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Present-day builders of harpsichords disagree as to the use of iron or carbon-steel wire in their attempt to duplicate the tonal qualities of the early 16th century instruments. The variations in tone produced by vibrating iron and steel wires may be due, at least in part, to differences in their decay characteristics.

A wire was set into vibration by placing a section in a magnetic
field and passing a variable-frequency alternating current through it. A condition of resonance was established by appropriately selecting frequencies, lengths, and tensions that would simultaneously satisfy the relationship: \( f_r = \frac{n}{2L} \left( \frac{T}{\mu} \right)^{1/2} \). Then measurements of decay time as a function of frequency were made for a variety of typical harpsichord strings: iron, steel, brass, bronze, etc. Samples varied in diameter from 170 mm to 600 mm and the resonant frequencies ranged from 20 Hz to 12,000 Hz.

Changes in energy loss through the supports were measured by varying the size and mass of the supports and by modifying the method of attachment of the wire. Differences in loss of energy due to internal friction were noted in the comparison of decay times for different wire materials and diameters. The energy losses due to sound radiation and viscous damping were examined by placing the vibrating wire in a vacuum.

Two significant conclusions, among others gathered from the data, indicate that:

1. For similar samples of iron and steel wire vibrating under like conditions, the steel wire will vibrate for a longer period of time than the iron wire.

2. Energy losses to sound radiation and viscous damping greatly exceed all other modes of energy loss from the wire.

Suggestions for additional investigations based on the results of this paper are presented in the concluding pages.
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INTRODUCTION

A Brief History of the Harpsichord

It is commonly thought that the harpsichord derived from the psaltery, a plucked, stringed instrument of the Middle Ages, something on the order of the zither. Historically it is noted that keys were added to the psaltery as early as the twelfth century. The harpsichord may then be described as a psaltery with keys. It appears that although the earliest extant harpsichords date only from the sixteenth century, we can conclude that the basic members of the harpsichord family had been developed at least by the fifteenth century.

In the sixteenth century the building of harpsichords was centered in Italy, particularly in Venice. In the latter part of the sixteenth century Antwerp became an important center for harpsichord building. English harpsichord manufacturing came into its own in the eighteenth century when it reached an excellence that made it second to none.

It should also be mentioned that there was some interest in harpsichords and harpsichord building in the colonial United States. We have for instance, the correspondence of Thomas Jefferson in 1786 and of George Washington in 1793 with harpsichord builders and dealers.

In the latter part of the eighteenth century the piano attracted more and more favorable attention at the expense of the harpsichord.
After 1800 harpsichord building generally came to a complete halt, with only sporadic interest in the older instrument continuing here and there during the nineteenth century.

Towards the end of the nineteenth century it came to be realized, principally by those involved with music in the universities, that it was desirable to perform early music on the instrument for which it had been written. Consequently the manufacture of harpsichords has increased steadily since the late 1800's up to the present. Contemporary composers have even seen fit to compose music for the harpsichord and it has become possible for a few concert artists to make careers for themselves as harpsichordists. Kirby (1).

Types of Harpsichords

The name harpsichord applies particularly to a relatively elaborate instrument shaped like an early form of grand piano. The virginal is a smaller rectangular type, and the spinet has a polygonal shape. The word virginal was usually applied to any instrument of the harpsichord type in the seventeenth century, especially in England. Although the larger harpsichords were elaborate instruments with several sets of strings, two or even three keyboards, and various mechanical devices, the action of the smaller virginal was simple. A string for each note was stretched between bridges mounted on a wooden soundboard.

How the Harpsichord Produces Sound

The plucking mechanism consists of a jack, five to eight inches high, placed above the end of each key, (Figure 1). A slot is cut
at the top of each jack and into this slot is placed an upright piece

of wood about one and one-fourth inches long called a tongue, pivoted by means of a horizontal metal ring. A spring of hog's bristle presses on the tongue so that if it is displaced from the vertical it will return to this position, see Figure 2.

Figure 1. Some basic harpsichord components.
The plectrum, a quill or small wedge of hard leather, is set into a small slot in the tongue and projects from it about one-eighth inch, (Figure 2). At the side of the top of the jack is a damper made of a piece of felt. Each set of jacks is placed in registers or holes in a flat piece of wood. These serve two purposes: 1. They line up the jacks so that the plectra strike the strings exactly at the right places. 2. The register may be moved by stop-levers so
that the jacks are rendered inoperable and the strings are not struck.

The action of plucking a string is this: When a key is depressed, the jack rises and the plectrum is forced past the string and causes it to sound. When the finger is taken from the key, the jack descends and the plectrum, coming into contact with the string, turns the tongue on its pivot so that the quill passes the string without plucking it. When the jack has descended as far as it can go, the hog's bristle spring restores the upright position to the tongue, the damper descends to the string, silencing it, and the cycle of operations is completed.

Since the tone is produced by plucking, there is little dynamic variation possible on the harpsichord, at least in its simpler forms. Whether the key is struck hard or softly, it produces neither a louder nor a softer tone. Although some slight alterations of this sort are certainly possible, their effect may be regarded as negligible.

The quality of the tone produced has been universally described, since the first instruments were designed, as soft, sweet, and mild, like the voice of a young lady. This is the desired tonal result sought by the contemporary harpsichord builder. Sumner (2).

Some Motivations for Investigating the Vibration of Harpsichord Strings

The Iron-Steel Wire Controversy. At the present time there is disagreement among harpsichord builders and also among performers regarding the use of modern carbon-steel music wire as a substitute for the iron wire used in early instruments. This disagreement centers around attempts to approximate the tonal characteristics of the ancient harpsichord.
Note that iron wire differs from steel wire in its carbon content. The iron wire used in this investigation had a Young's modulus of \(3.13 \times 10^{12}\) dynes/cm\(^2\) while the Young's modulus for steel wire was \(3.61 \times 10^{12}\) dynes/cm\(^2\).

Those who advocate the suitability of carbon-steel wire point to the fact that the ratio of stress to strain, Young's modulus, is approximately the same for carbon-steel as it is for samples of iron wire used in harpsichords of the eighteenth century. This similarity holds for a wide range of states of work-hardening. The implication would be that both types of wire should contain similar families of harmonics when plucked, and consequently should produce similar tonal qualities.

Others maintain that the old iron wire gives a sweeter and less piercing tone and suspect that this difference has something to do with the length of time it takes for the wire to stop vibrating, i.e.; its damping capacity. Apparently no one has sought to compare damping capacities of iron and steel harpsichord wire under properly controlled conditions; thus it was felt that such an investigation might help to settle the iron-steel wire controversy. Ratajak (3).

Modes of Energy Loss from a Vibrating Wire. If indeed, it is discovered that two wires of unlike chemical composition lose their vibrational energy at different rates, or that a single wire loses its energy at differing rates dependent upon external conditions, then in order to determine why these differences exist, some thought must be paid to the modes in which energy can escape from a vibrating wire, and if possible, a comparison of the energy loss in each mode.
should be made.

A stretched wire attached at its endpoints will lose its vibrational energy in the following ways when vibrating in air:

1. The moving wire will emit sound energy.
2. The moving wire will lose energy due to viscous damping.
3. Due to continued flexion of the wire there will be loss of energy due to internal friction.
4. There will be energy loss at the bridges, or supports.

If the supports are connected to a common base (Figure 3) then feedback loops may be set up depending on the natural frequency of the base and its tendency to be set into forced oscillation.

![Feedback loops through common base.](image)

If the supports are isolated from each other, feedback may yet occur within each individual support (Figure 4). Also there will presumably be secondary emission and possible feedback of sound to and from the base and whatever media contact the base.

![Feedback with isolated bases.](image)
In this investigation close attention was directed to the various methods of attaching the wire to the supports, the type of supports, and the relationship between support and contacting media.

These four modes of energy loss are not easily examined singly by experiment; however some idea of support effects was attained by use of a variety of supports, by comparing the damping capacity for each, and by removing the effect of air-wire interactions as explained in the following section.

The Behavior of a Vibrating Wire in a Vacuum. An obvious way to eliminate energy losses due to the surrounding air would be to remove the air and allow the wire to vibrate in a vacuum. (In this paper a vacuum is understood to mean a minimum pressure of between 25 and 30 microns of mercury). Any difference in the time it takes for the wire to lose its energy in a vacuum compared to the time taken in air, all other conditions being held constant, should be attributable to the interactions between the wire and the air. Thus it was felt that an examination of vibrating wires in a vacuum was an essential adjunct to this investigation.

Summary. In summary this paper will:

1. Ascertain what, if any, measurable differences in damping capacity may exist for iron and steel harpsichord wire.
2. Compare damping capacities and related characteristics for a variety of harpsichord wire materials, diameters, lengths, and other adjustable parameters.
3. Investigate the modes of energy loss from the wires described above by varying the type of support and the air pressure.
Related Research Previously Done

The following is a partial compilation of research findings pertaining to objectives similar to those of this paper. A broader coverage of a portion of this material is presented in Appendix A.

Rate of Decay as a Function of Time. Pyle (4), Martin (5), and Hundley (6) measured the amplitude of vibrating piano and/or harpsichord strings as a function of time in order to compare the decay characteristics of these instruments. Although the rate of change of slope of the amplitude vs time curves varied from string to string and from instrument to instrument, each curve was characterized by a large initial slope and a smaller final slope.

Rate of Decay as a Function of Frequency. Pyle (4) and Bennewitz (7) showed that higher frequencies decayed faster in time than lower frequencies for strings used typically in harpsichords.

Rate of Decay as a Function of Sounding Board. Martin (5) found that, for piano strings, removal of the sounding board caused the amplitude of the string to decrease in time only about one-half as fast as when the sounding board was present.

Internal Friction as a Function of Frequency. Bennewitz (7) and Zener (8,9) predicted and experimentally verified a maximum in internal friction as a function of frequency for harpsichord strings.

Internal Friction due to Microscopic Transverse Thermal Currents. Zener (10) and others developed a theory indicating that internal friction in a vibrating wire was predominately due to intercrystalline heat flow. Zener showed that due to the random orientation of grains within the wire and the subsequent random stresses on these grains,
when the frequency is low and the grain size is small, heat flow will be maximum. Thus energy losses under these conditions will be large. They were successful in detecting the contribution of this heat flow to the internal friction of polycrystalline metals.

Objectives

As has been stated, the principal questions for which answers were sought are:

1. In what ways, if any, do iron and steel harpsichord wires differ in their decay characteristics?

2. What are the modes by which energy is lost from a vibrating harpsichord wire? How do the rates of energy loss from the various modes compare?

In seeking answers to these questions, many secondary objectives were established:

A. Measure the time it takes for the amplitude of a vibrating wire to be reduced to some given fraction of its initial value, usually one-half. This could be loosely termed the half-life of the decay.

B. Find the half-life at several frequencies for a wire of chosen material, diameter, length, etc., and kept at a fixed tension.

C. Determine the half-life as a function of frequency for a variety of materials such as iron, steel, brass, bronze, silver, and gold.

D. Vary the length and tension of each type of wire within practical limits and find the half-life for each condition as a function of frequency.
E. Make cross-comparisons involving these objectives in such a way that question one is answered.

F. Attach the wires to their supports using a variety of terminating blocks whose differences in material, mass, and contact with the surroundings provide a wide range of impedance-matching combinations.

G. Look for differences in decay patterns as the supports are changed. Attempt to relate these differences to changes in energy loss rates at the supports.

H. Look for differences in decay patterns within the range of wire materials used. Attempt to relate these differences to the particular internal friction inherent in each wire.

I. Develop a vacuum system in order to minimize the loss of energy due to sound radiation and viscous damping.

J. Compare half-life vs frequency data under vacuum conditions to those under similar conditions in air.

K. Measure half-life vs frequency at a variety of air pressures to establish the dependence of half-life on pressure.

L. Measure amplitude as a function of frequency.

M. Measure frequency as a function of pressure.
THEORY OF VIBRATING STRINGS

Resonance and Standing Waves

Consider a string of length L, fixed at both ends and under a tension T. It may easily be shown, Sears (11), that the speed of propagation of a transverse impulse on this string, (Figure 5), is given by the relationship: \( v = (T/\mu)^{1/2} \), where \( \mu \) is the mass per unit length of the string.

![Figure 5. An impulse moving along a string under tension.](image)

Standing waves, (Figures 3 and 4), may be set up on this string provided that the length L contains an integral number of half-wave-lengths. This is the condition for resonance, and may be expressed as: \( L = n\lambda/2 \) or \( \lambda = 2L/n \) where \( n \) is the number of loops. The frequency of a wave is: \( f = v/\lambda \), thus by substitution, \( f = vn/2L \).

Again, by substituting \( v = (T/\mu)^{1/2} \) into this equation, the result is: \( f_r = n/2L(T/\mu)^{1/2} \) where \( f_r \) is the resonant frequency of the wire for the stated conditions. This relationship describes the resonant condition for standing waves on a string or wire and illustrates the interdependence of frequency, length, tension, and mass per unit length.

The majority of the wires tested were given a tension of 10 lbs.
or 44.5 newtons, which is a typical harpsichord string tension. The fundamental frequency, \( f_1 \), was arbitrarily chosen to be 100 Hz. With these two parameters pre-determined, the resonant length for each wire depended simply on \( \mu^{1/2} \).

Low-frequency \( f_1 = 20 \text{ Hz} \) testing was done with long, massive wires at minimum tensions, high-frequency \( f_1 = 450 \text{ Hz} \) testing was done with short lightweight wires at maximum tensions.

Energy of a Standing Wave on a String

The vibrating string is merely a collection of elementary oscillators. If \( dx \) is taken as an elementary length, the mass of each such oscillator will be \( \mu dx \), and its amplitude will be \( A \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L} \), where \( A \) is the peak amplitude of any segment, and the factor \( \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L} \) indicates that the element may not be at a point of peak amplitude. If the string is in vibration in its \( n \)th normal mode, it consists of \( n \) similar vibrating segments, see Figure 8.

The total energy \( E \) of an oscillator is the sum of its potential energy \( E_p \) and its kinetic energy \( E_k \), where \( E_p = \frac{1}{2}\mu y^2 \) and \( E_k = \frac{1}{2}m(\frac{dy}{dt})^2 \). Using the familiar expressions for the oscillator: \( y = A \sin (\omega t + \delta) \) and \( \omega = (\frac{k}{m})^{1/2} \), it is easy to show that the total energy of the oscillator is: \( E = \frac{1}{2} \mu\omega^2 A^2 \).

We therefore have for the energy in any element of the string,
\[
\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 A^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{L} \]
and for the total energy in a string of length \( L \),
\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 A^2 \int_{0}^{L} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{L} \, dx
\]
where \( a = \frac{n}{L} \)

Therefore,

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 A^2 \left\{ \frac{L}{2} - 0 - 0 + 0 \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 A^2 \frac{L}{2} = \mu_0^2 A^2 \frac{L}{4}
\]

\[
= m \omega^2 A^2 / 4 \text{ where } m \text{ is the total mass of the string.}
\]

The energy of a standing wave is proportional to the square of its amplitude.

The maximum amplitude \( A \), of a standing wave is twice the amplitude \( A' \) of each of its component waves, Figure 6, thus

\[
E_{\text{standing wave}} = m \omega^2 (2A')^2 / 4 = m \omega^2 (A')^2
\]

![Figure 6. A standing wave and its component waves.](image)

**Velocity as a Function of Amplitude**

If a wire is caused to move by the application of a transversely applied sinusoidal driving force, its position as a function of time will be described by the relationship, \( y = A \sin (\omega t + \delta) \). Therefore the transverse velocity, \( dy/dt = \omega A \cos (\omega t + \delta) \).

The transverse velocity of a vibrating wire is directly proportional to its amplitude.
EQUIPMENT

The following is a description of some of the major pieces of equipment used in this investigation.

Electrical Circuitry

Although the harpsichord string on the instrument is plucked, producing a complex tone consisting of several harmonics, it was decided that rather than attempt to analyze this complex waveform, it would be more fruitful to simply cause the wire to vibrate in a single mode. As suggested by Sanford (12) this may be accomplished in a precise manner by applying an alternating current to the wire while it is in a uniform magnetic field.

Method by which the Wire is set into Vibration. A stretched wire of length \( L \) in a uniform magnetic field \( B \) will experience a force \( F = BiL \) if a current \( i \) is passed through the wire. The directional relationships between the force, current, length, and magnetic field are shown in Figure 7. If the current varies sinusoidally with time, then the force will vary in like manner. The effect of this sinusoidally varying force will be to set the wire into transverse vibrational motion in a plane parallel to the plane...
of the pole faces of the magnet. When the frequency of the driving force is such that the length of the wire \( L \) contains an integral number of half wave lengths, the phenomenon of resonance occurs, the result of which is a visible transverse amplitude. Since the decay pattern of the wire was studied by measuring the magnitude of this amplitude as a function of time after removal of the driving force, resonant frequencies were used throughout to excite the wire.

Method by which the Decay Pattern of the Wire was Monitored.

If the transversely vibrating wire is then disconnected from the alternating current source and connected to the vertical amplifier of an oscilloscope (Figure 8) the generated voltage \( E_{\text{gen}} = B L v \) may be detected. (\( v \) is the velocity of the wire in the field.) As the wire's motion decreases, i.e., decays, the amplitude of the scope trace will diminish as an indication of the decreasing velocity of the wire. The amplitude of a sinusoidally vibrating object is directly proportional to its velocity. Thus the oscilloscope trace is a reproduction of the amplitude of the wire as a function of time as the resonance decays. The entire electrical circuit is shown in Figure 9 on the next page.
Figure 9. Electric circuit.
It was necessary to separate the scope as far as possible from the other components in order to minimize background noise pick-up from the other equipment, especially the signal generator. The current through the wire was regulated by a control on the signal generator.

**Technical Description of Circuit Elements.**

- Oscilloscope: Type 564B storage oscilloscope with auto-erase. Equipped with type 2A61 differential amplifier and type 3B3 time base. Tektronix, Inc.
- Signal Generator: Continuously variable, 5 to 600K Hz, audio oscillator. Hewlett-Packard.
- Counter: Type 5246L electronic counter with digital readout. One second time base. Hewlett-Packard.

The type 2A61 amplifier was equipped with filter circuitry which provided frequency selectivity over specific ranges (Figure 10).

![Figure 10](image)

*Figure 10.* Frequency response selectivity of 2A61 amplifier.
Types of Magnets Used

Permanent Magnets. The initial experiments were done using a U-shaped magnetron magnet rated at approximately 1800 gauss. Due to the shape of the magnet, the field is not uniform; thus sinusoidally generated voltages were not possible for the wire moving as indicated in Figure 11. The magnetron-type magnets were used for preliminary rough checking only during the initial phases of experimentation.

The next permanent magnet to be used was a Laboratory For Science variflux magnet which is a variable-field magnet, equipped with interchangeable pole pieces.

This variflux magnet was used with the 10 cm diameter ceramic pole pieces and with a pole separation which varied from several centimeters to a few millimeters. The field strength (Figure 12) never exceeded 2000 gauss.
In later phases of the investigation it became necessary to increase the field strength and also limit the amplitude of vibration to less than one-half centimeter. In this case the variflux magnet was used again, fitted with tapered pole pieces (Figure 13) whose smaller diameter was 1.5 cm. The gap was reduced to a minimum of 7 mm and the resulting field was estimated to be in excess of 5000 gauss.

**Pole Piece Width and Internodal Distance.** The use of tapered pole pieces was necessary for reasons other than that of increasing field strength. When the wire was set into vibration at some of the higher harmonics, the internodal distance along the standing wave sometimes approximated the diameter of the pole pieces (Figure 14).

![Figure 13. Tapered pole piece.](image)

![Figure 14a. Internodal distance compared to pole face diameter at low frequencies.](image)

![Figure 14b. Internodal distance compared to pole face diameter at high frequencies.](image)

As this began to occur, it became increasingly difficult to maintain
the wire's motion and the decay curves obtained on the scope became more and more distorted. Apparently the action of the forces shown in Figure 14b tended to destroy the node.

A third reason for using minimum-area pole faces was so that the driving force could be centered as close as possible to the antinode. This resulted in maximum efficiency in terms of driving the wire and also in terms of the generated voltage. The generated voltage is velocity-dependent and thus will be greatest where the velocity of the wire is maximum, at the antinode. Indeed, in some of the final testing, if the pole pieces were not precisely centered on the antinode, it was not possible to get a scope trace.

The position of the antinode is the same for all odd harmonics, \( n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots \) (Figure 15).

This simplified magnet-alignment problems in many instances.

**Electromagnet.** In order to attain higher fields than was possible with the permanent magnets, an air-cooled Atomic Research electromagnet was used, also equipped with interchangeable pole pieces. A field of 8K gauss was possible for limited periods of time when tapered pole pieces were used with an air gap of 2 cm.

The adjustability of the field produced by the electromagnet was a decided improvement which allowed precision measurements not possible with the permanent magnets. However, a problem arose with regard to the large size of the field coils, necessitating a return to the variflux magnet when working with shorter wires.
Types of Terminating Blocks Used

As may be seen by reference to Figure 1 on page 3, on the instrument itself, the harpsichord string is stretched between two pins and over a sound board bridge and a wrest plank bridge.

In an attempt to reduce the number of unknown variables and generalize the problem it was decided to approximate this configuration by simply attaching the test wire to two pins, similar to those used on the harpsichord and embedded in some type of board, block, wall, or mass of some type, either common to both pins or "separate". (It would be exceedingly difficult to arrange two blocks so that they were 100 per cent vibrationally separate).

Wood/Wood. Initially a steel pin was screwed into a block of Douglas fir as shown at the right. Two of these served as the terminal blocks. The mass of each was about 0.3 kg. The blocks were then clamped to the top of a maple table by means of C-clamps, Figure 17. This established a wood-to-wood contact with a relatively close impedance match between

Figure 16. Pin-block arrangement.

Figure 17. C-clamp attachment of terminal blocks to maple table.
the block and the table top. When the wire resonated at 100 Hz, the sound of the table top vibrating could be easily heard and its movement could be felt. Certainly some energy was being lost from the system, and perhaps a good deal was also being exchanged between members of the table-block-pin-wire system.

**Lead/Wood.** Since it was one of the objectives to examine modes of energy loss from a vibrating system, it was desirable to somehow control and perhaps minimize the loss through the supports. In order to find out what physical features of the system determined this loss, it was decided to change to massive, dense, lead terminal blocks. The use of the lead was thought to do two things:

1. Create an impedance mismatch between the table and the block, thereby reducing the table's vibration.
2. The lead would act as an absorber, or sink, for the wire's vibrational energy, allow less energy to feedback to the wire, and thus decrease the decay time for the wire.

If the wire decayed faster with lead terminal blocks, the factors responsible for this decay were, at most, being minimally influenced by feedback. Therefore lead blocks having a mass of nearly 11 kg each were substituted for the wooden blocks.

The results of this substitution were quite evident, and they are presented in Table I on the following page. The vibration of the table was reduced below the audible range at least.

From the data it can be seen that the decay times were reduced by 13 to 14 per cent by the substitution of lead for wood terminal blocks, while keeping all other factors constant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency, Hz</th>
<th>Wood/Wood</th>
<th>Lead/Wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lead/Rubber/Wood. As a means of further isolating the wire and the lead blocks from the table, the lead-wood system was modified by inserting rubber washers 1 cm thick at all contact points between the blocks and the table. The results indicated another 13 to 14 per cent decrease in decay time as shown in Table II below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency, Hz</th>
<th>Lead/Wood</th>
<th>Lead/Rubber/Wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It was becoming clear at this time that the type of support was strongly influential in determining the decay time. This served to emphasize the importance of terminal block considerations when accounting for overall energy losses.

**Lead/Rubber/Countertop.** Even with the lead-rubber-wood system the vibration of the table could be detected with a sensitive pick-up. The research lab was equipped with massive, soapstone counters solidly fixed to the building and these were used as a foundation for all subsequent work. No vibration of this base could be detected.

**Cylindrical Steel Terminal Blocks.** It was later decided that an improvement was possible on the lead blocks. Larger cylindrical steel blocks were machined that had a mass of 21 kg each and whose diameter and height were both 15 cm. The use of such blocks had been suggested by Ratajak (3) in order to eliminate the possibility of having vibrational waves contained within the confines of the block itself. Since the velocity of sound is the product of its frequency and its wavelength, \( v = f \lambda \), \( \lambda = v/f \). Taking the speed of sound in steel to be roughly \( 5 \times 10^5 \) cm/sec together with the maximum frequencies used, about \( 1.2 \times 10^4 \) Hz, the minimum wavelengths to be expected in the steel blocks would have been \( 5 \times 10^5/1.2 \times 10^4 \) or 42 cm which is nearly three times the largest dimension of the cylinders. Thus standing waves could not occur within these blocks.

A new clamping arrangement for the wire was necessary because it was feared that the pins previously used might be undergoing small vibrations, taking an unknown amount of energy from the wire, Figure 18. An improvement was possible if the wire could be clamped
firmly between two rigid blocks, each of which was solidly attached to the top of the large cylinder, Figure 19. The wire was led through the clamping blocks to a pin for the purpose of adjusting tension. After the tension was set, the wire was firmly clamped in place so that no tension was acting on the pin while the wire moved. This proved to be a very sensitive method of attachment, making possible easy and precise adjustments of tension. It eliminated the chance of pin slippage under high tensions and at high frequencies.

Figure 19. Steel terminal block and clamping arrangement.
**Types of Wire Tested**

Although the primary objective was to compare the behaviors of iron and steel harpsichord wires, a variety of other typical harpsichord wire was also tested for decay characteristics both in air and in vacuum. Table III provides a summary of all wires tested.

**TABLE III**

**TYPES OF WIRE TESTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material and Density (gm/cc)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Mass Per Unit Length (kg/m x 10^{-4})</th>
<th>Young's Modulus (dynes/cm² x 10^{12})</th>
<th>Lengths Used (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iron-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7-7.9</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>130*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>130* 20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>130* 20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td></td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>steel-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7-7.9</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>170 20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>180 130* 20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron-A⁺</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7-7.9</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material and Density (gm/cc)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Mass Per Unit Length (kg/m x 10^-6)</th>
<th>Young's Modulus (dynes/cm² x 10¹²)</th>
<th>Lengths Used (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>steel-B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron-B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brass-B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>72.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE III (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material and Density (gm/cc)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Mass Per Unit Length (kg/m x 10^{-4})</th>
<th>Young's Modulus (dynes/cm² x 10^{12})</th>
<th>Lengths Used (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bronze-B</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>85 130*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silver-B</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gold-B</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Wire supplied by Zuckermann's Harpsichords, 160 6th Avenue, New York, 10013.

A+ Wire supplied by the above at a later date.

B Wire supplied by Mr. Wm. Ratajak of Corvallis, Oregon.

* Length in vacuum.

** Length in vacuum at high frequency.

*** Length in air at low frequency.
The Vacuum Systems

In order to estimate the effect of sound radiation and viscous
damping as modes of energy loss from the wire it was necessary to place
the wire in a vacuum. Any changes in its decay times compared to
the decay times measured in air under identical conditions would then
be due to the effects of the surrounding air.

**Preliminary System.** This system consisted of a glass tube
130 cm in length and 5 cm in diameter into which was placed a steel
bar 100 cm long containing pins placed 95 cm apart (Figure 20).

![Diagram of Preliminary Vacuum System]

**Figure 20.** Preliminary vacuum system.

Feedback of vibrations through the steel bar was anticipated,
but seemed unavoidable at the time. However, only comparisons from
air to vacuum were to be considered with this set-up and feedback
would be a common feature of all measurements.

**Final System.** The preliminary system was needed to determine
gross effects and to make rough estimates and comparisons of decay
times. It had two major shortcomings:

1. Feedback from the common base could conceivably overshadow
other factors in the decay patterns.

2. The 5 cm diameter of the glass tube was too large to allow sufficient reduction in air gap for the magnet when higher fields were needed.

Consequently a final vacuum system was designed which included the steel cylindrical terminal blocks mentioned earlier. Brass cylinders (Figure 21) were placed on the tops of the steel blocks and connected by an 18 mm diameter glass tube (Figure 22). This smaller diameter tube made it possible to use the electromagnet with tapered pole pieces. The air gap was slightly over 2 cm.

It was felt that this combination of vacuum system and terminal blocks was superior to others in its sensitivity and ease of adjustment. Coupled with the variability of the magnetic field, it became possible to produce decay traces which revealed small differences in the behaviors of the various wires in a controlled environment.
Figure 22. Final vacuum system.
Oscilloscope

The 564B storage scope was able to retain its trace for measurement purposes. Data could be taken directly from the scope or a polaroid photograph could be made. Figure 23 below is a reproduction of a typical photo showing the decrease in the amplitude of the wire as a function of time.

![Graph showing decrease in amplitude](image)

**Figure 23.** Decrease of amplitude in time for a typical harpsichord string.

The horizontal sweep time for this photo was 5 seconds and the frequency of the wire was 200 Hz. The wire therefore executed 1000
vibrations during this time and the electron beam swept out the area shown.

**Filtering.** As an illustration of the effectiveness of the filter circuits in the 2A61 amplifier previously mentioned on page 18, Figure 24 is a photo of the same situation with the exception that the frequency response was limited to the range: 6 to 60 Hz.

![Image of a waveform](image)

**Figure 24.** Illustration of filter effectiveness of the 2A61 amplifier.

**Wave Shapes.** The scope was continuously used to monitor the waveform of the input signal from the audio oscillator and also that of the decay (single cycle). Figures 25 and 26 show typical wave shapes. The sinusoidal quality of the input signal was maintained to insure that the driving force on the wire was reproducible from case to case.
Figure 25. Input signal to wire.

Figure 26. A few cycles showing amplitude decay in time.
Visual Measurement Techniques

Throughout the various phases of experimentation it was always necessary to monitor the wire visually for these reasons:

1. There was no other quick and reliable way to tell if the wire was vibrating. To merely calculate a resonant frequency and tune the oscillator to that value was usually not sufficient to induce vibration. It was necessary to begin increasing the frequency from about 15\% below the calculated resonant value and then slowly approach the resonant peak. If this approach was made too rapidly, vibration would not take place.

2. Once the wire was vibrating with maximum amplitude at the peak of resonance, it would tend to drift from this peak after several seconds. This had to be constantly corrected for.

3. When testing at frequencies above roughly 5000 Hz, the amplitude of the wire, even at the fundamental, was quite often too small to be seen. In this case, paper riders (Figure 27) were placed along the wire and the resonant frequency peak was found by watching for agitated movement of the riders.

Figure 27. Paper riders used to indicate resonant peak.

Standing Wave Ratio. Another visual technique used was that of checking the amount of movement at the nodal points with a traveling microscope. This was done in an attempt to determine the
standing wave ratio, SWR, and hence the amount of power leaking out through the terminal blocks. The standing wave ratio is defined, Pain (13), as the ratio of maximum amplitude to minimum amplitude for a standing wave. Also the reflection coefficient for amplitude, \( r \), is defined by the relationship: 
\[
    r = \frac{(\text{SWR} - 1)}{(\text{SWR} + 1)}.
\]

**Resonant Peak.** As was described on the previous page, the peak of the resonance curve could only be successfully approached from lower frequencies. This prompted an investigation of the shape of the amplitude vs frequency curve in the vicinity of the resonant frequency. Measurements were made with the traveling microscope. This curve and a discussion of its meaning are presented later.
PROCEDURE

Acquisition and Measurement of Decay Curves

The decay curves were obtained in the following manner:

1. For a selected fundamental frequency and tension, the resonant length for a given wire was calculated from the expression
   \[ L_r = \frac{n}{2f(T/\mu)^{1/2}}. \]

2. An alternating current with the required frequency was applied to the wire and its tension was increased to the point where it resonated in the fundamental mode.

3. The wire was fine-tuned by slight oscillator adjustments until the amplitude was maximum.

4. When the wire had stabilized after a couple of seconds, a switch was thrown, disconnecting the power and connecting the ends of the wire to the oscilloscope.

5. Simultaneously the scope was triggered and a trace was produced. Figure 23 typifies this decay trace.

Next, measurements were made of amplitude at various points in time. Using Figure 23 as an example, it can be seen that the time taken for the amplitude to decrease to half of its original value was about 0.7 sec. It took an additional 0.65 sec. to decrease to one-fourth of its original amplitude, and an additional 0.65 sec. to reach one-eighth of its original amplitude. Since these three successive time intervals are nearly the same, they could be considered
half-lifes of an exponential decay. To verify that the amplitude decreases exponentially with time, a semi-log plot of amplitude vs time (Figure 28) is seen to be linear.

Figure 28. Semi-log plot showing decay of harpsichord string.
Since the interaction force between a rigid body in subsonic motion relative to the surrounding fluid is largely determined by the Reynolds number, Morse (14), a brief discussion of this topic is presented in Appendix B.

It should be noted here that earlier decay traces deviated from exponential. It was suggested, Rempfer (15), that these traces contained noise which could be eliminated by simply lowering the vertical position of the curve on the scope. The slight broadening of the peaks of the decay curve, Figure 26, confirms the presence of this noise. All subsequent oscilloscope traces were adjusted so that the upper portion of the curve had a minimum value coincident with the time axis.

If the oscillator was not tuned to the resonant frequency of the wire, the decay trace was serrated as shown in Figure 29.

Figure 29. Decay trace when oscillator slightly off resonance.
Comparisons

In spite of the apparent exponential nature of the decay curves, nevertheless some situations produced consistent, although slight, differences in successive half-lifes. The effect was that there was a tendency towards an increase in half-life with time.

Thus, in making comparisons between wires, or between the same wire under different pressures, precautions had to be taken to insure that the scope traces corresponded to identical periods of time with respect to the total span of time during which the wire moved. For example, a scope trace of an early portion of the decay might yield shorter half-lifes than a trace of a later portion of the decay.

With proper adjustments of the vertical gain on the scope and of the magnetic field strength it was possible to maintain a high consistency with respect to this "viewing-time" problem.
RESULTS

Amplitude as a Function of Frequency

A plot of amplitude vs frequency for values near the resonant frequency would be expected to have the symmetry shown in Figure 30. However, when data was taken for the vibrating harpsichord wires used in this investigation, an asymmetry appeared, Figure 31.

Figure 30. Symmetric resonance curve.

Figure 31. Asymmetric resonance curve for a typical harpsichord string.
In a section titled, Resonance in Non-Linear Oscillations, Landau (16) discusses a situation in which non-linear restoring terms are included in the equation for forced oscillations of a vibratory system. The solution to this equation gives rise to a cubic expression in terms of the amplitude of the oscillations.

For certain amplitudes of the driving force the three roots of this expression are real. The result is shown in Figure 32, where BC and DE represent stable oscillations corresponding to the largest and the smallest real roots. The middle root, represented by CD, corresponds to unstable oscillations of the system. Hence there exists a range of frequencies in which two different amplitudes of oscillation are possible.

Landau's theoretical curve and Figure 31 compare favorably.

---

**Figure 32.** Frequency vs amplitude for non-linear oscillations.
Resonant Frequency as a Function of Pressure

A drop in resonant frequency was observed whenever the pressure was reduced. This phenomenon occurred with all the wires. Sample data is presented in Table IV.

Two possible causes were suggested:
1. The length of the wire increased, perhaps because of some slight movement of the pins or the terminal blocks. However, no movement could be detected with the traveling microscope.
2. The tension in the wire decreased. This could have been caused by heating effects of the current in the wire.

TABLE IV
DROP IN RESONANT FREQUENCY AS A FUNCTION OF PRESSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (microns)</th>
<th>Frequency in Air/Frequency in Vacuum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&gt; 10^3$</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accordingly, the current was varied as the wire vibrated in air and then in a vacuum. Over a range of current values the resonant frequency in air did not change, but as the current was varied
over the same range in a vacuum, the resonant frequency dropped. Figure 33 shows how the current affects the resonant frequency.

![Graph showing resonant frequency as a function of current at a pressure of 35 microns.](image)

Figure 33. Resonant frequency as a function of current at a pressure of 35 microns.

As the wire vibrated in air it was apparently able to liberate heat via the surrounding air molecules with a greater efficiency than it could in a vacuum. As a result the wire heated in the vacuum, and since it was under tension the heating effect caused a slight reduction in tension.

In Figure 33 each data-point represents a steady-state condition where the rate of heat generated is equal to the rate of heat dissipated. The tension and frequency stabilized at these points.

Variations in pressure at constant current indicated that
as pressure increased/decreased the frequency increased/decreased.

It is interesting to speculate that perhaps a pressure gauge could be based on the effect described above. In such an instrument, a resonating wire having a variable current would undergo slight changes in its resonant frequency with slight pressure changes. Adjustments in current necessary to reestablish resonance could be calibrated in units of pressure.

The advantage of such a device might lie in its application to pressure ranges outside the range of many existing gauges, such as the thermocouple gauge which is useful when the mean-free-path of the molecules is the order of magnitude of the dimensions of the container.

Decay Time as a Function of Frequency

Data on decay time (half-life) and frequency is presented in graphical form on the following pages. Due to limitations of space the decay curves are not given for every wire tested. However, those that were omitted conformed to the trend displayed by the representative samples. An index is provided to assist the reader in locating desired information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDEX</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decay time vs frequency, air</td>
<td>47-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decay time vs frequency, vacuum</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decay time vs low frequency, air</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decay time vs high frequency, air</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decay time vs high frequency, vacuum</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 34. Decay time for iron wire as a function of frequency in air.
Figure 35. Decay time for steel wire as a function of frequency in air.
Figure 36. Decay time for brass wire as a function of frequency in air.
Figure 37. Decay time for bronze wire as a function of frequency in air.
Figure 38. Decay time for silver and gold wire as a function of frequency in air.

- 0.495 mm gold
- 0.400 mm silver
Figure 39. Decay time for iron, steel, brass, and bronze wire as a function of frequency in vacuum.
Figure 40. Decay time for iron, steel, and brass wire as a function of low frequency in air.
Figure 41. Decay time for iron and steel wire as a function of high frequency in air.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.310 mm steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.300 mm iron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0.200 mm steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0.210 mm iron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$f_1 = 450$  

Frequency, Hz: 12,000
Figure 42. Decay time for iron and steel wire as a function of high frequency in vacuum.
Decay Time as a Function of Diameter

On the following page is a plot of decay time vs diameter for each material. This data was taken from previous curves. The frequency was 1000 Hz in every case.

Decay Time as a Function of Pressure

Page 58 is a graph of decay time vs pressure for iron and steel wire. So that the full range of pressures may be presented, a log-log plot of decay time vs pressure is shown on page 59.

Comparisons

Due to the amount and diversity of data, any attempt to make all possible comparisons of decay times between various materials, diameters, environments, etc., would prove too lengthy. It is hoped that the data as presented will facilitate comparison-making as needed by the reader.

Air-Vacuum Decay Times. A comparison that needs to be made is that between a wire vibrating in air and then vibrating in a vacuum. The magnitude of the difference in decay time had not been expected. Page 60 illustrates this difference for samples of iron and steel. All wires tested were found to have a longer vacuum decay time, some by as much as a factor of 25. This effect was pressure-dependent of course, and the decay times increased most markedly as the very lowest pressures were reached.
Frequency = 1000 Hz throughout

Figure 43. Decay time for iron, steel, brass, and bronze wire as a function of diameter in air.
Fundamental frequency = 100 Hz throughout

a 0.300 mm steel
b 0.280 mm iron

Figure 44. Decay time for iron and steel wire as a function of pressure.
Fundamental frequency = 100 Hz throughout

0.280 mm iron
0.300 mm steel

Figure 45. Log-log plot of decay time for iron and steel wire as a function of pressure.
a 0.380 mm steel in vacuum  
b 0.365 mm iron in vacuum  
c 0.380 mm steel in air  
d 0.365 mm iron in air

Figure 46. Decay time for iron and steel wire as a function of frequency, air-vacuum comparison.
Conclusions

Some of the most consistent features depicted in the data were:

1. For a given material and at a given frequency, the larger the diameter of the wire the longer it will vibrate. This is true without exception and is simply a result of the greater inertia of thicker wires.

2. For a given diameter of wire at a given frequency, the greater the density of the material the longer it will vibrate. This also is an inertial effect which was found to be generally true, see Figures 34-38.

3. As the frequency of a given wire is increased, the duration of its decay time is decreased. The dependence of decay time on frequency is non-linear. The slope of these curves is the greatest at the lower frequencies.

4. For a selection of wire materials and sizes, the range of decay times among them is greatest at the fundamental and this range diminishes at higher frequencies. If tonal quality is related to decay time, then differences in tonal quality would be most noticeable for strings which are vibrating at the fundamental.

5. If an iron and a steel wire have nearly equal diameters, the steel wire will vibrate longer at any frequency, refer to Figure 41. On this graph two sets of iron and steel wires are
shown. If curves a and b alone are considered, two possible conclusions are:

1- steel wire vibrates longer than iron, and/or,

2- larger diameters vibrate longer than smaller ones.

However, when curves c and d are also considered, the second of these conclusions is ruled out.

(It should be noted here that conclusions #2 and #5 taken together seem to indicate that the steel wire was denser than the iron wire. Since mass per unit length (μ) equals density (ρ) times cross-sectional area (A), i.e., $\mu = \rho A$ or $\rho = \frac{\mu}{A}$, we find that

$$\frac{\mu}{A}_{steel} > \frac{\mu}{A}_{iron}$$

If equal diameter wires are considered, then $A_{steel} = A_{iron}$ and this means that $\mu_{steel} > \mu_{iron}$. Data from Table III neither confirms nor contradicts this.)

6. If the difference in diameter between an iron and steel wire is sufficiently large, the iron wire will vibrate longer than the steel, refer to Figures 34-35.

7. When a given wire vibrates under specified conditions in air and then in a vacuum under these conditions, the decay time is increased by a factor which may be as high as 25, see page 60. This indicates that the energy interactions between the wire and the air have greater relative importance than the energy interactions between the wire and its supports, and they also are greater than energy losses due to internal friction.

Figure 47 is a decay trace of a 0.280 mm diameter iron wire...
vibrating at its fundamental of 120 Hz. Initially the pressure was 100 microns, and at this pressure the projected half-life was about 27 seconds. When air was introduced the wire experienced a rapid decrease in amplitude (loss of energy), and was completely damped in an additional 11 seconds. The half-life of this wire in air was about 3 seconds. Thus it could be reasoned that high vacuum conditions must be used when investigating support problems or internal friction. Otherwise the predominating air interactions would tend to mask the other modes of energy loss.

8. The decay time of a given wire at a given frequency decreased as the supports were made more massive and/or vibrationally isolated.
Recommendations

The following suggestions are offered to those who may wish to investigate the properties of harpsichord wires further:

1. Measure decay time as a function of frequency for wires in varying states of anneal in order to link internal friction to crystal size, shape, etc.

2. Compare decay characteristics for iron and steel wires under high vacuum to further probe support problems and the action of internal friction, i.e. extend the scope of Figure 45 to lower pressures.

3. Make a purely theoretical study of the interactions between air molecules and a moving wire at various pressures. Determine the functional relation between viscous damping and pressure and sound radiation and pressure.

4. Study the characteristics of sound emission from an actual harpsichord, interchanging iron and steel strings. Develop tonal criteria both subjectively and technically.

5. Investigate the possibility of substitution of other wires on the harpsichord. Replace the traditional iron, steel, brass, and bronze with alloys which may more closely duplicate the sound quality of the earlier instruments.

6. Look more closely at the dependence of resonant frequency on pressure. Attempt to develop practical applications.
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APPENDIX A

INTERCRYSTALLINE THERMAL CURRENTS AS A SOURCE OF INTERNAL FRICTION

Clarence Zener and associates did theoretical and experimental work on internal friction in the mid-1930's which culminated in a succession of papers, Zener (8, 9, 10, 17, 18) and a book, Zener (19). Excerpts from some of Zener's work are presented here.

General Theory of Thermoelastic Internal Friction

Stress inhomogeneities in a vibrating body were found to give rise to fluctuations in temperature, and hence to local heat currents. These heat currents increase the entropy of the vibrating solid and thus are a source of internal friction. A general theory of this internal friction was developed and from it formulae were obtained for transversely vibrating wires and reeds. The formulae contain information regarding crystal orientation in single-crystal specimens.

Intercrystalline Thermal Currents

Zener and others designed an experiment to detect the contribution of intercrystalline thermal currents to the internal friction of polycrystalline metals. In accordance with a theory developed by Zener, the internal friction is maximum when the vibration is partly isothermal and partly adiabatic with respect to adjacent grains. By passing in small steps from the nearly isothermal case of very small grain size through maximum internal friction to the nearly adiabatic
case of large grain size, Zener was able to detect the relative importance of the intercrystalline currents. He interpreted the experiment to indicate that in annealed non-ferromagnetic metals at room temperature, intercrystalline thermal currents are the dominant cause of internal friction at small strain, aside from possible macroscopic thermal currents.

Microscopic Thermal Currents

Although Zener's work concerns itself with vibrating metals of a variety of cross-sections, an extension of his findings on intercrystalline thermal currents has been made for the case of transversely vibrating wires.

On a microscopic scale it is assumed that every stressed grain is at a different temperature because of the random orientation of the grains and consequent random stress, i.e., stress inhomogeneity, see Figure 48.

\[ T - \Delta T \]

\[ T + \Delta T \]

Figure 48. Random orientation of grains and microscopic heat flow in a flexing wire.
If the frequency is high and the grain size is large, very little heat flow takes place and the vibration is largely adiabatic. On the other hand, if the frequency is low and the grain size is small, heat transfer will be rapid enough to keep temperatures equal everywhere and the vibration will be nearly isothermal. This is true because a certain time will be required for adjacent grains to reach thermal equilibrium. This time will depend on the mass of the grain, proportional to \(d^3\), and on the area of contact between adjacent grains, proportional to \(d^2\), also on the temperature difference \(\Delta T\) between them. Thus with small grains with large contact areas and small mass, equilibrium is reached quickly, the opposite being true for large grains.
APPENDIX B

REYNOLDS NUMBER CONSIDERATIONS

The Reynolds number is defined as, \( R = \frac{\rho v L}{\epsilon} \) where \( \rho \) is the density of the fluid through which an object with subsonic velocity \( v \) and characteristic dimension \( L \) is moving. \( \epsilon \) is the viscosity of the fluid.

Thus, for a typical harpsichord string vibrating in air at a frequency of 100 Hz,

\[
\rho = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g/cc}
\]

\[
L = 3.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm (a typical diameter)}
\]

\[
\epsilon = 1.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ dyne-sec/cm}^2
\]

A string under these conditions would have a typical amplitude of 1/2 cm. A representative velocity would be when the wire is halfway between its equilibrium position and its maximum displacement. Then the velocity would be

\[
v = \frac{2\pi f A}{2} = \pi f A = 3.14(100)1/2 = 160 \text{ cm/sec}
\]

The Reynolds number has a value of about 35 for this data.

When the Reynolds number is \( \gg 1 \), energy losses are expected to be due primarily to inertial effects and the energy loss as a function of time should be non-exponential, Bachhuber (20). Further, when inertial effects are primarily responsible for energy loss, then the
force on the moving object is proportional to the square of the velocity, \( F \propto v^2 \). The rate of change of energy with time is

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = Fv
\]

thus \( \frac{dE}{dt} = -kv^3 \). Since velocity is proportional to amplitude, \( \frac{dE}{dt} = -kA^3 \), and since energy is proportional to amplitude squared, \( \frac{d(A^2)}{dt} = -kA^3 \). This may be written as

\[
\frac{d(A^2)}{kA^3} = -dt.
\]

Differentiating gives

\[
\frac{2A\,dA}{kA^3} = -dt,
\]

then by integrating, this becomes

\[
\frac{2}{k} \int \frac{dA}{A^2} = -\int dt \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2}{kA} = t + \text{constant}.
\]

A plot of \( 1/A \) vs \( t \) should yield a straight line. Figure 49 is a plot of \( 1/A \) vs \( t \) using data taken from Figure 23. The fact that this graph is not linear seems to be a contradiction. Perhaps Reynolds numbers are not the deciding criteria in this case.

![Figure 49. Reciprocal amplitude vs time for data taken from the decay curve shown in Figure 23.](image-url)