Portland State University PDXScholar

University Honors Theses

University Honors College

Summer 8-16-2021

The Effect of a Ferrite-Core Relay Vs. an Air-Core Relay on the Output Power Characteristics of a Three-Coil Wireless Power Transfer System

Jakob L. White Portland State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/honorstheses

Part of the Electrical and Electronics Commons, Electromagnetics and Photonics Commons, and the Power and Energy Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

White, Jakob L., "The Effect of a Ferrite-Core Relay Vs. an Air-Core Relay on the Output Power Characteristics of a Three-Coil Wireless Power Transfer System" (2021). *University Honors Theses*. Paper 1134.

https://doi.org/10.15760/honors.1165

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in University Honors Theses by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

The Effect of a Ferrite-Core Relay Vs. an Air-Core Relay on the Output Power Characteristics of a Three-Coil Wireless Power Transfer System

AN UNDERGRADUATE HONORS THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN UNIVERSITY HONORS AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

> Author: JAKOB WHITE

Thesis Advisor: DAVID BURNETT

Date: August 17, 2021



Abstract

The purpose of this thesis is to determine the effect of using a ferrite-core relay on the output power characteristics of a three-coil, parallel-tuned, domino-resonator wireless power transfer (WPT) system in comparison to the effect of using an air-core relay in such a system. First, a general mathematical model is presented to describe both the ferrite-core-relay system and the air-core-relay system and to calculate their output power characteristics for seven different resistive loads at each of five different distance configurations between the coils. Next, experimental results are analyzed and compared to the mathematical results to confirm model accuracy. Finally, the output power characteristics of the two systems are compared and contrasted. The results of this thesis show that the model is most accurate when working with loads around $1k\Omega$, exhibiting an error of about 25%. More importantly, maximum power output is achieved when working with loads around $1k\Omega$, at which the average improvement to output power when using a ferrite core instead of an air core is about 87%. Therefore, it can be concluded that, with coil geometries and operating frequencies being held constant, the inclusion of a ferrite core in relay coils can noticeably improve output power characteristics at a given distance between coils. The reason for this improvement is most probably the result of magnetic flux concentration by the ferrite core, which in turn increases induced current and therefore output power.

Index Terms

Wireless Power Transfer, WPT, Three-Coil, Ferrite-Core, Long-Distance, Parallel-Tuned.

Contents

Ι	Introdu	iction	4
Π	System	Modeling and Mathematical Analysis	5
	II-A	General Model and Math	5
	II-B	Taidacent WPT System Model and Math	11
III	Experir	nental Setup and Procedure	12
	III-A	Experimental Procedure	16
	III-B	Experimental Data	16
IV	Results		17
V	Discuss	ion and Conclusion	20
	V-A	Comparison of Model and Experiment	20
	V-B	Comparison of Systems	21
	V-C	Future of Research	22
VI	Append	lix: Datasheets	23
VII	Append	lix: MATLAB Code	24
VIII	Acknov	vledgment	53
IX	Referen	ices	54
Biogr	aphies		55
	Jakob V	Vhite	55

List of Figures

1	The General Structure of the WPT System in Question	5
2	The Circuit Model of the WPT System in Question	7
3	The Theoretical Transformation of the Ferrite Core.	9
4	The Experimental Setup of WPT System	12
5	The Experimental Transmitter (TX) Setup	13
6	The Experimental Receiver (RX) Setup	13
7	The Experimental Air-Core Relay Setup	14
8	The Resonance of the Experimental Air-Core Relay Setup	14
9	The Experimental Ferrite-Core Relay Setup	15
10	The Resonance of the Experimental Ferrite-Core Relay Setup	15
11	Output Power Characteristics of the Air-Core-Relay WPT System	17
12	Output Power Characteristics of the Ferrite-Core-Relay WPT System	18
13	The Relative Performance of Air-Core and Ferrite-Core at $1k\Omega$	19
14	Datasheet for the Taidacent Transmitter	23
15	Datasheet for the Taidacent Receiver	23

List of Tables

Ι	Geometric Parameters, Their Definitions, and Their Datasheet Values in the Taidacent WPT	
	System	6
II	Circuit Parameters, Their Definitions, and Their Datasheet Values in the Taidacent WPT System	6
III	Air-Core Model Data in $[\mu W]$	1
IV	Ferrite-Core Model Data in $[\mu W]$	1
V	Experimental Air-Core Data in $[\mu W]$	6
VI	Experimental Ferrite-Core Data in $[\mu W]$	6
VII	Average Air-Core Model Error for Each Load	20
VIII	Ferrite-Core Average Model Error for Each Load	20
IX	Average Percent Increase in Output Power When Switching from Air-Core Relay to Ferrite-	
	Core Relay	21

I Introduction

N recent years, Wireless Power Transfer (WPT) has become increasingly important for the remote powering of many wireless devices such as wearable electronics, chemical sensors, and communication devices [1]. Generally, the overall objective of any WPT system is to deliver just enough power as efficiently as possible with the greatest degree of freedom of position and orientation between the transmitter and receiver. Still, WPT systems can be classified according to power delivery and transmission distance. A WPT system is either High Power (15W to 100W), Medium Power (5W to 15W), or Wearable (up to 5W) [2], and either Long Range (coil-radius-to-distance ratio is much greater than 3), Medium Range (coil-radius-to-distance ratio is greater than 3), or Short Range (coil-radius-to-distance is ratio less than 3) [3]. In some wearable long-range applications, such as the wireless nodes being developed by Dr. Burnett [4], efficiency isn't nearly as important as maximum power delivery and compactness of the receiver. Several papers have been produced discussing the various options to increase the power delivery in long-range WPT systems, from metamaterials to relays. In regard to the latter, researchers have primarily experimented with series-tuned WPT systems using air-core coils and have not experimented with parallel-tuned WPT systems [5]. Furthermore, while other researchers have shown the promising results of using ferrite cores to increase WPT transmission distance and efficiency, they do not discuss using ferrite cores with the relay coil [6]. Therefore, the objective of this thesis is to determine the effect of using a ferrite-core relay on the output power characteristics of a three-coil, parallel-tuned, dominoresonator wireless power transfer (WPT) system in comparison to the effect of using an air-core relay in such a system.

In order to accomplish this objective, this thesis is divided into three parts. In the first part, a general mathematical model is derived to represent the interactions between the transmitter, relay, and receiver in a three-coil WPT system. This model is then used to derive the theoretical behavior of a Taidacent High Power Long-Distance Wireless Power Supply Module [7] using both an air-core relay and a hollowcylinder ferrite-core relay. The usage of a hollow-cylinder ferrite core instead of a standard ferrite rod is justified as a compromise between increased power transmission and weight [8]. The output power to each of seven loads between 1Ω and $1Mega\Omega$ at each of five distance configurations between the coils is measured for both the air-core-relay and ferrite-core-relay systems. For each of the distance configurations, the transmitter and receiver coils are placed at a certain distance apart, and the relay is placed at a point where the output voltage is maximum. In the second part, experiments are conducted with a real Taidacent High Power Long-Distance Wireless Power Supply Module to reflect and confirm the calculations of the mathematical model. Finally, in the third part, the mathematical model and experimental results are analyzed and compared to determine model accuracy for both the air-core-relay and ferrite-core-relay systems. These two systems are also compared to each other to determine the effect that a ferrite core has on the output power characteristics relative to a traditional air core. The implication of this research is that a new way of using ferrite cores in WPT systems will be revealed, possibly enabling more-efficient and longer-range WPT for low-power wireless devices.

II System Modeling and Mathematical Analysis

II-A General Model and Math

The general WPT system structure used in this thesis is shown in Fig. 1. The coils are all planar and concentric, and the receiver is simply a parallel-tuned RLC circuit. The only difference between the air-core-relay system and the ferrite-core-relay system is that, to compensate for the change in inductance resulting from the introduction of a ferrite core, the compensation capacitor of the relay is changed in proportion to maintain the same resonant frequency. Coil geometry remains constant. See Tables I and II for parameter definitions.



Fig. 1: The General Structure of the WPT System in Question. The "Inverter" serves to turn the DC power supply voltage into a higher AC voltage at the resonant frequency of the transmitter's LC circuit.

Geometric Parameters							
Parameter	Definition	Value					
d_{12}, d_{21}	Distance between TX and Rel. Coils	$d_{13} - d_{23}$					
d_{13}, d_{31}	Distance between TX and RX Coil	[0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0] m					
d_{23}, d_{32}	Distance between Rel. and RX Coils	\sim 15mm to \sim 50mm					
$r_{out_{TX}}$	Outer Radius of TX Coil	100mm					
rout _{Rel} .	Outer Radius of Rel. Coil	25mm					
$r_{out_{RX}}$	Outer Radius of RX Coil	25mm					
r _{inTX}	Inner Radius of TX Coil	95mm					
$r_{in_{Rel.}}$	Inner Radius of Rel. Coil	15mm					
$r_{in_{RX}}$	Inner Radius of RX Coil	15mm					
w_{TX}	Width of TX Coil Wire	1.19mm					
$w_{Rel.}$	Width of Rel. Coil Wire	0.445mm					
w_{RX}	Width of RX Coil Wire	0.445mm					
s_{TX}	TX Coil Pitch	0mm					
$s_{Rel.}$	Rel. Coil Pitch 0mm						
s_{RX}	RX Coil Pitch	0mm					
n_{TX}	Number of Turns in TX Coil	5					
n _{Rel} .	Number of Turns in Rel. Coil	15					
n _{RX}	Number of Turns in RX Coil	15					
$r_{Out_{Core}}$	Outer Radius of Ferrite Core	15.1mm					
$r_{In_{Core}}$	Inner Radius of Ferrite Core	8.95mm					
l _{Core}	Length of Ferrite Core	15.4mm					
r_s	Average Radius of Relay Coil	20mm					
r_f	Radius of Equivalent Ferrite Rod	12.2mm					

TABLE I: Geometric Parameters, Their Definitions, and Their Datasheet Values in the Taidacent WPT System

	Circuit Parameters	
Parameter	Definition	Value
V_{DC}	Input DC Power Supply Voltage	24V
V_{AC}	Voltage Across TX LC Circuit	~100V
R_{p1}	Series Resistance of TX Coil	~0.02 Ω
L_{p1}	Self Inductance of TX Coil	14 µH
C_{p1}	Compensation Capacitance for TX Coil	39 nF
R_s	Series Resistance of Rel. Coil	~0.02 Ω
L_s	Self Inductance of Rel. Coil	~20 µH
C_s	Compensation Capacitance for Rel. Coil	27 nH
R_{p2}	Series Resistance of RX. Coil	~0.02 Ω
L_{p2}	Self Inductance of RX. Coil	~20 µH
C_{p2}	Compensation Capacitance for RX. Coil	27 nH
R_{EQ}	Resistive Load	[1 100 100 1000 10000 100000 1000000] Ω
I_1	Current in Lp1	Use Eq. 1
I_2	Current in Lp2	Use Eq. 1
I_3	Current in Lp3	Use Eq. 1
ω	Resonant/Operation Frequency	1.35×10^{6} Rad/sec (= 215kHz)
M_{12}	Mut. Ind. between TX and Rel.	Use Eq.'s 2 and 9
M_{21}	Mut. Ind. between Rel. and TX	Use Eq.'s 2 and 9
M_{13}	Mut. Ind. between TX and RX	Use Eq.'s 2 and 9
M_{31}	Mut. Ind. between RX and TX	Use Eq.'s 2 and 9
M_{23}	Mut. Ind. between Rel. and RX	Use Eq.'s 2 and 9
M_{32}	Mut. Ind. between RX and Rel.	Use Eq.'s 2 and 9
$\mu_{core_{avg}}$	Average Permeability of Hollow Cylinder Ferrite Core	1.38

TABLE II: Circuit Parameters, Their Definitions, and Their Datasheet Values in the Taidacent WPT System

The WPT system circuit model used in this thesis is shown in Fig. 2. Using Kirchhoff's Voltage Law, a matrix can be formed and used to solve for the currents in the inductors of the system. See Table I for parameter definitions.



Fig. 2: The Circuit Model of the WPT System in Question. The coils are modeled with both their self-inductances and their series resistances. As for the DC voltage source and the inverter, they have been replaced with an equivalent AC voltage source. The mutual inductances or "M's" are the result of coil geometries and relative positions and orientations.

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{p1} + j\omega L_{p1} & j\omega M_{12} & j\omega M_{13} \\ j\omega M_{21} & R_s + j\left(\omega L_s - \frac{1}{\omega C_s}\right) & j\omega M_{23} \\ j\omega M_{31} & j\omega M_{32} & R_{p2} + j\omega L_{p2} + REQ \parallel \frac{-j}{\omega C_{p2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Since this thesis uses a pre-manufactured WPT system with available datasheets, all of the parameters can be obtained easily except for the mutual inductances. These require analytical models dependent on coil geometries and orientations.

II-A1 Air-Core Mutual Inductance

From [9], the mutual inductance between two circular air-core planar coils is given by equations (2) - (7).

$$M = \rho \times \sum_{i}^{i=n_p} \sum_{j}^{j=n_s} M_{ij}$$
⁽²⁾

$$M_{ij} = \frac{\mu_0 \pi a_i^2 b_j^2}{2 \left(a_i^2 + b_j^2 + d^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{15}{32} \gamma_{ij}^2 + \frac{315}{1024} \gamma_{ij}^4\right)$$
(3)

$$a_i = r_{out_p} - (n_i - 1)(w_p + s_p) - \frac{w_p}{2}$$
(4)

$$b_j = r_{out_s} - (n_j - 1)(w_s + s_s) - \frac{w_s}{2}$$
(5)

$$\gamma_{ij} = \frac{2a_i b_j}{\left(a_i^2 + b_j^2 + d^2\right)}$$
(6)

$$\rho = 1 \tag{7}$$

Where the parameters are as follows,

- *M* is the *Total Mutual Inductance*
- M_{ij} is the Mut. Ind. between Loop i of Primary and Loop j of Secondary
- rout_n Outer Radius of Primary Coil
- routs Outer Radius of Secondary Coil
- n_p is the Number of Turns in Primary Coil
- n_s is the Number of Turns in Secondary Coil
- w_p is the Width of Copper Wire in Primary Coil
- w_s is the Width of Copper Wire in Secondary Coil
- s_p is the Track Separation in Primary Coil
- s_s is the Track Separation in Secondary Coil
- ρ is a Constant Dependent on Coil Shape (in this case circular)
- d is the Coaxial Distance between Coils

Note that, here, *M* can represent *any* total mutual inductance between *any* pair of primary and secondary coils. For example, "primary" could mean the coil of the transmitter and "secondary" could mean the coil of the relay, or vice versa, or some other combination of *different* coils.

II-A2 Ferrite-Core Mutual Inductance

Although a hollow-cylinder ferrite core is used, for analysis purposes it can be approximated as an equivalent ferrite rod with a radius smaller than that of the innermost loop of the planar coil. The reasoning behind this extends from the approach in [10] and was later confirmed by matching model data to experimental data. The transformation is done as follows,



Fig. 3: The Theoretical Transformation of the Ferrite Core.

$$r_f = \left(r_{OUT_{Core}}^2 - r_{IN_{Core}}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(8)

From [11], when the average radius of the coil is larger than the radius of the core, the mutual inductance can be approximated as follows,

$$M = M_{AirCore} \left[\left[1 - \frac{r_f^2}{r_s^2} \right] + \frac{\mu_{Core_{Avg}}}{1 + D_{fc} \left(\mu_{Core_{Avg}} - 1 \right)} \left[\frac{r_f^2}{r_s^2} \right] \right]$$
(9)

$$D_{fc} = \frac{3.966(0.5K)^{-0.056}}{|d|^3} (\frac{1}{K^2}) \left[|d| - \arctan|d| \right]$$
(10)

$$K = \frac{l_{core}}{r_{core}} \tag{11}$$

$$d = \left[1 - \left(\frac{2}{K}^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(12)

Where the parameters are as follows,

- *M* is the *Total Mutual Inductance*
- M_{AirCore} is the Mut. Ind. in Calculated as Though Ferrite Core is Removed
- $r_{In_{Core}}$ is the Inner Radius of Hollow Ferrite Cylinder
- $r_{Out_{Core}}$ is the Outer Radius of Hollow Ferrite Cylinder
- r_f is the Equivalent Radius of Ferrite Rod
- l_{core} is the Length of Ferrite Core
- r_s is the Average Radius of Coil
- $\mu_{Core_{Avg}}$ is the Average Permeability of Core
- D_{fc} is the Demagnetization Factor

II-B Taidacent WPT System Model and Math

With the general math model, the specific parameters of the system under test can be used to determined the theoretical output power characteristics. See Tables I and II for parameter values. For each of the distance configurations, the output power is calculated as the load is swept logarithmically from 1Ω to $1\text{Mega}\Omega$. See Tables III and IV for the output power characteristics. The distance configurations are as follows:

- Configuration #1: $d_{13} = 0.2$ m, d_{23} determined experimentally by point of maximum voltage (see Tables III and IV)
- Configuration #2: $d_{13} = 0.4$ m, d_{23} determined experimentally by point of maximum voltage (see Tables III and IV)
- Configuration #3: $d_{13} = 0.6$ m, d_{23} determined experimentally by point of maximum voltage (see Tables III and IV)
- Configuration #4: $d_{13} = 0.8$ m, d_{23} determined experimentally by point of maximum voltage (see Tables III and IV)
- Configuration #5: $d_{13} = 1.0$ m, d_{23} determined experimentally by point of maximum voltage (see Tables III and IV)

	Configuration #1	Configuration #2	Configuration #3	Configuration #4	Configuration #5
1 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	2660	51.9	4.36	0.72	0.174
10 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	21100	411	34.5	5.72	1.38
100 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.02$ m)	48800	917	76.5	12.6	3.09
1000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.045$ m)	82700	1120	103	17.5	4.17
10000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	17900	283	22.4	3.62	0.873
100000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	1950	30.8	2.04	0.396	0.0957
1000000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	197	3.11	0.205	0.0400	0.00966

	Configuration #1	Configuration #2	Configuration #3	Configuration #4	Configuration #5
1 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	2710	52.8	4.44	0.736	0.178
10 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	21900	428	36.0	5.97	1.45
100 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.03$ m)	52900	996	83.3	13.85	3.38
1000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	96300	1280	119	20.2	4.81
10000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	21100	331	26.0	4.20	1.01
100000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	2300	36.0	2.35	0.460	0.110
1000000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	232	3.64	0.236	0.0464	0.0111

TABLE III: Air-Core Model Data in $[\mu W]$

TABLE IV: Ferrite-Core Model Data in $[\mu W]$

III Experimental Setup and Procedure

The basis of the experimental setup is the Taidacent High Power Long-Distance Wireless Power Supply Module. However, the coils still need to be mounted so that they could be easily moved along a concentric line. Therefore, the setup shown in Fig. 4 is implemented, and the individual modules and their measured values are presented in Fig. 5 - 8. In addition, the following equipment was used for the experiments:

- *Siglent 1202X-E Oscilliscope*, which is used to measure the peak-to-peak voltages of the output waveforms across the load resistances.
- TackLife DC Power Supply, which is used to power the transmitter.



Fig. 4: The Experimental Setup of WPT System. Note that the coils are mounted such that they are all concentric, and distance between coils is changed by simply relocating a module along a coaxial line.



Fig. 5: The Experimental Transmitter (TX) Setup. Note that parameters indicated were measured to be $L_{p1} = 15.3 \mu H$, $R_{p1} = 0.5 \Omega$, $C_{p1} = 20.7 nF$.



Fig. 6: The Experimental Receiver (RX) Setup. Note that parameters indicated were measured to be $L_{p2} = 50.9 \mu H$, $R_{p2} = 0.9 \Omega$, $C_{p2} = 15.5 nF$



Fig. 7: The Experimental Air-Core Relay Setup. Note that parameters indicated were measured to be $L_s = 50.9 \mu H$, $R_s = 0.9 \Omega$, $C_s = 15.5 nF$



Fig. 8: The Resonance of the Experimental Air-Core Relay Setup. Note that the actual Taidacent WPT system operates at 182kHz and not 215kHz as the datasheet parameters imply.



Fig. 9: The Experimental Ferrite-Core Relay Setup. Note that parameters indicated were measured to be $L_s = 75 \mu H$, $R_s = 0.9\Omega$, $C_s = 10.4 nF$



Fig. 10: The Resonance of the Experimental Ferrite-Core Relay Setup. Note that the actual Taidacent WPT system operates at 182kHz and not 215kHz as the datasheet parameters imply.

III-A Experimental Procedure

The procedure for the experiments goes as follows:

- For both air-core and ferrite-core systems,
 - Start by setting TX and RX 20cm apart and concentric.
 - Set the relay concentrically between TX and RX.
 - For each load,
 - * Measure the output voltage and determine the optimal position of the relay coil between the receiver and transmitter for maximum output voltage.
 - * Record voltage and calculate output power.
 - Repeat for distances of 40cm, 60cm, 80cm, and 100cm.

III-B Experimental Data

	Configuration #1	Configuration #2	Configuration #3	Configuration #4	Configuration #5
1 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	2110	91.1	78.1	50	50
10 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	3380	101	15.3	7.20	5
100 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.02$ m)	19000	392	36.1	9.03	3.12
1000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.04$ m)	56100	994	74.1	13.6	4.05
10000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	27600	492	36.1	6.12	1.62
100000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	3590	63	4.80	0.882	0.22
1000000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	364	6.48	0.5	0.0903	0.0231

TABLE V: Experimental Air-Core Data in $ \mu $

	Configuration #1	Configuration #2	Configuration #3	Configuration #4	Configuration #5
1 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	4510	112	12.5	72.0	50
10 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.015$ m)	6120	151	5.00	7.20	5
100 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.03$ m)	40600	648	60.5	12.5	3.8
1000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	123000	1710	151	24.2	6.6
10000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	64800	1080	81.9	15.1	3.5
100000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	9240	140	11.2	1.95	0.47
1000000 Ω ($d_{23} = 0.05$ m)	946	15.1	1.12	0.198	0.048

TABLE VI: Experimental Ferrite-Core Data in $[\mu W]$



IV Results

Fig. 11: Output Power Characteristics of the Air-Core-Relay WPT System



Fig. 12: Output Power Characteristics of the Ferrite-Core-Relay WPT System



Fig. 13: The Relative Performance of Air-Core and Ferrite-Core at $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$

V Discussion and Conclusion

V-A Comparison of Model and Experiment

Overall, it may be concluded from Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 that the mathematical model employed in this thesis adequately approximates the output power characteristics of both air-core-relay and ferrite-core-relay WPT systems. In the case of the air-core-relay system, the theoretical maximum output power is larger than that measured for each distance configuration. This is most probably due to inaccurate model estimates of circuit resistances. Furthermore, as the transmission distance increases, low-resistance loads yield output powers that are below the noise floor of the oscilloscope, so the measurements are inaccurate at these points. As a result, data for 1Ω and 10Ω loads are omitted in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12. Overall, the model error for each load averaged over all distance configurations is as follows,

1Ω	10Ω	100Ω	1000Ω	10000Ω	100000Ω	100000Ω
-7427.6 %	-14.3 %	39.8 %	19.5 %	-68.6 %	-115.1 %	-120.1 %

TABLE VII: Air-Core Model Error for Each Load, Averaged Over All Distance Configurations.

In the case of the ferrite-core system, the theoretical maximum output power is generally smaller than that measured, which is probably due to the fact that the model does not accurately represent the increase in mutual inductance due to flux concentration in the ferrite core. After all, the model used in this thesis is technically not the full model developed in [11], where equation (10) presented in this paper would contain an extra term $\left(\frac{l_{Core}^2}{w_{Rel.}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ to account for the increase in flux density within the relay coil due to a long ferrite core. Again, as the transmission distance increases, low-resistance loads yield power outputs that are below the noise floor of the oscilloscope, so the measurements are inaccurate at these points. As a result, data for 1 Ω and 10 Ω loads are omitted in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12. Overall, the average error for each load was as follows,

1Ω	10Ω	100Ω	1000Ω	10000Ω	100000Ω	100000Ω
-7582.8 %	-8.5 %	16.7 %	-28.8 %	-230.9 %	-322.8 %	-331.1 %

TABLE VIII: Ferrite-Core Model Error for Each Load, Averaged Over All Distance Configurations.

V-B Comparison of Systems

Upon comparing Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, the ultimate conclusion is that the addition of a ferrite core noticeably improves the output power for a given load and distance configuration. Overall, the average improvement to output power for each load as a result of using a ferrite core instead of an air core is as follows,

1Ω	10Ω	100Ω	1000Ω	10000Ω	100000Ω	100000Ω
20%	10%	60%	90%	130%	130%	130%

TABLE IX: Percent Increase in Output Power When Switching from Air-Core Relay to Ferrite-Core Relay for Each Load, Averaged Over All Distance Configurations. Note that the apparent improvement at 1Ω and 10Ω loads is inaccurate due to noise floor issues.

The results shown in Table IX corroborate the theory embedded in equation (1). For intuition, assume the following ultra-simplified math model,

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} j\omega L_{p1} & 0 & 0 \\ j\omega M_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & j\omega M_{32} & j\omega L_{p2} + REQ \parallel \frac{-j}{\omega C_{p2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

Where the following simplifications have been made:

- Inductor series resistances R_{p1} , R_s , and R_{p2} are approximated as zero since inductors are nearly perfect DC short circuits.
- The effects of $I_2 \times j\omega M_{12}$, $I_3 \times j\omega M_{13}$, $I_3 \times j\omega M_{23}$ and $I_1 \times j\omega M_{31}$ are approximated as zero because very low inductive coupling is assumed.

Then, the current in the inductor of the receiver can be modeled as,

$$I_{3} = \frac{\frac{V_{1}M_{21}}{L_{p1}} - j\omega M_{32}I_{2}}{j\omega L_{p2} + REQ \mid \frac{-j}{\omega C_{-2}}}$$
(14)

Now, both M_{21} and M_{32} are multiplied by a factor, k (see equation (9)), when a ferrite core is introduced. Since this is a common factor, I_3 is roughly proportional to the change in mutual inductance caused by the ferrite core. Additionally, since power is proportional to the square of the current, the power is roughly proportional to the square of the change in mutual inductance.

Also, from Table IX, we can note that the improvement to output power is more pronounced at higher resistances. However, as seen in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, maximum power transfer for both air-core and ferrite-core systems occurs at around 1000Ω . Therefore, there must be a compromise between power output improvement and the size of the resistive load.

From Fig. 13, we can see that, assuming optimal positioning of the relay and constant load, the relationship between output power (P) and the distance between transmitter and receiver (D) is of the following forms:

$$P = kD^n \tag{15}$$

$$logP = logk + nlogD \tag{16}$$

Furthermore, we can determine the value of k and n graphically, yielding the following equations for the air-core-relay system and the ferrite-core relay system at 1000Ω ,

$$P_{AirCore} = (4.1 \times 10^{-6}) D^{-5.9} W \tag{17}$$

$$P_{FerriteCore} = (6.6 \times 10^{-6}) D^{-6.1} W \tag{18}$$

V-C Future of Research

These facts open up many opportunities for further investigation. Future experiments could attempt to directly power an actual wearable device such as a low-power remote sensor. Furthermore, experiments on the addition of ferrite cores to the TX coil and the RX coil could also be performed to see if power output characteristics are further improved.



VI Appendix: Datasheets

Fig. 14: Datasheet for the Taidacent Transmitter



Fig. 15: Datasheet for the Taidacent Receiver. Note that the only part used in this thesis is the "Rx Coil" in parallel with "C1*". The diode "D1" is desoldered and so LC circuit is disconnected from the rest of the circuit.

VII Appendix: MATLAB Code

```
1 %% HON-403: Thesis Math
<sup>2</sup> % Title: The Effect of a Ferrite-Core Relay on the Ouput Power
3 % Characteristics of a Three-Coil WPT System
4 % Author: Jakob White
5 % Date: Jul. 24, 2021
6 % Description: This code is used to calculate the ouput power
7 % characteristics of a two different three-coil WPT systems, one with
8 % air-core relay and one with a ferrite-core relay. First, mutual
9 % inductances are calculated. Next, inductor currents are calculated.
  % Then, voltages across the load resistors are calculated. Finally,
10
      output
  % powers are calculated.
11
12
  96% Experimental Results: Air Core
13
14
15
  clear
  clc
16
  close all
17
18
  REQ = [1 10 100 1000 10000 100000]; %Ohms
19
20
  Air_Core_Config_1_Voltages = [0.13 \ 0.52 \ 3.9 \ 21.2 \ 47 \ 53.6 \ 54]/2;
21
 Air_Core_Config_2_Voltages = [0.027 \ 0.09 \ 0.56 \ 2.82 \ 6.28 \ 7.1 \ 7.2]/2;
22
<sup>23</sup> Air_Core_Config_3_Voltages = [0.025 0.035 0.17 0.77 1.7 1.96 2]/2;
  Air_Core_Config_4_Voltages = [0.02 \ 0.024 \ 0.085 \ 0.33 \ 0.7 \ 0.84 \ 0.85]/2;
24
  Air_Core_Config_5_Voltages = [0.02 \ 0.02 \ 0.05 \ 0.18 \ 0.36 \ 0.42 \ 0.43]/2;
25
26
  Air_Core_Config_1_Powers = (((Air_Core_Config_1_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ
27
      ))';
  Air_Core_Config_2_Powers = (((Air_Core_Config_2_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ
28
      ))';
  Air_Core_Config_3_Powers = (((Air_Core_Config_3_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ
29
      ))';
```

```
Air_Core_Config_4_Powers = (((Air_Core_Config_4_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ
30
      ))';
  Air_Core_Config_5_Powers = (((Air_Core_Config_5_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ
31
      ))';
32
33 % Experimental Results: Ferrite Core
<sup>34</sup> Ferrite_Core_Config_1_Voltages = [0.19 0.7 5.7 31.4 72 86 87]/2;
 Ferrite_Core_Config_2_Voltages = [0.03 \ 0.11 \ 0.72 \ 3.7 \ 9.3 \ 10.6 \ 11]/2;
35
  Ferrite_Core_Config_3_Voltages = [0.01 \ 0.02 \ 0.22 \ 1.1 \ 2.56 \ 3 \ 3]/2;
36
  Ferrite_Core_Config_4_Voltages = [0.024 \ 0.024 \ 0.1 \ 0.44 \ 1.1 \ 1.25]
37
      1.26]/2;
  Ferrite_Core_Config_5_Voltages = [0.02 0.02 0.055 0.23 0.53 0.61
38
      0.62]/2;
39
  Ferrite_Core_Config_1_Powers = (((Ferrite_Core_Config_1_Voltages).^2)
40
      ./ (2*REQ))';
  Ferrite_Core_Config_2_Powers = (((Ferrite_Core_Config_2_Voltages).^2)
41
      ./ (2*REQ))';
  Ferrite_Core_Config_3_Powers = (((Ferrite_Core_Config_3_Voltages).^2)
42
      ./ (2*REQ))';
  Ferrite_Core_Config_4_Powers = (((Ferrite_Core_Config_4_Voltages).^2)
43
      ./ (2*REQ))';
  Ferrite_Core_Config_5_Powers = (((Ferrite_Core_Config_5_Voltages).^2)
44
      ./ (2*REQ))';
45
<sup>46</sup> Power_Imp_1 = Ferrite_Core_Config_1_Powers ./ Air_Core_Config_1_Powers;
47 Power_Imp_2 = Ferrite_Core_Config_2_Powers ./ Air_Core_Config_2_Powers;
48 Power_Imp_3 = Ferrite_Core_Config_3_Powers ./ Air_Core_Config_3_Powers;
49 Power_Imp_4 = Ferrite_Core_Config_4_Powers ./ Air_Core_Config_4_Powers;
 Power_Imp_5 = Ferrite_Core_Config_5_Powers ./ Air_Core_Config_5_Powers;
50
51
  Avg_Power_Imp = (Power_Imp_1 + Power_Imp_2 + Power_Imp_3 + Power_Imp_4
52
      + Power_Imp_5) / 5;
53
  96% Experimental Results: Two-Coil System
54
<sup>56</sup> Two_Coil_Config_1_Voltages = [0.07 0.2 1.38 9.36 16.2 17.4 17.4]/2;
s7 Two_Coil_Config_2_Voltages = [0.02 0.04 0.21 1.3 2.4 2.5 2.5]/2;
```

```
Two_Coil_Config_3_Voltages = [0.02 \ 0.02 \ 0.07 \ 0.4 \ 0.7 \ 0.75 \ 0.76]/2;
58
  Two Coil Config_4_Voltages = [0.02 \ 0.03 \ 0.04 \ 0.18 \ 0.31 \ 0.32 \ 0.33]/2;
59
  Two_Coil_Config_5_Voltages = [0.02 \ 0.02 \ 0.04 \ 0.1 \ 0.17 \ 0.18 \ 0.18]/2;
60
61
  Two_Coil_Config_1_Powers = ((Two_Coil_Config_1_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ)
62
  Two_Coil_Config_2_Powers = ((Two_Coil_Config_2_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ)
63
  Two_Coil_Config_3_Powers = ((Two_Coil_Config_3_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ)
64
  Two_Coil_Config_4_Powers = ((Two_Coil_Config_4_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ)
65
  Two_Coil_Config_5_Powers = ((Two_Coil_Config_5_Voltages).^2) ./ (2*REQ)
67
  %% Air Core Experiments: Mutual Inductances
68
69
70 %Distances between TX Coil and Relay Coil in 5 Different Configurations
71 D12_Air_Core_1 = [18.5 \ 18.5 \ 18 \ 15.5 \ 15 \ 15 \ 15]/100; \% m
T_2 D12_Air_Core_2 = [38.5 38.5 38 36 35 35 35]/100; %m
_{73} D12_Air_Core_3 = [58.5 58.5 58 55.5 55.5 55.5]/100; %m
_{74} D12_Air_Core_4 = [78.5 78.5 78 75 75 75 75]/100; %m
  D12_Air_Core_5 = [98.5 \ 98.5 \ 98 \ 95 \ 95 \ 95 \ 95]/100; \ \%m
75
76
\pi %Distances between TX Coil and Relay Coil in 5 Different Configurations
<sup>78</sup> D21_Air_Core_1 = D12_Air_Core_1; %m
<sup>79</sup> D21_Air_Core_2 = D12_Air_Core_2; %m
B0 D21_Air_Core_3 = D12_Air_Core_3; %m
 D21_Air_Core_4 = D12_Air_Core_4; \%
81
  D21_Air_Core_5 = D12_Air_Core_5; \%
82
83
  %Distances between TX Coil and RX Coil in 5 Different Configurations
84
<sup>85</sup> D13_Air_Core_1 = [20 20 20 20 20 20 20]/100; %m
 D13_Air_Core_2 = [40 \ 40 \ 40 \ 40 \ 40 \ 40 \ 40]/100; \%
86
D13_Air_Core_3 = [60 \ 60 \ 60 \ 60 \ 60 \ 60]/100; \%
<sup>88</sup> D13_Air_Core_4 = [80 80 80 80 80 80 80]/100; %m
 D13_Air_Core_5 = [100 100 100 100 100 100 100]/100; %m
89
90
```

```
%Distances between TX Coil and RX Coil in 5 Different Configurations
91
  D31_Air_Core_1 = D13_Air_Core_1; \%m
92
  D31_Air_Core_2 = D13_Air_Core_2; \%
93
  D31_Air_Core_3 = D13_Air_Core_3; \%
94
  D31_Air_Core_4 = D13_Air_Core_4; \%
95
   D31_Air_Core_5 = D13_Air_Core_5; \%
96
97
  %Distances between RX Coil and Relay Coil in 5 Different Configurations
98
   D23_Air_Core_1 = D13_Air_Core_1 - D12_Air_Core_1; %m
99
   D23_Air_Core_2 = D13_Air_Core_2 - D12_Air_Core_2; %m
100
   D23_Air_Core_3 = D13_Air_Core_3 - D12_Air_Core_3; %m
101
   D23_Air_Core_4 = D13_Air_Core_4 - D12_Air_Core_4; %m
102
   D23_Air_Core_5 = D13_Air_Core_5 - D12_Air_Core_5; %m
103
104
  %Distances between RX Coil and Relay Coil in 5 Different Configurations
105
   D32_Air_Core_1 = D23_Air_Core_1; \%
106
   D32_Air_Core_2 = D23_Air_Core_2; %m
107
   D32 Air Core 3 = D23 Air Core 3; %m
108
  D32_Air_Core_4 = D23_Air_Core_4; \%
109
   D32_Air_Core_5 = D23_Air_Core_5; \%
110
111
  %M12
112
mu_0 = 4 * pi * 10^{-7}; \% H/m
114 N1 = 5;
  r1 = 0.1; \%
115
  w1 = 0.0011938; \%m
116
N2 = 15;
   r2 = 0.025; \%
118
   w2 = 0.0004445; \%m
119
120
<sup>121</sup> D = [D12_Air_Core_1; D12_Air_Core_2; D12_Air_Core_3; D12_Air_Core_4;
       D12_Air_Core_5];
_{122} M = zeros (5,7);
123
   for i = 1:5
124
       for q = 1:7
125
            for j = 1:N1
126
                for k = 1:N2
127
```

```
a = r1 - (i - 1)*(w1) - (w1/2);
128
                     b = r2 - (j-1)*(w2) - (w2/2);
129
                     y = 2*a*b ./ (((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q)).^2));
130
                     M(i,q) = M(i,q) + ((mu_0)*(pi)*((a)^2)*((b)^2) ./
131
                          (2*(((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q))^2))^{(3/2)}).*...
                      (1 + (15*(y.^2)/32) + (315*(y.^4)/1024));
132
                 end
133
            end
134
        end
135
   end
136
137
   M12_Air_Core_1 = M(1, :);
138
   M12_Air_Core_2 = M(2, :);
139
   M12_Air_Core_3 = M(3, :);
140
   M12_Air_Core_4 = M(4, :);
141
   M12_Air_Core_5 = M(5,:);
142
143
  %M13
144
  mu_0 = 4 * pi * 10^{-7}; \% H/m
145
  N1 = 5;
146
   r1 = 0.1; \%
147
   w1 = 0.0011938; \%m
148
   N2 = 15;
149
   r2 = 0.025; \%m
150
   w2 = 0.0004445; \%m
151
152
  D = [D13\_Air\_Core\_1; D13\_Air\_Core\_2; D13\_Air\_Core\_3; D13\_Air\_Core\_4;
153
       D13 Air Core 5];
   M = zeros(5,7);
154
155
   for i = 1:5
156
        for q = 1:7
157
             for j = 1:N1
158
                 for k = 1:N2
159
                      a = r1 - (i - 1)*(w1) - (w1/2);
160
                      b = r2 - (j-1)*(w2) - (w2/2);
161
                      y = 2*a*b ./ (((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q)).^2));
162
```

```
M(i,q) = M(i,q) + ((mu_0)*(pi)*((a)^2)*((b)^2) ./
163
                         (2*(((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q))^2))^{(3/2)}).*...
                     (1 + (15*(y.^2)/32) + (315*(y.^4)/1024));
164
                end
165
            end
166
       end
167
   end
168
169
   M13_Air_Core_1 = M(1, :);
170
   M13_Air_Core_2 = M(2, :);
171
   M13_Air_Core_3 = M(3, :);
172
   M13_Air_Core_4 = M(4, :);
173
   M13_Air_Core_5 = M(5,:);
174
175
  %M21
176
  mu_0 = 4 * pi * 10^{-7}; \% H/m
177
  N2 = 5;
178
   r^2 = 0.1; \%
179
   w^2 = 0.0011938; \%
180
   N1 = 15;
181
   r1 = 0.025; \%
182
   w1 = 0.0004445; \%m
183
184
  D = [D21_Air_Core_1; D21_Air_Core_2; D21_Air_Core_3; D21_Air_Core_4;
185
       D21_Air_Core_5];
  M = zeros(5,7);
186
187
   for i = 1:5
188
        for q = 1:7
189
            for j = 1:N1
190
                 for k = 1:N2
191
                     a = r1 - (i - 1)*(w1) - (w1/2);
192
                     b = r2 - (j-1)*(w2) - (w2/2);
193
                     y = 2*a*b ./ (((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q)).^2));
194
                     M(i,q) = M(i,q) + ((mu_0)*(pi)*((a)^2)*((b)^2) ./
195
                         (2*(((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q))^2))^{(3/2)}).*...
                     (1 + (15*(y.^2)/32) + (315*(y.^4)/1024));
196
                end
197
```

```
end
198
        end
199
   end
200
201
   M21_Air_Core_1 = M(1, :);
202
   M21_Air_Core_2 = M(2, :);
203
   M21_Air_Core_3 = M(3, :);
204
   M21_Air_Core_4 = M(4, :);
205
   M21_Air_Core_5 = M(5,:);
206
207
   %M23
208
   mu_0 = 4 * pi * 10^{-7}; \% H/m
209
  N1 = 15;
210
   r1 = 0.025; \%m
211
   w1 = 0.0004445; \%m
212
   N2 = 15;
213
   r2 = 0.025; \%m
214
   w2 = 0.0004445; \%m
215
216
  D = [D23\_Air\_Core\_1; D23\_Air\_Core\_2; D23\_Air\_Core\_3; D23\_Air\_Core\_4;
217
       D23_Air_Core_5];
   M = zeros(5,7);
218
219
   for i = 1:5
220
        for q = 1:7
221
             for j = 1:N1
222
                 for k = 1:N2
223
                      a = r1 - (i - 1)*(w1) - (w1/2);
224
                      b = r2 - (j-1)*(w2) - (w2/2);
225
                      y = 2*a*b ./ (((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q)).^2));
226
                      M(i,q) = M(i,q) + ((mu_0)*(pi)*((a)^2)*((b)^2) ./
227
                          (2*(((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q))^2))^{(3/2)}).*...
                      (1 + (15*(y.^2)/32) + (315*(y.^4)/1024));
228
                 end
229
            end
230
231
        end
   end
232
233
```

```
M23_Air_Core_1 = M(1, :);
234
   M23_Air_Core_2 = M(2,:);
235
  M23_Air_Core_3 = M(3,:);
236
   M23_Air_Core_4 = M(4, :);
237
   M23_Air_Core_5 = M(5,:);
238
239
   %M31
240
  mu_0 = 4 * pi * 10^{-7}; \% H/m
241
  N2 = 5;
242
  r^2 = 0.1; \%
243
   w^2 = 0.0011938; \%
244
   N1 = 15;
245
   r1 = 0.025; \%m
246
   w1 = 0.0004445; \%m
247
248
   D = [D31\_Air\_Core\_1; D31\_Air\_Core\_2; D31\_Air\_Core\_3; D31\_Air\_Core\_4;
249
       D31_Air_Core_5];
  M = zeros(5,7);
250
251
   for i = 1:5
252
        for q = 1:7
253
            for j = 1:N1
254
                 for k = 1:N2
255
                      a = r1 - (i - 1)*(w1) - (w1/2);
256
                     b = r2 - (j-1)*(w2) - (w2/2);
257
                     y = 2*a*b ./ (((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q)).^2));
258
                     M(i,q) = M(i,q) + ((mu_0)*(pi)*((a)^2)*((b)^2) ./
259
                          (2*(((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q))^2))^{(3/2)}).*...
                      (1 + (15*(y.^2)/32) + (315*(y.^4)/1024));
260
                 end
261
            end
262
        end
263
   end
264
265
   M31_Air_Core_1 = M(1, :);
266
   M31_Air_Core_2 = M(2, :);
267
  M31_Air_Core_3 = M(3, :);
268
   M31_Air_Core_4 = M(4, :);
269
```

```
M31_Air_Core_5 = M(5,:);
270
271
   %M32
272
   mu_0 = 4 * pi * 10^{-7}; \% H/m
273
N2 = 15;
   r2 = 0.025; \%
275
   w2 = 0.0004445; \%m
276
   N1 = 15;
277
   r1 = 0.025; \%
278
   w1 = 0.0004445; \%m
279
280
  D = [D32\_Air\_Core\_1; D32\_Air\_Core\_2; D32\_Air\_Core\_3; D32\_Air\_Core\_4;
281
       D32_Air_Core_5];
  M = zeros(5,7);
282
283
   for i = 1:5
284
        for q = 1:7
285
            for i = 1:N1
286
                 for k = 1:N2
287
                      a = r1 - (i - 1)*(w1) - (w1/2);
288
                      b = r2 - (j-1)*(w2) - (w2/2);
289
                      y = 2*a*b ./ (((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q)).^2));
290
                     M(i,q) = M(i,q) + ((mu_0)*(pi)*((a)^2)*((b)^2) ./
291
                          (2*(((a)^2)+((b)^2)+((D(i,q))^2))^{(3/2)}).*...
                      (1 + (15*(y.^2)/32) + (315*(y.^4)/1024));
292
                 end
293
            end
294
        end
295
   end
296
297
   M32_Air_Core_1 = M(1, :);
298
   M32_Air_Core_2 = M(2, :);
299
   M32_Air_Core_3 = M(3, :);
300
   M32_Air_Core_4 = M(4, :);
301
   M32_Air_Core_5 = M(5, :);
302
303
   98% Ferrite Core Experiments: Mutual Inductances
304
305
```

```
mu_r = 1.38;
306
   K = 1.03;
307
   d = abs((1 - (2/K)^2)^{1/2});
308
   F = (3.966*((0.5*K)^{(-0.056)})/(d^{3}))*((1/K)^{2})*(d - atan(d));
309
   Const = 0.36 + (0.64) * mu r / (1 + F * (mu r - 1));
310
311
   %M12
312
   M12_Ferrite_Core_1 = M12_Air_Core_1 * Const;
313
   M12_Ferrite_Core_2 = M12_Air_Core_2*Const;
314
   M12_Ferrite_Core_3 = M12_Air_Core_3*Const;
315
   M12_Ferrite_Core_4 = M12_Air_Core_4*Const;
316
   M12_Ferrite_Core_5 = M12_Air_Core_5*Const;
317
318
   %M13
319
   M13_Ferrite_Core_1 = M13_Air_Core_1;
320
   M13_Ferrite_Core_2 = M13_Air_Core_2;
321
   M13_Ferrite_Core_3 = M13_Air_Core_3;
322
   M13 Ferrite Core 4 = M13 Air Core 4;
323
   M13_Ferrite_Core_5 = M13_Air_Core_5;
324
325
   %M21
326
   M21_Ferrite_Core_1 = M21_Air_Core_1 * Const;
327
   M21_Ferrite_Core_2 = M21_Air_Core_2*Const;
328
   M21_Ferrite_Core_3 = M21_Air_Core_3*Const;
329
   M21_Ferrite_Core_4 = M21_Air_Core_4*Const;
330
   M21_Ferrite_Core_5 = M21_Air_Core_5*Const;
331
332
   %M23
333
   M23_Ferrite_Core_1 = M23_Air_Core_1*Const;
334
   M23_Ferrite_Core_2 = M23_Air_Core_2*Const;
335
   M23_Ferrite_Core_3 = M23_Air_Core_3*Const;
336
   M23_Ferrite_Core_4 = M23_Air_Core_4*Const;
337
   M23_Ferrite_Core_5 = M23_Air_Core_5*Const;
338
339
   %M31
340
   M31_Ferrite_Core_1 = M31_Air_Core_1;
341
   M31_Ferrite_Core_2 = M31_Air_Core_2;
342
   M31_Ferrite_Core_3 = M31_Air_Core_3;
343
```

```
M31_Ferrite_Core_4 = M31_Air_Core_4;
344
   M31_Ferrite_Core_5 = M31_Air_Core_5;
345
346
   %M32
347
   M32_Ferrite_Core_1 = M32_Air_Core_1*Const;
348
   M32_Ferrite_Core_2 = M32_Air_Core_2*Const;
349
   M32_Ferrite_Core_3 = M32_Air_Core_3*Const;
350
   M32_Ferrite_Core_4 = M32_Air_Core_4*Const;
351
   M32_Ferrite_Core_5 = M32_Air_Core_5*Const;
352
353
   %% Air Core Experiments: Output Currents
354
355
   f = 215 * 10^3; \%Hz
356
   w = 2 * pi * f;
357
358
   V1 = 100; \%V
359
   V2 = 0;
360
   V3 = 0;
361
362
  Rp1 = 0.5; \%Ohms
363
   Lp1 = 14*10^{-6}; %Henries
364
   Cp1 = 39*10^{-9}; %Farads
365
366
   Rs = 0.9; \%Ohm
367
   Ls = 20*10^{-6}; %Henries
368
   Cs = 27*10^{-9}; %Farads
369
370
   Rp2 = 0.9; \%Ohms
371
   Lp2 = 20*10^{-6}; %Henries
372
   Cp2 = 27 * 10^{-9}; \% Farads
373
374
   k12\_Air\_Core\_1 = M12\_Air\_Core\_1/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
375
   k12\_Air\_Core\_2 = M12\_Air\_Core\_2/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
376
   k12\_Air\_Core\_3 = M12\_Air\_Core\_3/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
377
   k12\_Air\_Core\_4 = M12\_Air\_Core\_4/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
378
   k12\_Air\_Core\_5 = M12\_Air\_Core\_5/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
379
380
   k13_Air_Core_1 = M13_Air_Core_1/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
381
```

```
k13_Air_Core_2 = M13_Air_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
382
   k13_Air_Core_3 = M13_Air_Core_3/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
383
   k13_Air_Core_4 = M13_Air_Core_4/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
384
   k13_Air_Core_5 = M13_Air_Core_5 / sqrt (Lp1*Lp2);
385
386
   k21\_Air\_Core\_1 = M21\_Air\_Core\_1/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
387
   k21_Air_Core_2 = M21_Air_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
388
   k21_Air_Core_3 = M21_Air_Core_3 / sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
389
   k21\_Air\_Core\_4 = M21\_Air\_Core\_4/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
390
   k21\_Air\_Core\_5 = M21\_Air\_Core\_5/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
391
392
   k23_Air_Core_1 = M23_Air_Core_1/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
393
   k23_Air_Core_2 = M23_Air_Core_2/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
394
   k23\_Air\_Core\_3 = M23\_Air\_Core\_3/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
395
   k23_Air_Core_4 = M23_Air_Core_4/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
396
   k23\_Air\_Core\_5 = M23\_Air\_Core\_5/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
397
398
   k31 Air_Core_1 = M31 Air_Core_1/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
399
   k31_Air_Core_2 = M31_Air_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
400
   k31_Air_Core_3 = M31_Air_Core_3 / sqrt (Lp1*Lp2);
401
   k31_Air_Core_4 = M31_Air_Core_4 / sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
402
   k31_Air_Core_5 = M31_Air_Core_5 / sqrt (Lp1*Lp2);
403
404
   k32\_Air\_Core\_1 = M32\_Air\_Core\_1/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
405
   k32\_Air\_Core\_2 = M32\_Air\_Core\_2/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
406
   k32\_Air\_Core\_3 = M32\_Air\_Core\_3/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
407
   k32\_Air\_Core\_4 = M32\_Air\_Core\_4/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
408
   k32\_Air\_Core\_5 = M32\_Air\_Core\_5/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
409
410
   Currents_Air_Core_1 = zeros(3,3);
411
   REQ_Currents_Air_Core_1 = zeros(7,1);
412
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_1 = zeros(7,1);
413
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_1 = zeros(7,1);
414
415
   for j = 1:7
416
417
   A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
418
   B = 1i * w * M12 Air Core_1(j);
419
```

```
C = 1i * w * M13_Air_Core_1(j);
420
421
  D = 1i * w * M21_Air_Core_1(j);
422
   E = Rs + 1i * (w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
423
   F = 1i * w * M23 Air Core_1(j);
424
425
   G = 1i * w * M31_Air_Core_1(j);
426
  H = 1i * w * M32_Air_Core_1(j);
427
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
428
429
   syms I1 I2 I3
430
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
431
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
432
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
433
434
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
435
436
  X = linsolve(A,B);
437
438
   Currents_Air_Core_1(j,1) = X(1);
439
   Currents_Air_Core_1(j,2) = X(2);
440
   Currents_Air_Core_1(j,3) = X(3);
441
442
   Z = abs((REQ(j).*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))./((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))));
443
444
   REQ_Currents_Air_Core_1(j) = abs(Currents_Air_Core_1(j,3))*Z/REQ(j);
445
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_1(j) = REQ_Currents_Air_Core_1(j) * REQ(j);
446
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_1(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_1(j)^2)/(2 REQ(j));
447
448
   end
449
450
   Currents_Air_Core_2 = zeros(3,3);
451
   REQ\_Currents\_Air\_Core\_2 = zeros(7,1);
452
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_2 = zeros(7,1);
453
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_2 = zeros(7,1);
454
455
   for j = 1:7
456
457
```

```
A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
458
   B = 1i * w * M12 Air Core_2(j);
459
   C = 1i * w * M13_Air_Core_2(j);
460
461
   D = 1i * W * M21 Air Core_2(j);
462
   E = Rs + 1i * (w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
463
   F = 1i * w * M23 Air Core_2(j);
464
465
   G = 1i * w * M31_Air_Core_2(j);
466
   H = 1i * w * M32 Air_Core_2(j);
467
   I = Rp2 + 1i * w * Lp2 + (REQ(j) * (1/(1i * w * Cp2))) / ((REQ(j) + (1/(1i * w * Cp2))));
468
469
   syms I1 I2 I3
470
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
471
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
472
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
473
474
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
475
476
   X = linsolve(A,B);
477
478
   Currents_Air_Core_2(j,1) = X(1);
479
   Currents_Air_Core_2(j,2) = X(2);
480
   Currents_Air_Core_2(j,3) = X(3);
481
482
   Z = abs((REQ(j)) * (1/(1 i * w * Cp2))) . / ((REQ(j) + (1/(1 i * w * Cp2)))));
483
484
   REQ_Currents_Air_Core_2(j) = abs(Currents_Air_Core_2(j,3))*Z/REQ(j);
485
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_2(j) = REQ_Currents_Air_Core_2(j) * REQ(j);
486
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_2(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_2(j)^2)/(2 REQ(j));
487
488
   end
489
490
   Currents_Air_Core_3 = zeros(3,3);
491
   REQ_Currents_Air_Core_3 = zeros(7,1);
492
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_3 = zeros(7,1);
493
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_3 = zeros(7,1);
494
495
```

```
for j = 1:7
496
497
  A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
498
   B = 1i * w * M12 Air Core_3(j);
499
   C = 1i * W * M13 Air Core_3(j);
500
501
   D = 1i * w * M21_Air_Core_3(j);
502
   E = Rs + 1i*(w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
503
   F = 1i * w * M23 Air Core_3(j);
504
505
   G = 1i * w * M31 Air Core_3(j);
506
   H = 1i * W * M32 Air_Core_3(j);
507
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
508
509
   syms I1 I2 I3
510
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
511
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
512
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
513
514
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
515
516
   X = linsolve(A,B);
517
518
   Currents_Air_Core_3(j,1) = X(1);
519
   Currents_Air_Core_3(j,2) = X(2);
520
   Currents_Air_Core_3(j,3) = X(3);
521
522
   Z = abs((REQ(j)) * (1/(1 i * w * Cp2))) / ((REQ(j) + (1/(1 i * w * Cp2)))));
523
524
   REQ_Currents_Air_Core_3(j) = abs(Currents_Air_Core_3(j,3))*Z/REQ(j);
525
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_3(j) = REQ_Currents_Air_Core_3(j) * REQ(j);
526
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_3(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_3(j)^2)/(2 REQ(j));
527
528
   end
529
530
   Currents_Air_Core_4 = zeros(3,3);
531
   REQ\_Currents\_Air\_Core\_4 = zeros(7,1);
532
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_4 = zeros(7,1);
533
```

```
REQ_Powers_Air_Core_4 = zeros(7,1);
534
535
   for j = 1:7
536
537
   A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
538
   B = 1i * w * M12_Air_Core_4(j);
539
   C = 1i * w * M13 Air Core 4(j);
540
541
   D = 1i * w * M21_Air_Core_4(j);
542
   E = Rs + 1i*(w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
543
   F = 1i * w * M23 Air_Core_4(j);
544
545
   G = 1i * w * M31 Air Core 4(j);
546
   H = 1i * w * M32_Air_Core_4(j);
547
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
548
549
   syms I1 I2 I3
550
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
551
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
552
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
553
554
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
555
556
   X = linsolve(A,B);
557
558
   Currents_Air_Core_4(j,1) = X(1);
559
   Currents_Air_Core_4(j,2) = X(2);
560
   Currents_Air_Core_4(j,3) = X(3);
561
562
   Z = abs((REQ(j).*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))./((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))));
563
564
   REQ_Currents_Air_Core_4(j) = abs(Currents_Air_Core_4(j,3))*Z/REQ(j);
565
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_4(j) = REQ_Currents_Air_Core_4(j) * REQ(j);
566
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_4(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_4(j)^2)/(2 * REQ(j));
567
568
   end
569
570
   Currents_Air_Core_5 = zeros(3,3);
571
```

```
REQ\_Currents\_Air\_Core\_5 = zeros(7,1);
572
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_5 = zeros(7,1);
573
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_5 = zeros(7,1);
574
575
   for j = 1:7
576
577
   A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
578
   B = 1i * w * M12 Air_Core_5(j);
579
   C = 1i * w * M13 Air Core_5(j);
580
581
   D = 1i * w * M21 Air_Core_5(j);
582
   E = Rs + 1i*(w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
583
   F = 1i * w * M23 Air Core_5(j);
584
585
   G = 1i * W * M31 Air Core_5(j);
586
   H = 1i * w * M32 Air_Core_5(j);
587
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
588
589
   syms I1 I2 I3
590
591
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
592
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
593
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
594
595
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
596
597
   X = linsolve(A,B);
598
599
   Currents_Air_Core_5(j,1) = X(1);
600
   Currents_Air_Core_5(j,2) = X(2);
601
   Currents_Air_Core_5(j,3) = X(3);
602
603
   Z = abs((REQ(j)) * (1/(1i*w*Cp2))) ./((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))));
604
605
   REQ\_Currents\_Air\_Core\_5(j) = abs(Currents\_Air\_Core\_5(j,3))*Z/REQ(j);
606
   REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_5(j) = REQ_Currents_Air_Core_5(j) * REQ(j);
607
   REQ_Powers_Air_Core_5(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Air_Core_5(j)^2)/(2 REQ(j));
608
609
```

```
end
610
611
  A = 1000*REQ_Powers_Air_Core_1;
612
   A1 = 1000*Air_Core_Config_1_Powers;
613
   B = 1000*REQ_Powers_Air_Core_2;
614
   B1 = 1000*Air_Core_Config_2_Powers;
615
   C = 1000000 * REQ_Powers_Air_Core_3;
616
   C1 = 1000000*Air_Core_Config_3_Powers;
617
   D = 1000000 * REQ_Powers_Air_Core_4;
618
   D1 = 1000000*Air_Core_Config_4_Powers;
619
   E = 1000000*REQ_Powers_Air_Core_5;
620
   E1 = 1000000*Air_Core_Config_5_Powers;
621
622
   figure(1)
623
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), A(3:7), 'red')
624
   hold on
625
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), A1(3:7), 'blue')
626
   hold off
627
   title ('Air-Core System Configuration I')
628
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
629
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
630
   ylabel('Power [mW]')
631
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
632
633
   figure (2)
634
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), B(3:7), 'red')
635
   hold on
636
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), B1(3:7), 'blue')
637
   hold off
638
   title ('Air-Core System Configuration II')
639
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
640
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
641
   ylabel('Power [mW]')
642
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
643
644
   figure (3)
645
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), C(3:7), 'red')
646
   hold on
647
```

```
semilogx(REQ(3:7), C1(3:7), 'blue')
648
   hold off
649
   title('Air-Core System Configuration III')
650
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
651
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
652
   ylabel('Power [\mu W]')
653
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
654
655
   figure (4)
656
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), D(3:7), 'red')
657
   hold on
658
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), D1(3:7), 'blue')
659
   hold off
660
   title ('Air-Core System Configuration IV')
661
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
662
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
663
   ylabel('Power [\mu W]')
664
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
665
666
   figure (5)
667
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), E(3:7), 'red')
668
   hold on
669
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), E1(3:7), 'blue')
670
   hold off
671
   title ('Air-Core System Configuration V')
672
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
673
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
674
   ylabel('Power [\mu W]')
675
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
676
677
   %% %% Ferrite Core Experiments: Output Currents
678
679
   f = 215 * 10^3; \%Hz
680
   w = 2 * pi * f;
681
682
  V1 = 100; \%V
683
  V2 = 0;
684
_{685} V3 = 0;
```

```
686
   Rp1 = 0.5; \%Ohms
687
   Lp1 = 14*10^{-6}; \% Henries
688
   Cp1 = 39*10^{-9}; %Farads
689
690
   Rs = 0.9; \%Ohm
691
   Ls = 27 * 10^{-6}; %Henries
692
   Cs = 20*10^{-9}; \%Farads
693
694
   Rp2 = 0.9; \%Ohms
695
   Lp2 = 20*10^{-6}; %Henries
696
   Cp2 = 27*10^{-9}; %Farads
697
698
   REQ = [1 10 100 1000 10000 100000]; %Ohms
699
700
   k12_Ferrite_Core_1 = M12_Ferrite_Core_1/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
701
   k12_Ferrite_Core_2 = M12_Ferrite_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
702
   k12 Ferrite Core 3 = M12 Ferrite Core 3/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
703
   k12_Ferrite_Core_4 = M12_Ferrite_Core_4/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
704
   k12_Ferrite_Core_5 = M12_Ferrite_Core_5/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
705
706
   k13_Ferrite_Core_1 = M13_Ferrite_Core_1/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
707
   k13_Ferrite_Core_2 = M13_Ferrite_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
708
   k13_Ferrite_Core_3 = M13_Ferrite_Core_3/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
709
   k13_Ferrite_Core_4 = M13_Ferrite_Core_4/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
710
   k13_Ferrite_Core_5 = M13_Ferrite_Core_5/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
711
712
   k21_Ferrite_Core_1 = M21_Ferrite_Core_1/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
713
   k21_Ferrite_Core_2 = M21_Ferrite_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
714
   k21_Ferrite_Core_3 = M21_Ferrite_Core_3/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
715
   k21_Ferrite_Core_4 = M21_Ferrite_Core_4/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
716
   k21_Ferrite_Core_5 = M21_Ferrite_Core_5/sqrt(Lp1*Ls);
717
718
   k23_Ferrite_Core_1 = M23_Ferrite_Core_1/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
719
   k23_Ferrite_Core_2 = M23_Ferrite_Core_2/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
720
   k23_Ferrite_Core_3 = M23_Ferrite_Core_3/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
721
   k23_Ferrite_Core_4 = M23_Ferrite_Core_4/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
722
   k23_Ferrite_Core_5 = M23_Ferrite_Core_5/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
723
```

```
k31_Ferrite_Core_1 = M31_Ferrite_Core_1/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
725
   k31_Ferrite_Core_2 = M31_Ferrite_Core_2/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
726
   k31_Ferrite_Core_3 = M31_Ferrite_Core_3/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
727
   k31_Ferrite_Core_4 = M31_Ferrite_Core_4/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
728
   k31_Ferrite_Core_5 = M31_Ferrite_Core_5/sqrt(Lp1*Lp2);
729
730
   k32_Ferrite_Core_1 = M32_Ferrite_Core_1/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
731
   k32_Ferrite_Core_2 = M32_Ferrite_Core_2/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
732
   k32_Ferrite_Core_3 = M32_Ferrite_Core_3/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
733
   k32_Ferrite_Core_4 = M32_Ferrite_Core_4/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
734
   k32_Ferrite_Core_5 = M32_Ferrite_Core_5/sqrt(Lp2*Ls);
735
736
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_1 = zeros(3,3);
737
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_1 = zeros(7,1);
738
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_1 = zeros(7,1);
739
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_1 = zeros(7,1);
740
741
   for j = 1:7
742
743
   A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
744
   B = 1i * w * M12_Ferrite_Core_1(j);
745
   C = 1i * w * M13 Ferrite Core_1(j);
746
747
   D = 1i * w * M21_Ferrite_Core_1(j);
748
   E = Rs + 1i * (w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
749
   F = 1i * w * M23 Ferrite Core_1(j);
750
751
   G = 1i * w * M31_Ferrite_Core_1(j);
752
   H = 1i * W * M32 Ferrite Core_1(j);
753
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
754
755
   syms I1 I2 I3
756
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
757
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
758
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
759
760
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
761
```

724

```
762
   X = linsolve(A,B);
763
764
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_1(j,1) = X(1);
765
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_1(j,2) = X(2);
766
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_1(j,3) = X(3);
767
768
   Z = abs((REQ(j).*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))./((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))));
769
770
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_1(j) = abs(Currents_Ferrite_Core_1(j,3))*Z/
771
       REQ(j);
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_1(j) = REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_1(j) * REQ(j)
772
       );
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_1(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_1(j)^2)/(2*
773
       \operatorname{REQ}(j);
774
   end
775
776
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_2 = zeros(3,3);
777
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_2 = zeros(7,1);
778
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_2 = zeros(7,1);
779
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_2 = zeros(7,1);
780
781
   for j = 1:7
782
783
   A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
784
   B = 1i * w * M12\_Ferrite\_Core\_2(j);
785
   C = 1i*w*M13_Ferrite_Core_2(j);
786
787
   D = 1i * w * M21_Ferrite_Core_2(j);
788
   E = Rs + 1i * (w * Ls - (1/(w * Cs)));
789
   F = 1i * w * M23 Ferrite Core_2(j);
790
791
   G = 1i * w * M31_Ferrite_Core_2(j);
792
   H = 1i * W * M32_Ferrite_Core_2(j);
793
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
794
795
   syms I1 I2 I3
796
```

```
eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
797
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
798
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
799
800
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
801
802
   X = linsolve(A,B);
803
804
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_2(j,1) = X(1);
805
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_2(j,2) = X(2);
806
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_2(j,3) = X(3);
807
808
   Z = abs((REQ(j)) * (1/(1 i * w * Cp2))) . / ((REQ(j) + (1/(1 i * w * Cp2)))));
809
810
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_2(j) = abs(Currents_Ferrite_Core_2(j,3))*Z/
811
       REQ(j);
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_2(j) = REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_2(j) * REQ(j
812
       );
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_2(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_2(j)^2)/(2*
813
       REQ(j));
814
   end
815
816
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_3 = zeros(3,3);
817
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_3 = zeros(7,1);
818
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_3 = zeros(7,1);
819
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_3 = zeros(7,1);
820
821
   for j = 1:7
822
823
  A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
824
   B = 1i * w * M12\_Ferrite\_Core\_3(j);
825
   C = 1i * w * M13_Ferrite_Core_3(j);
826
827
   D = 1i * W * M21_Ferrite_Core_3(j);
828
   E = Rs + 1i * (w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
829
   F = 1i * w * M23 Ferrite Core_3(j);
830
831
```

```
G = 1i * w * M31_Ferrite_Core_3(j);
832
  H = 1 i *w* M32_Ferrite_Core_3(j);
833
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
834
835
   syms I1 I2 I3
836
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
837
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
838
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
839
840
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
841
842
  X = linsolve(A,B);
843
844
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_3(j,1) = X(1);
845
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_3(j,2) = X(2);
846
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_3(j,3) = X(3);
847
848
   Z = abs((REQ(j)) * (1/(1 i * w * Cp2))) / ((REQ(j) + (1/(1 i * w * Cp2)))));
849
850
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_3(j) = abs(Currents_Ferrite_Core_3(j,3))*Z/
851
      REQ(j);
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_3(j) = REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_3(j) * REQ(j
852
       ):
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_3(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_3(j)^2)/(2*
853
      REQ(i);
854
   end
855
856
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_4 = zeros(3,3);
857
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_4 = zeros(7,1);
858
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_4 = zeros(7,1);
859
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_4 = zeros(7,1);
860
861
   for j = 1:7
862
863
  A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
864
   B = 1i * w * M12\_Ferrite\_Core\_4(j);
865
  C = 1i * W * M13_Ferrite_Core_4(j);
866
```

```
867
  D = 1i * w * M21_Ferrite_Core_4(j);
868
   E = Rs + 1i*(w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
869
   F = 1i * w * M23 Ferrite Core_4(j);
870
871
  G = 1i * w * M31_Ferrite_Core_4(j);
872
   H = 1i * W * M32_Ferrite_Core_4(j);
873
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
874
875
   syms I1 I2 I3
876
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
877
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
878
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
879
880
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
881
882
  X = linsolve(A,B);
883
884
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_4(j,1) = X(1);
885
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_4(j,2) = X(2);
886
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_4(j,3) = X(3);
887
888
   Z = abs((REQ(j).*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))./((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))));
889
890
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_4(j) = abs(Currents_Ferrite_Core_4(j,3))*Z/
891
      REQ(j);
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_4(j) = REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_4(j) * REQ(j)
892
       );
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_4(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_4(j)^2)/(2*
893
      \operatorname{REQ}(j);
894
   end
895
896
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_5 = zeros(3,3);
897
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_5 = zeros(7,1);
898
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_5 = zeros(7,1);
899
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_5 = zeros(7,1);
900
901
```

```
for j = 1:7
902
903
  A = Rp1 + 1i * w * Lp1;
904
   B = 1i * w * M12 Ferrite Core_5(j);
905
   C = 1i * w * M13 Ferrite Core_5(j);
906
907
   D = 1i * w * M21_Ferrite_Core_5(j);
908
   E = Rs + 1i*(w*Ls - (1/(w*Cs)));
909
   F = 1 i *w* M23_Ferrite_Core_5 ( j );
910
911
   G = 1i * w * M31_Ferrite_Core_5(j);
912
   H = 1i * W * M32 Ferrite Core_5(j);
913
   I = Rp2 + 1i*w*Lp2 + (REQ(j)*(1/(1i*w*Cp2)))/((REQ(j)+(1/(1i*w*Cp2))));
914
915
   syms I1 I2 I3
916
   eqn1 = A*I1 + B*I2 + C*I3 == V1;
917
   eqn2 = D*I1 + E*I2 + F*I3 == V2;
918
   eqn3 = G*I1 + H*I2 + I*I3 == V3;
919
920
   [A,B] = equationsToMatrix([eqn1, eqn2, eqn3], [I1, I2, I3]);
921
922
   X = linsolve(A,B);
923
924
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_5(j,1) = X(1);
925
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_5(j,2) = X(2);
926
   Currents_Ferrite_Core_5(j,3) = X(3);
927
928
   Z = abs((REQ(j)) * (1/(1 i * w * Cp2))) / ((REQ(j) + (1/(1 i * w * Cp2)))));
929
930
   REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_5(j) = abs(Currents_Ferrite_Core_5(j,3))*Z/
931
       REQ(j);
   REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_5(j) = REQ_Currents_Ferrite_Core_5(j) * REQ(j
932
       ):
   REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_5(j) = (REQ_Voltages_Ferrite_Core_5(j)^2)/(2*
933
       REQ(j));
934
   end
935
936
```

```
F = (1000*REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_1);
937
   F1 = (1000*Ferrite_Core_Config_1_Powers);
938
   G = (1000*REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_2);
939
   G1 = (1000*Ferrite_Core_Config_2_Powers);
940
  H = (1000000 * REQ Powers Ferrite Core 3);
941
   H1 = (1000000*Ferrite_Core_Config_3_Powers);
942
   I = (1000000 * REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_4);
943
   I1 = (1000000*Ferrite_Core_Config_4_Powers);
944
   J = (1000000 * REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_5);
945
   J1 = (1000000*Ferrite_Core_Config_5_Powers);
946
947
   figure (6)
948
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), F(3:7), 'red')
949
   hold on
950
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), F1(3:7), 'blue')
951
   hold off
952
   title ('Ferrite - Core System Configuration I')
953
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
954
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
955
   ylabel('Power [mW]')
956
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
957
958
   figure (7)
959
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), G(3:7), 'red')
960
   hold on
961
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), G1(3:7), 'blue')
962
   hold off
963
   title ('Ferrite - Core System Configuration II')
964
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
965
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
966
   ylabel('Power [mW]')
967
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
968
969
   figure (8)
970
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), H(3:7), 'red')
971
   hold on
972
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), H1(3:7), 'blue')
973
   hold off
974
```

```
title('Ferrite-Core System Configuration III')
975
   subtitle ('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
976
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
977
   ylabel('Power [\mu W]')
978
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
979
980
   figure (9)
981
   semilogx (REQ(3:7), I(3:7), 'red')
982
   hold on
983
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), I1(3:7), 'blue')
984
   hold off
985
   title ('Ferrite - Core System Configuration IV')
986
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
987
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
988
   ylabel('Power [\mu W]')
989
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
990
991
   figure(10)
992
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), J(3:7), 'red')
993
   hold on
994
   semilogx(REQ(3:7), J1(3:7), 'blue')
995
   hold off
996
   title ('Ferrite - Core System Configuration V')
997
   subtitle('Output Power v. Load Resistance')
998
   xlabel('Resistance [\Omega]')
999
   ylabel('Power [\mu W]')
1000
   legend ('Model Data', 'Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
1001
1002
   %% Misc. Math
1003
1004
   D_{13} = [0.2 : 0.2 : 1];
1005
1006
   Air_Mod_1kPower = 1000*[REQ_Powers_Air_Core_1(4), REQ_Powers_Air_Core_2
1007
       (4), REQ_Powers_Air_Core_3(4), REQ_Powers_Air_Core_4(4),
       REQ_Powers_Air_Core_5(4)];
   Air_Exp_1kPower = 1000*[Air_Core_Config_1_Powers(4),
1008
       Air_Core_Config_2_Powers(4), Air_Core_Config_3_Powers(4),
       Air_Core_Config_4_Powers(4), Air_Core_Config_5_Powers(4)];
```

```
Ferrite_Mod_1kPower = 1000*[REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_1(4),
1009
       REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_2(4), REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_3(4),
       REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_4(4), REQ_Powers_Ferrite_Core_5(4)];
   Ferrite_Exp_1kPower = 1000*[Ferrite_Core_Config_1_Powers(4),
1010
       Ferrite_Core_Config_2_Powers (4), Ferrite_Core_Config_3_Powers (4),
       Ferrite_Core_Config_4_Powers(4), Ferrite_Core_Config_5_Powers(4)];
1011
   figure (11)
1012
   loglog(D_13, Ferrite_Exp_1kPower, 'blue')
1013
   hold on
1014
   loglog(D_13, Ferrite_Mod_1kPower, 'cyan')
1015
   loglog(D_13, Air_Mod_1kPower, 'magenta')
1016
   loglog(D_13, Air_Exp_1kPower, 'red')
1017
   hold off
1018
   title ('Relative Performance at 1k\Omega')
1019
   subtitle ('Output Power v. Distance between TX and RX')
1020
   xlabel('Distance [m]')
1021
   ylabel('Power [mW]')
1022
   legend ('Ferrite Experimental Data', 'Ferrite Model Data', 'Air Model Data
1023
       ', 'Air Experimental Data', 'Location', 'northeast')
```

VIII Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Dr. David Burnett for his patience, flexibility, and technical expertise throughout the long and arduous completion of this thesis. Additionally, I would like to thank the Honors College faculty, particularly Dr. Yasmeen Hanoosh, Dr. Jesse Hoffman, Mrs. Brianna Avery, and Mrs. Cornelia Coleman for helping me with the various administrative issues I faced. Hopefully, the quality of this work will reflect their efforts in working with me to create the best thesis possible.

IX References

[1] Patrick P. Mercier, and D. Lee, "Introduction to Ultra Low Power Transceiver Design," in ULTRA-LOW-POWER SHORT-RANGE RADIOS, SPRINGER INTERNATIONAL PUBLISHING, 2016.

[2] SEMTECH, "User Guide - LinkCharge[™] LP Series TSWITX-5V-2RX-EVM Wireless Charging Transmitter (Rev 1.01)." SEMTECH.

[3] Z. Dong, S. Liu, X. Li, Z. Xu, and L. Yang, "A novel long-distance wireless power transfer system with constant current output based on domino-resonator," IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 2343–2355, 2021.

[4] D. C. Burnett, H. M. Fahad, L. Lee, F. Maksimovic, B. Wheeler, O. Khan, A. Javey, and K. S. Pister, "Two-Chip Wireless H2S Gas Sensor System Requiring Zero Additional Electronic Components," 2019 20th International Conference on Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and Microsystems and Eurosensors XXXIII (TRANSDUCERS and EUROSENSORS XXXIII), 2019.

[5] F. Wen, X. Chu, Q. Li, R. Li, L. Liu, and F. Jing, "Optimization on Three-Coil Long-Range and Dimension-Asymmetric Wireless Power Transfer System," IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 1859–1868, 2020.

[6] M. Wang, J. Feng, Y. Shi, and M. Shen, "Demagnetization Weakening and Magnetic Field Concentration With Ferrite Core Characterization for Efficient Wireless Power Transfer," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, pp. 1842–1851, 2018.

[7] "Taidacent High Power 24V 200mm One-to-Many Long Distance Wireless Charging Pad Contactless Power Supply Module Can be Used for Multiple Receivers," Amazon, 2010. [Online]. Available: https:// www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07QNF6J6Z/ref=ppx_yo_dt_b_asin_title_000_s00?ie=UTF8&psc=1. [Accessed: 12-Aug-2021].

[8] X. Zhai, H. Wang, J. Li, Z. Huang, and R. Gao, "A lightweight wireless charging method for unmanned aerial vehicles," Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1754, no. 1, p. 012176, 2021.

[9] S. Raju, R. Wu, M. Chan, and C. P. Yue, "Modeling of mutual coupling Between Planar inductors in wireless power applications," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 481–490, 2014.

[10] J. Gao, G. Yan, Z. Wang, P. Jiang, and D. Liu, "A capsule robot powered by wireless power transmission: Design of its receiving coil," Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, vol. 234, pp. 133–142, 2015.

[11] P. T. Theilmann and P. M. Asbeck, "An analytical model for inductively coupled implantable biomedical devices with ferrite rods," IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 43–52, 2009.



Jakob White is a BS candidate in the Electrical & Computer Engineering department at Portland State University. During the course of his research and studies, he has been involved in a breadth of electrical engineering work, from designing analog electronics to programming micro-controllers. He hopes to eventually obtain a MS degree and work on cutting-edge analog electronics.