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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to present a descriptive analysis of the active participants in a voluntary association concerned with community development. This analysis will focus upon description and discussion of the socio-demographic characteristics of the active members of the organization. Some of the attitudinal factors which operated to motivate and sustain participation in the organization will also be examined. Before proceeding with discussion of the theoretical background for the study, the community and its history relative to development of the organization will be described.

Evergreen is an unincorporated area lying east and north of Vancouver, Washington, in Clark County, which is presently the fastest growing county in the State of Washington. The Evergreen area has absorbed much of Clark County's growth within the past ten to fifteen years, and has been in a process of rapid transition from rural agricultural area to suburban residential development area, with corresponding increases in both absolute population size and population density. Evergreen is now primarily a residential area--most of its residents work in Vancouver, elsewhere in Clark County, or in Portland, Oregon, just across
the Columbia River. Industrial development has not materialized in Evergreen; the agricultural base is shrinking as the population rises and more land is given over to residential development. The result of these processes is that Evergreen's largest economic concern and employer is its school system.

Not only is the Evergreen School District the largest single economic concern within the area, it is Evergreen's only defining characteristic as a community and is often identified as the community's most pressing problem area. In order to present a picture of Evergreen, it is necessary to discuss how schools are financed in Washington, how problems of school financing are the central core of Evergreen's community concerns, and how these problems are related to Evergreen's economic structure as well as its rapidly increasing population in recent years.

Evergreen, like other school districts in the state, must rely primarily upon property taxes as its sources of operating revenue. This structure necessitates heavy reliance upon annual operating appropriations determined by budget recommendations made by the school board and administration and submitted to local voters in an annual levy election. This method of school financing has become a major political issue in Washington in recent years, with pressure for reform of the state's taxation structure as it relates to school support being at the core of the issue.
Those who seek a change in the present system of school financing would like to see the state assume increased responsibility for school funding with funding to be shifted from the local to the state level. The hope among the reformers is that this shift would work to equalize the amount available to finance schools throughout the state, eliminating disparities in revenue available for education from district to district. The propositions for reform have generally included proposals for new forms of statewide taxation, including imposition of a state income tax, which Washington presently does not have. The income tax issue is an inflammatory one in Washington, and several proposals have been defeated by Washington voters in the past few years. The result of these political processes has been to maintain the annual property tax levy election as the major source of school operating funds. In Washington, a school levy measure may be submitted to district voters a maximum of two times each year.

This form of school funding affects Evergreen and other rapidly growing suburban districts in a unique way. Since Evergreen is primarily a residential district, with some agricultural property and almost no industrial development, it must rely upon residential property for its tax base. Since the assessed valuation of residential property is lower than that of industrial property, Evergreen has a relatively low property tax base upon which to levy property tax
appropriations, which results in the necessity to levy more dollars of tax per unit of assessed property value in order to raise a given amount of revenue. The consequence of this condition in Evergreen has been increased resistance to property tax levy requests and the resulting defeat of the special school levies in 1974 and 1975.

The defeat of these levies has contributed to increasing problems within the Evergreen School District. As the population has continued to grow and the amount of dollars available for schools has decreased through levy defeats, the schools have become overcrowded, the teacher-pupil ratio has increased, and the educational program has suffered as less money is available to hire teachers, buy books and supplies, run school buses, provide school lunches, and support all of the elements of a comprehensive educational program. For example, the district was forced to close four of its twelve elementary schools in 1975-76, to move 9th grade from the junior high schools to the high school, and to make numerous cuts in personnel and program budgets following the defeat of the 1975 special operating levy at the polls.

Given these conditions and their dynamics inherent in state and local politics, Evergreen's citizens have begun to take some action to deal with the concerns of their area. Most of these concerns have their roots in the school dilemma and in the interrelated problems of growth that Evergreen has experienced in the past few years. The Evergreen Community
Organization (hereafter known as ECO), is one of the organizations that has been formed to address the problems of Evergreen, and it is useful to trace its development to the point where the present research about the participants begins.

In the Fall of 1973, a new superintendent was hired by the Evergreen School District. As part of his approach to dealing with the problems relating to school financing in Evergreen, he developed programs that were designed to encourage total community support for schools on a regular basis. Part of this effort included appointment of a levy chairperson who was charged with mobilizing support for school financing on a year round basis, as an alternative to the short-term levy campaign which is held annually. Following the defeat of the 1974 levy in the spring of that year, the first ongoing levy chairperson was appointed.

In developing a more concerted, grassroots approach to citizen involvement in the schools, the levy chairperson met informally with a group of citizens to discuss common concerns. As their discussions progressed, it became increasingly apparent that the problems of school financing in Evergreen were interrelated with a number of other community concerns stemming from rapid growth in the district. This group (calling itself Citizens United for Evergreen, hereafter known as CUE) continued to meet and discuss issues and possible solutions throughout the remainder of 1974; their
discussions included debate about the desirability of forming a citizen's organization to take action on community problems. After deciding that such an organization was indeed desirable, and after much discussion of the form that the organization should take (including discussion of by-laws and other structural issues), a formal charter was approved by the group in the late Fall of 1974.

As CUE members discussed Evergreen's problems and possible solutions through the Fall and Winter of 1974-75, it became increasingly apparent to them that some form of community development program for Evergreen was desirable, given the intensity of the problems faced, the lack of an established community base, and the perceived lack of community spirit and identity. It was at this time that some CUE members learned about the community development program sponsored by the Community Development Division of the University of Washington in Seattle. Consultants from the Division were invited to Evergreen in February of 1975, and the program of consultation which lead to development of the Evergreen Community Organization (ECO) was begun, with CUE being the sponsoring organization for the development of ECO. A significant number of persons hold memberships and are active in both organizations.

The first community meeting with the University of Washington consultants took place in late February, 1975, with about 100 persons present. At this meeting, the
consultants explained the community development program, which is organized into three phases, including: (1) Survey—including development of a questionnaire about issues which was to be administered to every household in the district, (2) Study of the results of the survey and the community issues raised by the survey, and (3) Action on the priority issues as developed by the survey and study phases. As outlined by consultants, the program necessitates involvement by as many citizens as possible throughout all three phases. The persons present at the first meeting were organized into three committees, including: (1) Questionnaire Committee (charged with developing the survey instrument), (2) Boundary Committee (charged with determining the boundaries of the areas to be surveyed and with recruitment of interviewers for the survey), and (3) Communications Committee (charged with publicity about organization activities).

The committees began work immediately; officers and a name for the organization were selected at the next general meeting. Through the Spring of 1975, the questionnaire committee worked to develop a survey instrument with a tentative date for the community-wide survey set for early June. It became apparent in late May that this deadline was not realistic for several reasons: (1) The questionnaire was not as complete or well written as it might have been; (2) The Division of Community Development was running out of money in its fiscal year budget, and would not be refunded until
Therefore, the Division was unable to send the consultants to Vancouver for a period of several weeks through May and June, and the same budget problems made it impossible to print the questionnaire until after July 1;

(3) The organization determined that summer was not a good time for a survey due to people being on vacation and difficulty in recruiting interviewers. For these reasons, it was decided to delay the survey until Fall. The organization therefore changed focus through the summer, devoting itself to raising funds through a variety of projects. These projects included sponsorship of a rock concert for youth, a baked goods sale, and a game booth at the community fair. It should be noted that participation in the organization had dropped through the Spring from the original 80 to 100 persons to an active core of about 20 to 25 persons by the beginning of summer.

The questionnaire committee finished drafting the survey over the summer and it was sent to Seattle for a critique by members of the Division staff. The revised instrument was presented to a general meeting of ECO in mid-September, and training sessions for the interviewers took place in late September. About 100 interviewers had been recruited, instead of the originally projected 600, so the size of the survey area was correspondingly reduced and not every household in the district was surveyed. It should be noted that the survey sample was not drawn scientifically,
so from a pure research perspective, the survey results may be open to various questions of validity. However, the primary purpose of the survey was to generate community involvement and discussion of the issues, rather than the collection of data that is methodologically sound in all respects. The survey was done in early October, and results were sent to Seattle to be tabulated. In early December 1975, the completed survey results were presented to an ECO meeting, and the survey phase of the community development program was completed.

The authors participated as members of ECO throughout its formation and are residents of the Evergreen area. It was decided in early April to proceed with a study of active participants in the organization with a view to describing their social/demographic characteristics and their attitudes relevant to participation in ECO and in community affairs in general. After consultation with the University of Washington community development consultants, with community leaders, and with faculty at Portland State University's School of Social Work and Department of Urban Studies, it was decided that the literature on voluntary associations and participation was a relevant theoretical framework from which to proceed. The rationale for this study, therefore, was related to an interest in describing this group of voluntary association participants in terms of their demographic and social characteristics and their attitudes relevant to participation in
this organization, given the fact that development of such an organization is a relatively recent phenomenon in the Evergreen area.
CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

In order to establish a theoretical framework for this study, a review of literature in the field of voluntary association was undertaken by the researchers. This review will present a conceptual overview of two major components of analysis in the field of voluntary action research; namely, demographic and social characteristics of participants, and attitudinal characteristics related to participation in the voluntary activity under study. The focus of this review will be upon literature that pertains to the development and concerns of the present study.

David Horton Smith (1972) presents the idea of a need for scholars of voluntary action to adopt conceptual frameworks that will link together the various studies in the field. Smith points out that fragmentation has occurred in the knowledge that does exist in the field, and that intensive work is necessary to synthesize the ideas and concepts that have been developed in the field of voluntary action. Smith presents a framework that he considers to be an initial contribution to this effort at synthesis. He describes his efforts as follows:

This framework indicates the major substantive kinds of questions to which voluntary action research and theory are to be mainly directed . . .
These are the overall basic needs for research in voluntary action... The scheme attempts to encompass all major relevant aspects of voluntary action.¹

Smith's argument is based upon his view of the present state of the literature in voluntary action research as being fragmented and chaotic. His work is an attempt to bring order to the "chaos" by developing a comprehensive system of analysis for the field.

Smith begins this effort by distinguishing five main types of voluntary action, each with corresponding types of individual volunteers.

(1) Service-oriented voluntarism is that form of voluntary action that is primarily dedicated to helping others or doing things for others (e.g. Red Cross, court volunteers, hospital volunteers, etc.)

(2) Issue-oriented or cause-oriented voluntarism is that form of voluntary action that is primarily directed at some kind of public issue, usually at making some kind of change in society or the biophysical environment.

(3) Consummatory or self-expressive voluntarism is that form of voluntary action that is primarily aimed at enjoyment of activities for their own sake and for the sake of personal self-expression and self-realization without any major focus on altruism or external goals (country clubs, bowling leagues, etc.)

(4) Occupational/Economic self-interest voluntarism is that form of voluntary action that is primarily aimed at furthering the occupational and/or economic interests of its participants (trade unions, professional associations, businessmen's groups, etc.)

(5) Philanthropic/Funding voluntarism is that form of voluntarism that is primarily aimed at

¹Smith, David Horton (1972a); pp. 10-11.
raising and/or distributing funds to non-profit and voluntary organizations of all kinds in order to further philanthropic purposes in such areas as health, welfare, education, religion, politics, environment, etc.¹

In terms of Smith's typology of voluntarism, it is clear that the object of the present study (ECO) fits with Smith's notion of "issue-oriented or cause-oriented voluntarism". ECO is clearly a social-change oriented voluntary association, given Smith's delineation of characteristics of different forms of voluntarism.

Having outlined the major substantive types of voluntarism in terms of types of goals sought, Smith proceeds to note some of the various social structural forms of voluntarism, or what he terms "system levels" of voluntary action.

(a) The voluntary act is the most basic structural form, referring to some specific act by an individual or group that qualified as voluntary action in terms of earlier definitions.

(b) The voluntary role at the next higher level, refers to a set of normative expectations regarding a series of primary voluntary acts to be performed by some individual or group.

(c) The informal voluntary group is a group that lacks a formal leadership structure, a unique proper name, and clear group boundaries, but that has goals that primarily require its members to perform voluntary roles and acts.

(d) The formal voluntary group is an organized group (having a formal leadership structure, a unique proper name, and clear group boundaries) that has goals primarily requiring its members to perform voluntary roles and acts, and possibly including within it one or more informal voluntary groups.

(e) The voluntary sector of society is the whole

¹Ibid., pp. 1-2
complex of non-governmental, non-commercial groups, organizations and roles within a given society that are aimed at all kinds of substantive voluntary action goals.

(f) A voluntary society is a society that places a high level of reliance on voluntary action as an organizing principle for human behavior, with only a minimum dependence on coercion, compulsion, or remuneration as driving forces for individual or group activity.¹

In terms of Smith's typology of social structural forms of voluntarism, it is clear that the focus of analysis of the present study is upon type (d), the "formal voluntary group." ECO fits the criteria of formal leadership structure, unique proper name, and clear group boundaries, as well as possessing organizational goals. It seems clear that, of the social structural forms of voluntarism delineated by Smith, it is this form that is being studied with regard to ECO. It is important to present another phase of Smith's analytic framework in order to give perspective to the study of participation in ECO. Smith presents a number of conceptual categories for research as a basic part of his efforts to synthesize the ideas and concepts of voluntary action. It is useful to present all of the categories in order to determine where the present study fits into Smith's framework. The elements are:

(a) Definitions, theory, and conceptual issues in voluntary action.
(b) Nature and development of voluntary action from early times to modern society.
(c) History of theory, concepts, and ideas of voluntary action and related topics.
(d) Nature and determinants of the incidence of growth, change, and cessation of voluntary activity.

¹Ibid., p. 2.
in territorially based systems.

(e) Nature and determinants of the incidence, growth, change, and dissolution of voluntary groups and organizations.

(f) Nature and determinants of relationships between voluntary groups and other groups and individual affiliates.

(g) Nature and determinants of the effectiveness of voluntary groups and their impact on social processes, social institutions, the larger society, and the bio-physical environment.

(h) Nature and determinants of the internal structure and functioning of voluntary groups, organizations, and related collectivities.

(i) Nature and determinants of individual voluntary activity and role selection.

(j) Nature and determinants of the impact of voluntary action upon individual participants.

(k) Nature and determinants of the impact of exceptional individuals upon and through voluntary action of various kinds.

(l) The values of voluntary action.

(m) The futures of voluntary action.

(n) Development of methods for studying voluntary action.

(o) Development of voluntary action theory and research as a professional and scholarly field of interdisciplinary study.1

The researchers have presented this summary of Smith's theoretical framework for the study of voluntary action in order to locate and provide perspective for this study within the broad field of voluntary action research and also in order to provide a focus for the study of specific aspects of the organization under investigation. It is important to view the facets of the organization which are under study in their relationship to other aspects of voluntary association research in order to help to maintain a focus of analysis. Given Smith's typologies, it is apparent that the study will

1Ibid., pp. 12-13.
focus upon aspects of the nature and determinants of individual voluntary activity and of the impact upon individual participants ("i" and "j" in Smith's list of major analytical topics) in issue-oriented voluntarism ("2" of Smith's typology of main forms of voluntary action) in a formal voluntary group ("d" of Smith's typology of social structural forms of voluntarism). In other words, ECO is a formal voluntary organization with an orientation to issues (community planning and problem solving), and the focus of the study is upon a descriptive analysis of the participants in the organization in terms of social characteristics and attitudes toward participation in ECO.

Smith points out that the establishment of a conceptual framework is a necessary step in the development of voluntary action theory because of the diversity of disciplines involved in the field, the lack of intercommunication between scholars in these fields, and the "general lack of commitment of scholars in various disciplines and countries to the whole field of voluntary action research." Furthermore, Smith sees need for synthesis and summary of the ideas and concepts of voluntary action as being of "prime importance both for the process of voluntary action research itself as a field of inquiry and also for the application of such scientific knowledge to ongoing voluntary action." He argues that such a

1Ibid., p. 3.
2Ibid., p. 5.
process will facilitate the linkage of theory and practice in the field of voluntary action.

Smith's view of the necessity for organization of the research in voluntary action led to his 1972 book,¹ a major work in the field that is directed toward summary and synthesis of the research on voluntary action. This book provided a valuable reference source in the development of the present research project, and the authors utilized Smith's framework extensively as a guide to further research in the areas under investigation. Given the perspective that the major foci of the present study lie in the areas of social characteristics and attitudinal dynamics of participants in ECO, it is possible to present the findings from the literature that the researchers found to be most relevant to the topic of this study.

SOCIAL BACKGROUND-DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS

Payne, Payne, and Reddy,² writing in *Voluntary Action Research: 1972*, postulate several clusters of social background factors that are essential to the study of participation in voluntary organizations. An outline of these clusters follows.

¹Smith (1972); *Voluntary Action Research: 1972*.

(1) LIFE CYCLE STAGE

A. Age
B. Marital Status
C. Number of Children
D. Age of Children

(2) SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS

A. Income
B. Education
C. Occupational Status
D. Family or Lineage Status
E. Home Ownership

(3) SOCIO-PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

A. Sex
B. Race
C. Ethnicity
D. Personal Health
E. Physical Abilities

(4) FORMAL ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ROLES

A. Occupation and Work
B. Religion
C. Politics
D. School Affiliation (if attending)

(5) INTERPERSONAL ROLES AND EXPERIENCES

A. "Significant-Other" Influences
B. Informal Relations With:
   1. Parents
   2. Neighbors
   3. Friends from various contexts
   4. Relatives
   5. Spouse
   6. Children

(6) RESIDENTIAL MOBILITY AND LENGTH OF TIME RESIDENT IN A GIVEN COMMUNITY

Payne et al. proceed to examine some literature which addresses the various issues studied by researchers in voluntary action. A summary of these studies, as well as some others found by the present researchers in their investigation of
the literature, is presented here under the topics of social background factors as developed by Payne et al. and presented above.

**Life Cycle Stage**

**Age:** Payne et al. cite a study by Mayo (1950) which they consider to be the best study relating age and participation in voluntary associations. Mayo studied participation rates for each person over ten years of age in the farm families of a South Carolina county and noted an increase in participation from age 10-14 to age 15-19. However, Mayo and others, including Argyle (1959), Schuyler (1959), and Wilensky (1961), note a sharp decline in participation rate in the years between 20 and 30, finding this age range to have the lowest rate of all age ranges studied.

From these lows, participation rebounds in the middle years of life, with the peak levels generally occurring in the middle 40's (plus or minus 10 years), with most researchers finding membership and participation increasing throughout the middle years. A study which is representative of these age findings is that by Goldhamer (1942).

**Marital Status:** Marital Status has been examined as a factor in voluntary organization participation by several researchers. Almost without exception, these studies have shown that married persons have higher rates of both membership and participation in voluntary associations than those who are widowed, separated, or divorced. Representative
studies showing this pattern are those by Goldhamer (1942), Hunter and Maurice (1953), Bell and Force (1956a), Scott (1957), Wright and Hyman (1958), Hausknecht (1962), Babchuk and Thompson (1962), Spiro (1968) and Booth and Babchuk (1969).

An interesting finding by Babchuk and Gordon (1962) indicates that a positive relationship exists between being unmarried and the assumption of leadership roles within voluntary organizations.

**Number of Children:** Number of Children of participants has been related to participation variables by a number of investigators, but the evidence regarding this variable is not entirely clear. In general, the more children a parent has, the more likely it is that he or she will join associations and participate in them. Several studies have found this generalization to hold, including those by Goldhamer (1942), Schmidt and Rohrer (1956), Scott (1957), Wright and Hyman (1958), Devereux (1960), Babchuk and Gordon (1962), and Spiro (1968). However, there are some studies that indicate that memberships may decline gradually when the number of children exceeds two, as found by Scott (1957) and Lazerwitz (1962). Other research indicates no relationships between number of children a parent has and his or her participation in voluntary organizations, including studies by Lazerwitz (1961) and Babchuk (1965). It appears to be difficult to generalize from this often conflicting data, although the evidence seems to indicate that participation in voluntary
organizations is most likely when a parent has one or two children.

**Age of Children:** Payne et al. point out that very little research has investigated the relationships between participation rates of parents and age of children. However, the studies which have examined this dimension of social characteristics of participants have indicated that having all children of school age favors higher membership and participation rates. This finding was borne out in studies by Schmidt and Rohrer (1956), Lazerwitz (1961), Spiro (1968), and Harry (1970). Harry (1970) and Schmidt and Rohrer (1956) also found that having preschool children has a greater influence on wives than on husbands, acting generally to reduce levels of associational involvement.

Payne et al. summarize this section by discussing life cycle stage as a complex of variables.

In general, membership and participation in voluntary associations . . . are formally possible and begin after about age eight . . . in adult directed and dominated groups . . . Although evidence is somewhat scanty, it seems that rates of membership and participation grow steadily from age eight until after graduation from high school or college . . . After individuals have left school, there appears to be a notable decline in individual membership and participation, especially for those who marry and are in the process of starting both their families and their occupational careers. Thus, during this period between age twenty and age thirty, membership and participation fall to a relatively low level. From this period on, married individuals tend to exhibit higher rates of involvement than the non-married. Having children and especially having children of school age seems to increase these rates of adult membership and participation. Rates of membership, participation, and leadership once more reach a peak in the middle years (50-60) but begin
to decline steadily and with generally increasing rapidity as age increases, as children reach the later stages of adolescence and young adulthood, and as retirement approaches and is reached. It would seem that the highest rates of instrumental voluntary action are especially likely to occur during this high participation ("middle-age") stage of the life cycle.

Finally, as membership, participation, and leadership rates in organized (and instrumental) voluntary action decline in later stages of the life cycle, religious and other expressive (and especially sociability) activities come to predominate. ¹

Socioeconomic Status

The second major cluster of social background factors discussed and reviewed by Payne et al. with regard to influence on participation in voluntary associations is that of socioeconomic status, including the following variables.

Income: Payne et al. point out that the most salient generalization relating to this variable is that higher income is markedly associated with greater membership, participation, and leadership in voluntary associations. They cite a number of United States studies in which these findings hold consistently, including those by Mather (1941), Komarovsky (1946), Reid and Ehle (1950), Uzzell (1953), Reissman (1954), Axelrod (1956), Wright and Hyman (1958), Babchuk and Thompson (1962), Hodge and Treiman (1968), and others. Payne et al. summarize their findings on income and its relationship to voluntary association as follows.

In general, higher participation rates, in terms of both numbers of memberships and intensity of involvement, are found to be associated with higher

¹Ibid., p. 214.
income levels, particularly among persons with more education. However, there is some indication that participation rates may drop off somewhat at extremely high income levels.

Higher income is seen as the means with which persons can afford membership fees and other costs of joining and participating. Even more significantly, higher income usually implies eligibility for a wider variety of special and general interest groups, as well as being associated with higher educational and occupational status, which in turn tend to affect participation. An additional important relationship between income and participation in voluntary organizations suggested by these studies is that participation may itself directly or indirectly enhance income by providing contacts with potential customers, clients, and associates. Hence, there are some suggestions that higher income may be both (sic) a cause, a consequence, and a correlate of individual participation in organized voluntary action. There are no longitudinal studies we know of to settle this question.1

**Education:** Payne et al. observe that the major generalization to be made concerning this variable is that "participation in voluntary formal organizations is strongly related to level of education, with higher levels of education being associated with both more extensive and intensive involvement."2 This relationship has been reported in a wide range of samples in the United States, including studies by Anderson (1938), Mather (1941), Goldhamer (1942), Komarovsky (1946), Freedman and Axelrod (1952), Wright and Hyman (1958), Zimmer and Hawley (1959), Hausknecht (1962), Erbe (1964), Hagedorn and Labowitz (1967), Hodge and Treiman (1968), Spiro (1968), Hyman and Wright (1971), Smith (1972) and others.

Payne et al. state that major national surveys of voluntary associations membership in the United States (the American Institute of Public Opinion survey of 1954 and the National Opinion Research Center survey of 1955) have found that 75% of the respondents having a college education had memberships in associations compared with 60% of those who had attended high school only, and about 40% of those with an elementary school education only. The foregoing results were reported by Hausknecht (1962). Payne et al. state that subsequent studies have found broadly similar differences in participation by educational levels. D.H. Smith summarizes the explanations of these phenomena, confirming the hypothesis that more educated people participate more because of (a) greater access to voluntary associations at their higher socioeconomic levels, (b) greater ability to understand and be committed to abstract goals, (c) greater understanding of and familiarity with organizational operation, and (d) greater self-confidence, social confidence, etc. (Smith, D.H., 1972)

Finally, the authors discuss differences in the types of organizations to which people belong as a function of the extent of formal education. Studies by Hagedorn and Labovitz (1967), Scott (1957), and Axelrod (1956) have shown that "more educated people tend to participate more in professional, business, civic, educational, scientific, cultural, social service, and political groups. Less educated persons tend to participate more in labor unions, sports and recreational groups, hobby clubs, fraternal lodges, and other kinds of
groups with rather concrete and immediate goals, whether instrumental or consummatory.\textsuperscript{1} In terms of Smith's earlier typology of kinds of voluntary associations, it appears that people with more formal education tend to become involved in issue-oriented or cause-oriented voluntarism more than do persons with less formal education.

**Occupational Status:** With respect to this variable, Payne et al. found that "higher levels of occupational status have been found to be positively related to higher rates of membership and participation across the range of sample types from rural community studies to national sample surveys in the United States."\textsuperscript{2} This generalization is upheld by evidence from studies by Anderson (1938), Chapin (1939), Warner and Lunt (1941), Goldhamer (1942), Komarovsky (1946), Reissman (1954), Foskett (1955), Nolan (1956), Scott (1957), Wright and Hyman (1958), Devereux (1960), Babchuk and Gordon (1962), Hausknecht (1962), Hagedorn and Labovitz (1967), Hodge and Treiman (1968), Spiro (1968), Hyman and Wright (1971), and Smith (1972), among others.

Other general findings reported by Payne et al. include the following: (1) Virtually all studies report that individuals in the lower socioeconomic groups tend to have few or no affiliations except religious affiliations. (2) The working class or blue collar worker is likely to belong to one or

\textsuperscript{1} Ibid., p. 216.

\textsuperscript{2} Ibid.
no more than two formal organizations. Evidence for this finding comes from studies by Cousens (1964), Wright and Hyman (1958), Hausknecht (1964) and Lynd and Lynd (1929).

(3) Working class individuals usually belong to different types of organizations than do members of the middle and upper classes. They are most likely to participate in those organizations that stress "practical and personal benefits, and direct action . . . in contrast to most formal organizations whose goals are abstract and intangible."¹ (4) Many working-class persons may have sufficient income and not have access to certain formal voluntary organizations nor interest in many that are open to them.

Cousens (1964) found that in the area he studied, only 17.5% of parents belonged to school-related organizations that were open to all parents. He found that parents who did not participate valued education and wanted good education for their children, but had no desire to participate in school clubs for the following reasons:

(a) Because of their own limited education, these parents are unable to translate, without help, their concern, interest, and aspirations for their children into the type of abstract program and activity provided by the school clubs.

(b) Because of their limited exposure and experience with formal associations, they are reluctant or inadequate to assume the initiative in seeking out the club in order to become a member.

(c) Attendance at school meetings may be frustrating and unrewarding if the program is above their level of understanding or if they are not received with much warmth, interest, or cordiality at the school.

¹Ibid., p. 217.
(d) The club leadership may be inadequate in meeting the needs of the membership through the activities which are sponsored.\(^1\)

Continuing with their discussion, Payne et al. point out several more dimensions of the issue of occupational status as it related to participation in voluntary associations. They note that: (5) The middle-class person is expected by society and by the nature of their occupational roles to have more memberships in voluntary organizations because such organizations provide occupational and professional development opportunities. (6) Also, socioeconomic status (class) has been found to affect the social participation of the upper class professional and managerial couples, who most frequently approximate the popular notion of "togetherness" by their frequent joint participation in church and recreational organizations (Adams and Butler, 1967). (7) One national study of urban married women found participation in voluntary associations to differ by socioeconomic class, with the definition of the role of wife being the significant variable. The working class wife was more likely to have a conflict between housework and active membership. In the upper middle class, the role of "wife" appears to be more compatible with voluntary group membership (Slater, 1960).

Furthermore, as Payne et al. observe: "organizational participation may be recognized by many as the means of vertical social mobility. At the same time, membership in certain

\(^1\)Ibid.
organizations may identify persons as belonging to given social classes, thereby serving a labeling or stereotyping function . . . a given type of membership may be seen as an aspect of either achieved or ascribed status . . . "

Finally, as Ross (1972) found, participation in formal voluntary organizations or community groups may be required as company policy, or as a requirement, explicit or implicit, of a given job role.

**Parental Family:** In reviewing the literature on this subject, Payne et al. generalize that "while both the individual's current socioeconomic status and his parental family or lineage status have been found related positively to higher individual rates of membership and participation, the former tends to be a better predictor. However, for children and for those youths and young adults not yet earning their own livings, parental family or lineage status is the only meaningful and available measure of the individual's own socioeconomic status." Higher parental family or lineage status is, in general, positively associated with higher rates of organized voluntary group membership, participation, and leadership, as evidenced by studies by Coleman (1961), and Baeumler (1965).

**Home Ownership:** Home ownership has consistently been

1. Ibid., p. 218.
2. Ibid., p. 219.
found to be related to higher rates of membership and participation in voluntary associations by such researchers as Mather (1941), Anderson and Ryan (1943), Scott (1957), Wright and Hyman (1958), Devereux (1960), Babchuk and Gordon (1962), Babchuk and Thompson (1962), and Spiro (1968). Payne et al. comment upon the findings with regard to this variable.

Part of the explanation probably lies in this factor's close association with other elements of socioeconomic status. But some of the explanation probably also is associated with length of residence in the community, since it has been shown that persons of longer residence are higher participators than very recent immigrants (Martin; 1952). The element of residential instability, as related to owning vs. renting, is perhaps also involved here. Renting makes for more residential mobility, which interrupts patterns of affiliation, and causes a person to spend more time as a newcomer to the community, thus reducing participation even if all other factors are held constant.¹

Socio-Physical Characteristics

The third major social background factor in the framework presented by Payne et al. is that of "socio-physical" characteristics, including the following variables.

**Sex:** The reviewers observe that most studies relating sex to membership and participation in voluntary associations have found men to have more membership and participation than women, in the United States. This finding is represented in studies by Lynd and Lynd (1929), Bell (1938), Warner and Lunt (1941), Goldhamer (1942), Komarovsky (1946), Freedman and Axelrod (1952), Bell and Force (1956b), Scott (1957), Wright and Hyman (1958), and others.

¹Ibid
Types of memberships are consistently reported to vary by sex. Women belong to more religious organizations and to more service-oriented voluntarism, according to Schuyler (1959), Lazerwitz (1961), and others. Payne et al. state that this dynamic is changing, noting that "the increase in urbanization, in the number of working women, in the impact of the women's liberation movement, and in civil rights legislation, can be expected to increase the rate of membership and active participation of women in those organizations avoided or not accessible to women in the past."\(^1\)

**Race:** The second variable discussed within the socio-physical cluster is that of race. The most salient findings reported in this section indicate that when educational and social class factors are controlled, blacks are more likely than are whites to be active participants in voluntary associations (Orum, 1966). Olsen (1970) replicated Orum's findings and found that voluntary participation rates for American blacks (Cincinnati) on a wide range of indices rose substantially when socioeconomic status and age were controlled.

**Formal Organizational Affiliations and Roles**

**Work and Occupations:** Payne, Payne, and Reddy note that studies have rarely investigated the relationships between work and occupational roles and voluntary group membership and participation, nor has a systematic attempt been made to

\(^1\) *Ibid.*
study rates and patterns of participation by and across occupations. They see this area as an important area of analysis in the study of voluntary association participation.

Religion: Payne et al. discuss the fact that studies have generally shown that Catholics have been found to have lower non-religious voluntary group participation rates than other religious groups, as demonstrated by studies done by Warner and Lunt (1941), Goldhamer (1942), Komarovsky (1946), Scott (1957), Wright and Hyman (1958), Babchuk and Gordon (1962), and others. However, Catholics have been found to have higher rates of religious participation than do Protestants (Cowhig and Schnore, 1962) and Jews have usually been found to have the highest rates of membership and participation in non-religious organizations (Goldhamer, 1942 and Wright and Hyman, 1958).

Hausknecht's (1962) analysis of national sample survey data suggests that the main variation between religious groups is in the type of non-religious voluntary associations in which members of major religious groups participate. Hausknecht reports that far more Catholics join veterans, patriotic, and military associations than Protestants; that Protestants join civic and service organizations slightly more than Catholics; and that more Protestants than Catholics join economic, occupational, and professional organizations.

Political Affiliation: Payne et al. summarize the findings in the literature with regard to this variable by stating that "there is substantial evidence in studies both in the
United States and in other countries that political activity is associated with membership and participation in non-political voluntary associations.\textsuperscript{1} Evidence for this conclusion is drawn from studies by Coser (1951), Hastings (1954), Maccoby (1958), Dahl (1961) and others.

\textbf{Interpersonal Roles}

Another major cluster of social background factors influencing participation in voluntary associations is termed by Payne et al. as "interpersonal roles." They state that studies by Katz and Lazarsfeld (1955), Jacoby (1966) and Booth and Babchuk (1969) "have found important associations between voluntary group memberships or participation and various personal influence patterns and processes. These studies have found that most often people join and become involved in voluntary associations because they are personally asked and encouraged to do so rather than through more impersonal means, even though mass media may provide a responsive attitudinal background to be triggered by a personal request."\textsuperscript{2}

Evidence indicates that spouses are especially influential upon each other's membership and participation roles in the voluntary organization setting. For example, one spouse may participate at the urging of the other, or the

\textsuperscript{1}\textit{Ibid.}, p. 226.
\textsuperscript{2}\textit{Ibid.}, p. 228.
decision to participate may be a mutual one. This influence is pointed out in studies by Anderson (1946), Babchuk (1965), Hodge and Treiman (1968), Adams and Butler (1967), Harry (1970), and Smith (1972). Babchuk (1965) and Adams and Mogey (1967) note the special influence of the husband in settings where both spouses participate together.

Furthermore, having a large number of friends and being subject to their influence (Scott, 1957; Devereux, 1960; and Babchuk and Thompson, 1962), knowing a large number of neighbors (Smith, 1972), and being involved with fellow workers (Spinrad, 1960) have been other factors found to be associated with higher rates of membership and participation in voluntary groups.

Migration and Length of Residence

The final major cluster of social background factors discussed by Payne et al. includes the variables of migration experience and length of residence in a given community. They assert that these variables "may have a direct influence on social relationships and social participation, since those moving to new neighborhoods, communities, or regions find themselves having to establish new social relations and to join those local groups they are attracted to. This process takes time and the newcomer lags behind longer-time residents. Such is the rationale for the inclusion of measures of migration and length of residence in studies of voluntary
participation."¹

Studies of communities have generally found that lower rates of membership and participation may be expected for newcomers to a community during the first five years of their residence (Kaufman, 1949; Martin, 1952; Zimmer, 1955, 1956; Devereux, 1960; Babchuk and Thompson, 1962; Jitodai, 1965, and Spiro, 1968). Payne et al. point out that "deviant cases may be expected, especially when an organization is the unit of analysis . . . For example, Babchuk and Gordon's investigation of a neighborhood improvement council (1962) revealed that although longer residence favored membership, newer residents of the area tended toward more frequent attendance and were more likely to assume leadership roles."²

Another finding to note with regard to length of residence is Spiro's finding (1968) that length of residence in a neighborhood is a better measure of membership and participation than is length of residence in the city.

Implications of Social Characteristics
Findings For The Study

As noted earlier in this review, one of the purposes of the present investigation was to describe the participants in the Evergreen Community Organization in terms of several dimensions of social characteristics of demographic variables. The framework of analysis presented by Payne, Payne,

¹Ibid., p. 229.
²Ibid.
and Reddy as outlined proved to be a comprehensive method of organization for the findings in the literature that became the theoretical bases for the social background issues under investigation in the present survey. The framework presented by Payne, Payne, and Reddy enabled the organization of findings relating to the demographic and social characteristics of participants in voluntary organizations into a topical outline that is comprehensive in its scope and flexible enough to provide the beginnings of the kind of integrative conceptual research that David Horton Smith argues is necessary to the further development of voluntary action research. It is possible to utilize this outline not only to organize a review of the literature in this field, but also to generate thought about areas of investigation that should be addressed in any survey of social characteristics of participants in voluntary associations.

ATTITUDINAL AND MOTIVATIONAL DYNAMICS OF PARTICIPATION IN VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATIONS

This section of the review of literature will focus upon findings from the literature which the researchers deemed to be germane to the development of areas of investigation related to attitudinal and motivational characteristics of the population studied with regard to participation in ECO. The literature selected for inclusion in this survey was that which the authors determined to be most relevant to the concerns addressed in the present research project, and
to those findings which influenced the development of the research instrument.

Mulford and Klonglan, in their analysis of attitude factors relating to individual participation in voluntary organizations, state that "attitudes may be regarded as predispositions to respond, as anticipatory responses to people, organizations, and situations." This definition is accepted as a useful perspective by the authors of the present study. Furthermore, Mulford and Klonglan focus their discussion upon two issues that they consider central to an analysis of formal voluntary organizations. These two issues are: "(1) the correlation between attitudes and affiliation, and (2) between attitudes and participation in formal voluntary organizations."

Given these two central issues, Mulford and Klonglan proceed to review the findings associated with each issue, a framework which has been found to be useful in organizing this review. Before proceeding with the review, it should be noted that Mulford and Klonglan point out that "our knowledge of why people participate in FVOs (formal voluntary


2Ibid., p. 251.

3Ibid., p. 252.
organizations) is still quite modest.\footnote{Ibid.} They discuss Beal's (1956) study which noted that most variables utilized in participation research have been of a "static" nature, including the social background or demographic characteristics reviewed earlier in this presentation. Beal referred to these variables as "static" because he saw them as variables which could not be readily changed by a social action professional, a member, or a leader. His study of participation in farmers' cooperatives was one of the first to emphasize both static and dynamic variables and his major finding was that dynamic (attitudinal) variables were more predictive of participation than were social background factors. Therefore, Beal argued for causative models utilizing many variables with analysis focused upon linkages between these variables in predicting participation in FVOs. Mulford and Klonglan point out that Beal's suggestions were largely unheeded and that the need for research which deals with both social background and attitudinal (static and dynamic) variables is pressing. The present study is an effort at a descriptive analysis utilizing both types of variables.

**Attitudes as Correlates of Affiliation**

Beal's 1956 study of participation in farmers' cooperatives, one of the first to deal with attitudinal variables, found that the following factors were significantly related to participation:
(1) Understanding of cooperative principles.
(2) Satisfaction with the cooperative.
(3) "Having a say" in cooperative affairs.
(4) Knowledge of the cooperative.
(5) Feeling responsible to the cooperative.
(6) Identification with the cooperative.
(7) Feeling the cooperative is 'their agent'.
(8) Feeling the cooperative is acceptable in terms of the group's general value system.

Harp (1959) developed three hypotheses that were tested and supported with the original Beal data. The hypotheses were:

(1) Participation varies directly with satisfaction, (2) Satisfaction varies directly with understanding, and (3) Participation is directly related to understanding.

Findings by Downing (1957) and Scott (1953) show that attitudes indicating a feeling of necessity for the existence of a specific FVO are correlated with participation. In other words, people will be more likely to participate when they feel that it is necessary for the organization to exist to serve some purpose. Also, with reference to Beal's study, his findings related to "having a say", or one's perceptions about his or her power to influence the social structure, has continued to interest researchers.

Attitudes Toward the Community

The variable of participants' attitude toward the community has been studied by several researchers. Mulford and Klonglan indicate that Devereux (1960) "found that identification with the community and community evaluation were related to participation in FVOs and to political participation . . . those who participate in many FVOs are most
likely to state that they do this because it is a duty to the community. High participators are more likely to be interested in local and national affairs. Low participators are more likely to be 'localite' in their orientations, often uninterested in either local or national affairs.  

Some researchers have investigated the relationship between the sense of community obligation and participation. For example, Nelson et al. (1969) developed a scale to measure the attitude "commitment to solve community problems." Mulford and Klorglan suggest that "middle-class citizens who participate in FV0s tend to do so because of their favorable attitudes toward the community and because of their sense of obligation to their community."  

In a related study, Sills (1957) found that volunteers who participated in the March of Dimes stated that they received: (1) satisfaction from being able to accomplish short-term goals they helped to set, (2) a change to put into practice organizational skills possessed, and (3) satisfaction from creativity.

The Relative Importance of General and Specific Attitudes  

David H. Smith's (1966) study of membership and participation in associations in Santiago, Chile is one of the few studies which has utilized simultaneously socioeconomic,

\[1\text{Ibid.}, \text{p. 256.}\]
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attitudinal, and personality dimensions. Mulford and Klonglan point out that Smith obtained measures of general and specific attitudes relevant to FVOs, finding that general and specific FVO attitudes were the most important discriminators of FVO members from non-members.

The general FVO-relevant attitudes that discriminated between members and matched eligible non-members were: (1) general obligation to participate in FVOs, (2) general FVO instrumental value, (3) formal group preference, and (4) service orientation to leisure time. Members were also found to be more involved in informal relations and church attendance than non-members.

Specific FVO relevant attitude scales that discriminated significantly between members and eligible non-members were: (1) commitment to the specific FVO, (2) perceived efficacy of the specific FVO to achieve its goals, (3) felt obligation to participate in the FVO, (4) attractiveness of the FVO, (5) significant outside personal support for the FVO, (6) perceived personal fit with the FVO, (7) friendliness with people in the FVO, and (8) whether the person was influenced or recommended to join the specific FVO.

In his later study of eight Massachusetts towns, Smith (1972) utilized these attitudinal variables and found the same general FVO attitude variables to be important in discriminating between membership and participation levels of persons. Smith was one of the first researchers to outline attitudinal variables along general and specific FVO lines of investigation.

Attitudes as Correlates of FVO Participation Among Members

Mulford and Klonglan discuss "effectiveness of the organization" as a variable which is associated with attitudes

1Ibid., p. 259.
of members regarding organizational participation. They point out that this variable has been largely neglected by researchers and they argue for further study of the relationships between members' attitudes and organizational effectiveness. There are, however, a few studies which have examined this important dimension.

Attitudes Associated with Continued and Active Participation. Harp and Cummings (1968) and Davis (1961) have studied the activity and survival of small discussion groups. Their conclusions were that homogeneity of attitudes probably makes for participation and continuity in expressive type organizations, but that diversity of attitudes probably makes for continuity of participation in instrumental type groups. In a related finding, Brooks et al. (1969) stated that when members of formal voluntary organization have the attitude that the organization's goals are intangible and the roles of members are ambiguous, goal displacement is likely to occur. In other words, intangible goals and ambiguous roles lead members to leave the organization.

Smith's (1956) study (cited earlier) discriminated active from inactive members of several FVOs by utilizing general and specific FVO-relevant attitudes, personality dimensions and socioeconomic factors. Smith's findings with regard to this issue are summarized by Mulford and Klonglan:

General FVO-relevant attitudes that significantly discriminated active from inactive FVO members were: (1) a general obligation to participate in FVOs, (2) a general perception of the instrumental value of
FVOs, (3) formal group preference, and (4) a service orientation to leisure time.

Smith found that eight specific FVO-relevant attitudes discriminated active from inactive members: (1) perceived rewards for participation, (2) perceived social support within the FVO, (3) commitment, (4) perceived attractiveness, (5) felt obligation to participate, (6) perceived personal fit with the FVO, (7) perceived efficacy of the specific FVO, and (8) outside significant-other support for participation in the FVO.¹

Internal Communication and Decentralized Power as Requisites to Activity and Effectiveness

Several researchers have developed hypotheses about members' attitudes toward internal functioning of FVOs. In general, the finding has been that high degrees of internal communication and decentralized or shared power will lead to active and effective FVOs. Several studies of local Leagues of Women Voters have been used to generate this finding. For example, Likert (1961) found the following attitudes to be characteristic of active and effective local Leagues: (1) pressure to participate comes from self or other members, not from officers, (2) feelings that members have influence, and (3) feelings that boards keep them informed and that officers are interested in them.

Smith and Brown (1964) have also studied the activity and effectiveness of local Leagues, finding that decentralization of control and total control are both correlated with activity and effectiveness. Decentralized control means that members at each level feel they have power, while total control scores for each League were developed by adding the

¹Ibid., p. 261.
amount of perceived control across all levels.

Copp (1964) studied the dimension of loyalty to the organization (farmers' cooperatives, in this case) as an attitudinal factor crucial to participation. Loyalty is considered to be members' willingness to remain in the FVO. Copp found that loyalty predicted these aspects of continued member participation: (1) compulsion to recruit others, (2) influence a member feels he has in the cooperative, (3) acceptance of cooperative doctrine, and (4) satisfaction with cooperative doctrine. Copp found loyalty to be a better predictor of continued activity than knowledge or prior participation.

Inducements, Contributions, and Continued Participation

Mulford and Klonglan introduce this section by noting their previous observations that many people are led to affiliate with FVOs because they feel they may personally profit. They proceed to develop a review of studies of what they consider to be "one of the most promising theoretical developments (in voluntary action research on attitudes)... the implications of inducements/contributions hypotheses."¹ Phillips (1969) developed and tested two inducement/contributions hypotheses while studying FVO participation. These hypotheses were: "(1) greater FVO participation will be associated with a greater number of positive feelings, and (2) the

¹Ibid., p. 263.
greater the extent of happiness (positive feelings minus negative ones), the greater will be the degree of participation and contribution in FVOs.¹ Both of these hypotheses were supported by Phillips' findings and the correlation between the number of positive feelings and participation holds for all social classes.

In 1967, Warner and Heffernan conducted what they termed a benefit-participation contingency study with voluntary farmer organizations. Their findings suggest that it is not the absolute number of benefits of participation that is significant, but rather it is the ratio of benefits to contributions with regard to participation that is most salient. Warner and Heffernan favor an "exchange theory" model of study of voluntary associations, emphasizing such elements of organizational analysis as: (1) non-benefit factors (duties, coercion, commitment, etc.), (2) costs of participation, and (3) alternatives available to members, with the emphases on analyses at both the individual member and the organizational levels.

A final dimension of analysis with regard to this section is the observation by Tannenbaum and Backman (1966) that those who are most active in FVOs are likely to hold attitudes that are uniform. They argue that "attitude uniformity" is one measure or aspect of "groupness" as defined by Smith (1967). Tannenbaum and Backman found that, in their

¹Ibid.
study, officers tended to be most uniform in attitudes, followed by active members, with inactive members showing the least uniformity of attitudes.

Mulford and Klonglan conclude their efforts at a review of the literature by summarizing the major FVO-relevant attitude types which they found in their research. They distinguish broadly between general and specific FVO-relevant attitudes as follows: "General attitudes are thought to apply across a broad range of voluntary action settings and related social situations; and they are distinguished from specific attitudes concerning and centering on a particular FVO."

General FVO-Relevant Attitudes: Mulford and Klonglan point out that many of the suggested types of general FVO-relevant attitudes have been largely ignored by researchers, including (1) attitudes regarding the influence of one's "significant others", and (2) dimensions of personal experience with FVOs in general. Several researchers, however, have studied attitudes that focus on a personal sense of relation to FVOs in general, and on an individual's attitudes toward organized activities. Mulford and Klonglan cite studies by Wilson (1954), Freeman et al. (1957), Jesser (1967), and Schwirian and Helfrich (1968) which report moderate to strong relationships between FVO activity and attitudes indicative of a personal sense of relation to FVOs in general—usually emphasizing presence of attitudes relating

\[1\text{Ibid.}\]
to a general commitment to organized voluntary action or to the community.

Specific FVO-Relevant Attitudes. Mulford and Klonglan note that "specific attitudes have received relatively more attention by researchers, especially those related to FVO characteristics and personal experience with the specific FVO." They summarize research related to specific FVO-relevant attitudes.

Smith (1966), Beal (1956), Harp (1959), Downing (1957), and Scott (1953) found strong relationships between FVO characteristics (usually, stated need, effectiveness, personal fit, and attractiveness) and affiliation. Smith (1966) also found strong relationships between others' specific FVO influence and affiliation . . . Beal (1966), Smith (1966), Cooper (1961), and Larson and Cottan (1961) have found strong relationships between specific attitudes that focus on personal experiences related to the FVO and affiliation with that FVO.

Beal (1956), Smith (1966), Copp (1964), and Sills (1957) obtained strong relationships between FVO affiliation and specific attitudes which focus upon a personal sense of relation (commitment) to the specific FVO. Smith (1966), Sills (1957), and Devereux (1960) reported strong relationships between the individual's attitudes toward his activities in a specific FVO and participation in that FVO.

Smith (1966) found a strong relationship between eight specific attitudes and continued, active participation: (1) rewards for participation, (2) social support, (3) commitment, (4) attractiveness, (5) obligation to participate, (6) personal fit with FVO, (7) efficacy of specific FVO to achieve its goals, and (8) outside significant-other support for the FVO.¹

Mulford and Klonglan conclude their discussion by making recommendations for further research utilizing a

¹Ibid., pp. 267-68.
systems approach that "would allow us to incorporate existing data and knowledge with additional required data in a meaningful manner, including such inputs as (1) socioeconomic variables, (2) attitudes, (3) personality dimensions, and (4) the degree of selectivity used to recruit members."¹

Also, Mulford and Klonglan suggest that "future research which focuses upon interorganizational relations could consider the degree to which members of FVOs hold homogenous attitudes with regard to themselves, the role of FVOs in their community and attitudes toward their community."²

SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW

The authors of this study have selected two major areas of investigation with regard to the study of participation in the Evergreen Community Organization: sociodemographic characteristics of participants, and attitudinal dynamics of participation in community affairs in general and in ECO as a specific organization. While much of the material presented in the foregoing review is not directly related to participation in a community organization, the authors believe that much of the literature relating to the field of participation in voluntary associations is generic and therefore applicable to the study of participants in a wide-range of voluntary associations. Most of the voluntary action research is, as

¹Ibid., p. 270.
²Ibid., p. 271.
pointed out by Smith and by Mulford and Klonglan, specific to the study of a given organization, and this study is no exception. Smith's book is the first major attempt to organize voluntary action research into a comprehensive body available to researchers and scholars in the field.

Given the overview of literature pertaining to the concerns and foci of the present research, it was possible for the authors to develop a conception of the areas of research that were most relevant to a descriptive study of the participants in the Evergreen Community Organization and to proceed with development of the research instrument.
CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

The methods employed to select and describe the population under study will be indicated and discussed in this section. Topics to be considered include a description of the process used to select the population of "active" ECO members to be studied. Development of the interview schedule, issues of interviewer reliability, pre and post-interview contact with respondents, and methods employed in the analysis of the data will be described and examined.

The population studied included all "active" members of the Evergreen Community Organization (with a few exceptions, which will be discussed later). It was therefore necessary to establish criteria for the definition of "active" members. Since the organization had held five general meetings by the time the population selection was occurring, and since roll lists of members had been collected by the organization's secretary at four of these meetings (at the request of the researchers), it was decided that any person attending three or more of these meetings would be defined as "active" for purposes of this study.

The chairpersons of the three committees of the organization were asked by the researchers to name the members of
their committees whom they felt could be described as "active", having helped with the committee work and/or attended committee meetings with some regularity. (It should be noted that most of the organization's work was occurring in these committee meetings at this time, rather than in general ECO meetings). These people, as defined by chairpersons of their committees, also met the criteria of "active" membership for the purposes of this study. The population thus defined included twenty-six people who had either attended three or more general ECO meetings when roll was taken, or had been defined as "active" by the chairperson of a committee. It should be pointed out that some members met both criteria for definition as "active", while others met only one of the criteria.

There were several exclusions from the original population, including the two researchers, who had attended the general meetings and were active on a committee. Others excluded were three persons who formally dropped out of the group after having been described by committee chairpersons as "active". One member of the original population had moved out of state by the time the interview was administered in October 1975, making it impossible to interview that person, who was also excluded from the research population.

The population was therefore twenty persons at the time the interview was administered. It is interesting to note that the population included four married couples in which
each spouse met the criteria of being "active". In the analysis of data this variable had to be taken into account when describing and interpreting certain responses in the interview.

An interview schedule was constructed in several steps by the researchers. First, the findings in the literature were examined for relevance to the aspects of voluntary association being studied with regard to ECO. Having identified the most relevant issues, the researchers wrote questions about those issues, including both demographic and social background issues as well as attitudinal issues with respect to participation in the organization. This version of the questionnaire was revised twice and tested by the researchers with each other and with their spouses to check for misleading questions, and time frame. After a third revision, the twenty to thirty minute interview was pretested.

The pretest population included five people who had attended two general ECO meetings or who had been defined by committee chairpersons as "active" but had withdrawn from the organization by the time that the pretest was administered in September 1975. Members of the pretest population were phoned by the researchers, who identified themselves and asked respondents if they would participate in a survey about ECO members. Each member of the population agreed to participate and was interviewed by one of the researchers at the respondent's home. The results of the pretest were used
to make a final revision of the research instrument. It is important to point out some of the features of the revised interview schedule, as well as to describe its development.

Before administering the pretest, the researchers examined each question for wording, phrasing, and intent, in order to come to agreement on how to ask the questions, the definitions of terms, and on how to respond to anticipated questions about the interview items. This examination of items and agreement on wording, meaning, and techniques of interviewing was an effort to insure the greatest possible degree of interviewer reliability. To arrive at the order of questions for the interview schedule, the researchers independently arranged the questions, then compared the results and made changes to arrive at a logical and mutually agreed upon sequence.

The final form of the interview schedule was the product of the revisions made before and after the pretest. The schedule (see Appendix I) includes both demographic/social background and attitudinal questions with respect to various aspects of participation in ECO. Both open-ended and forced-choice items were utilized, depending upon the nature of the question being asked and the possible responses. Interview schedules were coded by the researchers to insure confidentiality. Questions about the University of Washington consultants were included in an effort to generate data that might be helpful to the Division. These questions are
peripheral to the main point of the study (participation in a voluntary association), but it is useful data for the consultants to examine.

The following observations with regard to various items in the construction of the interview should be noted:

(1) The authors believe that "housewife" is an occupational category and it was treated as such in questions dealing with occupation and work.

(2) Unless otherwise worded in the interview schedule, all questions were to be answered as of the date of the interview (for example: age, income, occupation). Some questions pertained only to past events and were so worded (for example: "When you joined ECO, what was Evergreen's main problem?").

(3) In cases where wording in questions was not clearly defined, the researchers developed mutually agreed upon definitions for the purposes of interviewing. In some instances, such as question #28 ("Have you actively campaigned for any candidate within the past four years?"), it was left to the respondent to determine the meaning of "actively" as he or she perceived it, and then to determine whether he or she felt that that criterion was met.

(4) Question #23 involved a somewhat lengthy list of community issues which the researchers assembled from former community surveys and from lists of issues generated at ECO meetings. These items were put into random order by drawing
them by lot. The first item originally drawn was "Law Enforcement and Corrections". Since one of the researchers is well known in the community with regard to this issue, it was decided to exchange this item with another ("Geriatrics and Nursing Homes"), in order to minimize the possibility of strong interviewee reaction to the placement of this item at the top of the list, given the possibility that the respondent might be aware of the connection of the researcher to the field of law enforcement. Also, with respect to this item, the researchers agreed to read the list in reverse order on alternate interviews in order to minimize the possibility of early asked items being uniformly rated either higher or lower by respondents. In order that respondents would not forget the response choices asked for in this lengthy question, a card with the possible answers written on it was given to the respondent.

(5) In an attempt to neutralize the potentially sensitive issue of asking about family income, the researchers letter coded income categories, handed the respondent a card, and asked only for the coded letter which corresponded to annual family income. The income levels were not listed on the interview form, and the card was not collected until the end of the interview when it was picked up along with the two other response cards used.

(6) Because of the number of choices and the length of each answer to question #59 (regarding how others viewed the
participant's activity in EGO), a card with number-coded response choices was given to the respondent to study and from which to choose an answer.

(7) The final question (#62: "Do you have any other comments you'd like to make concerning the kinds of things we've been discussing?") was included not so much to gather data as to give the respondent a chance to verbalize anything he or she felt strongly about which might not have been covered in the interview.

After development of the interview schedule was completed, letters were sent to the interviewees approximately ten days before the interviewing was to begin. The letter set forth the purpose of the study, emphasized confidentiality, and stated that appointments for interviews would be made by telephone. (See Appendix II for Text of letter) Several days later, the researchers telephoned the interviewees and arranged for a half hour interview to be held in the interviewee's home at a mutually convenient time. The researchers had some minimal difficulty in arranging interviews because of the full schedules and limited free time that people who are "active" in voluntary associations tend to have, as well as because of the limited amount of time available to the researchers, who were full-time graduate students at the time of the survey. One respondent, a busy professional person, reluctantly agreed to be interviewed only if the interview could occur during the respondent's
dinner hour.

The interviews were held by the researchers within a two week period in an attempt to minimize possible data contamination, the likelihood of which would have increased with time as mutual acquaintances had opportunities to discuss the interviews with each other. In the case of married couples, the interviews were scheduled to occur at the same time and each researcher interviewed one of the spouses. In these cases, the interviews occurred in different rooms so that the spouses did not hear each other's responses. In one case, the couple agreed to an interview time but one spouse was not present when the researchers arrived for the interview. It was decided to go ahead and interview the spouse who was at home, and an appointment was made for the other spouse to be interviewed a few days later. The person who was interviewed agreed not to discuss the interview with the absent spouse. The interviews themselves proceeded smoothly, with the main resistances developed around the issue of age with female respondents. Some female respondents emphasized the word "about" when responding (the researchers asked: "What is your approximate age?"). Some appeared to be somewhat embarrassed in answering the question, and one respondent would answer only with the word "legal".

Other problems included the inability of one respondent to answer the question on priority of projects upon which
ECO should work. The interviewee indicated that she did not feel closely enough involved either with the community or the organization to make such a determination. Another interviewee did not know what the family income level was. Since the spouse of this interviewee was also a member of the population, the income data was taken from that questionnaire for purposes of data analysis.

All respondents were thanked for their cooperation at the close of their interview. After all interviews were completed, a letter thanking the respondents, emphasizing confidentiality, and indicating plans for presenting results of the study was sent to each respondent (See Appendix III).

The data obtained in this descriptive study was analyzed by the researchers using frequency tables and measures of central tendency, where appropriate. Open-ended question responses were categorized for analysis by a process of discussion and joint agreement as to the categories and classification of responses.
CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

The results of the study are presented utilizing the outline of social background and attitudinal factors discussed in the review of literature. Some material will be presented narratively; however, the majority of the information will be presented in tables.

The first topic to be considered is what Payne et al. call "Life Cycle Stage." Subsumed in that category are several variables, the first being age. The following table presents the approximate ages of respondents when the interviews were conducted.

TABLE I
AGE OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of females</th>
<th>Number of males</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27 to 30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 thru 50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;legal&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The youngest "active" ECO member is 27, with most of the respondents in the 30-45 age bracket. Mean ages, computed from continuous data, are 37.0 years for the entire population interviewed; 39.6 for males, and 35.7 for females. (The female giving her age as "legal" was arbitrarily assigned the age of her husband in computing mean ages.) In general, the population is in the child-rearing stage.

Marital status is the second component of Life Cycle Stage. Of the population of 20, there were 18 married interviewees, or 90%. One person was separated; one, divorced.

The second variable to be addressed is number of children. The following table reflects the number of children each respondent has.

TABLE II

NUMBER OF CHILDREN "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS HAVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Children</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5A</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4B</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A This includes one married couple.
B This includes two married couples.
No age limits defining "children" were set, so some of these "children" might be considered adults, as noted later in this discussion. The mean number of children per household is 3, and the total number of children with one or both parents included in this study is 45. (Children of married couples in which both members were interviewed were counted only once.)

Age of children is the third factor for consideration, and the ages of the children in the previous table are noted in this table.

**TABLE III**

**AGES OF CHILDREN OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 3</td>
<td>3 (toddlers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 5</td>
<td>1 (pre-school)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 13</td>
<td>19 (elementary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 to 16</td>
<td>9 (Jr. High)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 19</td>
<td>4 (High School)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 to 23</td>
<td>4 (College)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 to 28</td>
<td>5 (Post College)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As mentioned previously, some of the children of "active" ECO members are now adults. In this table each child is listed only once in the cases of married couples where both members were interviewed.

One additional factor of Life Cycle Stage which is specific to this study was not included by Payne et al., but
will be discussed here because of its relevancy to this study. This factor is the number of children of the respondents who are currently attending public schools. The following table indicates how many interviewees have children in the public schools.

**TABLE IV**

PUBLIC SCHOOL ATTENDANCE OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' CHILDREN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Children in Public Schools</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not apply</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of respondents</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This includes one married couple.

There are a total of 29 children in public schools. (Children of married couples in which both members were interviewed were counted only once.) Of the 16 children not in public schools, 11 have already completed public schooling. It is revealing to note, however, that 80% (16) of the respondents currently have children in the public schools, and the mean number of such children in each family is 2.

The second major heading of Social Background Factors is Socio-Economic Status, according to Payne et al. The first variable to be considered is income. Total family income of the respondents is indicated in the following table.
TABLE V
TOTAL FAMILY INCOME OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) $10,000 - $14,999</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) $15,000 - $19,999</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) $20,000 - $24,999</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) $25,000 - $29,999</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This respondent's spouse reported family income at $25,000 - $29,999.

Both the median and mean family income is $17,500. Perhaps the most striking feature of this table is the relative homogeneity of the population. There are no respondents at the poverty level nor are there any who could be classified as wealthy.

Education is the second factor to consider. The following table summarizes the education of both the respondent and that person's spouse.
TABLE VI

EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS AND THEIR SPOUSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Education</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Number of Respondents' Spouses (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyond High School but no university degree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University graduate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-college work including Master's degree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No attempt was made in this table to consider responses of the married couples in which both members were interviewed. There are 8 persons, then, who appear in both columns of the chart simultaneously. There appears to be little difference in the educational level of participants and their spouses, but both in general tend to have more than the average number of years of education.

Occupational status is the next item in the framework. The following table shows the occupation of the "active" ECO members and their spouses.
TABLE VII

TYPE OF WORK OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS AND THEIR SPOUSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Number of Respondents' Spouses (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professions</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled laborer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No attempt was made in this table to consider responses of the married couples in which both members were interviewed. There are 8 persons, then, who appear in both columns of the chart simultaneously. Relatively few blue collar workers appear in either column.

The researchers did not pursue the matter of family or lineage status in part because of perceived high mobility in the area and the resultant lack of family ties, and because of the lack of any perceived ethnic based status differences in the area.

Home ownership is the next issue to be addresses. Homes either are owned or are being purchased by 18 of the 20 respondents. The other 2 interviewees are renting. This
means that 90% of the population interviewed are home owners.

One additional factor which will be discussed here, although Payne et al. did not include it, is school attendance area. The following table shows the number of respondents residing within each elementary school area in 1974-75. It also shows the percent of students on free and reduced price lunches as a measure of the socio-economic level of that attendance area.

TABLE VIII
ELEMENfARY SCHOOL AREAS IN WHICH "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS RESIDE AND THESE AREAS' PARTICIPATION IN FREE AND REDUCED PRICE HOT LUNCHES IN 1974-75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary School Area</th>
<th>Percent of Students Receiving Free or Reduced Price Lunches*</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marrion</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellsworth</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crestline</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Plain</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Star</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchards</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sifton</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This information was supplied by the Evergreen School District Office.

With regard to school attendance area, there are few "active" ECO participants residing in the areas which have either the highest or lowest percentages of students receiving free or reduced price lunches.
Attention will now be given to the area of Socio-Physical Characteristics. Of those discussed by Payne et al., only 2 will be dealt with here; those of sex and race. All of the members of the population surveyed are white. Of the 20 people involved, 13 are women and 7 are men.

The next major topic to consider is Formal Organizational Affiliations and Roles, and the first area within this category is that of occupation and work. The variable under consideration here is not that of occupational status (as discussed earlier), but rather the concern is with how occupation itself may predispose people to various forms of participation.

The issue which is relevant to ECO with regard to this variable is the significant ties to education as an occupation by 50% of the population studied. In fact, 10 respondents are connected with education either through their own occupation or that of their spouse. Of these 10, 6 are directly connected with education in the Evergreen Schools by either their own or their spouse's employment.

Religion is the second aspect of organizational affiliation which Payne et al. mention. The religious preference of the respondents is indicated in the following table.
TABLE IX

RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religious Preference</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episcopal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Science</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mormon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No preference</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no Roman Catholics or Jews in the population which is predominantly protestant (70%, or 14 respondents) with 25% expressing no preference.

The only other topic mentioned by Payne et al. regarding organizational affiliation which will be discussed here is that of politics. Several tables are relevant to political activity, and the first to be presented will be one which summarizes general types of political activity such as voting and campaigning.
TABLE X

POLITICAL ACTIVITY OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registered voter</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voted within past year</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Party Affiliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulated Initiatives or Petitions within past 2 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaigned Actively for a Candidate within past 4 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)

Given the fact that Washington has an open primary which makes political party affiliation voluntary and a bit more formal than a simple declaration, it is of interest to note that 80% of the population studied (16 respondents) are affiliated with a political party. All are registered voters and have voted in the past year, and over half have circulated initiatives and petitions and campaigned for a candidate recently.

In this regard, the following table indicates the
number and types of candidates campaigned for by the interviewees.

TABLE XI

NUMBER AND TYPES OF CANDIDATES "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS CAMPAIGNED FOR IN THE LAST 4 YEARS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Candidates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>campaigned for:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Candidate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>campaigned for:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Senator</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State legislator</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County official</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City official</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School board member</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)

* One of these respondents ran for office.
It appears that those who campaign for candidates do so at the local level primarily. Of the 32 candidates supported, 21 (66%) were county, city, or school district candidates.

The final table to be presented in relation to political activity indicates the type and number of public meetings attended by participants.

**TABLE XII**

**TYPES AND NUMBER OF PUBLIC MEETINGS ATTENDED IN THE PAST YEAR BY "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of meetings attended*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State legislative sessions and/or hearings</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Commission hearing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning meetings</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Commissioner's meetings</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County boards</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School board</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings attended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Multiple responses possible for this question (Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)
As indicated above, 80% of the population (16 respondents) attended some kind of public meeting; and further, every one of those respondents attended at least one school board meeting.

An issue relating to formal organizational affiliations not included in the Payne et al. outline is that of membership in other community or service organizations held by the "active" ECO members and their spouses.

TABLE XIII
MEMBERSHIP IN COMMUNITY OR SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS AND THEIR SPOUSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Organization</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Number of Spouses (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen Community Groups</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>16B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Groups (such as PTAs)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Organizations</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Groups</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory or Executive boards</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No memberships</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>27</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Multiple responses possible)
A Excludes membership in ECO.
B Includes membership in ECO. Also includes 4 couples (8 respondents) whose spouse belonged to ECO and was included in the study.

The mean number of organizations in addition to ECO to
which respondents belong is 1.4. Their spouses belong to a mean of 1.6 organizations including ECO. Ten of the "active" ECO members' spouses do have organizational affiliations. It should be noted, however, that 8 of those 10 are also included as respondents in the study.

With reference to Payne et al., the broad area of Interpersonal Roles and Experiences will now be considered. Influences of significant others is the first category proposed. Because of later definitions of relations with family, friends, etc., the influences of those connected with occupation and those in positions of community leadership will be the only topics studied here. The following table shows the attitudes held by significant others at the "active" ECO member's place of occupation.

**TABLE XIV**

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' PERCEPTIONS OF CO-WORKERS' VIEWS ABOUT THOSE MEMBERS' PARTICIPATION IN ECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do the people where you work view your participation in ECO?</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>They encourage my community involvement in general.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They neither encourage nor discourage my activities.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They are unaware of my participation.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They have not expressed any feelings.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not apply (not employed).</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of those employed, 45% (5 respondents) perceive encouragement to participate by the people where they work. Co-workers are seen by 55% (6 respondents) as being neutral with regard to participation in ECO by the respondent. No members perceived criticism or discouragement from co-workers.

The following table presents an indication of how those interviewed think that local government officials view ECO.

**TABLE XV**

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' VIEWS CONCERNING THE PERCEPTIONS OF "LOCAL" GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ABOUT ECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How local government officials view ECO</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>They have a &quot;wait-and-see&quot; attitude</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They are hopeful that ECO will achieve its goals</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A neutral "wait-and-see" stance is seen by 60% of the respondents with 30% indicating that the officials have a somewhat more optimistic view of the organization.

The other variable of interpersonal roles proposed by Payne et al. includes informal relations with a variety of people including friends and family.
The following table indicates the attitudes which the "active" ECO members perceive as being held by their families concerning the participation of those members.

**TABLE XVI**

**FAMILY ATTITUDES TOWARDS "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBER'S PARTICIPATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How does your family view your participation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They encourage my community involvement in general.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They are especially interested in my work with this particular group.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They neither encourage nor discourage my activities.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They discourage my activities.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not apply.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Does your family resent the time you spend relative to ECO?              |                            |
| Definitely                                                               | 2                          |
| Somewhat                                                                 | 6                          |
| No                                                                       | 6                          |
| Absolutely not                                                           | 5                          |
| Does not apply                                                           | 1                          |

(Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)
In general, respondents see their families as being clearly supportive of involvement in ECO. While 70% (14 respondents) indicate this approval, 40% (8 respondents) believe that to some degree their families may resent the amount of time and energy spent by the ECO participant.

The next table indicates the relationship between "active" ECO participants' friends and the organization.
TABLE XVII

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' FRIENDSHIP RELATIONS RELATIVE TO ECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many people in ECO did you know before you joined the group?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≈ 10%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have your friends joined ECO at your suggestion?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do your friends view your participation in ECO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They encourage my community involvement in general</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They are especially interested in my work with this particular group</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They neither encourage nor discourage my activities</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They have not expressed any feelings</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I joined ECO because a friend invited me.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Responses to an open-ended question)

*Friends of those surveyed seem to be perceived as neutral or supportive of the respondent's participation in*
EGO, and 50% (10) of the participants had friends who joined at their suggestion. Eight of the respondents (40%) knew more than 10% of those in the group when they first joined.

This brings us to the final issue for consideration advanced by Payne et al.: Residential Mobility and Length of Time Resident in a Given Community. The following table shows the residential mobility of the respondents.

**TABLE XVIII**

LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN CURRENT HOME OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Residence</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>½ year</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only 6 respondents (30%) stated that they had moved since coming to the Evergreen area. The mean length of residence in current homes was 3.3 years, exactly ½ year less than the mean length of residence in the Evergreen area.

Length of time which respondents have resided in the Evergreen area is indicated in the next table.
TABLE XIX
LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN THE EVERGREEN AREA
OF "ACTIVE" EGO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in Evergreen</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 1 year but less than 2 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No participant had been in the area less than 1 year or more than 7 years when interviewed. The mean length of residence is 3.8 years.

A somewhat different measure of "mobility" relating to the ties within one's community is the location of occupation. In a primarily residential and non-industrial area such as Evergreen this is especially relevant. The following table indicates the place of employment of both "active" EGO members and their spouses.
Of the respondents surveyed, 40% (8 respondents) are employed in Evergreen, Vancouver, and the rest of Clark County, as are 44% (8) of their spouses. Employment in the Portland area is held by 15% (3) of the respondents and 28% (5) of their spouses. Only 30% (6) of the interviewees and 17% (3) of their spouses are actually employed in the Evergreen area itself. The table indicates that 25% (5) of the respondents and 61% (11) of their spouses leave the Evergreen area for their jobs. (No attempt was made in this table to consider responses of the married couples in which both members were interviewed. There are 8 persons, then, who appear in both columns of the chart simultaneously.)
Attention is now turned to the areas of attitude and motivation. In reviewing the literature, several studies were concerned with attitudes affecting participation. Some dealt in specifics such as satisfaction with the group, degree of influence, etc. Others addressed the issue of community concern and homogeneity of attitudes. Before discussing data relative to these areas, however, information concerning participation level in ECO by the respondents will be examined. The following table summarizes the participation efforts expended by the population interviewed.

**TABLE XXI**  
**PARTICIPATION OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS IN ECO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members perceived degree of their own activity in ECO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very active</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately active</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very active</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee membership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary committee</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication committee</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire committee</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Held a formal office in ECO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Horizontal lines indicate separate question)

By the time the interview was given, 15% of those defined as "active" considered themselves as "not very
active." Formal offices in ECO were held in the spring of 1975 by 35% (7) of those surveyed. The "active" members were fairly evenly divided in their committee participation. The questionnaire committee was the largest and the communication committee the smallest in terms of total membership.

Length of time which the population had been active in community activities is presented in the following table.

**TABLE XXII**

LENGTH OF COMMUNITY ACTIVITY OF "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Activity</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 6 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 years or more</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Being "active" in groups such as ECO is typical for 80% (16) of those interviewed. Indeed, 65% (13 respondents) have been active for 3 years or more, and 40% have been active for 6 years or longer.

Attention will now be given to the area of attitudes contributing to participation. The following table summarizes the decisions made by "active" ECO members relative to initial participation and to continuing "active" status.
### TABLE XXIII

DECISIONS TO PARTICIPATE AND DO SO ON AN ACTIVE BASIS MADE BY "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item*</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why did you decide to participate in ECO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired to improve community spirit and thereby solve community problems such as those connected to schools.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw the need for such a new community organization.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community involvement is important and enjoyable and ECO being an outgrowth of CUE is a viable way for me to become involved in an area including political issues.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My spouse or friends encouraged me.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This social involvement gave me a chance to see friends, fill empty time, and stimulate personal growth.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why have you remained active in ECO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to self (obligation to complete a task once started) organization, or community.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems in the community have not been solved and I must help.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to participate was available and I enjoy the group.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious about the course ECO would follow.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Multiple responses possible to all questions in this table.
(Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)
Over half of the participants indicated that they joined ECO in order to help solve community problems and believed that this organization was a viable means to do so. Of those interviewed, 75% (15 participants) continue to participate out of a sense of obligation, and 40% (8 participants) think that the problems in the community have not yet been solved.

Participants were asked whether they expected to learn anything from their participation in ECO, and 90% (18 respondents) indicated having had that expectation. The following table indicates what, if anything, interviewees hoped to learn by participating in ECO.

**TABLE XXIV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The manner in which community organizations are established and function.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased knowledge about the community itself including the political structure, others' perceptions of the problems, etc.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge gain expected.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Multiple responses possible)

More information about the community itself was the main area of interest with 70% (14 respondents) indicating that category.
The following table indicates the relationship which respondents saw between ECO participation and their job.

**TABLE XXV**

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' PERCEPTIONS OF CO-WORKERS' VIEWS OF THOSE MEMBERS' PARTICIPATION IN ECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is ECO participation helpful to you in your job or career? (including homemaking)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How will participation in ECO help your job or career?*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills learned and people met will increase the volume of my business or my effectiveness in my occupation.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self improvement leads to being more effective in other phases of life (including work).</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is political value in knowing the &quot;right&quot; people.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This relates to other skills in my role as a professional volunteer.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No help (see preceding item).</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Multiple responses possible for this question. (Horizontal lines indicate separate question).

Of those interviewed, 65% (13 respondents) believed that ECO would be helpful in their job or career. Most of these respondents saw participation in ECO as being helpful in terms of development of self-improvement skills. Some related the expected gains to the development of concrete skills which would increase their effectiveness in meeting
people and allow them to increase the volume of their business.

Relative to the areas of satisfaction with the organization and amount of influence in the group, the following table is presented.

**TABLE XXVI**

*ACTIVE* ECO MEMBERS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS OCCURRING IN ECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are your ideas listened to in ECO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of influence in decisions made at ECO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very much</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very little</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the decision process occurring at ECO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)

Note that 85% (17 respondents) are satisfied with the decision making process. Of those interviewed, 90% (18 respondents) believe that their ideas are listened to, although only 10% (2 respondents) think that they have a great deal of influence. A moderate amount of influence is
perceived by 70% (14 respondents), however, 20% (4 respondents) perceive themselves as having very little influence.

Satisfaction with the role of the professional consultants from the University of Washington is summarized in the following table.

**TABLE XXVII**

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' VIEWS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON CONSULTANTS' ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well did the University of Washington consultants serve ECO?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very well</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairly well</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairly poorly</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poorly</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What kind and degree of guidance should the consultants provide in the future?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Horizontal lines indicate separate questions)

Some degree of satisfaction was indicated by 90% (18) of the respondents. The same proportion indicated that the same kind and degree of guidance should be provided by the consultants in the future.

Turning to another area, degree of community concern has been studied as a variable influencing participation in
groups such as ECO. The following table indicates the participant's personal community concern.

**TABLE XXVIII**

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBER'S DEGREE OF CONCERN WITH COMMUNITY PROBLEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Concern (possible scores range from 23 to 69)</th>
<th>Number of Respondents (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The respondents ranked 23 community problems in terms of their personal concern with the issues. "Very important" items received 3 points, problems "of some importance" received 2 points, and issues "of little importance" received 1 point. (See also Table XXIX.) Scores would range from a possible highest concern of 69 points to least concern at 23 points for each individual respondent. The mean score in this question is 47.3. As a measure of relativity, a
respondent choosing "of some importance" on all items would score 46.

The issue of homogeneity of attitude could be discussed in relation to several tables already presented. Nevertheless, 3 final tables will be included here to illustrate this point. These issues also deal with community concern.

Table XXIX indicates the relative importance of community problems as perceived by the interviewees.
TABLE XXIX

RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF COMMUNITY ISSUES
AS PERCEIVED BY *ACTIVE* ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue*</th>
<th>Number of Respondents selecting each degree of concern</th>
<th>Total Score (possible scores range from 20 to 60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Very Important</td>
<td>2 Of Some Importance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Spirit</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Base</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecology &amp; Environ.</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State &amp; Local News Availability</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement &amp; Corrections</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage &amp; Waste Disposal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial-Bus. Base</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Services-Medical &amp; Dental</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Hlth. Servs.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Values</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Improvement &amp; Construction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Services</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply &amp; Rates</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DruE &amp; Alcohol Abuse Treatment</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicapped Servs.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment &amp; Job Training</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Needs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatrics and Nursing Homes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Score 47.3

*Issues listed in order of ranked importance
The highest possible score which an issue could receive is 20; the lowest, 60. As a measure of relativity, an issue scored "of some importance" by all respondents would receive a score of 40. Only 4 issues scored less than 40, 2 ranked at 40, and 17 received higher scores, the highest being 59 which is very close to the maximum possible. The mean score for this question as stated earlier is 47.3. Homogeneity of attitude is seen most clearly in the issues rates as most important. Agreement decreases as importance of issues decreases.

The next table presents the areas seen by respondents as the main problem in the Evergreen area.

**TABLE XXX**

EVERGREEN'S "MAIN PROBLEM" AS IDENTIFIED BY "ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defined Problem</th>
<th>Number of Respondents(f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Itself</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of organization and planning</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sense of community</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of community identity</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of unity, cohesiveness or focus</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Planning</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No problem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community identity and awareness is mentioned by 55% (11 respondents), and 35% (7 respondents) indicate schools as the focal issue in the community. There is little disagreement in this area—few alternatives were even mentioned.

The final table prioritizes the projects which the respondents would like to have ECO undertake.

**TABLE XXXI**

"ACTIVE" ECO MEMBERS' PRIORITIZING OF PROJECTS WHICH THEY WOULD LIKE ECO TO UNDERTAKE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project topic area (listed in order of preference)</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use and planning</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase tax base by inviting clean industry</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate services (utilities)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of community group to decide issues and help run the community, do long range planning, etc.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public services (libraries, parks, recreation, etc.)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental organization of the county</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social services</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (miscellaneous 2nd and 3rd choices of individual respondents)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interviewees were asked to indicate in an open ended question what 3 projects they would like ECO to work on in order of preference. The responses were assigned 5 points
for first choice, 3 points for second choice, and 1 point for third choice. Points were then totaled, the highest possible score being 95. While it is not readily apparent how well individual respondents agreed in this table, it is apparent that schools are seen as the greatest problem area. Both the issues of schools and the issue of land use and planning were mentioned in first, second, or third place by 50% of the population and were separated by a wide gap in scores from any other topics.

This chapter has presented the data gathered from the interviews with the research population of "active" ECO members. The data was organized following the conceptual framework introduced in the review of literature and further developed by the authors. Discussion of the results and their relationship to other research will be presented in another section of this study.
CHAPTER V

AMPLIFICATIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS

This study is directed toward examination of some sociodemographic and attitudinal variables related to participation in ECO by its "active" members. The findings of the study will be amplified and discussed in terms of the relationships between those findings and the findings of other studies as presented in the review of the literature. In addition, some interpretations of the findings will be presented with regard to the effect of the characteristics of the "active" members upon the organization's composition and activity. The question of degree of relative homogeneity of members' characteristics and attitudes will be considered in relationship to the data and to the literature presented.

Sociodemographic characteristics of members will be considered first. The initial component of sociodemographic factors is that of "Life Cycle Stage" and age is the first variable considered in this component. The findings showed that "active" ECO members mean age is 37, which conforms to the general findings (cited in the review of literature) that peak levels of participation occur in the middle 40's, plus or minus 10 years. Only 3 members are in the 20's age range, and these are all in the upper 20's which conforms to Argyle's (1959), Schuyler's (1959), and Wilensky's (1961)
findings that voluntary organization participation rates are sharply lowered in the 20-30 age range. It should be noted that the mean age of 37 places most members within the child-rearing stage of the life cycle.

Marital status is the next component of life cycle stage. All members of the population studied have been married and 90% are presently married, with one member being separated and one member being divorced. The studies cited in the review of literature indicate that higher rates of both membership and participation in voluntary organizations are exhibited by married persons than by those who are widowed, separated, or divorced. This population conforms to that pattern. Furthermore, this population includes fewer divorced persons than might be expected, given current divorce rates for the general population. This population exhibits a generally high level of current marital stability, and marital stability appears to be a factor predisposing individuals to participation in voluntary associations, as noted by Hunter and Maurice (1953), Bell and Force (1956a) and others cited previously.

Babchuk and Gordon (1962) found that a positive relationship exists between being unmarried and the assumption of leadership roles within voluntary organizations. The findings of this study of ECO members are consistent with those of Babchuk and Gordon in that the one unmarried member of the research population is the president of the organization.
Number of children is the next factor under consideration. The findings in the literature indicate that, in general, participation levels increase with number of children. However, membership tends to decline when number of children exceeds two. Participation is most likely when a parent has one or two children. The findings in this study with regard to this variable are inconclusive; 40% of the population have either one or two children, only 5% (one member) has no children. The remaining 55% have more than two children (See Table I).

Age of children is the next area of social background factors related to participation. The major finding reviewed here is that, in general, having all children of school age favors higher membership and participation rates (Schmidt and Rohrer, 1956; Lazerwitz, 1961; Spiro, 1968; and Harry, 1970). Of the 45 children of "active" ECO members, only four are under age six. Most children (32) are in the school-age range of 6 to 19, with 9 children from three families being in the age range of 19 to 28. With this population, "active" participation is definitely associated with members' having all children of school-age. This factor appears to be related to later findings that schools and education are primary issues of concern that mobilize this population to participate in voluntary activity in the Evergreen community.

These four variables make up the constellation of factors called "life cycle stage" by Payne et al. It is
apparent that the population of "active" ECO members conforms to the general nature of the findings with regard to age, marital status, number of children, and age of children as these variables affect participation in a voluntary organization.

Socioeconomic status is the next sociodemographic factor to be considered and it includes several variables addressed by this study and by the literature reviewed. The first of these variables is that of income. As noted in the presentation of results (Table V), the mean annual family income of "active" ECO members is $17,500, a solidly middle-class income figure. The population is relatively homogenous with regard to income, with no members considered to be "low income" (there is no respondent with family income under $10,000) and none in the "wealthy" category (no one over $29,999). The fact that most ECO members are middle class with respect to income may also be related to higher educational and occupational status. Studies cited in the review of literature uphold the finding that higher income is associated with greater levels of participation in voluntary organizations. An interesting relationship between income and participation in voluntary organizations is suggested by Payne et al., who note that participation in voluntary organizations may be a way of increasing income indirectly by allowing opportunity to make contacts with prospective customers, clients, and associates. Thirteen "active" ECO
members (65%) believe that participation in ECO would help them in their job or career. These respondents believe that ECO participation will help them to enhance work-related skills and the meeting of people that would lead to increased career opportunities and, presumably, higher income (see Table XXV).

Education is the second variable of socioeconomic status considered by this study. The findings indicate a well-educated population which includes no persons with less than a high school education. Table VI shows that 55% of the "active" ECO members are at least college graduates, with 40% of respondents having done work beyond the Bachelor's degree level; 95% of the respondents have some education beyond high school. The findings in the literature indicate that participation in voluntary organizations is strongly related to level of education, and higher levels of education are associated with higher levels of involvement. This population appears to conform to that general finding. Smith (1972) summarizes the research on education and voluntary association participation by stating that more educated people participate because of greater ability to understand and be committed to abstract organizational goals, greater understanding of organizational operation, and greater self-confidence and social confidence. ECO's goals of "increasing community spirit, involvement, and identity" certainly fit the criteria of abstraction which well-educated people are
able to understand and function with relatively comfortably. These factors, together with reflections of feelings of self-confidence and social confidence, are well-illustrated in Table XXIII.

Another issue addressed in the review of literature with respect to education levels of participants is that of differences in the types of organizations to which people belong as a function of the extent of formal education. The studies cited indicate that people with more education participate more in professional, business, civic, educational, cultural, social service, and political groups. In other words, people with more education become involved in issue-oriented or cause-oriented voluntarism. As illustrated in Table XIII, the "active" members of ECO hold a number of memberships in other community civic and service organizations. The concern with community issues and problems appears to be positively related to membership and active participation in ECO.

Occupational status is another component of socioeconomic status. Of "active" ECO members, 50% have professional level jobs. Of these ten people, seven are involved in education as either teachers, administrators, or professional-level support personnel. Of the remaining 10 members of the population, 9 (45% of the total population) are housewives. One member works as a skilled tradesperson, and he is attending college in order to provide himself with the educational potential for upward occupational mobility.
With regard to spouses' occupational level, 45% of the married members of the population have spouses employed in education or other professions, and 22% of members' spouses are housewives. The remaining 33% of spouses are employed as skilled laborers or salespersons. Relating these findings to the literature, it is apparent that the relatively high level of occupational status evidenced by this population is related to the higher rates of participation among highly educated persons that have been found in various studies, as cited in the review of literature. The high proportion of people with occupational ties to education tends to emphasize that schools are a major concern in the Evergreen community.

The high proportion of housewives in ECO is noteworthy when considered in relationship to the cited study by Slater (1960), in which the researcher found that in the upper middle class, the role of "wife" appears to be more compatible with voluntary group membership than it does in the working class, where the role of "wife" is more likely to include conflicts between housework and active voluntary group membership. The fact that ECO has so many "active" members who are housewives is a further indication of its middle-class character as an organization.

Payne et al. note that middle-class persons are expected, by virtue of their occupational roles, to have more formal voluntary organization memberships than do persons in other socioeconomic classes. Because organizations provide occupational and professional development
opportunities, participation becomes a means of vertical occupational and social mobility.

Home ownership is the final component of socioeconomic status addressed in the discussion of social background factors. Of the twenty respondents, eighteen (90%) own or are buying their homes. Several studies cited in the review of literature found home ownership to be related to higher rates of membership and participation in voluntary associations, and this population conforms to that general pattern. It is likely that home ownership is a central component of the upper middle-class, suburban characteristics of this population in the Evergreen community.

Payne et al. see home ownership as being related to length of residence in the community as a significant factor influencing participation in the voluntary organization. Given the finding (see Table XIX) that no "active" ECO member has lived in the Evergreen community for more than seven years, Evergreen can be seen as a relatively mobile community. Other measures of mobility were presented in this study, including a question on length of residence in present home. The mean length of residence in present home was found to be 3.3 years, also indicating a relatively high degree of community mobility. The study also indicated that only 30% of respondents work within the Evergreen community, indicating a high degree of mobility into and out of the area each working day.
The third major cluster of social background factors includes the variables of sex and race. Of the population of "active" ECO members, 65% are women and 35% are men. The findings in the literature indicate that this relationship may be a product of relatively recent social change and may be a result of increasing education for women, the impact of the women's movement, and other social changes. Women have previously been found to participate in voluntary organizations less often than do men, and findings have indicated that participation by women is generally in religious or service-oriented voluntarism. The authors speculate that participation in ECO by such a large number of women is a function of high education, need for fulfillment outside the home, and concern with education as a key issue addressed by ECO in the Evergreen community.

The fact that all ECO members are white appears to be a reflection of the fact that the community is overwhelmingly white in composition. Clark County has a very small proportion of non-white residents, most of whom live in urban Vancouver rather than in the suburban Evergreen area.

The fourth component of sociodemographic characteristics with which this study is concerned is what Payne et al. term "formal organizational affiliations and roles." The first variable considered here is that of religion. The population under study is overwhelmingly Protestant. There are no Catholics in the population (see Table IX). This
finding conforms to general findings that Protestants join civic and service organizations at a higher rate than do Catholics (Hausknecht, 1962). Also, Catholics have been found to have lower non-religious voluntary group participation than do members of other religious groups, as mentioned in the review of literature.

Political affiliation is another variable to be considered here. The fact that all respondents are registered voters and have voted in an election within the past year indicates that this population is more politically active than the general population (see Table X), as does the fact that 65% have helped to circulate an initiative or petition within the past two years. Of the population studied, 60% have campaigned actively for a candidate within the past four years. As noted in the findings, most of these candidates were running for local office, indicating that local politics are important to "active" ECO members. The fact that 80% of the population attended some kind of public meeting within the past year (see Table XII) is further indication of the high level of political activity engaged in by members of this group. Payne et al. point out that several studies have found that political activity is, in general, found to be associated with membership and participation in non-political voluntary associations. Devereux (1960) found that those whose level of participation in voluntary organization is high tend to be identified with the community and interested in local and national affairs. The
material presented in Tables X, XI, and XII indicates that "active" EGO members follow this pattern of interest in local and national affairs.

The last category of organizational affiliations and roles includes the variable of membership in multiple formal organizations. As noted earlier, this group is quite active in other organizations. The results presented in Table XIII indicate that 85% of "active" EGO members belong to some community or service organization other than EGO, and 70% belong to other community organizations in the Evergreen community (CUE is the major other organization to which EGO members belong). This item did not address the issue of respondents' activities in other kinds of formal organizations.

The fifth major cluster of sociodemographic factors includes the dimension entitled "interpersonal roles and experiences" in the analysis by Payne et al. As noted earlier, Table XXV indicates that a number of respondents believe that participation in EGO will help them to develop self-improvement skills, interpersonal skills, and political contacts which will help them to increase their effectiveness in their job or career. Table XVI addresses the variable of family attitudes toward members' participation in EGO. It is emphasized that of the twenty members studied, there are four married couples. In addition, one member joined primarily at the urging of her spouse, who himself is not active in
the organization. The studies by Anderson (1946), Babchuk (1965), and others note that spouses are especially influential on each other's membership and participatory roles in settings where both spouses are participating. This mutual influence seems to be a factor operating within the population under study. A related aspect of interpersonal influences on participation is demonstrated by the finding that 70% of the population have families whom they see as encouraging participation in the organization, while 20% of families are seen as neutral, and only 10% are believed by the respondents to oppose their participation. This finding indicates a high level of perceived family support for community involvement by the members surveyed.

It is of interest to the researchers that only 40% of the respondents knew more than 10% of those in ECO when they first joined the organization. Whether this finding indicates lack of motivation from friends to join or is a function of the mobility of the area is open to question. About 50% of the respondents had friends join the organization at their suggestion, which is probably a significantly higher proportion of people able to engage in effective recruiting than might be exhibited by the general membership of ECO. The size of the organization was decreasing at the time of the interviews, so this figure might indicate a degree of leadership (possessed by the "active" members who were staying with the group) that is not possessed by the other members not defined as "active" for purposes of this study.
The population of "active" ECO members is composed of persons who are generally seen as being community leaders. The elected officers, therefore, were necessary for the parliamentary functioning of the organization but, in fact, provided little more direct leadership than many of the "active" members who were not officers.

Length of residence in the community has been studied by several researchers with regard to its effect on participation in voluntary organizations. Payne et al. point out that length of residence is an important variable since it may have a direct influence on social relationships. The newcomer lags behind the longer-term residents in establishing social relations and joining local groups to which they are attracted. Some of the indicators of mobility in Evergreen were discussed earlier in this chapter, such as the findings that the mean length of community residence is 3.3 years, and 60% of the respondents have lived in the community less than five years. The studies by Kaufman (1949), Zimmer (1955,1956), Babchuk and Thompson (1962), Spiro (1968) and others indicate that lower rates of participation in voluntary associations may be expected during the first five years of residence in a community. This organization seems to have problems holding a large membership, and it is somewhat more understandable when examined in the light of the research that has been done upon the adverse effect of high community mobility upon organization membership and participation.
The remainder of the findings to be discussed relate to the attitudes and motivations of the membership with regard to their decisions to participate and to remain active in the organization and to their attitudes toward participation in ECO and toward the organization itself. Table XXI indicates the perceived degree of activity expended by members of ECO in the organization. As Table XXI indicates, 11 members (55%) said that they were "very active," 6 members (30%) said they were "moderately active," and 3 members (15%) said they were "not very active" in the organization. If the interviews had been done closer to the time that the sample was drawn, the number of members expressing the opinion that they had been "very active" might have been higher. However, as time elapsed and the character of the organization changed, members' perceptions of their degree of activity, as well as their actual level of activity, changed. Respondents' comments indicate that the nature of the organization was changing character, not that the population held inaccurate perceptions of their own participation.

Table XXIII indicates findings relative to decisions made by respondents to participate actively in ECO. The first two response categories in that table indicate a feeling held by respondents that a community organization such as ECO is necessary to provide for improvement of community spirit and solutions to Evergreen community problems. As
studies by Downing (1957) and Scott (1953) show, attitudes indicating a feeling of necessity for the existence of a specific voluntary organization are correlated with participation in that organization. Forty-four percent of the total number of responses to the item "Why did you decide to participate in ECO?" indicated the need for an organization to address specific community concerns and issues. Smith (1966) indicated that the "perceived efficacy of the specific organization to achieve its goals" is a significant variable influencing members to participate. It is this "perceived efficacy" of ECO to improve community spirit and solve community problems that seems to be a major factor motivating the population under study to participate in the organization.

Fifty-four percent of the total number of responses to the item "Why have you remained active in ECO?" indicated a feeling by respondents of commitment to themselves and to the community. One respondent, whose feelings reflected those of many in the population, stated: "The needs of the community were the same as when I joined and I still believed ECO was a good tool." As noted by Mulford and Klonglan in the review of literature, middle-class persons who participate in formal voluntary organizations do so because of their favorable attitudes toward the community and a sense of obligation to the community. It is this degree of commitment that influenced many members of ECO to begin
to participate in ECO and to continue participation once started. Smith (1966) discusses the attitudes of "commitment to the organization" and "felt obligation to participate in the organization" as being two highly significant factors motivating and sustaining participation. The response of another member to this item illustrates the kind of feelings attached to decisions to participate and to continue participation. She said, "My personality is such that once I start something I don't drop it. I don't let specific events or personalities deter me." Studies cited in the review of literature, including studies by Wilson (1954), Freeman et al. (1957), and Jesser (1967) support the strong relationship between voluntary organization activity and attitudes indicative of a personal sense of general commitment to organized voluntary activity and/or to the community. This relationship appears to be an important factor influencing participation by members of ECO.

The feeling by many ECO members that they have an "obligation to finish something they've started" can be seen as a "commitment to self" that is related to general middle-class values embodying a strong "work-ethic" component. This feeling of need to complete a project, to feel goal-oriented and task-oriented, and to stay with a task or goal until completion is reached is a component of motivation that might be expected from a middle class population such as that which composes ECO. It is apparent from the responses
that this population holds these general values with regard to participation in this organization.

A related issue is that of use of the organization by a minority of individuals (generally housewives) as a means of promoting personal growth by getting out of the house and into contact with people and ideas beyond their own homes. A few of the housewives responded to the question regarding why they participated in ECO by pointing out that the role of housewife engenders some feelings of boredom and some empty time, which these women cope with by becoming involved in voluntary associations in the community. This activity may also fulfill a felt need to contribute to or participate in the community of which these women are members. The very nature of the role of "housewife" provides little opportunity to affect the community in ways other than voluntary organization participation.

Another factor found to be somewhat influential in motivating participation includes the responses by three members that their spouse or friends encouraged them to participate. Smith's (1966) research found the influence of significant others to be a key influence upon voluntary organization participation. This influence is seen as moderately affecting participation in ECO.

To summarize the preceding section, it is useful to point out that Mulford and Klonglan view "effectiveness of the organization" as being a variable associated with members'
attitudes regarding participation. There were no questions in the interview schedule regarding organizational effectiveness. However, most members seemed to feel that organizational effectiveness depends upon degree of participation. They see ECO as a potentially effective tool for building community spirit and initiating problem-solving, and the view seems to be that participation must come first and that organizational effectiveness will follow from initial and sustained participation by people.

Table XXVI presents findings related to members' perceptions about their own effectiveness in the organization and their satisfaction with the group's decision-making processes. As noted in the review of literature, Likert (1961) found that active and effective organizations are characterized by members who feel that they have influence in the organization. Smith and Brown's (1964) findings were similar. Beal's (1956) study emphasized the importance to level of participation of members' feelings of ability to "have a say" in the running of the organization. It is apparent from examining Table XXVI that most members feel that they have at least a moderate degree of influence in the organization and that their ideas are listened to by other members. One member responded, "Yes, I feel accepted," when asked if her ideas were listened to, a response which indicates a relationship between feeling accepted and having influence in the group.
Three persons (15% of the population) stated that they were not satisfied with the decision-making process in the organization. Two respondents felt that the process is haphazard, inefficient, and lacking in structure, and they expressed discomfort with this situation. Another respondent was critical of herself, stating that she feels unsophisticated in expressing her ideas and therefore feels that others do not listen to her. The overall level of satisfaction, however, with the processes of decision-making in the organization appears to be fairly high among the active members studied.

One issue studied with regard to members' attitudes toward participation in ECO was that of opinions of the consultation given to ECO by the consultants from the University of Washington's Division of Community Development. In general, as shown in Table XXVII, the level of satisfaction among members regarding the quality of the consultation is high, with 55% of members rating the quality as "very good," an additional 25% rating it as "fairly good." Of the population of "active" members, 90% felt that the consultation should continue at the same level in the organization's future. Responses of the two individuals who were dissatisfied with the kind of consultation given the organization are included here. One respondent stated that:

The consultants should have been more prepared and listened to what we had to say. They should have listened to us when we told them how many people we could get involved in doing the survey. They (the
consultants) gave us the feeling that the survey was not successful, when in terms of this community, I feel it was very successful. The consultants really used a poor psychological approach.

The other less than fully satisfied respondent stated:

They should have provided more technical and logistical information. They should have given us more options to choose from. If they had presented several models we could have chosen the best parts from all of them and combined them into something workable for our special situation. Instead they presented us with the way it was going to be done and more or less rammed it down our throats. They should have given us more choices so we could have put together a better program.

These criticisms of the consultation from the Division of Community Development are congruent with some research findings about the Division's program as it relates to ECO. A 1970 study published in the Community Development Journal found that the University of Washington Division of Community Development program has problems with the approach to community leadership. Relying on community initiative and using self-help, learn-while-you-do-it techniques, together with the non-directiveness of the consultant, combine to produce unsatisfactory results. The study goes on to point out that the voluntary involvement of people in a lengthy and community-wide development program seems to be too large a burden, with the result being that the "burn-out" rate of citizens is high over the "long haul." The study recommends, among other things, that: (1) the action phase be initiated earlier and operate concurrently with the study phase, (2) an ongoing community development program should
be introduced, and (3) a formal system of evaluation be introduced. Nevertheless, 90% of the "active" ECO members were satisfied with the consultation.

The fact that "burn-out" is a problem with ECO is illustrated by the high rate of attrition that occurred in the first three to five months of the organization's life. It is the opinion of the researchers that the length of the program is a factor associated with this attrition. The researchers concur with the above mentioned recommendations that the action phase be initiated earlier in the course of the program. It is apparent from the data that the "active" members of ECO see Evergreen as a community with problems that need to be solved through community development. It is also apparent that the large majority of these people see the consultation given to the organization as being helpful in meeting the goals of the organization. It is possible that part of the attrition from the organization can be attributed to feelings about the consultation given to the organization, but that issue is beyond the scope of the present research. Some recommendations which address the issue of the consultation given by the Division of Community Development will be presented later in this discussion.

Another issue addressed in this study is that of members' degree of concern with community problems as a possible motivating factor in their decisions to initiate and sustain participation in the organization. As noted earlier,
research has shown that there is a strong relationship between feelings of identification with the community and participation in voluntary organizations. Table XXVIII indicates that respondents had "some concern" in general about community problems in terms of how important these community issues were to their own lives. The fact that these items were not rated as being of "very much concern" may indicate that, although the population may view these items as being important issues for the community, the specific issues do not have as much impact on the lives of the individuals studied. The distinction is an interesting one because it might indicate that people are able, in this instance, to see community concerns as separate and distinct from their own lives. This feeling was expressed by interviewees who stated (with variations), "Well, this item is very important to the community, but it is actually of little importance to me."

Table XXIX indicates the relative importance of each community issue to members of the population. The table shows that the most important issues are: (1) Schools and Education, (2) Community Spirit and Involvement, (3) Land Use, (4) Tax Base, and (5) Availability of State and Local News. The issue of availability of state and local news is a major issue in Clark County because of its proximity to the Portland metropolitan area and the resulting coverage of Oregon news in the area. There are no television stations
and very few radio stations in Vancouver, with the primary Washington state and local news coverage coming from *The Columbian*, Vancouver's only daily newspaper. The fact that television news is primarily oriented to Oregon news, plus the fact that *The Columbian* is the only medium which consistently carries news from the state of Washington, tends to help to engender a homogeneity of attitudes toward Washington state politics.

The fact that these five issues are identified as the most important community concerns may result from the interrelationship of Evergreen's position as a new, rather amorphous community, the problems of Washington's methods of school funding, and the struggle to balance the needs for land use, adequate tax base, and adequate school system. The citizens of Evergreen, and particularly the members of ECO, view these problems in a unique way and seem to hold relatively homogenous attitudes about the high priority of these issues. As noted in the review of literature, studies by Tannenbaum and Backman (1966) and Smith (1967) have indicated that uniformity or homogeneity of attitudes is one aspect of what Smith calls "groupness" and that those who are most active in a voluntary association are likely to hold such uniform attitudes. This homogeneity of attitude regarding the most pressing problems of the Evergreen community characterizes the population of "active" members of ECO.

It seems that the interest in community issues and
problems may be related to the educational and occupational characteristics of this population. The feelings of obligation to serve and of commitment to the community may be part of a general orientation to work and to continued upward mobility typical of members of the "successful" middle class population of a suburban area with significant community problems. Given the formulation that the social characteristics and attitudes of this group of people have predisposed them to participate in such an organization, the question arises as to why other residents of the Evergreen community, with similar social characteristics, have not chosen to participate in this organization. Why have only twenty persons chosen to become "active" in this community group dedicated to the study of community problems and to political and social changes directed at developing solutions to these problems? The answers to these questions are beyond the scope of this study. However, it is possible to discuss some possible issues related to the question.

The study indicates that this group of persons is remarkably homogenous in terms of attitudes about the community, about its significant problems and their solutions, and about participation in voluntary organizations in general and ECO in particular. For example, there is general agreement about the purposes of the organization, its priority projects, and the kind and degree of consultation it should receive from the University of Washington's Division of Community
Development. Also, the members of ECO are in close agreement about the nature of Evergreen's problems and their possible solutions, as the findings indicate. This homogeneity of attitudes, as well as of sociodemographic characteristics, has been found in other studies to characterize voluntary association participants, as indicated in the review of literature.

It is likely that an organization such as ECO needs members that are relatively homogenous with regard to sociodemographic and attitudinal variables, since the instrumental purposes of the organization require general agreement about goals and methods employed by this particular community development program. There are probably more than twenty persons in the Evergreen community with sociodemographic characteristics similar to those possessed by the "active" ECO membership. In a community with a population of more than 30,000 persons, there are probably others with similar attitudes about the problems of the community and their solutions. To verify these speculations would require research beyond the scope of the present study. However, the relevant generalization seems to be that, of the eighty to one hundred persons who began to participate in ECO, these twenty possessed a certain combination of social characteristics and attitudes about themselves, about the community, about voluntary association participation, and about the purposes and policies of the Community Development Division
of the University of Washington. Even more important, perhaps, are the feelings of agreement about the priorities of problems and their possible solutions.

It seems likely, therefore, that this particular combination of relatively homogenous sociodemographic and attitudinal characteristics helped to motivate this population to participate in this particular voluntary organization at this time. Given the finding that ECO's membership is relatively homogenous with respect to sociodemographic and attitudinal characteristics, and given the findings that many such organizations do have members with homogenous characteristics, is it reasonable to expect that persons with widely varying social characteristics and attitudes can or should be recruited to join an organization like ECO? It seems likely that many of the eighty to one hundred residents present at the first two meetings of ECO perceived themselves to be out of fit with either (1) the purposes of the community development program, (2) the necessary attitudes toward the community (its issues or problems), or toward themselves, or (3) the general sociodemographic characteristics that usually accompany active membership in a voluntary organization such as ECO. The implications of this hypothesis for the organization will be discussed later.

Referring again to Table XXIX, it is interesting to note that some of the items which this population sees as being of little importance (sewers, juvenile services, services for the handicapped) are services which Clark County
and Washington state officials have been working to provide in recent years. For example, county juvenile officials have been trying to obtain bond approval to build a new juvenile detention facility for Clark County. For several years, this effort has met with little success.

The fact that employment and job training is rated as a concern near the bottom of the list is testimony to the fact that none of ECO's members are unemployed, another indication of the relative economic stability characterizing this middle-class population. Geriatrics is not seen as a problem, probably because in this group of people with a median age in the middle 30's, most members have not yet experienced having an aged parent's needs to contend with. Also, no member of the population is over 50, so aging is not a personal issue yet for these people. Housing needs are seen as being of some importance by most respondents. This may be due to the high mobility in the area, creating situations where people may have just bought a home or may be thinking of selling their present home.

Table XXX further indicates the homogeneity of attitudes possessed by members of the population. The table is concerned with the answers presented to the question "When you joined ECO, what was Evergreen's main problem?" In response to this item, issues related to problems of community identity are seen by 55% of respondents as Evergreen's main problem. Schools are seen by 35% as the main problem of the community.
Land use planning, as in Table XXIX, is seen as the main problem by one of the respondents. The fact that the two issues of community identity and schools are reversed here might be explained by the difference in emphasis between Items 22 and 23 of the interview schedule. Item 23 asked how important various community issues were to the respondent. Item 22 asked, in effect, what was the most important issue to the Evergreen community. The respondents see identity as the main problem of the community, but the main community problem as it affects their lives (and the life of their family) is that of schools.

The respondents who identify the "community itself" as the main problem area for the community see several main components of the problem. Two respondents see what they term a "lack of organization and planning" in the community's growth to date. Three respondents termed the problem "no sense of community," while four respondents commented on the "lack of community identity," two response categories which seem to be similar, if not identical. Two more respondents saw a "lack of unity, cohesiveness, or focus."

An example of these responses is: "Evergreen is not a community in terms of common goals or identity."

Table XXI indicates the priorities of projects which the "active" members would like ECO to undertake. The three highest priority projects are (1) schools, (2) land use, and (3) increasing the tax base by attracting "clean, light industry" to the area. A possible reason why "community
spirit" is not among the prioritized projects may be that the respondents see "community spirit" as a more abstract, less tangible kind of goal than are the issues of improved school financing and development of an adequate tax base. "Community spirit" may be seen as a goal that becomes a rather nebulous item when seeking to develop a project for an organization to undertake. Furthermore, members probably perceive community spirit and involvement to be a condition which would begin to develop as the community begins to identify and work on more concrete, specific projects.

The interrelationship of the school finance problem, the tax base problem, and the problem of land use and planning is again demonstrated by the high ranking of these three items as community concerns. Another area which elicited a relatively high degree of interest as a project was that of insuring adequate public services. In this category, respondents included such services as roads, sidewalks, sewers, drainage, garbage, police, fire, and lighting (not prioritized). Table XXXI indicates that "adequate services" ranks equally with "increase tax base" as a priority project. However, a larger total number of respondents (8) are concerned with tax base than are those (6) who are concerned with adequate services.

The final topic for consideration here is the last item on the interview schedule, which invited respondents to make any desired comments on the interview topics or about
the organization. Of the twenty respondents, seven made no comment. One respondent stated: "I feel that my neighbors are negative about my involvement. They suspect that I have ulterior motives." This respondent seems to be concerned that others will see participation in ECO as a way of advancing political gain within the community.

A general response made by several people to this item was the feeling that more people should have become involved in ECO and taken part in its activities. Several respondents also expressed discouragement with the apathy toward community issues that they found in other people in the community. Some members of the population viewed the formation of ECO as being a positive step toward helping improve community spirit and identity.

In order to summarize the data gathered in this study, the following composite description of the "typical" ECO member was developed. It is recognized that such a description is a generalization of the large amount of data that has been presented and discussed, and that no such member exists in reality. However, such a generalization may be a useful way to summarize the findings of the study.

The "typical" ECO member is a married woman living in the Crestline or Burton area of the Evergreen School District. She has three children, ages 18, 13, and 11, and two of them are in the public schools. She and her husband have lived in the district almost four years, and moved into their present
home, which they are buying, a little over three years ago.

This ECO member is 36 years old and is a housewife, with some college education. Her husband is employed in the Clark County area, has some education beyond high school, and is earning $17,500 per year. Their religious preference is Protestant, probably Methodist.

In the political area, the typical ECO member is fairly active. She is registered to vote and has voted in the past year. She is affiliated with a political party and in the past four years has helped to campaign actively for two candidates, one of whom was a candidate for the school board. She has helped circulate initiatives or petitions in the past two years and has attended three to ten public meetings in the past year. At least one of these meeting was a school board meeting.

The typical ECO member considers herself to be moderately active in ECO, and is also a member of one other community or service organization, most likely CUE. She has been active in community organizations for about five years. Her husband, while not belonging to ECO, probably belongs to one similar organization, probably CUE.

Before this respondent joined ECO she knew 10% or less of those who have participated in the group. Her friends have neither encouraged nor discouraged her participation, but her family encourages her community involvement in general and does not resent the time and energy which she spends participating in ECO.
The EGO member joined EGO out of a desire to improve community spirit and identity and to help solve community problems. She felt that, in some way, her participation would broaden her perspective and make her a better person. When she joined, she hoped to learn what others in the community felt were the main needs of the community and what the facts were concerning these needs.

She sees the community problems as centering on schools, community spirit and identity, and land use. If she could choose projects for EGO to work on, she would attack school problems first, land use and planning second, and attracting clean, light industry to improve the tax base, third.

The EGO member remains active in EGO because the problems of the community have not yet been solved and because of a sense of obligation to complete an activity once she starts it. She sees local government officials as taking a "wait and see" stance relative to EGO, but she feels that they are basically supportive.

The decision-making process occurring at EGO is satisfactory to this respondent, and she feels that her ideas are listened to and taken into account by the group, although she feels that she only has a moderate amount of influence in the group. She thinks that the University of Washington consultants have served the group very well and that they should continue to provide the same kind and degree of
guidance in the future.

In general, the active ECO member has a positive and even optimistic view of ECO. She states that more people have become involved already, although she continues to be discouraged by the general lack of interest in issues in the community. She feels that the organization is at a new starting point now and is hopeful that it will now progress into the study and action phases.
CHAPTER VI

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Evergreen Community Organization has been in existence for slightly over a year at the time of this writing. The "active" members of the organization still form the basic nucleus of the group, with a few changes in membership. The characteristics of this "active" core of members have been studied and presented in this discussion.

This study began with the premise that the "active" members of the Evergreen Community Organization had certain characteristics which are definable and subject to study. The relevant characteristics under study were (1) socio-demographic characteristics of members, and (2) attitudinal factors with regard to self, significant others, the community, and the organization which motivate and sustain participation in ECO. The population of "active" ECO members was defined and a research instrument was drafted on the basis of previous research done in the field of voluntary association. The instrument was administered to the population, the results were tabulated, presented, and discussed in terms of their content, their relationship to previous research, and their implications for the organization under study.
The single most striking general feature of the population of "active" ECO members with regard to their socio-demographic characteristics is their solidly middle-class nature. As indicated previously, the population is middle-class in terms of income, education, occupation, and interests. The data obtained in this study conforms in general to other research findings regarding social characteristics of members of formal voluntary organizations oriented to community service. The fact that this organization is an instrumental (goal and task oriented) community service organization makes it likely that well-educated, middle-income persons of high occupational status will participate to a greater extent than will members at either of the other extremes of the socioeconomic scale. Some of the reasons for differences in participation level between social classes were discussed in the review of literature, but a full development of theory concerning the reasons for differences in voluntary organization participation is beyond the scope of this study. This population does conform to the findings by other researchers that participation in such organizations generally comes from persons with the social characteristics found in the "active" members of this organization.

The attitudinal and motivational factors which induce individual participation in this organization and which sustain that participation once it is initiated provided the
second focus for descriptive analysis with regard to the population of "active" ECO members. The most salient generalization about the population's attitudes and motivations to participate in this organization appears to be the presence of a general feeling of obligation to the community. This obligation seems to stem from several sources, including a significant degree of interest in community affairs, which includes political activity and membership in other voluntary organizations. The authors believe that the obligation to serve is also related to the possession of a significant amount of information about community issues and problems, and the subsequent formation of opinions about community needs. It seems likely that the factors which motivate participation are also the factors which sustain it.

It is the opinion of the researchers that this study confirmed findings from other studies regarding sociodemographic and attitudinal characteristics of participants in community service oriented voluntary associations. It is also recognized that, since the study is unique to the Evergreen community, it focused upon events and activities unique to the community at this time. The findings, however, are likely to be especially applicable to community development organizations in other areas which face a perceived need for community development consultation and activity.

Several issues were raised in this study which might have implications for the future course of this organization
and for the community development program as conceived by the Community Development Division of the University of Washington. Some of these issues were introduced earlier in the description of the loss of membership experienced by ECO. The hypothesis that persons who dropped out of the organization did so because of their perceived lack of compatibility with the organization was discussed. Another possible reason for membership loss involves the problem of time available for participation. Some potential members may see themselves as fitting into the organization well, but may choose not to participate because they lack the time to do so. The remainder of the discussion will focus upon some recommendations that might be employed by the organization and its consultants in order to enhance the possibilities of attracting and holding members who do have the time to participate and contribute to the organization and its purposes.

Several possibilities exist for social action professionals or the membership of voluntary organizations when faced with a situation of loss of membership. The first possibility is to continue with the membership which remains loyal to the stated goals and programs of the organization. This possibility assumes that the goals and programs remain relatively stable over time and that the goals and programs can be accomplished with a relatively small group of people. Second, the organization can mount a recruiting drive in an
attempt to involve persons with diversified social characteristics and attitudes about the community. Third, the organization can undertake a recruiting drive aimed at persons in the community with social characteristics and attitudes similar to those of the existing membership. Fourth, the organization can modify its goals or programs in an attempt to attract new members.

It is possible to evaluate these alternatives in view of the findings of this study and those reviewed in the literature. The first alternative expressed above appears to be the course that the organization is currently following. The original community development program is proceeding, and the active core of members is basically the same group as was identified as active in the spring of 1975, with only a few changes in membership. Since the study indicated basic satisfaction among "active" members with the organization's goals and concepts, the alternative of continuing with this core of "active" members is a reasonable one. However, several members commented upon the need for broader involvement in the organization in order to accomplish the goals of community development and beginning to find solutions to community problems, especially the creation of community spirit, identity, and solidarity, which are high priority goals.

The program as outlined by the consultants has the goal of involvement of as many persons as possible in the community
development process, which was one important goal of the "community-wide survey" given by the organization in October 1975. Assuming that a maximally successful program of community development by this organization is desired; and assuming that such a program depends upon involvement of more people, the organization and its consultants need to consider the alternatives presented above.

The second alternative includes the possibility of undertaking a drive to involve a broader and more representative group of community residents in ECO's activities. Given the research which demonstrates the high degree of homogeneity of this population, such an alternative needs to be examined in terms of the effects upon the organization that could result from the introduction of members with sociodemographic and attitudinal characteristics different than those of the present "active" members. It may be that an organization such as ECO is unable to maintain itself as an organization if a more heterogenous membership is engaged in participation. It may also be that such an infusion of heterogeneity is what the organization most needs at this stage of development.

The third alternative open to the membership and the consultants is to increase membership by recruiting members with sociodemographic and attitudinal characteristics similar to those of the present membership. It seems that such an effort is a necessary first step in attempting to build a
more solid, cohesive organization. In the opinion of the authors, the problems of the Evergreen area have not changed materially since the Evergreen Community Organization was formed. If ECO is to remain a viable organization, it needs to seek a larger, more widespread membership of community people. However, it is the opinion of the researchers that the fourth alternative needs to be considered.

The fourth alternative includes the possibility of modification of the goals or program of the organization in an attempt to attract new members. The researchers concur with several of the recommendations of Court in his evaluation of the University of Washington's Community Development Division published in the *Community Development Journal*. This evaluation cited the problems of reliance on community initiative and "self-help" and the non-directiveness of the consultants as combining to produce non-satisfactory results. Also, the lengthy nature of the program tends to produce citizen "burn-out," causing loss of membership over the "long haul." As noted previously, that study recommends that the action phase be initiated earlier and operate concurrently with the study phase. The present researchers concur with that recommendation. First, it is felt that such a step would help to attract members who are interested in taking more immediate action on community problems. While the emphasis of ECO upon study of problems is important and indeed crucial to an ongoing program of community development,
it is felt by the researchers that the severity of problems as identified by the "active" members warrants an emphasis upon action in conjunction with study on the part of the organization. It is felt that the more deliberate pace of ECO's activities is perceived by potential members as being detrimental to the taking of immediate action to solve immediate problems.

The recommendations of the researchers are based upon the findings about the attitudes and sociodemographic characteristics of this population of "active" ECO members. These recommendations include: (1) Initiate programs of action concurrently with study of community problems. Effective social work or community action practice often depends upon taking the data available, making an attempt at intervention, and assessing the intervention results before attempting further action. It is felt by the researchers that if ECO were to become a strong, action-oriented organization, the result would be increased efficiency in the use of available power, much of which is now concentrated on taking care of immediate community problems in a fragmented, haphazard fashion. (2) Initiate recruiting programs that will identify and attract potential members to the organization. It seems necessary to first recruit more members who share the sociodemographic and attitudinal characteristics of the present members, in order to build a more stable organizational base of relatively homogenous members. It may be that successful
community development in Evergreen will depend upon a broader, more heterogeneous movement and upon a correspondingly broader membership in ECO. However, it is premature to attempt to develop such an organization or movement until the present organization has a larger, more stable, relatively homogenous membership base.

It is the opinion of the authors that the voluntary association of citizens represents a useful, viable method of community development. More research is needed to concentrate upon (1) how to meaningfully involve citizens in an effective program of community development through voluntary association, and (2) what kinds of consultation from social workers, planners, and other human service and community development professionals will be most useful to the organization of citizens interested in community development.

This study, together with other research examined in the review of literature, has presented a view of the kind of person who becomes involved in community action oriented voluntary associations. It is necessary for further practice and research to be directed toward fuller, more efficient utilization by professionals of the time and talents of persons involved in community action voluntary associations.

This study will be concluded by quoting from a comment made by one of the "active" ECO members in response to the final item in the interview with the researchers. The respondent stated: "We've accomplished something and I feel
good about it. We're at a beginning point—the real work is yet to come . . . "
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APPENDIX I

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

Date ________________ Circle respondent's sex M F
1. How long have you lived in the Evergreen area? ________________
2. What elementary school area did you live in last spring? ________________
3. How long have you lived in your present home? ________________
4. Are you buying or renting your home? Buying _____ Renting _____
5. What is your approximate age? ________________
6. What is your marital status? Single ______ Married ______ Separated ______ Divorced ______ Widowed ______
7. Do you have any children? Yes ____ No ____
   IF YES TO NUMBER 7--
   8. How many? ________________
   9. What are the ages of your children? ________________
   10. Are any of them in the public schools? Yes _____ No _____
IF YES TO NUMBER 10--

11. How many? __________

12. Are you employed . . . full time?____, part time?____, no____.

13. What kind of work do (did) you do? (Include "housewife")

________________________

IF EMPLOYED--

14. What town or area do you work in?______________

15. How many years of education do you have?

   No high school ______
   Some high school ______
   High school graduate ______
   Trade or technical school ______
   Some college ______
   College graduate ______
   Post college work ______
   Master's degree ______
   Law degree ______
   Doctoral degree ______

16. What is your religious preference, if you have any?

________________________

IF MARRIED--"I'D LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND/WIFE NOW."

17. Is he/she employed . . . full time?____, part time?____, no____.
18. What kind of work does (did) he/she do?
(Include housewife)

IF EMPLOYED--

19. What town or area does he/she work in?

20. How many years of education does your husband/wife have?

No high school
Some high school
High school graduate
Trade or technical school
Some college
College graduate
Post college work
Master's degree
Law degree
Doctoral degree

(END OF QUESTIONS CONCERNING SPOUSE)
21. Here is a card with some income figures on it. Please tell me the letter which corresponds to your total income (including yourself and your spouse).

Card given to respondent:

A. Under $10,000
B. $10,000 - $14,999
C. $15,000 - $19,999
D. $20,000 - $24,999
E. $25,000 - $29,999
F. $30,000 - $34,999
G. $35,000 - $39,999
H. $40,000 or more

22. When you joined ECO, if you could have chosen only one area, what would you have said was Evergreen's main problem?
23. Please rate the following items in terms of your own life at this time. (Give response card.) We are not concerned with how these issues impact the community but rather their importance to you as an individual. (Code no response as 0)

- Geriatrics and nursing homes
- Land values
- Water supply and rates
- Drug and alcohol abuse treatment
- Mental health services
- Law enforcement and corrections
- Tax base
- Ecology and the environment
- Garbage and waste disposal
- Land use
- Availability of state and local news
- Street improvement and construction including sidewalks and walkways
- Parks and recreation
- Industrial-business base
- Child care
- Sewers
- Juvenile services
- Schools and education
- Community spirit and involvement
- Housing needs
- Health services including both medical and dental
- Employment and job training
- Services for the handicapped

(Read top to bottom--bottom to top every other time.)
Card given to respondent:

1. Very important
2. Of some importance
3. Not important

24. Are you registered to vote? Yes _____
   No _____

IF YES TO QUESTION 24--

25. Have you voted within the last year? _____
   2 years? _____
   3 years? _____
   4 years? _____

IF NO TO QUESTION 24--

26. Are you eligible to register? Yes _____
   No _____
   Don't know _____

27. Are you affiliated with a political party? Yes _____
   No _____
   Unsure _____

28. Have you actively campaigned for any candidate within the past 4 years? Yes _____
   No _____

IF YES TO QUESTION 28--

29. What offices did these candidates run for?
30. Have you helped circulate any initiatives or petitions within the past 2 years?  
Yes _____  
No _____

31. Have you attended any governmental public meetings within the last year? (Such as county hearings, school board meetings, county commission meetings, etc.)  
Yes_____  
No_____  

IF YES TO QUESTION 31--

32. What kind of meetings did you attend?

33. Did you attend . . . less than 3 meetings? ______  
3-10 meetings? ______  
11-20 meetings? ______  
more than 20 meetings? ______

34. To what community or service organizations other than ECO do you now belong, if any?
I'm married—

35. Does your husband/wife belong to ECO? Yes _____
No _____

36. To what community or service organizations (other than ECO) does he/she now belong, if any?

37. How long have you been active in community and service organizations as an adult? Less than 1 year ______
1 to 3 years ______
3 to 6 years ______
6 years or more ______

38. Did you belong to CUE (Citizens United for Evergreen) last school year? Yes _____
No _____
Don't know ______

39. Do you see yourself as having been very active, moderately active, or not very active in ECO? Very active_____
Moderately active_____
Not very active_____

40. Which ECO committee did you belong to last spring, if any?

  Boundary ______
  Communication______
  Questionnaire______
  None ______

41. Were you an officer of any kind in ECO last spring?

  Yes _____
  No _____

42. Why did you decide to participate in ECO?

43. About how many people in ECO did you know before you joined the group?  Less than 10% ______

  10% ______
  25% ______
  50% ______
  75% ______
  Almost all ______
44. Have any of your friends joined the group at your suggestion? Yes _____ No _____

45. The number of people attending ECO has decreased since the first two meetings. What is it that kept you coming last spring instead of dropping out as many others did?

46. At the time that you joined ECO did you think that you might be able to learn new things by participating in the group? Yes _____ No _____ Don't know _____

If yes to question 46--

47. What did you hope to learn?
48. Do you feel that participation in EGO can be helpful to you in your job or career?  
   Yes _____
   No _____
   Don't know _____

IF YES TO QUESTION 48--

49. How?

50. As you work with EGO, do you feel that your ideas are listened to and taken into account by the group? Yes _____
   No _____
   Don't know _____

51. How much do you feel that you are able to influence what happens in EGO? Very much _____
   Moderately _____
   Very little _____

52. Are you satisfied with the decision making process which occurs at EGO? Yes _____
   No _____
   Don't know _____
53. Why not?

54. If you had to decide what issues or projects ECO should work on, which would you place first, second, and third?

1. __________________________________________

2. __________________________________________

3. __________________________________________

55. How well do you think that the University of Washington consultants are serving ECO? 

   Very well ______
   Fairly well ______
   Okay ______
   Fairly poorly ______
   Very poorly ______
   No opinion ______
56. Should ECO receive more, less, or about the same kind of degree of guidance from the consultants?  

  More ______

  Less ______

  Same ______

  No opinion ______

**IF MORE IN QUESTION 56--**

57. What form should this assistance take?

**IF LESS IN QUESTION 56--**

58. In what areas should the consultants de-emphasize their role?
59. (Give respondent card) On this card are a number of statements which describe some of the possible ways which other people might react to your participation in ECO. Would you please read them and then tell me which sentence best describes the way that your friends or neighbors view your participation? ______

IF EMPLOYED--

How about the people where you work? ______

And your family? ______

Card given to respondent:

1. They encourage my community involvement in general.
2. They are especially interested in my work with this particular group.
3. They neither encourage nor discourage my activities.
4. They are unaware of my participation.
5. They discourage my activities.
6. They are critical of my association with this group.
7. They have not expressed any feelings.
60. Does your family seem to resent the time and energy which are required by your participation in ECO?

Very definitely ______
Yes somewhat ______
Unsure ______
No ______
Absolutely not ______

61. Which of the following statements do you think best describes how local government officials view ECO?

(Circle answer number)

1. They are unaware of ECO.
2. They have a "wait-and-see" attitude.
3. They are hopeful that ECO will achieve its goals.
4. They are eager to assist ECO in any way.
5. No opinion.

62. Do you have any other comments you'd like to make concerning the kinds of things we've been discussing?
APPENDIX II

INTRODUCTORY LETTER TO RESPONDENTS

October 8, 1975

Dear Fellow ECO Member:

The purpose of this letter is to enlist your cooperation in a research project which we have undertaken as part of our Master's Degree program in the School of Social Work at Portland State University. We are making a study of ECO members related to their participation in ECO last spring. You have been selected as a member of the group under study. We will contact you by phone to arrange a convenient time for a half hour interview to take place beginning October 13th.

We would appreciate your cooperation in this research project, and you may be assured that your responses will be completely confidential--the data will be compiled without use of the names of respondents. We look forward to interviewing you next week, and we will be contacting you soon.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Doug Lehrman
Jan Abrams
APPENDIX III

FOLLOW-UP LETTER TO RESPONDENTS

November 13, 1975

Dear

Thank you for allowing us to interview you recently regarding your participation in the Evergreen Community Organization (ECO). We appreciate your having taken the time to talk with us and to help us with our research.

We are presently compiling and analyzing the data received from the interviews. We will present the results of our study sometime this winter, probably at an ECO meeting, in case you are interested in knowing what we learn about the members of ECO as a group. (Individual replies to questions will be confidential--the data will be analyzed for the group as a whole.)

Again, thank you for your time and assistance in our research project.

Sincerely,

Jan Abrams
Doug Lehrman