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INTRODUCTION

Sleep is imperative to our health and well-being. Sleep deprivation has been linked to various negative health outcomes including migraines, hypertension, heart disease, diabetes, depression, and obesity (Kim et al., 2001; Strine & Chapman, 2005; Altman et al., 2012). Its effects can even be seen in the work place through increased industrial accidents and occupational errors (Maia, Grandner, Findley, & Gurubhagavatula, 2013). Despite this, sleep disturbance is a common problem in the general population (Hublin, Kaprio, & Koskenvuo, 2001; Holt, Zhang, Sizov, & Croft, 2015).

Of similar importance and a contributor to the problem with sleep is discrimination. Discrimination can be on the basis of a variety of categories, from age to gender, race, and even religion to name a few. There are various strategies minorities use to deal with such events, one of the most recently emerging in the literature being racism-related vigilance, the act of “mentally preparing for the possibility of experiencing racial discrimination on a day-to-day basis” (Hicken, Lee, Ailshire, Burgard, & Williams, 2013).

Many disciplines such as social science, public health, and medicine have focused on racial/ethnic disparities and have had significant findings (Hicken et al., 2013). For example, mortality rates for infants and adults are greater for Black Americans than they are for White Americans (James, 1993; Geronimus et al., 1996; Geronimus, et al., 2001; Kramer & Hogue 2009). Similarly, Black Americans have greater rates of chronic morbidity (Morenoff et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2012). Another finding has been that racial/ethnic disparities are present in sleep with Black adults reporting shorter and longer sleep duration than White adults (Hale & Do, 2007). This is concerning because research has also shown that sleeping for too little or too
much is strongly linked to an increase in risk for disease (Ayas, et al., 2003; Patel, et al., 2004; Buxton & Marcelli, 2010; Knutson, 2013.

Furthermore, previous research has suggested that chronic stress and poor sleep quality go hand in hand due to people having a hard time falling asleep when feeling stressed or preoccupied (Akerstedt et al., 2007; Åkerstedt, et al., 2012). One such source of stress, according to Clark et al., Williams & Mohammed, and Borrell et al. is racial discrimination (1999; 2010; 2012).

The link between race and sleep disparity has been well-established; however, the empirical literature is very scarce in articles that explain why these disparities occur. One of the emerging explanations is racism-related vigilance (RRV). Unfortunately, RRV is a very small niche of the greater literature and exploration has been very limited; to our knowledge, only a few other published articles have dealt with RRV and/or the anticipation of ethnic/racial prejudice and stress or sleep (Hicken et al., 2013; Sawyer, Major, Casad, Townsend, & Mendes, 2012; Hicken, Lee, Morenoff, House, & Williams, 2014; Nuru-Jeter et al., 2009; Clark, Benkert, & Flack, 2006; Slopen & Williams, 2014; Thomas, Ancoli-Israe, &Dimsdale, 2006)

Hicken et al.’s article from 2013 is the inspiration behind the present manuscript and our goal is to further substantiate their findings to a more general population. They used data from the Chicago Community Adult Health Study and while it was an important pioneering article, we are interested in verifying their findings and applying them to a wider population that comes from the Americans’ Changing Lives project. This research is important because if the findings can be verified, future research can focus on the implications and possible solutions.

We begin by taking a look at the different types of discrimination followed by a review of the literature regarding health disparities and finally narrowing into anticipated discrimination
research, what is known about the possible connection between sleep deprivation in Black Americans versus White Americans and what the relationship is between these and vigilance.

BACKGROUND

Discrimination

Racial/ethnic discrimination is not the only type of discrimination in the literature. Discrimination on the basis of gender, sexual orientation, disability status, family status, and many other categories exist.

a. Gender

In a recent meta-analysis from 2015, Koch, D’Mello, & Sackett found that gender bias continues to be a concern in many work settings. Males are preferred for male-dominated jobs due to the gender-role congruity phenomenon. Providing additional information about the person, which is thought to decrease stereotypes and therefore discrimination, was not enough to reduce gender-role congruity bias. Females are still seen as less competent than males, unless substantial information proves otherwise. In underdeveloped countries where malnutrition is a problem, sons are prioritized in the allocation of food supply more so than daughters (Schwekendiek, 2014). Women are also treated as if they need to be more protected and unable to handle challenges in the work place (King et al., 2012). Similarly, in the world of academia, women are described as more communal and less agentic leading to weaker letters of recommendation and smaller chances of getting hired (Madera, Hebl, Randi, 2009).

Even in serious topics such as sexual harassment, we find differences based on gender: women are believed more often than men (Madera, Podratz, King, & Hebl, 2007)

b. Sexual Orientation
Findings from 2002 suggest that police officers observed other members of the force treating homosexual members of the public more negatively than heterosexual individuals and that officers do not always take complaints from LGBT victims as seriously as those made by heterosexual victims (Berstein & Kostelac). LGBT individuals are also seen as being sick, mentally ill, or insane (Embrick, Walther, & Wickens, 2007). Furthermore, in the workplace, 8% of LGB individuals are about twice as likely to report discrimination in the “form of firing, denial of employment, or denial of a promotion” according to Mays & Cochran (2001).

c. Disability Status

Years of empirical research has shown that physically disabled individuals are perceived as “quiet, non-egotistical, helpless, hypersensitive, inferior, depressed, distant, shy, unsociable, bitter, nervous, unaggressive, insecure, dependent, unhappy, aloof, submissive, honest, gentlehearted, and unappealing” (Fichten & Amsel, 1986). Moreover, research also suggests that disabled individuals are “more likely to be viewed as saint-like, courageous, deserving of a break, and less capable of competing with others than nondisabled persons” (Makas, 1988). In general, we see that the literature points to disabled individuals having more undesirable traits attributed to them and as a result greater discrimination.

d. Family Status

Sabat, Lindsey, King, & Jones argue that working mothers experience stigmatization due to pregnancy and motherhood being negatively viewed within a workplace setting and this often “leads to discriminatory outcomes” (2016). Working mothers incur a “five percent wage penalty per child” (Anderson et al., 2003; Budig & England, 2001). Women with children are rated less competent and with less agentic characteristics than women without children (Heilman &
Men with children are encouraged and expected to want to enter high-status positions so as to provide for their children – implying that monetary goods are all they can and should be responsible for (Eagly et al., 2000).

e. Race/Ethnicity

The difference between “race” and “ethnicity” is not clear and varies across and within countries as well as time. Ethnicity generally takes into account skin tone, ancestry, physical traits, culture, history, and religion (Egan, Knutson, Pereira, & von Schantz, 2017). According to the American Psychological Association, race is “the category to which others assign individuals on the basis of physical characteristics and the generalizations and stereotypes made as a result.” For the purposes of this manuscript, we will use “race/ethnicity” so as to best encompass all categories, however, it is important to note a lot of differences can occur within a single race/ethnicity including “primary language use, country of origin, socioeconomic backgrounds, and cultural practices (Egan et al., 2017).

One area where a lot of race/ethnicity discrimination occurs is the work context (Plaut, Thomas, & Hebl, 2014). In 2004, a study by Bertrand & Mullainathan found that individuals with more White American sounding names (such as Emily or Greg) received 50 percent more callbacks for interviews when applying for jobs than individuals with African-American sounding names (such as Lakisha or Jamal). African-American individuals are rated lower in their job performance when their supervisors are White than when their supervisors are Black (Stauffer & Buckley, 2005). Asian American individuals receive the opposite response: they are evaluated highly for high-status jobs regardless of how good their resume is (King, Madera, Hebl, & Knight, 2006). In the same study by King et al., they found Black applications were evaluated “negatively even with strong credentials.” Finally, in 2013, for every dollar earned by
a White household, Black households earned 59 cents and Hispanic households earned 70 cents (DeNavas-Walt & Proctor).

Other forms of ethnic/racial discrimination can be seen in the healthcare field. Compared to White patients, Black patients experience lower quality of care according to Mayr et al. (2010). Similarly, Abramson, Hashemi, & Sánchez-Jankowski found that African Americans were more likely to perceive discrimination in health care than European Americans (2015).

**Health Outcome Disparities due to Discrimination**

While there are many manifestations of the consequences of discrimination, stress and sleep are two significant outcomes that we will be focusing on for this paper. Nevertheless, we continue the background section by expanding on general health outcomes of discrimination before finally focusing the topic of this paper: race/ethnicity discrimination and sleep.

A review from 2015 reported that self-reported measures of discrimination were “related to multiple indicators of health including “hypertension, all-cause mortality, incident asthma, incident breast cancer, and poor mental health” (Lewis, Cogburn, & Williams). The same review also found that individuals with higher rates of discrimination experienced higher levels of early indicators of clinical disease such as “inflammation, carotid intima-media thickness, visceral fat, obesity, coronary artery calcification, shorter telomeres, and cortisol dysregulation” (Lewis et al., 2015). Lastly, the review found a positive relationship between discrimination experience and health behaviors including “poor sleep quantity and quality, cigarette smoking, and substance use” (Lewis et al., 2015).

The following are a few of the health categories where the literature emphasizes significant differences due to ethnicity/race. This is by no means all-inclusive, but rather serves to highlight the impact race/ethnicity has on health disparities.
a. **Cardiovascular Disease**

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death worldwide (Mensah & Brown, 2007). Compared with European Americans, African Americans are at increased risk of cardiovascular disease; Asian Americans and Latin Americans are at lower risk of cardiovascular disease (Mensah & Brown, 2007).

b. **Stress**

Evidence from a meta-analysis including almost 150 studies showed that discrimination can result in mental and physical health consequences, one of them being stress (Pascoe & Richman, 2009). Sawyer et al. found that “participants who believed that their interaction partner held prejudiced attitudes reported greater concern” this shows that simply anticipating prejudice leads to greater psychological stress (2012). Similarly, in 2015 Lewis et al. found that the threat of discrimination is a type of stressor and leads to an “increased cardiovascular response, symptoms of poor mental health, and hypertension.”

c. **Sleep**

Sleep is very important for human health. There is evidence that there is a well-established relationship between insufficient sleep and cardiovascular disease (Mullington, Haack, Toth, Serrador, & Meier-Ewert, 2009). Sleep deprivation is also associated with poor attention and performance deficits. Not surprisingly, sleep problems have been correlated with higher mortality and morbidity (Millington et al., 2009). Similarly, people sleeping less than 6 hours a night are “66% more likely to have hypertension” than those that sleep 7-8 hours (Gottlieb et al., 2005). Short sleep duration has also been linked to an “increased risk for future
coronary heart disease in women, impaired glucose tolerance in men and women, and the development of diabetes in women” (Mullington et al., 2009).

Race/Ethnicity & Sleep

Studies linking experiences of discrimination and sleep are limited. Early research from 2006 found that in a sample of 37 African Americans and 56 Caucasian Americans, African Americans had less slow-wave sleep and reported more physical fatigue than Caucasian Americans (Thomas, Ancoli-Israel, & Dimsdale). In 2013, Lewis and colleagues examined the correlation between chronic everyday discrimination over 4 years and measures of poor sleep (both subjective and objective). In 368 women, they found that chronic everyday discrimination was associated most highly with subjective sleep complaints and sleep continuity problems (one of their objective sleep measures) (Lewis et al., 2013). A later study from 2014 measured self-reported sleep duration as well as racial and nonracial every day and major experiences of discrimination (Slopen & Williams, 2014). They found that racial everyday discrimination and major experiences of discrimination were associated with shorter sleep. Their results also showed that the findings were independent of concurrent stressors such as acute events, childhood adversity, and financial, community, employment, and relationship stressors (Slopen & Williams, 2014).

Racism-related Vigilance & Health

Recent studies have examined how vigilance or anticipating discrimination might impact health (Lewis et al., 2015). This has allowed for discovery and insight into the impact of stress generated by discrimination. In 2006, Brosschot et al. found that worry, rumination, and anticipatory stress can serve to “prolong and exacerbate the negative effects of stress on health.”
Stress and vigilance are very closely related in that anticipatory stress is reflected in chronic or sustained vigilance (Lewis et al., 2015). Vigilance can then lead to unstable emotional and physiological function that can increase risks for multiple diseases (Brosschot et al., 2006). Furthermore, in African Americans it has been found that “failure to ever completely relax [due to] the constant threat of discrimination and others dangers linked to living in hostile residential context is a contributor to elevated risk of disease” (Lewis et al., 2015) Lindstrom found that in a national sample of adults in Sweden anticipatory ethnic discrimination was linked to lower psychological health (2008). Additionally, Latina college students that anticipated being discriminated against had higher levels of stress and cardiovascular responses (Sawyer et al., 2012).

A study of adults in Baltimore found that African Americans had higher levels of vigilance than Whites had and that vigilance was both positively associated with depressive symptoms and contributed to the Black-White disparity in this outcome (LaVeist et al. 2014). Clark et al. found that vigilance was negatively related to arterial elasticity for boys, but not girls (2006). Similarly, the Hicken et al. study from 2013 that was mentioned earlier in the introduction found that there were significant differences in sleep levels between ethnicities/races, but that these differences were outranked by vigilance. When vigilance is accounted for, race/ethnicity does not play as big a role as vigilance.

As established previously, stress and sleep are related; the more stress present, the more sleeping problems. It follows then that if anticipation of discrimination (vigilance) is the manifestation of stress related to racism, then vigilant individuals will have a harder time sleeping. Our hypothesis is that Black Americans’ vigilance levels will be negatively correlated with their sleep quality such that lower vigilance levels will indicate higher sleep quality.
METHODS

We used data from the oldest ongoing nationally representative longitudinal study, Americans’ Changing Lives (ACL; House, 2014). The ACL is a stratified, multistage area-probability sample that began in 1986 with a national face-to-face survey of adults ages 25 and up in the continental U.S., with African Americans and people aged 60 and over over-sampled at twice the rate of the others. The ACL focuses on a wide range of topics from social to psychological and behavioral as well as aspects of medical care and environmental exposure. To date, there are 5 waves: Wave 1 (year 1986), Wave 2 (year 1989), Wave 3 (year 1994), Wave 4 (2001), and Wave 5 (2011). Wave 1 began with 3,617 men and women and has had a response rate of 76-83% response rate throughout the various waves.

For our study, we limited the ACL analytic sample to respondents who were interviewed for the 2011 survey (Wave 5) and identified as Black or White in the original Wave 1 (year 1986). We further limited the data set by analyzing those that responded to vigilance and sleep questions.

Measures

David Williams and colleagues developed a six-item scale to capture heightened vigilance (Clark et al. 2006, Williams et al. 1997). After respondents reported experiences of everyday discrimination, they were asked:

“In dealing with the experiences that you just told me about, how often do you a) think in advance about the kind of problems that you are likely to experience?; b) try to prepare for possible insults before leaving home?; c) feel that you always have to be careful about your appearance (to get good service or avoid being harassed)?; d) carefully watch what you say and how you say it?; e) carefully observe what happens around you?, and f) try to avoid certain social situations and places?” (Clark et al. 2006).
The ACL asked participants an abbreviated version of these questions as can be seen below. The responses to the following questions were used to correlate self-reported sleep hours and racism-related vigilance.

**Sleep Questions**

**G19.** How much sleep do you usually get in a 24-hour period?

**G19a.** During the past 4 weeks, how often would you say you have had trouble falling asleep; would you say rarely or never, sometimes, often or almost every day?

**G19b.** During the past 4 weeks, how often would you say you have woken up in the middle of the night or very early in the morning and found it hard to get back to sleep; would you say rarely or never, sometimes, often or almost every day?

**Vigilance Questions**

**N20b.** In your day-to-day life, how often do you do feel that you always have to be very careful about your appearance to get good service or avoid being harassed. Would you say a few times a month or more, a few times a year, less than once a year, or never?

**N20c.** In your day-to-day life, how often do you carefully watch what you say and how you say it. Would you say a few times a month or more, a few times a year, less than once a year, or never?

**N20d.** In your day-to-day life, how often do you try to avoid certain social situations and places. Would you say a few times a month or more, a few times a year, less than once a year, or never?
Each of these questions received a 1-4 rating, 1 “rarely or never” for the sleep questions and “a few times a month or more” for the vigilance questions and 4 being “almost every day” for the sleep questions and “never” for the vigilance questions.

RESULTS

Please see Appendix A for a summary of the results.

DISCUSSION

Although moderate, all three correlations between vigilance and trouble falling asleep were significant. Given the literature, this is what was expected. After a day busy with work, school, or other activities, winding down must take place before falling asleep. In this process, it is very possible that any discriminatory events from the day are thought about and it may be harder for this individual to "turn off" their brain. Our findings suggest that someone who is vigilant and constantly on guard may have a hard time shutting down and falling asleep.

We found no significant relationship between hours of sleep and the “watching what you say” measure or the “careful about appearances” measure of vigilance. This makes sense and is to be expected due to the fact that there are a lot of variables that are unaccounted. It is possible for an individual to have go to bed and try to sleep for 8 hours but have trouble falling asleep such that they only sleep 5 hours. Similarly, it is possible someone can have 5 hours to sleep and falls asleep almost immediately. As we can see, the number of hours spent sleeping is not as helpful in looking at vigilance as the act of falling asleep. Similarly, the fact that no significant findings were found in vigilance and trouble falling asleep after waking up makes sense because it maybe be easier to fall asleep once you're sleepy; alternatively, it may be harder to fall asleep
if you aren't sleepy anymore.

One possible explanation for the lack of results all across the board is the fact that ethnic identity plays a big role in difficulty sleeping. In 2006, Thomas and colleagues found that there was a significant relationship between ethnic identity and sleep latency, indicating that individuals who felt more connected to their ethnic group had more difficulty falling asleep. These observations suggest that the effects of stress related to one's ethnic group membership carry over into sleep and provide an explanation for lack of results as well as a possible area of future research.

LIMITATIONS

There have been speculations about whether discrimination research should take a one stage or two stage approach (Lewis et al., 2015). A one stage approach is one in which respondents are explicitly asked to report on “racial discrimination” or asked questions with the qualifier, “because of your race” (Lewis et al., 2015). The biggest criticism with this technique is that it can inadvertently motivate responders to report information they believe the interview wants to hear leading to interviewer effects (Lewis et al., 2015). Although the ACL did not use a one stage approach when asking questions regarding discrimination experiences, the questions about vigilance were under the category “discrimination” in the study questionnaire and were asked immediately following a question that asked them to speculate about why they have been discriminated against. It is possible, although unlikely, that after answering such questions the interviewee felt compelled to answer that they had trouble sleeping.

Secondly, self-report data have also been criticized given their subjective nature (Lewis et al., 2015). When dealing with self-report data, we “assume the individual reporting the
experience has all available information about a given interaction and is able to accurately distinguish between racial discrimination and other types of interpersonal mistreatment” even if this might not always be the case.

Another limitation to this study is that occupation was not included as a control predictor variable. Prior literature has found that there are some careers that are higher in stress levels. Since stress levels and vigilance are correlated, it makes sense that people with high stress jobs may have a harder time relaxing and falling asleep.

Despite these limitations, the present analysis offers important exploratory findings. Given the infancy of this field, it is imperative to start with what is available and confirm findings to determine whether this topic is worthy of further pursuit and that is exactly what this manuscript accomplishes. This manuscript furthers the previous study applicable to individuals from Chicago (Hicken et al., 2013) by using a national survey such as Americans’ Changing Lives.
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Appendix: Results of Data Analysis

Because the author of this honors thesis did not, herself, conduct the data analyses but rather the thesis advisor conducted them, findings are presented in this Appendix. Table 1 presents means and standard deviations for our variables of interest. Table 2 presents intercorrelations of responses to questions about sleep and vigilance.

Table 1: Means and standard deviations for sleep and vigilance variables (N=355)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep items</th>
<th>Mean (s.d.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G19. How much sleep (hours)</td>
<td>7.37 (2.264)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G19a. Trouble falling asleep</td>
<td>1.86 (.948)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G19b. Waking up early</td>
<td>2.04 (.969)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vigilance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vigilance items</th>
<th>Mean (s.d.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N20b. Careful about appearance</td>
<td>3.15 (1.126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N20c. Watch what you say</td>
<td>2.39 (1.242)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N20d. Avoid certain situations</td>
<td>2.77 (1.152)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Intercorrelations of responses to sleep questions with responses to vigilance questions (N=355)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>How much sleep</th>
<th>Trouble falling asleep</th>
<th>Waking up early</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Careful about appearance</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>-.125 *</td>
<td>-.145 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watch what you say</td>
<td>.122 *</td>
<td>-.144 *</td>
<td>-.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid certain situations</td>
<td>.101 +</td>
<td>-.124 *</td>
<td>-.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes. Pearson correlations. * indicates $p < .05$ and + indicates $.05 \leq p < .10$
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