสนา-จายาพออส หอสส คาเพอ: เ-จ to Highway	\$2.210	n/a PI8000 Bike Model and Interactive Map: Region wide	\$0.201
GS212		2 Fr3016 99W	\$0.341	n/a Pl0004 Livable Streets Update: Region wide n/a Pl1003 Milwaukie LRT Supplemental EIS: Portland	\$0.200
1 3 Beaver Creek Culverts: Troutdale, Cochran, Stark	\$1.470	4 Fr6086 Kinsman Road extension: Barber to Boeckman Freight Data Collection Infrastructure and Archive System: Approximately 50 interchanges region wide	\$1.400 \$0.179	central city to Milwaukie town center n/a Pl0001 MPO Required Planning: Region wide	\$2.000 \$1.731
		System: Approximately 50 Interchanges region wide	φυ.179	n/a PI5005 Multi-Use Path Master Plans: Lake Oswego to Milwaukie, Sullivan's Gulch, Tonquin Traii, Mt.	φ1./31
		6 Fr2074 NE Sandy Blvd. (PE/ROW): 207th to 238th	\$0.630	Scott - Scouter's Loop Trail. n/a Pl0002 Next Priority Corridor Study	\$0.590 \$0.500
				n/a PI0002 Regional Freight Planning: Region wide	\$0.300
				n/a Pl3121 Tualatin Valley Highway Corridor Study: Highway 217 to Baseline Road n/a Pl1017 Willamette Shoreline - Hwy 43 Transit	\$0.950
				Alternatives Analysis: Portland South Waterfront to Lake Oswego	\$0.688
Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$3.927	Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$4.760	Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$7.160 on
				Pl1017 Willamette Shoreline - Hwy 43 Transi n/a preliminary engineering: Portland South	
		Fr4063 N Lombard: Slough overcrossing N Leadbetter Extension: N Bybee Lake Ct. to Marine	\$2.210	Waterfront to Lake Oswego Milwaukie LRT Supplemental EIS: Portland	\$1.350
		3 Fr4087 Dr. 7 Fr6065 SW Herman Road: Teton to 108th Avenue	\$3.000 \$2.000	central city to Milwaukie town center I-205/Hwy 213 Interchange Reconnaissance Study	\$1.725 \$0.300
		7 F10003 SW Heilian Noad, Telon to Tooth Avenue	Ψ2.000	n/a 5 Fuller Road @ I-205	\$0.500
				n/a Pl3121 Tualatin Valley Highway Corridor Study: Highway 217 to Baseline Road	\$0.950
Subtotal: Mode Category Total:	\$0.000 \$3.927	Subtotal Mode Category Total:	\$11.970	Subtotal: Mode Category Total:	\$4.825 \$11.985
ਕੂ Pedestrian	Requested Amount hillions of \$)	Road Capacity	Requested Amount (millions of \$)	हैं Road Reconstruction	Requested Amount (millions of \$)
Recommended for Further Consideration		Recommended for Further Consideration Sw Greenburg Road: Washington Square Dr. to		Recommended for Further Consideration	
Pd3163 Forest Grove Town Center Pedestrian Improvements Pd5054 Milwaukie Town Center: Main/Harrison/21st	\$0.660 \$0.450	1 RC6014 Tiedeman 2 Pd6127 Boones Ferry Road at Lanewood Street	\$1.000 \$1.400	1 3 Naito Parkway:NW Davis to SW Market 2 Fr3166 10th Avenue @ Highway 8 Intersections	\$3.840 \$0.837
3 Pd1227 Tacoma Street: 6th to 21st	\$1.340	3 RC2110 Wood Village Blvd.: Arata to Halsey Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy/Oleson/Schoils Ferry	\$0.980	3 5 Cleveland St.: NE Stark to SE Powell RR503	\$1.540
4 Pd2105 Rockwood Ped to MAX: 188th Avenue and Burnside 11 Pd1019 Transit Safe Street Crossings	\$1.400 \$0.500	4 RC1184 intersection (PE)	\$1.100	4 7 Lake Rd: 21st to Hwy 224	\$1.884
Subtotal:	\$4.350	Subtotal	\$4.480	Subtotal:	\$8.101
Not Recommended for Further Consideration		Not Recommended for Further Consideration		Not Recommended for Further Considerati	
5 Pd1202 SW Capitol Highway (PE): Multnomah to Taylors Ferry 7 Pd1080 SE Hawthorne: 20th to 50th	\$0.538	4 RC1184 Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy/Oleson/Scholls Ferry intersection (PE)	\$1.800	RR200 5 1 NE 242nd Ave.: Stark to Glisan	\$0.840
8 Pd3021 SW Scholls Ferry Road: New Seasons to Fred Meyer in the Raleigh Hills town center	\$0.822 \$0.436	5 RC7000 SE 172nd Ave:Phase I; Sunnyside to Hwy 212 6 RC3114 NE 28th Avenue: East Main to Grant	\$4.300 \$1.682	6 9 NW 23rd Avenue: Burnside to Lovejoy	\$2.694
9 Pd3093 SW Murray Blvd (west side only): TV Hwy to Farmington (+ bike lane)	\$0.923	7 RC5103 improvements at 4 railroad crossings	\$0.500		
10 Pd5209 SE 129th Sidewalks and bike lane: Scott Creek Ln. to Mountain Gate Rd.	\$0.707	-		_	A =
Subtotal: Mode Category Total:	\$3.426 \$7.776	Subtotal Mode Category Total	\$12.762	Subtotal: Mode Category Total:	
Regional Travel Options	Requested Amount nillions of \$)	Man	Requested Amount	Transit	Requested Amount
Recommended for Further Consideration		Recommended for Further Consideration	(millions of \$)	Recommended for Further Consideration	(millions of \$) On
n/a TO8052 RTO Base Progam	\$4.003	1 TD8005 Regional TOD LRT Station Area Program	\$3.000	n/a TR1001 Rail Commitment	\$16.000
n/a TO0002 3 TravelSmart Projects	\$1.700	2 TD0002 Regional TOD Urban Center Program 3 TD0003 Site acquisition: Beaverton regional center	\$2.000 \$3.000	n/a TR1002 I-205 Supplemental 1 Tr8035 Frequent Bus	\$2.600 \$2.750
		4 TD0004 Gateway Transit Center Redevelopment	\$0.500	2 Tr1106 Eastside Streetcar 3 Tr5106 South Metro Amtrak Station	\$1.000 \$1.150
Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration	\$5.703	Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Consideration		Subtotal: Not Recommended for Further Considerati	\$22.350
n/a TO0003 RTO Preferred: 2 additional TravelSmart Projects	\$1.000	ionidad for Furtile Consideration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 VC8038 SW Ash Street extension	\$0.851
Subtotal: Mode Category Total:	\$1.000 \$6.703	Subtotal Mode Category Total:		Subtotal: Mode Category Total:	\$0.851 \$23.201
		- June 1	+ 3.000	List Grand Total:	\$92.751

List Grand Total: \$92.751 Expected 2008-09 Funding Authorized: \$60.50



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Mail code: L101 • 3181 S.W. Sam Jackson Park Road • Portland, Oregon 97239-3098 TEL: 503-494-8224 • FAX: 503-494-8955

September 2, 2004

Rod Park, Chair Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation Metro 600 NE Grand Avenue Portland, OR 97232

Re: Alternatives Analysis for Willamette Shoreline/Highway 43 Transit Corridor and funds held in reserve for Environmental Analysis and PE for Preferred Alternative

Dear Councilor Park:

Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) enthusiastically supports the joint application for Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) funds for a transit alternatives analysis and future funds for an environmental assessment and preliminary engineering for the Highway 43 corridor.

As you know, OHSU, the Portland Development Commission and private developers have recently embarked upon a plan to transform an undeveloped portion of the waterfront into a vibrant neighborhood. Portland's South Waterfront District, which is adjacent to downtown Portland between the Willamette River and Macadam Avenue, is the largest economic development project in Portland history. It represents the conversion of a largely vacant, formerly industrial, brownfield area into a 21st century neighborhood. Ultimately, 130 acres of riverfront property will be redeveloped to create a new, sustainable, urban neighborhood of commerce, education, research, health care, housing and recreation.

The South Waterfront Central District is the site of the first phase of development coordinated through a public-private partnership that includes Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) and the Portland Development Commission. OHSU expects to invest \$500 million to \$1 billion in its central city campus to build approximately 1.5 million square feet of bioscience research laboratories, patient care facilities, educational facilities and offices, all in fulfillment of its primary mission to improve the health and well-being of Oregonians. OHSU has begun construction on a center for advanced health and medicine that will be located adjacent to the streetcar, near the aerial tram terminus and just a few blocks from the greenway's bike and pedestrian paths.

By increasing the linkages between Lake Oswego and the South Waterfront, both communities will be mutually supported and benefited by this economic development project. Enhanced transit along the corridor will offer more opportunities for Portland and Lake Oswego residents to live, work and recreate in the South Waterfront.

OHSU strongly urges your support of this MTIP application.

Sincerely,

Steven D. Stadum

Chief Administrative Officer

cc: Douglas Schmitz
Jane Heisler
Charles Hales

MACKENZIE

September 3, 2004

METRO
Attention: Councilor Rod Park
Chair for Joint Policy Advisory Committee
on Transportation
600 NE Grand
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Re: Willamette Shoreline Consortium Application for MTIP Funds

Project Number 2010302.01

Dear Councilor Park:

This letter is provided at the request of the City of Lake Oswego (City). The purpose of the letter is to describe the joint progress of the City and the private property owners (Owners) that this firm represents. The letter will also describe further efforts of the Owners and potential securations of development that the Owners' consultant team has considered.

We currently represent six Owners within the Foothills Road area. These Owners all have property that is currently zoned and used as industrial. The Owners have approximately seventeen (17) acres in the center of the Foothills District. We have, along with the Owners, participated in the various studies of different elements that the City has initiated. These include: a park study and design, a transit center location study, and a Foothills Design District study.

Based on the investigation of redevelopment potential indicated by these studies, the Owners expanded their consultant team to include: Gerding/Edlen Development (development consultants), Corrollo Engineers (wastewater treatment consultants), and Siegel Consulting (financial feasibility consultant). The consultants have worked with the Owners to better understand the potential and feasibility of a comprehensive redevelopment of the entire Foothills area.

Concurrent to this effort, the Owners authorized the consultant team to seek joint resolution of differing land use direction raised by the Foothills Design District study. As a conclusion to this study it was presented to the City Council (fall of 2003) and the Council requested that the Owners and City staff attempt to resolve differences raised in the study. The City staff, representatives of the City Council, Owners, Owners consultants and representatives of the City of Portland Bureau of Environmental Services worked through a series of charettes to mutually define the vision of the redeveloped area (spring 2004).

Although the vision has yet to be confirmed with a public process, it is representative of the joint effort described. This preliminary vision confirms the desire to plan for a comprehensive redevelopment of the entire area into a dense, mixed use, transit oriented, transit supportive district. The district would provide the City and the region with an

Group Mackenzie, Incorporated

Paradiser Paradises

Land Una Planning

Group Mackenzie Engineering, Incorporated

Cerus Strauterali Populareralij

contractation sensing

Localions:

Parliane, Osegon Eutomo, Masservoga Vintaneeu, Weshington **METRO**

Willamette Shoreline Consortium Application for MTIP Funds Project Number 2010302.01 September 8, 2004 Page 2

expanded regional town center; a center that is located in the most urban area of the City, served by regional transit, and surrounded by the appropriate densities as well as open space that will sustain a viable neighborhood. Open space in the form of a large public park, privately developed public plazas, and a greenway trail that could connect West Linn to Tryon Creek State Park along the Willamette River and Tryon Creek, would also be provided with the redevelopment.

The City is currently initiating the next step in the overall planning process through TGM funds. It is the Owners intention and the City Council's expressed desire that the Owners remain as active partners in the ensuing planning efforts where a formal vision will establish direction for the future redevelopment. Based on the joint work to date, it is the Owners expectation that the vision will incorporate:

- A street car transit system that connects the City to Portland;
- A broad area considered for planning and future impact/improvements;
- Relocation of the City of Portland wastewater plant and redevelopment of portions of the site;
- A refined Foothills Park that responds to the planned redevelopment;
- A continuous greenway trail from the existing trail along the Willamette River to the south and connecting to the Tyron Creek trail to the north and west;
- Potential relocation of the rails to promote redevelopment of the land adjacent to State Street;
- Daylighting Tyron Creek and provision of a grade separated crossing of Highway 43 for the trail along the creek;
- A comprehensive road system, parallel to State Street within the district that provides
 access at its northerly and southerly boundaries as well as the extension of A Street
 into the district:
- Dense mixed use development that allows for greater heights that respond to the lower terrain of the area and supports view corridors;
- Incorporation of a transit center within the district.

Some preliminary studies of development feasibility that the consult team is accomplishing supports the potential of the anticipated vision. Conservative estimates of the amount of building area that may be supported by a vision as described above indicates that a redeveloped building area of 1.25 million to 1.5 million square feet is achievable. Crude estimates of the value of this type of investment are \$315 million to \$415 million. These

METRO
Willamette Shoreline Consortium Application for MTIP Funds
Project Number 2010302.01
September 3, 2004
Page 3

estimates are crude and conservative at this point and the consultant team will refine them as the planning effort progresses.

The Owners have been encouraged by the progress to date and expect the City will provide the opportunity for them to be an integral part of the publicly managed public/private planning effort that will occur over the next year. We encourage JPAC To consider the requests of the City for the funding request to advance the streetcar extension into this district. It is perhaps the most critical element of the redevelopment vision and, without it, the expectations of the Owners, the City and the Region will require significant lowering.

Sincerely,

Eric T. Saito, AIA

CEO

ETS/jml

c: Mark Clemons, file

Scott Eaton, Kelly Saito - Gerding/Edlen Development

Foothills Property Owners



Department of Transportation

Region 1 123 NW Flanders Portland, OR 97209-4037 (503) 731-8200 FAX (503) 731-8259

September 2, 2004

The Honorable Rod Park, Chair
Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation
Metro
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Dear Chairman Park:

I am writing this letter on behalf of ODOT as a jurisdiction belonging to the Willamette Shore Line Consortium. The Consortium is a group of governing bodies that joined together in 1988 to purchase the Willamette Shore Rail Line for the sole purpose of preserving and managing the corridor for future transit use. The Consortium includes Clackamas and Multnomah Counties, the Cities of Portland and Lake Oswego, ODOT, TriMet and Metro.

This application for an alternative analysis is supported by ODOT as regionally important for several reasons. Highway 43, an ODOT facility, has a limited capacity that is constrained by physical conditions. Bounded by the Willamette River on the east and basalt cliffs on the west, options for increasing roadway capacity are extremely limited, making a comprehensive review of options a wise choice.

This effort will help better inform our decisions as they relate to the goals of the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), the Metro Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the TriMet Transit Investment Plan (TTIP), in addition to Lake Oswego's local TSP.

The request complies with the goals of the OTP by identifying options in:

- Achieving a safe, balanced and efficient multimodal system. The Portland region, including ODOT, continues to work hard to plan for the future of all modes of transportation, including non-motorized, that are linked with its land use strategy of higher density nodes in town centers and regional centers.
- Fostering economic development in a safe, energy efficient and environmentally sound manner. Both Lake Oswego and Portland are creating centers that provide for jobs, housing and many amenities for employees and residents, including plazas, parks, and multimodal connections. Enhanced connections and commute options between these two centers will reduce travel times, improve safety, and help maintain the healthy economy.

Form 734-1950 (1-03)

Supporting livability. The RTP indicates that person-trips in this already congested corridor will increase by 20-25% over the next 20 years. At some point a reasonable alternative to address this congestion must be advanced and acted upon. This process leads the way for that decision-making to occur.

Thank you for this opportunity to support this regional effort to study alternatives in the Highway 43/Willamette Shore corridor. Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Matthew L. Garrett

ODOT Region 1 Manager

Cc: Ralph Drewfs, ODOT Region 1 Light Rail Engineer

Jane Heisler, City of Lake Oswego



242 B Avenue • P.O. Box 368 • Lake Oswego, Oregon • 97034 (503) 636-3634 • Fax (503) 636-7427 www.lake-oswego.com

September 8, 2004

Rod Park Chair
Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation
Metro
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Dear Mr. Park:

The Lake Oswego Chamber of Commerce sent a letter on June 14, 2004 supporting the Willamette Shore Line Consortium's application for Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) funds for a transit alternatives analysis and future funds for an environmental assessment and preliminary engineering for the Highway 43 corridor.

It is our understanding that Metro staff has recommended that only partial funding be awarded to this project (alternatives analysis only). Even a cursory reading the MTIP application illustrates the efforts that Lake Oswego, Portland and OHSU have made toward creating vibrant, attractive mixed-use areas in each community that are vital to economic development and quality of life as well as supportive of the Metro 2040 concept. Lake Oswego has begun a process that will likely result in increasing the area of downtown that can accommodate mixed-use development. Lake Oswego also recognizes that studying this corridor in order to address transportation challenges is vital to making ruture growth a reality.

The Chamber Board urges your restoration of full funding of the consortium's MTIP application for the alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering for a preferred alternative.

Sincerely,

Chris Schetky

President, Lake Oswego Chamber of Commerce

This Select

		nsportation Priorities 2006-09			-		R	NDERSHIP	(USAGE) F	ACTOR				-		SA	FETY			ION 2040 FR		к	со	ST EFFECTIVENE	:ss
	_	-		_											Roa	adway Dete	rs Use	Other	Part of						
	E	Bike Improvements	Fed		Total				2025 Pop.	2025	Total		System . Connect.		Hi Auto Speed & Volume	Hi/Mod Auto Speed & Volume	Mod/Low Auto Speed & Volume	Multi-Use	Regional Access/Cor- ridor/Con- nector Bike System		Comm	Comm		Total Project	
Agncy	Code	# Project Title	Fui Req	nds juest	Points	2000 Riders	2025 Riders	Pnts	W/in 1/2 mi	Employ W'in 1/2 mi	Pop/Emp W/in 1/2 mi	Pnts	(Trips to Centers)	Pnts	Pnts	Pnts	Pnts	Pnts	Pnts	2040 Land Use	Focus (Region)	Focus (Local)	Total Project Cost	Cost/Change in Riders	Prits
								Hi=10 Med=7 Low=3				Hi=5 Med=3 Low=1		Hi = 10 Med = 7 Low = 3	15	8	3	5 or 0	Access=10 Corridor=10 Conctr= 3	RC = 10 TC = 7 Other = 3	Hi=10 Med=7 Low=3	Hi=10 Med=7 Low=3		:	Hi=15 Med=8 Low=3
Portland	1009	Eastbank Trail/Springwater: Johnson Creek Bridge to SE Umatilla Marine Dr. Bike Lanes & Trail Gaps:		1.629	93	0	2,281	10_	2,472	4,281	6,753	1	74%	10	15			5	10	10	10	7	1.498	0.066	15
Portland	4011	6th Ave. to 185th	\$	1.651	82	0	609	7	1,087	13,985	15,072	3	45%	7	15	0	0	5	10	10	10	7	1.840	0.302	8
Gresham	2055	Springwater Trailhead at Main City Park	\$	0.310	81	0	646	7	1,302	7,566	8,868	1	68%	10			3	5	10	10	10	10	0.350	0.054	15
Gresham	2052	MAX Multi-use Path: Cleveland Station to Ruby Junction	\$	0.890	76	0	471	3	5,343	16,335	21,678	5	50%	7	0	8	0	5	10	10	10	10	1.383	0.294	8
NClackPD	5026	Trolley Trail: Arista to Glen Echo	\$	1.484	75	0	589	7	7,770	11,633	19,403	5	30%	7	15			5	10	3	10	5	1.653	0.281	8
Hillsboro	3012	Rock Creek Trail: Orchard Park to NW Wilkens	\$	0.675	73_	0	332	3	1,107	11,220	12,327	3	8%	3	15	0		5	10	10	9	7	1.128	0.340	8
Clack. Co.	5110	Jennifer St: 106th to 122nd	\$	0.550	67	8	139	3	104	8,130	8,234	1	100%	10	15			0	10	10	10	5	1.062	0.764	3
THPRD	3072	Beaverton Powerline Trail: Schuepback Park to Burntwood Drive		1.500	65	0	696	7	4,944	2,167	7,111	1	19%	3	15			5	10	3	10	3	1.655	0.238	8
Tigard	6057	Washington Square Greenway: Hwy. 217 to Fanno Creek Trail		1.256	63	0	454	3	1,786	21,381	23,167	5	17%	3		8		5	10	10	6	5	1.400	0.308	8
Tigard	6020	Powerline Trail (South): Barrows to Beef Bend Rd.	\$	0.942	53	0	174	3	7,476	2,126	9,602	3	9%	3	15			5	10	3	5	3	1.050	0.603	3
	l		\$ 1	10.887							5k2														

Те	chnica	ıl Ra	ortation Priorities 2006-09 ankings and Qualitative Factors evard Design Projects				points				SS	ment?				ich shown for iquired 10%	chools?	benefit?	e Impacts?	ients?	
Agency	Code	Fechnical Rank	Project Title	Foderal Finds	Requested	Total Project Points	Green Street Bonus	USE FACTOR	ا د	SUPPORTS 2040	COST EFFECTIVENES	Past Regional Commit	Linked Project?	Minimum Phase?	Multi-Modal Benefit?	Overmatch? (local mai projects that exceed re natch)	Affordable Housing/ So	conomic impact/jobs	Environmenatal Justic	Received public comm	QUALITATIVE FACTORS
City of	Bd3020	1	Rose Biggi extension: Crescent St. to Hall	\$	3.807	102	10	25		40	15	Y	Υ	Y	Y	_		Y			Project complements extensive planning and redevelopment in downtown Beaverton - library expansion, The Round, Hall/Watson Beautification Plan, downtown parking and street design study and other plans. Provides critical multi-modal connection to the Round and Beaverton Transit Center which serves light rail, bus and future commuter rail. Supports other transit-oriented development activities. Serves low income area and concentration of Hispanic population.
City of Portland	Bd3169	2	Burnside Street: Bridge to E 14th (PE only)	\$	3.360	97	5	25	20 :	39	8		Υ		Y		Y	Y			Project resulted from a 3-year community planning effort adopted in the Burnside Transportation and Urban Design Plan adopted by City Councii. The project complements urban renewal area monies and was endorsed by the PDC and Portland Business Alliance's Transportation Committee. Facilitates better bike, pedestrian and transit connections across Burnside and supports development, jobs and housing within the Central city while maintaining good access and mobility to downtown Portland. Serves very low income area.
City of Portland	Bd1260	3	Killingsworth: Minnesota to MLK	\$	3.029	95	10	25	8	37	15		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y			Project need and design resulted from 6-month planning process that involved more than 1,000 community members and a citizen advisory committee. Community process included surveys in 4 languages, presentations to more than 15 community groups and phone calls to encourage participation in community meetings. Complements Interstate MAX improvements, PCC Cascade campus expansion, the Jefferson Pavilion Project Interstate urban renewal area monies and other mixed-use redevelopment efforts in community. Serves very low income area and concentration of Black and Hispanic populations.
Washington County	Bd3124	4	Cornell Road: Saltzman to 119th	\$	2.535	89	10	25	15	24	15		Υ	Υ	Y		Υ	Υ			Complements boulevard improvement in town center funded through MSTIP and Transportation Priorities programs. Implements town center plan. Completes gaps in regional bike and pedestrian network annd will serve multi-family housing.
City of Cornelius	Bd3169	5	E Baseline: 10th to 20th	\$	2.447	87	5	25	12	30	15	Υ	Υ	Y	Y			Υ			Project complements boulevard improvements to Adair Street funded through Transportation Priorities 2000. Implements Cornelius Main Street Plan elements. Designated Special Transportation Area. Complements several housing and social service projects funded by Oregon Housing and Community Services Department.
Washington County		6	B-H/Oleson/Scholls Phase 1 PE	s	3.233	71	10	18	20 :	23	0		Υ		Y			Υ			Project is on Metro's list of Regional Priorities for federal funding. Builds on MSTIP bike and pedestrian project. Project could help redevelopment of town center area.

TOTAL: 18.411

					FUNDS REQUESTED (\$ millions)	TOTAL PROJECT COST \$ millions)		ency the ight tem		L	resses : and Us bjectiv (40 Pts.	es	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		afety) Pts.)	Cost Effectiveness (15 Pts.)	t Points	itment	9	efit	School	ment	
	AGENCY	Code	Technical Ranking	PROJECT TITLE	FEDERAL FUNDS (\$ millio	ESTIMATED TOTAL I	Reduction in Freight Travel Time	Freight Route Designation	Improves Access to Industrial Areas	Ability to Leverage Economic Development	Local Protection of Industrial Development	Removal of Tier B/D Industrial Parcel Barriers	Reduction in Freight Traffic in Mixed Use Areas	Project Improves Safety	Project Adds Bike and/or Pedestrian Elements	Hours of Reduction in Local and Regional Freight Travel Time and Freight VMT vs. Project Cost	Total Project	Past Regional Comm	Linked Project Minimum Phas	Multi-Modal Benefit	Overmatch Affordable Housing/School	Economic Develop	Qualitative Factors
	1	T	릐		Total poin	ts possible	15	10	15	10	5	5	5	15	5	15			1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	+		
lanagement Programs	Portland State University	N/A		Permanent Freight Data Collection Infrastructure and Archive System	0.1795	0.3410	15	10	15	N/A	5	5	N/A	0.25	0	15	65		X	X			This project significantly expands the regional freight model capabilities. This project update existing monitors. The project is very cost effective.
Mana	Washington County	N/A		Tualatin-Sherwood Road ATMS	0.3410	0.3800	15	10	15	N/A	5	5	3	8.75	0	15	77			M		X	Project will cost effectively significantly improve current and future freight movement in Washington Co.
ects oorts xisting onal al Areas	Port of Portland	Fr4087		North Leadbetter Extension: (N. Bybee Lake Ct. to Marine Dr.)	3.00	9.87	10	10	10	7	5	5	0	6.25	5	10	68	\bigvee		\bigvee	X	I X	Project supports existing development. Technical analysis of this alternative has been adjusted because of modeling limitations (PCE).
Projects Supports Major Existing Regional Industrial Areas	City of Portland	Fr4063		North Lombard Improvements (Columbia Slough Overcrossing)	4.42	4.9	15	10	15	3	5	5	o	6.25	5	15	79			\bigvee		ΙX	Project is essential for Rivergate. Technical analysis of this alternative has been adjusted because of modeling limitations (PCE).
. 7	City of Tualatin	Fr6065		SW Herman Road (SW Teton Ave. to SW 108th Ave.)	2	3	10	3	5	3	3	3	0	8	5	5	45					X	
upports ew Loca il Areas	City of Wilsonville	Fr6086		Kinsman Road (Barber St. to Boeckman Rd.)	1.4	4.2	10	10	10	7	5	5	0	4.5	5	10	67	\mathbb{N}			X	X	Technical analysis of this alternative has been adjusted because this alternative supports future development of industrial lands.
Projects Supports Existing/New Local Industrial Areas	Multnomah County	Fr2074		Sandy Blvd. Prel. Engineering & R/W (NE 207th Ave. to NE 238th Dr.)	0.63	0.939	7	7	10	3	3	5	3	8.25	5	10	61	M				ΙX	Project will be a catalysis for the eastern portion of the Columbia Corridor. This development phase represents a valuable investment in future development. Construction cost est. \$4,028 M.
- ú	City of Cornelius	Fr3166		Highway 8 Intersection Improvement (No. 10th Ave. at Tualatin Valley Hwy.)	0.8373	0.9423	3	7	3	0	0	0	3	5	5	3	29					X	

	Transportation Priorities 2006- 2009: nnical Ranking and Qualitative Considerations		2040 Land Use Objectives 2040 Land Use Designation		of stormwater runoff from piped system of stormwater near source of runoff Design Elements	Safety Panel Score plus Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	Cost Effectiveness Amount infiltrated/project cost	
Green Stre	eet Design Elements: Retrofit		nter, Industrial		ripe Trees Inface Area traffic areas reets hndbk devices			QUALITATIVE FACTORS
Agency	Code Rank Project Title	Federal Funds Total Request Projec (millions) Points	Central City, Regional Cer Area, Town Center Main Streets, Station Com Corridors All other areas	High Medlum Low	Preserve Existing/Plant La Removal Of Impervious St. Curb options per Green St Use of Infliration/detention	Safety Panel Score Addition of Bike Lanes Addition of Sidewalks	High Medium Low	
		80	10 7 3 0 10	10 7 3 10	10 10 10 5 10 45	15.0 2.5 2.5 20	1	Pilot for upgrading "unimproved" street to green street standards along a main street. Project associated with low income community development. PE funded in last round (\$773,000).
City of Portland	GS1224 1 NE Cully Boulevard: Prescott to Lombard Subtotal	2.457 88 2.457	7 7	10 10	10 10 10 5 10 45	12.5 2.5 2.5 17.5	8 8	

Transportation Draft Technica Green Streen	al Rar	nking a	nd Qualitative Considerations					SPECIAL CRITE	RIA			EFFECTIV	/ENESS		COST EFFECTIVENESS	QUALITATIVE FACTORS
Agency	Code	ie Rani		Federal Funds Requested (millions)	Total Project Points		Multiple Culverts on same Stream	GS Handbook	PE includes geomorphology analysis	On regional Inventory of Culverts	Type of Solution	Amount of Upstream Habitat	Quality of Habitat	Presence of downstream barriers	fish passage/project cost	
		1 1	Total points possible for each scoring category		100	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N	20	25	10	15	30	
Multnomah Count	ty		Beaver Creek Culvert Retrofits	1.470	93	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	17.67	25	10	15	25	Leverages 70% of project cost. Beaver Creek hosts 3 endangered fish species. Cost effectiveness is good compared with other culvert replacement projects. Significant impact compared with other culverts on regional list.

		portation Priorities 2006-09 Projects: ical Rankings and Qualitative Factors Pedestrian Projects	nds Requested	Project Points	TOR		S 2040	EFFECTIVENESS	nal Commitment?	ject?	Phase?	al Benefit?	match? (local match shown for octs that exceed required 10% match)	Housing/ Schools?	c impact/jobs benefit	ntal Jusitce Impact?	public comments?	QUALITATIVE FACTORS
Agency	Code	Project Title	Federal Fu	Total Pro	USE FACTOR	SAFETY	SUPPORTS 2040	COST EFF	Past Regional	Linked Project?	Minimum F	Multi-Mod	Overmatch projects th	Affordable	Economic	Environmental	Received p	
City of Forest Grove	Pd3163	63 1 Forest Grove Town Center Pedestrian Improvements	0.6	60 90	25	5 17	33	15	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ			Υ			Completes gap in ped system (including ADA accessibility); complements prior MTIP allocation for downtown ped improvements. Serves concentration of Hispanic population. Leverages TriMet investment in frequent bus service.
City of Milwauki	e Pd5054	54 2 Milwaukie Town Center: Main/Harrison/21st	0.4	50 88	20	20	33	15		Υ	Y	Y			Y			ComplementsSafeway redevelopment TOD site and boulevard improvements to McLoughlin Blvd. with improved bicycle and pedestrian access to downtown and riverfront. Strong public support and leverages future investment in downtown area.
Čity of Portland	Pd1227	27 3 Tacoma Street: 6th to 21st	1.3	40 78	20	20	33	5		Υ		Y			Y			Linked to first 2 project phases (striping and median refuge and curb extension construction) funding through local and state monies; supports Willamette River Crossing study recommendations and 2040 main street designation. Provides critical pedestrian crossing improvements and bicycle boulevard on adjacent street. Supports employment by leveraging main street development. Strong public support.
City of Lake Oswego	Pd6127	27 4 SW Boones Ferry Road: At Lanewood Ave.	1.4	02 76	24	4 20	27	5				Y			Y			Implements Boones Ferry Corridor Plan and Lake Grove Town Center Plan recommendations. Corridor has highest accident rate in city. Crossings would serve Lake Grove Elementary School. Leverages redevelopment of adjacent properties consistent with town center plan.
City of Gresharr	Pd2 105	5 Rockwood Ped to MAX: 188th Avenue and Burnside	1.4	00 75	17	7 20	33	5	Υ	Υ	Y	Y		Y	Y			Complements other Ped-to-Max improvements and boulevard improvements to Stark Street in town center area. Project identified as priority need in several city plans and will help leverage other public/private development. LRT stations in area have highest ridership rates in Gresham. Strong public support.
City of Portland	Pd1202	6 SW Capitol Highway (PE): Multnomah to Taylors Ferry	0.5	38 74	. 17	7 17	30	10		Υ		Y			Y			Project is an element of the Capitol Highway Plan adopted in 1996 and completes important gap in bike and pedestrian system. Stormwater treatment will be addressed as part of project and green street elements will be considered. Provides connection between Multnomah Main Street and West Portland town center.
City of Portland	Pd1080	7 SE Hawthome: 20th to 50th	0.8	22 68	14	1 18	31	5	Y	Y		_Y			Υ			Implements Hawthorne Boulevard Transportation Plan adopted n 1997 and builds on earlier phase funded through Transportation Priorities program (\$1.5 M) Strong public support. Leverages TriMet Streamline Program improvementsin corridor.
Washington County	Pd3021	8 SW Scholls Ferry Road. New Seasons to Fred Meyer in the R	aleigl 0.4	36 63	21	1 14	13	15		Υ		Υ						Completes important regional pedestrian connection to major transit stop, linking two key shopping centers. Connects to frequent bus service on Beaverton-Hillsdale Highway.
Washington County	Pd3093	9 SW Murray Blvd (west side only): TV Hwy to Farmington (+ bi	ce lar 0.9	23 59	16	5 17	16	10		Y	Υ	Υ						Completes important regional bike and pedestrian gap. Strong public support. Connects to other east-west bike and pedestrian facilities that connect directly to Beaverton regional center.
City of Happy Valley	Pd5209	9 10 SE 129th Sidewalks and bike lane: Scott Creek Ln. to Mounta	n Ga 0.7	07 49	20) 14	5	10		Y	Y	Υ		Y				Connects Mt. Scott trail and completes important gap in regional bike and pedestrian system. Paved portion of project to be funded through SDCs. Connects to Spring Mountain Elementary School - all students are bused due to lack of safe bike/pedestrian connections.
TriMet	Pd1019	19 11 Transit Safe Street Crossings	0.5	00 44	15	5 14	5	10		Υ	Υ	Y						Application responds to direction provided to TriMet relative to a programatic pedestrian transit access application in the previous round. Complements Trimet Transit Investment Plan on key frequent and rapid bus corridors.

Subtotal:

TOTAL: \$ 9.178

March 28, 2003

F		ical Rani	portation Priorities 2006-09: king and QualitativeConsiderations Bridge Reconstruction Projects			ONS		CIRCULATION	READINESS	Sention the distribution of the state of the	ΑY		nent?	ć				hools?	.ecovery?	rt - Jobs	lssues?	ients?
Agency	Code	Technical Rank	Project Title	Federal Funds Request (milions)	Total Project Points	PAVEMENT CONDITIONS	PROJECT ELEMENTS	2040 ACCESS AND C	COMMUNITY CNTR. READINESS	SAFETY	COST/REDUCED DELAY	BONUS ELEMENTS	Past Regional Commitment?	Link to other Project(s)?	Minimum Phase?	Multi-Modal Benefit?	Overmatch	Affordable Housing/ Schools?	Endagnered Species Recovery?	Economic Development - Jobs	Environmenatl Justice Issues?	Received Public Comments?
		Total poin	ts possible for each scoring category		100	10	15	20	20	20	15	10										
Portland	RR1053	1	Naito Parkway:NW Davis to SW Market	\$3.840	90.50	8	15	20	20	15.00	10	2.50	Υ			Υ						
Gresham	RR2035	2	10th Avenue @ Highway 8 Intersections	\$0.837	90.50	10	12	20	16	7.50	15	10.00			Υ	Υ						
Comelius	Fr3166	3	Cleveland St.: NE Stark to SE Powell	\$1.540	87.75	10	15	6	18	18.75	15	5.00				Υ	-				į	
Multnomah County	RR2001	4	Lake Rd: 21st to Hwy 224	\$1.884	83.50	8	15	10	18	17.50	10	5.00	Υ	Υ		Υ		Υ				
Milwaukie	RR5037	5	NE 242nd Avenue: Stark to Glisan	\$0.840	81.25	8	15	6	16	13.75	15	7.50			Υ	Y						
Portland	RR1209	6	NW 23rd Avenue: Burnside to Lovejoy	\$2.694	70.00	8	12	17	18	2.50	5	7.50				Υ						

	Larg	je Brid	dge Reconstruction Projects															
Multnomah	RR1012	1	Sellwood Bridge Replacement: Type, Size & Location Study, Preliminary environmenal	\$3.600	70.75	6	15	6	20	18.75	0	5.00		Υ	Υ			
			SUBTOTAL:	\$15.235									 				•	

		Tra	ansportation Priorities 2006-09 Projec Draft Technical Rankings	cts:		INCREASE	: NON-SOV MOD	E SHARE		2040 LAND USE	OBJECTIVES	3	COST	EFFECTIVEN	ESS
		F	Regional Travel Options						Region 2040 Land Use Designation			Level of Community Focus			
Agncy	Code	#	Project Title	Federal Funds Request	TOTAL POINTS	Percent Mode Share Increase for Alternative Modes	Average Annual VMT Reduced	Prite	Prits	Employees in 2025	Prite	Prits	unnual Iram Cost	Annual Program Cost/VMT Reduced	Pris
								Hi=35 Med=20 Low=5	Hi=10 Med=7 Low=3		Hi = 10 Med =7 Low = 3	Hi = 20			Hi=25 Med=10 Low= 5
Region	TO8052		RTO Progam	\$ 4.003	87	7.0%	44.304	35	7	Regionwide	7	20	\$ 2.000	0.0451	25
Region	TO0002		RTO Base + 3 TravelSmart Projects	\$ 1.700	60	8.0%	1.800	20	10	Center	10	20	\$ 0.500	0.2778	10
Region	TO0003		RTO Preferred: 2 additional TravelSmart Projects	\$ 1.000 \$ 6.703	60	8.0%	3.000	20	10	Center	10	20	\$ 0.500	0.1667	10

Transportati		ies 2006-09 Pro Rankings OD Project		echnical	Increase Sha		Density (Criteria			2040	Criteria			Cost Effec	ctiveness
									Project 1	Location	density increas	se over time	Level of comm	unity Focus		
Agency	Code	Project Title	Federal Funds Requested (millions)	Total Points	% increase of non-auto trips above no JD project	Points	% increase in people/acre above no JD project	Points	2040 location	points	change in mixed use density 1996- 2020	points		points	cost per 1000 VMT reduced	points
Total points possib	le for each sc	coring category:			>50% 26%-49% <25%	25 13 0	>50% 25%-50% <25%	20 10 0	CC or RC TC, MS, SC Corridor other	10 5 2 0	high med low	10 5 0	q1 q2	upto 10 upto 10	low med high	15 8 0
Metro	TD8005	Regional TOD LRT Station Area Program	\$3.000	98	404% median	25	224% median	20	RC/SC	8	HIGH	10	10+10	20	\$11.06	6 15
Metro	TD8005	Regional TOD Urban Center Program	\$ 2.000	95	404% median	25	224% median	20	RC/TC	8	HIGH	_10	10+10	20	\$18.06	6 12
Metro/Beaverton	TD8005	Site acquisition: Beaverton regional center	\$3.000	88	203%	25	197%	20	RC	10	HIGH	10	10+10	20	\$28.85	5 3
СОР	TD8005	Gateway Transit Center Redevelopment	\$0.500	81	67%	23	67%	18	RC	10	HIGH	10	10+10	20	\$53.62	2 0
Clackamas Co.	TD1001	Fuller Road @ I-205	\$0.500	35	no actual increase induced by funding	0	no actuał increase induced by funding	0	RC	10	HIGH	10	9+5	15	no actual reduction induced by funding	0

Transportation Priorities 2006-09 Projects: Draft Technical Ranking and Qualitative Considerations Road Capacity Projects								COMMUNITY		\\							25	/ery?	obs Impact	987	٠	
Agency	Code	Proposed Rank	Project Title	Federal Funds Request	Total Project Points	ADDRESS CONGESTIO	2040 ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	ECONOMIC AND COM	SAFETY	COST/REDUCED DELAY	BONUS POINTS	Past Regional Commitment	Link to other Project(s)?	Minimum Phase?	Multi-Modal Benefit?	Overmatch	Affordable Housing/ School	Endagnered Species Recov	Economic Development - Jo	Environmental Justice Issue	Public Comments Received?	QUALITATIVE FACTORS
Tigard	RC6014		SW Greenburg Road:Washington Square Dr. to	\$1,000	73.50		20	31	8	15	0	Υ		γ		Y						
City of Lake Oswego	Pd6127		Boones Ferry Road at Lanewood Street		64.00		10			10	2.5			Υ	Y		Y					
Multnomah County	RC2110		Wood Village Blvd.: Arata to Halsey	\$0.980	61.75	11	10	22	19	5	5.0			Υ	Y			Υ				Regonal travel demand model does not accurately forecast demand on some small new connectors such as Wood Village Blvd.
Washington County	RC1184		Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy/Oleson/Scholls Ferry intersection (PE)	\$2.900		11	17	23	20	0	7.5		Υ	Υ								Regional travel demand model does not account for localized improvements associated with signal timing and access crontrol that this project will enable to be implemented.
Clackamas County	RC7000		SE 172nd Ave:Phase I; Sunnyside to Hwy 212	\$4.300	55 or 60	6	14	29	15	0 or 5	5					Y			Y			Travel demand data varies depending on assumptions concerning surrounding street network - analysis results in range of cost- effectiveness score on reduction in vehicle delay from 0 to 5 points. The Rock Creek Industrial Study draft data shows the current V/C ratio for 172nd Avenue as .83, much higher than the model data for year 2000 of .33. The study also indicates that the intersections of Sunnyside/172nd and 172nd/Armstrong Circle/Highway-212 are currently operating at an unacceptable level of Service (LOS F) - funding is in place to reconstruct the 172nd/Armstrong Circle/Highway 212 intersection. No current freight system designation on this section of 172nd, however, freight route benefit for bonus points is assumed.
Hillsboro	RC3114		NE 28th Avenue: East Main to Grant	\$1.682	54.25	10	14	18	19	5	2.5				Υ							Regional travel demand model does not accurately forecast demand on some small new connectors such as Ash Street.
Clackamas County	RC5103		Clackamas County ITS: Safety and operational improvements at 4 railroad crossings	\$0.500	46.00	6	17	30	5	0	5				Y							Regional travel model not capable of measuring reduction in vehicle delay for this project, however, region wide application of this pilot project has potential for reduction in delay, particularly among truck freight travel. Volume to capacity ratio at 10th Avenue in Oregon City very high.
Tigard	RC8038		SW Ash Street extension: P&W RR to Burnham	\$0.851	36.00	11	6	15	10	0	0		Y	Υ	Υ							

SUBTOTAL: \$13.613

Transportation Priorities 2006-09 Transit Projects					qį		ility		SS	nmitm			it?	_ p	/b	cies	sqo	stice	nts?	
Agency	Technical Rank	Project Title	Federal Funds Requested	Total Project Points	Increase Ridersh	Passenger Experience	Increase Reliabil	Supports 2040 Growth Concept	Cost effectivenes	Past Regional Cor	Linked Project?	Minimum Phase?	Multi-Modal Benefit?	Overmatch? (local match shown for projects that excee	Affordable Housing Schools?	Endangered Species Recovery?	Economic development, jobs impact?	Environmental Justice Impacts?	Received Comme	QUALITATIVE FACTORS
TriMet		Frequent Bus Corridors	\$2.750	93	13	20	5	40	15	Y	Y		Y				Indirect			Capital improvements located where investement in bus service frequency made to 15 minute or better headways Several corridors serve low income areas. Indirect support of economic development in areas served.
City of Portland		Eastside Streetcar	\$1.000	81	20	13	0	40	8	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Indirect			Project implementation will be tied to surrounding property development agreements that will address desity, design, affordable housing and other right-of-way improvements that meet regional goals.
City of Oregon City		South Metro Amtrak Station	\$1.150	57	5	5	0	35	12	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Intra-city ridership not a true comparison to inter-city transit ridership on which technical score is calculated. Trip lengths are longer and are of statewide significance. Locating regional facility in regional center adjacent to major tourist destination.
City of Tigard		Ash Street extension Subtotal:	\$0.851 \$4.900	28	0	5	0	19	4		Y	Y	Y				Indirect			Project provides secondary access to commuter rail park-and-ride lot from single Main Street option. Increases street connectivity in Tigard town center. Priority project of new downtown business group.

DRAFT

COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING TASK FORCE

CHARTER

The Columbia River Crossing Project is one of a finite list of transportation projects that have Pacific Northwest region-wide significance. It consists of a multi-modal effort to address the bottleneck in Interstate 5 where the freeway crosses the Columbia River.

The Columbia River Crossing Task Force's role will be to provide input into the Columbia River Crossing Project. Within the context created by the I-5 Strategic Plan the Task Force will: respond to and advise the joint Project Team on technical data and its policy implications leading to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS); provide advice to the Joint Commission Subcommittee throughout the EIS until the issuance of the Record of Decision; and represent and report back to their representative organizations.

COMPOSITION

The composition of the I-5 Partnership was used as a foundation for the formation of this Task Force. Due to the Northwest region-wide significance of the Columbia River crossing, the Task Force membership will also include statewide representation from Oregon and Washington.

Selection Process -

Community Organizations – 4

The Joint Commission Subcommittee will appoint a co-chair from each state. They will seek assistance from community and business groups in the appointment of other members.

Membership -										
•	Co-Chairs - 2	One representative from each state, appointed by WTC and OTC Joint Commission Subcommittee								
•	Public Agencies – 11	Agencies comprising the Bi-State Coordinating Com. and not including the DOTs								
•	Trucking Industry – 2	Oregon Trucking Association and Washington Trucking Association will be asked to appoint a member from each organization								
	Neighborhoods – 4	Oregon delegates appointments to Portland Office of Neighborhood Involvement, Washington delegates appointments to the City of Vancouver and Clark County								
•	Businesses – 8	Oregon delegates appointments to the Oregon Business Council and the Portland Business Alliance, Washington delegates to the Vancouver Chamber of Commerce –2, Identity Clark County and the Columbia River Economic Development Commission								

Oregon will delegate one position appointment to the I-5 Environmental Justice Work Group and will appoint one

other representative, Washington will appoint a representative from Clark College and another community organization.

• Statewide –4

Oregon will ask the Freight Advisory Committee and AAA to appoint a member, Washington will ask the Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board and AAA to appoint a member

• Environmental Organizations –2

Representatives will be appointed from 1000 Friends of Oregon and Friends of Clark County

RESPONSIBILITIES

- The Columbia River Crossing Task Force will provide input and response to the Joint Commission Subcommittee on work products and information generated by the EIS process.
- The Task Force co-chairs will report input to the Joint Commission Subcommittee.
- Each Task Force member is responsible for representing and communicating with their respective organization.

STAFFING & OPERATIONS

- The Columbia River Crossing Task Force will be supported by the ODOT/WSDOT Project Team.
- The co-chairs will be responsible for developing methods by which the Task Force will make decisions and conduct meetings.

DURATION

- The Task Force will be developed in fall 2004, with the kickoff meeting tentatively scheduled in late fall 2004.
- The Task Force will meet quarterly.
- The EIS is a multi-year process. Therefore some turnover is to be expected. Duration of tenure should provide consistency of representation for major milestones.

COMMITTEE TITLE JPACT	
DATE September 9, 2004	
NAME	AFFILIATION
	COP-PDOT
Brian Newman	Metro Council
Dave Wordben	DEC
REBECCA EISTNINGER	PORT OF VANCOUNER
Acres Frontes	con or Millioner
Craw "	<u> </u>
itmy Rose	Metro
Lot Pentuli	Metso
KRISTOPHER STRICKLER	WSDOT
Doug Ficeo	JOGEW
Poug Fices Potty United Montgomery	Metro
Dick Feehey	12, 1004
JUN KLOSTER	METRO
Jane Heisler	City of Lake Osmey
CHARLIE HALKS	HOR/hara Osceps
John Mermin Fatrick Flanzaan	Metro
fatrick Hlanagan	Thalatin this Park & Rec

COMMITTE	E TITLE JPACT	
DATE	September 9, 2004	
	NAME	AFFILIATION
<u>.</u>		
_		Port of Portland
Millen	Katz KMNOE	Piumo 1170n/
W .	elle Congan	City of wisomile
	S. Everback	Fortale Parks & Rec'n
Koth 1	n(Athur	CNOT
Karen	Schulling	Multnomak Country
	= Lindahl	Metro