Portland State University

PDXScholar

Dissertations and Theses

Dissertations and Theses

7-12-1991

The Effect of Urbanization and Modernization on Family Structure in Oman

Sultan M. Al-Hashmi
Portland State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/open_access_etds

Part of the [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#), and the [Near and Middle Eastern Studies Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Al-Hashmi, Sultan M., "The Effect of Urbanization and Modernization on Family Structure in Oman" (1991). *Dissertations and Theses*. Paper 4123.
<https://doi.org/10.15760/etd.6007>

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dissertations and Theses by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF Sultan M. Al-Hashmi for the Master of Arts in Sociology presented July 12, 1991.

Title: The Effect of Urbanization and Modernization on Family Structure in Oman.

APPROVED BY THE MEMBERS OF THE THESIS COMMITTEE:

Lee J. Haggerty, Chair

Grant M. Farr

Leonard D Cain

James R. Strathman

This thesis was designed to describe family change in Oman as it undergoes urbanization and modernization. A survey questionnaire to measure these changes was developed. Some questions were developed in two forms for a comparison of family change across two generations. Respondents were asked to answer the questions as they applied to their current family situation. They were then asked to consider, according to their best recollections, what the situation was in their parents' generation.

The major areas of concern were to determine the extent of family structural change and to what degree extended family, modified extended, and nuclear family systems exist

in Oman today compared with the parental generation. Other areas examined were participation in and strength of the family as well as how child and elderly care are dealt with in the modern city of Muscat.

The survey questionnaire was distributed to three hundred government employees working in Muscat, the capital city of the Sultanate of Oman. There were 215 responses received and used in the final analysis. Results suggest only a slight change in the presence of extended family structure between the parental and present generation from 51.2% to 42.8% respectively. The study also indicates that about twice as many current families in the capital are nuclear families compared to their parents' generation. On the other hand, twice as many families outside the capital city are extended families compared to families in Muscat. There is also a strong family participation among family members both in and outside the capital area. Finally, the study showed that both child and elderly care are largely a family matter. The oldest son and daughter are expected to provide financial and housing assistance for their parents. Grandparents are preferred for child care help.

Modernization theory suggests that rapid urban and modernization, such as Oman has experienced in the past twenty years, would result in a nuclear family form supplanting traditional extended families. Although extended families are less frequent in the present generation, modern communication and transportation technology has enhanced close family relations among kin, such that the modified extended form of the nuclear family is now more common than the Western nuclear form. The isolated nuclear family, which modernization theory suggests will emerge, is actually less common in Oman now than in the previous generation.

THE EFFECT OF URBANIZATION AND MODERNIZATION ON FAMILY
STRUCTURE IN OMAN

by
SULTAN M. AL-HASHMI

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF ARTS
in
SOCIOLOGY

Portland State University
1991

TO THE OFFICE OF GRADUATE STUDIES:

The members of the Committee approve the thesis of Sultan M. Al-Hashmi
presented July 12, 1991.

Lee J. Haggerty, Chair

Grant M. Farr

Leonard D. Cain

James R. Strathman

APPROVED:

Grant M. Farr, Chair, Department of Sociology

C. William Savery, Vice Provost for Graduate Studies and Research

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to thank the Sultan Qaboos University for providing me with a scholarship to continue my education. Second, I would like to express my thank and deep appreciation to my committee members. Dr. Haggerty for his help from the beginning to the end of this thesis. His computer expertise is especially appreciated. Dr. Farr for his expertise in the Middle East and for his help, despite his busy schedule as chair of the Sociology Department. Dr. Cain for his critical analysis. His inspiration beyond numbers made me aware of many facts. I also thank Dr. Strathman, the graduate office representative. His comments were very valuable. Third, my thanks and appreciation go to all men and women who participated in answering the survey questionnaire. Without their time and effort, this research would not have been possible. I am greatly in debt to everyone of them. I also thank very much Wayne McFetridge for putting this thesis in the final form and for his patience with my handwriting. Love and appreciation also go to my family and friends both in Portland, Oregon and Oman. Mr. and Mrs. Whitney for their encouragement and hospitality while my family was in the United States. My brothers Said, Khamis, Raished, and Salem gave me all the support I needed while I was doing the survey (they were real modified extended family members). A special thanks go to my mother and step father for their continuing love and support. Finally, my love and thanks go to my nuclear family; my wife Laura and our children Nasra, Faris, and Raya. Thank you all for being so patient during the rough times.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS iii

LIST OF TABLES vi

CHAPTER

I	INTRODUCTION	1
	Housing and Land Development in Oman	2
	Social Housing	4
	Oman Housing Bank	5
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	9
III	METHODOLOGY	20
	Description of the Survey and Setting	20
	Limitations and Problems	22
	Method of Entering Data	23
IV	ANALYSIS OF DATA AND RESULTS	25
	Family Structure	25
	Present Generation	29
	Family Participation	33
	Child Care	38
	Elderly Care	42

V	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	46
	Conclusion	49
	REFERENCES.....	52
	APPENDIX	
A	SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE (ENGLISH VERSION)	55
B	SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE (ARABIC VERSION)	66

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I	Housing Development Relative to Total Investment in Million Rials Omani (M.R.O.) R.O. = \$2.60	3
II	Total Government Provided Social Houses by Location	5
III	Distribution of 1989 Loans Compared with 1988 Loans	6
IV	Distribution of Housing Bank Loans up to 1989 by Location	7
V	Participant Ministries and Number of Survey Questionnaires Distributed	22
VI	Family Type by Generation	26
VII	Cumulative Percent Living at Given Distances from Relatives, by Generation	27
VIII	Visiting Relatives	29
IX	Family Type by Living Area (Present Generation)	30
X	Family Type by Born and Raised Area (Present Generation)	30
XI	Family Type by Type of Housing (Present Generation)	31
XII	Family Type by Age	32
XIII	Family Type by Father's Level of Education	32
XIV	Reason For Living In This Area	33
XV	If You Were Given Land or Housing Closer to Your Relatives, Do You Think it Would Help to Strengthen Family Relations?	34
XVI	Kind and Total Percentage of Help Provided In The Last Year	35
XVII	Percentage of Hours Spent In Activities The Last Two Weeks	38

XVIII	Average Hours of Childcare Received Last Week from:	39
XIX	Preference of Childcare Source (1 = Highest, 6 = Lowest)	40
XX	Distribution of Family Monthly Income and Family Preference In Having Foreign Housekeepers (Child Care)	40
XXI	Housekeeper by Wife Working Survey Result	41
XXII	Foreign Housekeeper Effects On Child	42
XXIII	Total Percentage of Family Having Elderly Who Cannot Care For Him/Herself	42
XXIV	Kind of Help Most Elderly Need	43
XXV	Who offers Most Help To Elderly In the Family (1 = Most, 8 = Least)	43
XXVI	Attitudes About Who Should Be Responsible For Elderly Care	44
XXVII	From Whom Do You Expect Care When You Become Elderly (1 = Most, 8 = Least)	45

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This thesis will look at the effects of urbanization and modernization on family structure in Muscat, the capital of the Sultanate of Oman. Both urbanization and modernization have stimulated changes in Oman's family structures and functions (Edwards, 1969; Meadows, 1974; and Danesh, 1985). It is expected that structural family patterns will show more "modern" forms than will the norms and values of family life. The three major tasks of concern are: 1) to determine the extent of family structural change, whether extended, modified-extended, or nuclear, and factors associated with such change; 2) family size (fertility) and child care patterns, especially with mothers working to meet modern economic needs; and 3) the upcoming question of the elderly; is it still the children's responsibility to provide care, or the government's, or both?

As recently as 20 years ago, Oman was categorized as an undeveloped "Third World" nation. Oman is a newcomer to modernization in terms of both economic and social development. For the last 20 years, Oman has been rated by many as one of the fastest developing nations. One reason is that the new modern government in Oman, led by his majesty Sultan Qaboos bin Said, had to start from traditionally oriented institutions with very limited resources. Since then, the development in economic sectors, especially oil, has made it possible for the Omani government to develop its old towns and capital and to build new modern towns. Infrastructures such as roads, ports (sea and air), modern hospitals, and schools have also had a high priority. The government institutions developed from very limited numbers of buildings and employees. Historians of development in Oman cite the example of how Oman started in 1970 from a few

kilometers of roads, three schools, and two hospitals. Today there are 772 schools with over 400,000 male and female students, including one university and many other technical schools and colleges, over 47 hospitals, and over 4,000 km of asphalt roads (18,000 km graded) in the nation, which has a total area of 300,000 square km.

The development of the government sector attracted many Omanis from villages and small towns to work in the government departments in the capital city of Muscat. Some of those in-migrants had never been outside of Oman, but others were returning from neighboring Gulf States having had some exposure to city life. Still others were returning migrants from East Africa. These in-migrants today, together with those who had settled previously in Muscat, form a very heterogeneous society.

HOUSING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT IN OMAN

Perhaps one of the most important factors that effect the family is housing. Housing is not only important for the family in terms of house size, but in location of the house with respect to other relatives and kin.

Since its early modern development beginning around 1970, the government of Oman has been giving close attention to the service sector in general and housing in particular. Therefore, the relative importance of the service sector comes second to the goods producing sector in all the government's social and economic plans. Table I shows the total investment during the years from 1983 to 1989 and the percentage of the relative importance of service and housing sector investment for both government and private sectors.

Although each ministry or department has a housing development program, the most specialized are in the Ministry of Housing and The Oman Housing Bank. Before 1970 many living arrangements, especially outside the capital, were built in a traditional way. Most of the houses in the villages were built with local material because cement

was not available. There was only one electric power station in Muscat and most of the houses were unhealthy by modern standards. Furthermore, until recently, diseases such as leprosy and malaria were not under control.

TABLE I
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT RELATIVE TO TOTAL INVESTMENT IN
MILLION RIALS OMANI (M.R.O.)
R.O. = \$2.60

	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	TOTAL
Gross Capital Investment	736.9	913.2	953.1	898.4	564.3	511.1	441.4	5018.1 100%
Total & Percentage Investment in Service Sector Relative to Gross Capital Investment	186.3 25.3%	232.5 25.5%	235.6 24.7%	188.0 20.9%	128.4 22.8%	116.3 22.8%	100.9 22.9%	1188.0 23.7%
Total & Percentage Investment in Housing Relative to Gross Capital Investment	85.0 11.5%	79.5 8.7%	81.6 8.6%	70.4 7.8%	42.0 7.4%	45.1 8.8%	45.6 10.3%	449.2 9.0%
Percent Housing Investment Relative to Service Sector Investment	45.6%	34.2%	34.6%	37.4%	32.7%	38.8%	45.2%	37.8%

Source: Central Bank of Oman Annual Reports 1988, 1989.

Since housing is one of the basic necessities for healthy living, it was one of the early concerns of the government. And, since most of the land in Oman is state-owned, the government set up the Ministry of Housing to implement the general policies of land development. Every citizen can apply for a piece of land from the government to build a house for his family.

General policies of the Ministry of Housing are to disregard social class differences between citizens, to implement the land distribution and housing development policies to provide decent land for all citizens and to encourage family ties through

housing arrangements. Some of these policies are difficult to meet, especially with land limitations. For example, people can not necessarily get land near their extended family because land is usually distributed by a draw system to ensure fairness between people.

SOCIAL HOUSING

The Ministry of Housing is also in charge of a Social Housing Program set up to help low income families. This policy helps applicants between 21 and 60 years of age who have a total annual income of less than 1,200 R.O. (\$3,120). The rate of monthly installments should not exceed 25 R.O. In fact, many families that can not pay back at such a rate are exempt on many occasions. From 1975 to 1985, there were 5,700 houses built and distributed by the ministry. The ministry allocated 21 million R.O. for the third five-year plan (1986 – 1990) for the housing assistance and rehabilitation which is also part of the ministry's projects. Table II shows the distribution of Social Houses by location. This type of housing is usually built on a compound area with several units on one large parcel of land. There are usually facilities such as a mosque, shops, and a clinic. The problem with this kind of housing is that extended families get separated. If, for example, a married son obtained one of these houses, his parents or relatives would not be able to live with him. These houses are usually small and not designed to accommodate a large family, although some rooms can be added. But, because the house itself is built in a compound, the small amount of land area adjacent to houses cannot accommodate extended family activity. Another problem is that such houses are now more disbursed because large parcels of land are becoming difficult to locate nearby. Therefore, owners of such a house will have to live further from relatives or extended families than desired. Again, these houses are distributed on a random basis through drawings.

TABLE II
TOTAL GOVERNMENT PROVIDED SOCIAL HOUSES BY LOCATION

Location	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Total Units	Total Cost (M.R.O.)
Capital (Muscat)	—	2504	196	2700	64.5
Al-Janubín	280	309	—	589	9.2
Al-Dakhiliyah	—	464	50	514	9.6
Al-Shargiyah	784	346	—	1130	11.5
Al-Batinah	60	516	—	576	9.1
Al-Dahinah	168	442	—	610	7.6
Musandam	48	178	—	224	2.6
Total	1340	4757	246	63463	114.2
Total Capital				2700 42.6%	64.6 56.6%
Total Other Regions				3643 57.4%	49.5 43.4%

Source: Statistical Year Book; Development Council, 1990

OMAN HOUSING BANK

The Housing Bank, established in 1977, is 60.9% government stockholders and was established to provide loans to Omani citizens whose income is higher than those in the first category. People in this category usually hold stable jobs either in the government or in the private sector. The main purpose of the Housing Bank is to encourage urban development by providing loans. From 1977 to the end of 1989, the Bank has given 12,870 loans amounting to 181,082,388 R.O. (Oman Housing Bank Annual Report, p. 12, 1989). About 8,600 loans were given to lower income groups.

Table III shows the distribution of loans approved in 1989 compared with 1988 as well as the distribution by income category.

TABLE III
DISTRIBUTION OF 1989 LOANS COMPARED WITH 1988 LOANS

Monthly Income R.O.	Loans Approved During 1989				Loans Approved During 1988			
	Number	%	Amount RO	%	Number	%	Amount RO	%
Up to 200	194	20.0	1,656,600	10.4	171	18.2	1,485,400	9.3
Above 200 to 400	479	49.5	7,312,500	45.7	464	49.3	7,245,200	45.3
Above 400 to 600	212	21.9	4,747,600	29.7	215	22.9	4,652,700	29.0
Above 600 to 800	40	4.1	1,063,700	6.6	61	6.5	1,739,500	10.9
Above 800 to 1000	16	1.7	496,600	3.1	18	1.9	561,700	3.5
Above 1000	27	2.8	723,000	4.5	11	1.2	315,200	2.0
TOTAL	968	100	16,000,000	100	940	100	16,000,000	100

Rules set up by the Bank are that those who have monthly incomes of 400.00 R.O. or less will have 20 years to repay their loans. Loan recipients who earn above 400 R.O. monthly will have 15 years to repay. All should repay their loans before they reach the age of 60. It is also Bank policy that no loan should exceed 35,000 R.O. and a husband and wife can have a joint loan if they earn separate incomes, no more than this amount. It should also be mentioned that the government pays part of the loan's interest. For example, those who earn less the 500.00 R.O. monthly pay 4% and the government pays 6% of the interest. The government's share of interest decreases as the loan's balance becomes lower. Table IV shows the cumulative loans up to 1989, including main and regional branches.

Although the housing bank encourages loans to regions outside Muscat in an effort to slow migration to the capital and to help develop other regions, many

TABLE IV
DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSING BANK LOANS UP TO 1989 BY LOCATION

Location	Loans Cumulative Up To 1989			
	Total Loans	Percent	Amount (M.R.O.)	Percent
Capital (Main Branch)	7684	59.7	111.5	61.6
Al-Janubin	2534	19.7	35.2	19.4
Al-Dakhilah	486	3.8	6.6	3.7
Al-Shargiah	843	6.6	11.2	6.2
Al-Batinah	1130	8.7	14.2	7.8
Al-Dahinah	76	0.6	1.0	0.5
Musandam	117	0.9	1.4	0.8
Total	12870	100.0	181.1	100.0
Total Capital	7684	59.7	111.5	61.6
Total Other Regions	5186	40.3	69.6	38.4

Source: Oman Housing Bank Annual Report, 1989

government employees who settled in Muscat are forced to build their houses in Muscat because of their jobs. Many of them feel the need for a second house in their place of origin because they visit relatives quite often, desire privacy, and thus build less expensive second houses. This problem, however, should diminish because the government is now trying to create more jobs in other regions by opening branches for its

ministries and departments. To implement such a policy, the Housing Bank is opening branches in every region to provide housing loans.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The effect of urbanization and modernization on the family in third world countries has been compared to the effect of industrialization on the family in western societies. Such a comparison, however, should be drawn with caution. The widely held belief, for example, that industrialization in western societies led to the spread of nuclear family forms may not be substantiated when examining the effect of urbanization and modernization on family structure in third world countries (Stone, 1975 and Gittins, 1986). Because of the recency of third world urbanization and modernization, whatever effect they may have on family structure will probably be small compared with those of industrialized countries in the West.

While industrialization and technological revolution in the West provided specialized occupations and freedom of the individual from kinship obligations, urbanization in the third world has provided only limited employment opportunities. Furthermore, urbanization and modernization, as well as a limited government service sector, in third world countries have provided a lower degree of individual mobility in comparison to mobility that accompanies industrialization in the more developed countries.

However, that is not to say that there are fewer social and family changes in third world countries. Brian Berry argues that social change and urbanization in the West were gradual and took over a century. Such changes, however, in contemporary third world nations are moving at faster rates and involve larger populations (Berry, 1981). William Goode suggests that:

“In all parts of the world for the first time in world history all social systems are moving fast or slowly toward some form of the conjugal family system. With industrialization, the traditional family systems, usually extended or joint, are breaking down.” (Goode, 1964, p. 108.)

Goode’s argument about the breakdown of the extended family to a conjugal or nuclear family system caused by industrialization should be looked at carefully when studying social and family changes in third world countries because they have lower rates of industrialization.

Looking back at the history of family change in western societies, many family researchers as well as other social scientists could not agree on how the family has changed. Most sociologists agree that there are two main types of family systems: the extended family consisting of the parents, their married children or grandparents, and other kin is most prevalent in pre-industrial societies; the second type, which is widely believed to dominate highly developed western societies, is the nuclear family which consists only of the parents and their unmarried children (Gittins, 1986).

Much of the literature suggests that before industrialization, the extended family type was the majority. Such an argument is based on the fact that before the industrial revolution most societies were under an agricultural subsistence economy. People were attached to the land and, therefore, were held by it. For example, “The son’s taking over the farm was so that his parents would remain in the home and be provided for until their death.” (Gordon, 1972, p. 2.) This meant that the son would, upon marriage, bring his wife to live with his parents until he inherited the land. Gordon, however, argued that if it was the case in early Europe, it was not so in the pre-industrial period in the United States where land was more available. The son therefore did not have to live with his parents and was free to become independent or start his family. In fact, Gordon further argues that “the nuclear family was the prevailing residential unit long before the industrial revolution.” (Gordon, 1972, p. 2.)

The only argument supporting the existence of the extended family in western societies was that the extended family existed during the feudal period and within the wealthy elite. Only they could afford such a family arrangement. A study by John Demos and Philip Greven (Gordon, 1972) argued that the family in England between the sixteenth and seventeenth century was widely characterized by the nuclear system. Research also has shown that in households in English communities between 1650 and 1780, there was only about 10 percent extended kin (Ruggles, 1987). However, in his demographic and economic analysis, Ruggles argued that his analysis was consistent with the hypothesis that “people had always wanted to live with extended kin, but before the nineteenth century, economic and demographic constraints prevented them from doing so.” (Ruggles, 1987, p. 3.) In his study, Ruggles found that between 1750 and the late nineteenth century in both England and America the percent of households with extended families doubled.

Generally in the literature, there is an argument for the existence of the extended family among very rich families who can afford large housing with servants to accommodate three generations or more. The extended family existed as well among poor families who moved from rural areas to the cities and divided up small residential spaces in order to survive the city expenses. As Tienda and Angel pointed out, “The prevalence of extended living arrangements among poor black families (in the U.S.) is an attempt to cope with economic hardship.” (Wilson, 1988, p. 249.) This is not to say that the majority of black families, rich or poor, are the extended family type. Martin and Martin used the term “sub-extensions of an extended family” to describe a new family member who moved out of the extended family and established his own household. In this sense Martin does not see such a household as “constituting nuclear families,” but as “sub-extensions of an extended family network.” (Martin, et al., 1978. p. 8.) Their reason for this is that the newly formed sub-extended family members feel that they need

the extended family support financially or emotionally or because they feel an obligation to support their previous extended family. Therefore, the ties among many American black families remained strong throughout history.

Clearly, no society is characterized by only one of these two types of family structures. One society could have several family structure arrangements. And one society may be characterized by more of one type than the others depending on its level of development and progress. As Jean-Louis Flandrin put it, "It is highly misleading to assume the existence of only one type of family at a given point in time." (Gittins, 1986.) Lawrence Stone pointed out that at least three types of family structures existed in Western Europe between 1500 and 1800. Stone labeled these types as 1) "open lineage family," up to the sixteenth century, characterized by strong kinship relations and minimum privacy between spouses; 2) the "restricted patriarchal nuclear family," between 1530 and 1640, characterized by increasing relations between the family and the state; and 3) the "closed domesticated nuclear family," from 1640 to the present, characterized by the idea of individualism and home-oriented way of life (Gittins, 1986). Again, these three types of family structures in Europe cannot be seen as only one type existing in a given period, although one may have been dominant.

Industrialization in western societies made higher mobility possible. There was a large population movement from rural (agricultural) to urban (city and town) life. This process was accompanied by the rise of the state and both the idea of capitalism and Protestantism which resulted in a high rate of individualism and weakening of kinship relations.

Weber pointed out that both the Industrial Revolution and the development of railways in England and the United States were the main cause of the redistribution of population. This resulted in a shift from agricultural types of occupations to a high level of industrial and modern economic systems occupations (Berry, 1981). Ferdinand

Tönnies called this the transition from “Gemeinschaft,” the agricultural and traditional society to “Gesellschaft,” the modern professional city way of life. Indeed, Tönnies saw this change as change from where the basic unit of organization was the family or kin group to where the “social and economic relationship are based upon contra-cultural obligations among individuals.” (Berry, 1981, p. 10.)

This process was also seen by Emile Durkheim as a change from “mechanical solidarity,” or as Berry called it, “segmental” society, to “organic solidarity” or “an organized” society type. Both Tönnies and Durkheim agreed that the shift was from a simple basic type of society to one more complex and well-organized, composed of diverse groups. Such an advanced industrialized type of society is characterized by a high division of labor and by less blood relations as well as more impersonal types of relations between individuals (Berry, 1981).

Louis Wirth described the new environment in the modern city as having large population, high diversity, and heterogeneity. In such an environment there would be less dependence among individuals and “contacts would as a result become impersonal, superficial, and transitory.” (Berry, 1981, p. 14.)

We can conclude from these historical events that the effect of industrialization on the family in western societies was not so much on the change from extended to modified extended or to nuclear family structure as it was on a shift in the values, attitudes, and habits of the individual in relation to the family. At the same time, industrialization put reduced emphasis on land ownership and it provided economic freedom for both men and women. By taking work away from the home, the husband and wife spent more time outside the home. The family became free from its traditional function although it remained a basic social institution. Functions such as welfare, child socialization, as well as child education and elderly care and religious training were either taken over by the

state or other institutions. In an industrialized society, the individual's name and status are not simply inherited, but earned by hard work outside the family (Frankel, 1963).

In summary, as Frankel put it, "industrialization is linked with urbanization, which reinforces the tendencies toward the miniaturization of the family and loosening of the bonds within it." (Frankel, 1963, p. 7.) Therefore, the small nuclear family type became increasingly more common as industrialization and urbanization proceeded. City living arrangements were less able to accommodate an extended or large family. High mobility for jobs called for smaller family size. Education and other modern expenses forced the family to be small. Even many cars were designed to fit families with only two or three children. As the young moved away and formed their family in a different area of settlement than their origin, their attachment to their original family diminished. Their face-to-face communication declined as other means such as telephone and postal services developed. Visiting with extended family members became limited to holidays or when family crises such as death or marriage occurred. In fact, such events became a chance to see family members in western and industrialized societies, due to the increasingly busy way of life.

Analysis of family structure in third world countries, regarding whether it is characterized by the traditional extended system or a nuclear system, has been undertaken by scholars from the West. Third world countries, especially such countries located in which is called the Old World, are expected to have a stronger traditional extended family system. Difference between and within these countries can exist according to the degree of cultural variation. Islam, for example, calls for strong family ties. Caring for the elderly, keeping close ties with relatives, and visiting neighbors regularly are expected.

Furthermore, most of the third world countries are newcomers to industrialization and it is not the same type of industrial revolution that was experienced in the West. Third world countries that experience industrialization today have imported technology

rather than having developed it on their own. Therefore, change in the family system is expected to be less dramatic than it was to the West. Changes caused by modernization and urbanization on such variables as education and family size are predicted to be slow to effect family values and norms. In fact, some studies investigating the response of the extended family to the effect of modernization and urbanization showed that the extended family either adapted to the new environment or underwent some adaptive change toward what Litwak called the “modified extended family.” “The modified extended family . . . does not require geographical propinquity, occupational nepotism, or integration and there are no strict authority relations, but equalitarian ones.” (Edwards, 1969, p. 85.)

A study done by Obikeze in western Nigeria, for example, pointed out that the change from the traditional family system to the nuclear was not clear except among the social elite. As Obikeze states, “It was only when the bureaucratic elite was isolated and analyzed separately that a change toward nuclearization became discernible.” (Obikeze, 1987, p. 27.) However, 67.7% of the total of 433 living in cities expressed their view that the “extended family system needed some modification to survive the needs of modern Nigeria.” (Obikeze, 1987, p. 41.) Obikeze also pointed out in his study that younger people in cities were more in favor of modification of the family system than the older people or those who lived in rural areas. Another study done in Turkey showed that the extended family is not the norm in Turkish society. According to Vergin, “Extended families with three generations living under the same roof are rare.” (Vergin, 1985, p. 571). Also, in Vergin’s study, Timur shows that about 50% of village families are the extended family type. This percentage decreases further among the landless and among urban dwellers. The nuclear family being in the majority (about 70%) in cities does not, however, mean there is a breakdown in family relations. Vergin’s study also reported that “it is not unusual for family members – sisters and brothers, cousins, and parents – to occupy separate apartments in the same building.” (Vergin, 1985, p. 572.) This is one

example showing how the extended family has been modified to fit the modern urban environment. In fact, Kagitchibasi's study showed the "Turks still place a positive value on familial interdependence among relatives." (Vergin, 1985, p. 574.)

As for the Arab countries, William Goode's analysis of the Arab societies shows that "the extended family is predominant" and that the nuclear or what Goode calls the "conjugal family" is common among the educated people (Al-Thakeb, 1985, p. 575). Al-Thakeb, however, using the 1970 census from different Arab nations, reports that in fact extended families are in the minority. In Egypt, for example, he found that about 77.5% of families were nuclear. The percentage even further increases in some other Arab countries. Al-Thakeb reported that in Bahrain, about 94% of the households were composed of a single nuclear family. Using sample of 341 households in Kuwait, he also found that extended families were only 22% while about 59% were nuclear families. Further, according to Al-Thakeb's study of Kuwait, about 72% of the respondents preferred a nuclear family to the traditional patrilocal extended family. His analysis of some Arab censuses also showed that although there is increasing popularity of the nuclear or conjugal family system in many of the Arab societies, the average family size remained high. Among seven Arab countries, the average family size was 5; the lowest in Damascus, Syria and 8 or higher – the highest – in Kuwait and urban Iraq. He also found in his study that there are still strong relations between the nuclear family and their kin; "About 80% of respondents visited their kin daily or weekly and about 43% had relatives living next door." (Al-Thakeb, 1985, p. 576 – 579.)

It has been assumed by many researchers that economic development and a high level of urbanization result in a decrease in family size and many studies report that fertility usually declines more in urban areas than in rural areas and in developed nations more than in less developed societies. In third world countries, economic development and urbanization are heavily concentrated in the capital cities and the few towns around

them, leaving the vast majority of population in rural areas underdeveloped. For example, a study by Abdelrahman and Morgan in Sudan reported that about 50% of the doctors in Sudan, over 80% of commercial firms and 70% of the country's industries were located in the capital area and surrounding towns (Abdelrahman, et al., 1987). Another study by Nassirpour in Iran argues that most of the development in third world countries actually falls within a pseudodevelopment system. Economic and social development are highly concentrated and have little effect on the majority of the population. According to Nassirpour, "Societies allocate revenue in ways that restrict the diversification and expansion of the economy." (Nassirpour, 1985, p.786.) It is the case in most third world countries that the more highly educated remain in the major cities and the capitals. Nassirpour also pointed out that many Iranian girls do not finish or go beyond the high school level of education. This means that the average age at marriage remains very low for females. Nassirpour did, however, report in his findings that there is a high correlation between urban residence and having high school education or beyond for females. However, college education, especially of females, remained in the upper strata of the society (Nassirpour, 1985). This was also supported by the study on Sudan. Girls were encouraged to marry early rather than pursue high levels of education.

In studying an Islamic society, the religious tradition is embodied in the culture. Visiting neighbors and relatives, for example, is highly encouraged. Prophet Muhammad (Peace Be Upon Him) has said in this regard, "He who eats to his fill while his neighbor goes without food, is not a believer." (Al-Fahim, 1989, p. 114.) Relations among family and relatives are encouraged even further. In this regard Prophet Muhammad also said, "He who breaks off the ties of blood will not enter paradise." (Al-Fahim, 1989, p. 100.) In another tradition to encourage relations between family individuals, Prophet Muhammad is said to have said:

“He who just returns the visits of his relatives does not completely fulfill the obligations of relationship. But he who ignores the mistakes of his relatives, forgives them, and visits them in order to bind the ties of the relationship when they are broken does fulfill the obligations of relationship.” (Al-Fahim, 1989, p. 102.)

For these reasons, religion has kept the influence of many outside forces on family structure in Islamic and Arabic societies at a minimum. It is therefore not unusual for many to view Arabic societies as having undergone very little change for many generations. As Patai states:

“Beginning with the most ancient times from which historical records are extant and down to the present day, the Middle Eastern family has remained the same, has been composed of largely the same personnel, structured along the same lines, fulfilled the same function, and commanded the same loyalty of its members.” (Al-Thakeb, 1985, p. 575.)

Such a generalization, however, is derived essentially from ideology and lacks empirical verification. However, changes in family structure in Arab countries should not be expected to be dramatic. Furthermore, the effect of urbanization and modernization, whatever its force, cannot be expected to be the same as that of industrialization's effect on the western family since the beginning of the industrial revolution. Therefore, even with nuclearization of the family as a response to urbanized life in many growing Arab cities, family ties can be expected to retain their traditional strength.

As is the case in many third world countries, in-migration in Arab states from rural to urban areas is still at a high rate. Such families would be expected to exhibit their traditional values and norms due to the recency of their arrival in the city.

The argument is made by Sussman and Roaieis that “Whatever generational obligations exist are limited to the life span of the nuclear family. The few claims parents have on their children are largely gone when they marry.” (Sussman, et al., 1982, p. 258.) This might hold in Western society, but one expects it to be less applicable in many third world countries and certainly in Islamic societies. Children are not only expected to be responsible for their elderly relatives especially in the absence of elderly care

institutions such as those in the West, but it becomes one's duty toward parent, where "faith constitutes the bedrock for the institution of the family." (Khurshid, 1974, p. 15.)

In summary, family change in structure and values, whether due to industrialization, urbanization, or modernization in general, should not be expected to be at the same rate in third world societies as in the West. Third world societies exhibit a lower rate of industrialization, urbanization, and the availability of technology which leads to lower mobility than that experienced in the West. However, this should not be read as that third world modern cities or even rural settlements are dominated by extended family systems or that of isolated nuclear families as described by Parsons in the West. The nuclear or modified extended family might be the norm in many cities whether in the West or in third world societies, yet the literature does not support the myth that only one type of family system exists in any given society and period of time. One system, however, might be dominant over the others. Furthermore, the family in third world countries in general and Middle Eastern Islamic societies in particular is expected to have stronger family ties than that exhibited in the West, due to the higher rate of social and economic development as well as modern communication and transportation systems in the West and the fact that many areas in third world countries are not exposed to modernization at the same rate as are capitals and major cities and towns.

CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

DESCRIPTION OF THE SURVEY AND SETTING

This research was designed to measure the effect of urbanization and modernization on family structure in Oman. A survey questionnaire designed to measure structural and cultural aspects of family life was developed.

Some of the questions were developed in two forms for a comparison of family change across two generations. Respondents were asked to answer the questions as they applied to their current family situation. They were then asked to consider, according to their best recollections, what the situation was in their parents' generation. These responses allowed for a comparison of the past generation to the present for examining structural and cultural changes in family life in Muscat, Oman.

To accomplish this research, 54 questions were designed (in English) and pretested on ten Omani students studying in Portland, Oregon. The questionnaires were then translated into Arabic and administered to government employees in nine government departments in Muscat. A letter of approval to administer the survey was obtained from the Directorate of Higher Education in Muscat. This letter was presented to participants. It briefly described the purpose of the study and introduced the researcher.

Three hundred surveys of 15 pages each were prepared by Sultan Qaboos University Printing. The survey was conducted in the summer of 1990, from 20 July to 1 September, during working days (Saturday through Wednesday) from 7:30 am to 2:30

pm. It should be mentioned, however, that the survey was conducted with a non-probability sample of employees in nine government ministries (there are 21 government ministries and many other government agencies and specialized councils). The participants were taken by convenience and cluster method. Attempts were made to obtain participants from each of the nine ministries, but not proportionally. It was also assumed that the 300 participants (74% of the questionnaires were returned) share similar characteristics (income, sex, level of education and employee grade level) with the rest of the 42,000 government employees working in the capital or outside the capital area.

The survey was given by the researcher personally to any Omani male or female that agreed to participate in the study and happened to be in the office when the survey was distributed. During survey distribution, attempts were made to include all floors of the ministry when the ministry had more than one. The 300 participants were among 22,682 employees working in nine government ministries. The Table V below shows the number of questionnaires distributed and the number returned in each participant ministry as well as the total number and percent employed in each of the nine ministries.

Participants could take the questionnaire home to avoid taking up their work time. Although the survey was a self-administered questionnaire, considerable time was spent explaining how to answer the survey so it was an advantage to instruct groups of 3 or 6 participants in one office. These sessions, it may be added, were considered an educational experience for both the participants and the researcher.

In addition, in many cases where forms were answered incompletely, much time was spent sitting with the participants to review unanswered questions. This also provided an opportunity to speak more with the participants which, unexpectedly, provided valuable insights.

LIMITATIONS AND PROBLEMS

Although this survey was an attempt to describe family change in Muscat, the 215 questionnaires that were completed should not be considered a representative sample of

TABLE V
PARTICIPANT MINISTRIES AND NUMBER OF SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES DISTRIBUTED

Ministry	Total Employees	Percent	Questionnaires Delivered	Percent	Questionnaires Returned	Percent
Education & Youth Affairs	8895	39.0	53	18.0	45	20.0
Health	6034	27.0	37	12.0	26	12.0
Communications	2532	11.0	35	12.0	21	9.0
Social Affairs	755	3.0	25	8.0	20	9.0
Civil Service	198	1.0	20	7.0	15	7.0
Housing	1198	5.0	27	9.0	19	9.0
Justice, Awqaf & Islamic Affairs	1985	9.0	19	6.0	17	8.0
Labor	855	4.0	48	16.0	36	16.0
Development Council	150	1	36	12.0	23	10.0
TOTAL	22602	100.0	300	100.0	222	100.0

Source of government employees numbers is the Ministry of Civil Service report of 1990-91.

the estimated two million Omani population. Nor, should it be accepted to represent the Omani government employees of 42,020 or to represent the 22,602 Omanis that are employed in the nine government ministries where the 300 participants worked. Since many of these ministry's employees work outside the Capital at the ministry branches, these employees could not be included in the study. It should be mentioned that some of

the participants who work at the main offices in the Capital are living outside the Capital area. Therefore, the study cannot be generalized to all Omani families. Nonetheless, this study should give an indication of how the family in Oman is changing, especially among those most directly exposed to modern bureaucracy and rapid urban growth, government employees working in the capital of Oman.

Another limitation to this study was that some of the participants could not easily recall their parental life situation. Many male Omanis before 1970 immigrated to neighboring countries for employment, returning only periodically to Oman. Some had families and relatives in ZanZibar. Because of this dispersal of family members, they recalled less information about their parental generation.

Another problem was that although the participant's name, the unit and floor where he or she worked was recorded in a separate notebook in order to avoid confusion when collecting the forms, many problems still occurred. For example, employees were absent on the particular day that questionnaires were to be collected. Some employees were on leave or had work in other offices or departments.

Many times it was necessary to wait for an employee who was receiving a guest, especially among employees at the higher levels of government. Other times, it was necessary to reschedule appointments for another day because some of the officials were in meetings. Therefore, it was not unusual to visit the ministry more than five or six times during the course of the survey. This is a problem to be expected in such a survey setting. It is a very time consuming method.

METHOD OF ENTERING DATA

In order to analyze the data, the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSSX) software was used. A codebook was developed. Each form of the survey was given a unique identifying number. A coding scheme was developed to transfer the

survey questionnaire into a numerical system for entry. Each question was given a variable name and responses were assigned a numerical code. A “9” or “99” was assigned if one question was not answered. Once the survey was coded in a numerical system, data were entered into the IBM 4381 computer for analysis. To analyze the data, tables were constructed for comparisons and frequency distributions were generated for description. Percentages and means were computed and compared to describe changes in the family structure between the present and parental generations, between those of urban and rural origins, and between older and younger respondents as well as the participants’ thoughts on the issues of child and elderly care.

CHAPTER IV

ANALYSIS OF DATA AND RESULTS

This thesis has been designed to describe family change in Oman as the society undergoes urbanization and modernization. The five major areas of concern are: 1) to determine the extent of family structural change; to what degree the family is extended, modified extended, or nuclear as it exists in Oman today compared with the parental generation; 2) to examine extended family and nuclear family patterns in Muscat as compared to outside the capital area; 3) to describe the degree of family participation such as visiting relatives; 4) to see how the family living in urban Muscat deals with child care; and 5) to explore the elderly care issue: what kind of care the elderly need today in urban Muscat and where such care comes from.

To implement the study, questionnaires were developed and administered to 300 (215 entered the final analysis) government employees in Muscat, the capital city of the Sultanate of Oman. Some of the questions were developed in two forms for a comparison of family change across two generations. Respondents were asked to answer the questions as they applied to their current family situation. They were then asked to consider, according to their best recollections, what the situation was in their parents' generation.

FAMILY STRUCTURE

The existence of the extended family was indicated if the participants were married and living with (his/her) parents, or single living with parents and grandparents, a married sibling, or had a married child living with them. The modified extended family

is nuclear in nature with strong relations and participation with the immediate family and relatives. The existence of the modified extended family was determined by the amount of visitation or help provided to other members of the family on a weekly or (at least) monthly basis. The nuclear family is defined as married couples with or without children, divorced with children, or widowed with children. There is a lesser degree of involvement with members of the extended family when compared with the modified extended family.

A comparison of family types from the present generation and the parental generation is shown in Table VI. The percentage of extended family types in the present generation is 42.8% and is slightly lower than that of the parental generation, 51.2%. Combining modified and nuclear families results in 57.2% compared with 48.8% in the parental generation. There is also an indication that in the past, the family seemed to be less mobile than in the present generation. This is indicated by a higher percentage of 31.2% for modified extended families in the present generation compared with 18.1% in the parental generation. Although the modified extended family is a nuclear family in terms of household composition, it keeps close relations with parents and other relatives due to the availability of easy transportation.

TABLE VI
FAMILY TYPE BY GENERATION

Family Type	Generation	
	Present Generation	Parents' Generation
Extended	42.8	51.2
Modified Extended	31.2	18.1
Nuclear	26.0	30.7
N =	215	215

Today, people use cars and trucks compared to traditional means of transportation such as camels, donkeys, or ships. The large percentage of modified extended families in the present generation seems to support Litwak's interpretation that such family systems keep strong relations with extended families despite geographical distance and breaks in face to face contact. Such relations can be expressed by sending money to extended family members and helping new family members migrate to the city in order to find employment, provide short-term accommodations, and provide other types of assistance.

Table VII shows that families in the present generation are more geographically dispersed. Seventy-seven percent of the present generation live at least within driving distance compared to 93% of the parental generation. Although the survey population is different for the present (214) and past (203) generations, more people lived with extended family members in the same house (43.7%) in the parental generation compared to 33.0% in the present generation. Nearly 60% of the parental generation lived in the same house or next door compared to only 40% in the present generation.

TABLE VII
CUMULATIVE PERCENT LIVING AT GIVEN DISTANCES
FROM RELATIVES, BY GENERATION

Location	Generation			
	Present Generation	Cumulative Percent	Parents' Generation	Cumulative Percent
Same House	33.0	33.0	43.7	43.7
Next Door	7.3	40.3	14.0	57.7
Walking Distance	11.9	52.2	21.9	79.6
Driving Distance	25.1	77.3	13.4	93.0
Far Away	22.6	100%	7.0	100%
N =	214		203	

Table VII also indicates that there were more family members and relatives in the parental generation living within walking distance than today: 79.6% compared to 52.2%. However, the percentage living far away is much higher in the present generation, 22.6%, than the 7.0% in the parental generation. Again, this indicates the dispersement of the family as people move from area to area following jobs or better services.

Past generations in Oman lived closer to each other and worked in the same area in traditional agricultural or fishing jobs. Most of the time, such jobs were handed down from one generation to the next within the family. Therefore, moving away was uncommon, unlike today where there are alternative types of employment.

Table VIII shows the mean number of relatives visited in the present generation compared with the parental generation for those living in the capital and outside the capital. Clearly, the mean of 5.9 for both the capital and outside the capital in the parental generation indicates that people living in the capital were similar in their extent of visiting relatives to those who lived outside the capital. There is very little difference in visiting weekly, monthly, and annually between those living inside and outside the capital.

As for the present generation, Table VIII indicates some variation in the mean. Those living outside the capital visited more relatives daily, 6.8, than those living in the capital, 5.7. The mean is also higher for visiting relatives weekly outside the capital, 6.1, compared to 4.3 within the capital area. Overall, the mean of listed relatives in the present generation is much higher than in the parental generation, 20.7 compared to 14.0. This illustration indicates further the dispersement of families in the present generation when compared to the parental generation. Relatives in the past lived closer and saw each other on a daily basis, therefore, they did not have to make visiting trips. Today

more people have migrated to the city leaving many relatives in the rural areas and visit them during holidays or special occasions such as marriages or deaths in the family.

TABLE VIII
VISITING RELATIVES

	Present Generation		Parents' Generation	
	Capital	Out of Capital	Capital	Out of Capital
Daily	5.7	6.8	5.9	5.9
Weekly	4.3	6.1	2.0	2.5
Monthly	3.9	3.7	2.4	1.9
Semi-Annually	1.8	0.7	1.4	1.7
Hardly Ever	4.8	3.8	2.4	1.8
Mean Number of Relatives Listed	20.7		14.0	

PRESENT GENERATION

Table IX shows percentage differences between extended and nuclear families living in the capital and outside the capital area. The data for those living outside the capital were obtained from employees who work in Muscat but live outside the city. There is a much higher percentage of nuclear families in the capital (67.1%) compared with outside Muscat (38.5%).

It was hypothesized that those who are exposed to modern living conditions will exhibit more nuclear family values and structures. Table IX clearly supports this. Table X shows a comparison of extended family types among those born and raised in the capital or outside Oman and those who were originally born and raised in rural Oman.

There is a higher percentage of modified extended families among those born in the capital when compared with those born outside the capital. This seems to support the

TABLE IX
FAMILY TYPE BY LIVING AREA
(PRESENT GENERATION)

Family Type	Generation	
	Capital	Out of Capital
Nuclear	67.1%	38.5%
Extended Family	32.9	61.5
N =	146	65

TABLE X
FAMILY TYPE BY BORN AND RAISED AREA
(PRESENT GENERATION)

Family Type	Born & Raised in Capital or Outside Oman	Born & Raised in Rural Oman
Extended	43.8%	39.5%
Modified Extended	32.7	27.9
Nuclear	23.5	32.6
N =	162	43

interpretation that strength of culture and family ties is actually enhanced in the modern Middle Eastern city. In fact, this observation, when combined with earlier observation seen in Table VI regarding the existence of a large number of extended families in Oman, seems to support the argument (Al-Thakeb, 1985; Goode, 1968) that Middle Eastern, and Arab societies in particular, exhibit slower rates of family change. This is also an

indication that extended families have the ability to adapt to meet the forces of urbanization and modernization. Furthermore, economic growth in recent years has led to stabilization of the family since most Arab societies, especially in the Arabian Peninsula, are Bedouin societies that are known for their high mobility in the desert. Table X also indicates a higher percentage of nuclear families among those born and raised in rural Oman when compared with those born and raised in the city or outside Oman. This suggests that many Omanis immigrated to the city from rural areas. They settled and formed nuclear families away from their extended families and kinships in the rural area.

The relation between types of family and types of housing was examined. It might be expected that extended family living is less common in the city because of the changes in housing type. However, Table XI shows that the extended family can adapt to modern types of housing. The traditional type of housing is more suitable for extended family activity as indicated by the large percentage of extended family living in traditional housing both in and outside the capital. Table XI also shows a clear decrease of traditional types of housing in the capital. Therefore, the extended family would be expected to further adapt to modern types of housing (usually smaller and less flexible in

TABLE XI
FAMILY TYPE BY TYPE OF HOUSING
(PRESENT GENERATION)

Family Type	In Capital			Outside Capital		
	Traditional	Modern	Apartment	Traditional	Modern	Apartment
Extended	43.8%	33.3%	20.0%	69.6%	59.5%	100.0%
Modified Extended	28.1	32.2	48.0	17.4	29.7	—
Nuclear	28.1	34.2	32.0	13.0	10.8	—
N =	32	87	25	23	37	1

terms of size and the ability to add more rooms) or be forced by the effect of urbanization to move more toward a modified extended or nuclear family type.

Family type was also examined by the participants' age and fathers' level of education. Both tables XII and XIII show the effect of modernization on the extended family. Table XII indicates family type by age. It shows that the nuclear family type increases as age increases. Thus, respondents under 24 years of age live in extended families and move to a nuclear type of family as they become independent. This finding was also consistent when family type was examined by the father's level of education.

TABLE XII
FAMILY TYPE BY AGE

	Under 24	24 – 29	Over 30
Extended	64.7%	32.9%	32.4%
Nuclear	35.3	67.1	67.5
N =	68	76	68

Table XIII illustrates these results. The percentage of nuclear families is higher and the extended families decrease as the father's level of education increases. This finding is also in support of an earlier cited study in Nigeria "Education and the Extended

TABLE XIII
FAMILY TYPE BY FATHER'S LEVEL OF EDUCATION

Family Type	No Education	Informal Education	Elementary Education	Secondary Education	College Education	Advanced Studies
Nuclear	50.0%	56.8%	66.7%	85.7%	100.0%	66.7%
Extended	50.0	43.2	33.3	14.3	—	33.3
N =	82	88	24	7	6	3

Family Ideology” by Obikeze. Obikeze pointed out that the extended family was less common among the elite, well-educated Nigerian. Younger Nigerians preferred the modified extended over the extended family type. This suggests that as modernization occurs, we might expect further erosion of extended family living arrangements.

FAMILY PARTICIPATION

The questions in this section were designed to measure family closeness in terms of both distance and strength in family relations. It was assumed that land distribution would have an effect on family closeness. Therefore, participants were asked about the reason for their choice of living location to determine if there was a significant effect of land distribution on their decision. Since most land in Oman is owned, distributed, and regulated by the government, such land, especially for housing, is distributed through a draw system to ensure fairness among people. This might have an effect on family living locations. The results shown in Table XIV indicate that the highest percentage of people 35.6%, live where they do by their own choice. Inheritance of land or homes was indicated by 21.0% and land distribution was the third most frequent specific reason at 17.8%.

TABLE XIV
REASON FOR LIVING IN THIS AREA

	Land Distribution	Inheritance	Affordability	Own Choice	Other	TOTAL	N
Percent	17.8	21.0	5.2	35.6	20.4	100%	191

Although the largest percentage of participants live where they do by their own choice, such percentages should be expected to decrease as land becomes further limited,

especially in the capital. It would be a useful policy in the future to locate eligible members of the same family in one location to strengthen family relations. Table XV shows that when participants were asked whether given land closer to their families would strengthen family relations, a high percentage of 68.4% 'definitely agreed' and 20.6% indicated it would 'probably' strengthen ties. Only 3.8% answered 'probably not' and 6.2% were uncertain if given land close to relatives would strengthen their relations.

TABLE XV

IF YOU WERE GIVEN LAND OR HOUSING CLOSER TO YOUR RELATIVES, DO YOU THINK IT WOULD HELP TO STRENGTHEN FAMILY RELATIONS?

	Definitely Agree	Probably	Uncertain	Probably Not	Definitely Not	TOTAL	N
Percent	68.4	20.6	6.2	3.8	1.0	100%	209

Table XVI illustrates help provided to immediate family members who do not live in the same house, to relatives, friends, and neighbors within the past year. The types of assistance are categorized as financial aid, food and clothing, finding employment, providing short-term accommodations, and marriage advice and helping in solving problems. Table XVI shows that a third of the respondents provide short-term accommodations (overnight visits) and advice on marriage and problems on a weekly basis to immediate family members. A quarter provide financial assistance and food and clothing to family members on a weekly basis as well. Unlike the traditional mechanism of keeping jobs within the family, however, over 60% said they hardly ever helped immediate family members find employment. With the exception of help with employment then, there is a very high level of help rendered to the immediate family regularly.

Nearly 1 in 5 provide short-term accommodations and advice to other relatives on a weekly basis as well, but help with financial assistance and food and clothing on a regular basis is far less common than for immediate family members.

The pattern of percentages providing help for these specific things shows closer relationships with immediate family members than with other relatives, friends, and neighbors. Other relatives and friends receive help at about the same levels with neighbors receiving the least frequent help.

It is interesting to note that advice on marriage and problem solving is hardly ever given to immediate family members by over a quarter (28.8%) of the respondents. Forty-one percent hardly ever give such advice to other relatives, nearly half (47.8%) hardly ever give such advice to friends and a clear majority (57.7%) refrain from advising neighbors on such matters. The provision of financial assistance and short-term accommodations follows the same pattern. This pattern suggest that primary interaction

TABLE XVI
KIND AND TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF HELP PROVIDED
IN THE LAST YEAR

Relations	Financial Assistance	Food And Clothing	Finding Employment	Short-Term Accommodation	Marriage Advice/ Problem Solving
Immediate Family					
Weekly	23.8%	29.0%	12.6%	34.7%	34.2%
Monthly	62.8	45.8	11.8	29.2	22.6
Annually	4.7	10.3	13.4	14.6	14.4
Hardly Ever	8.7	14.8	62.2	21.5	28.8
N =	172	155	119	144	146

TABLE XVI
KIND AND TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF HELP PROVIDED
IN THE LAST YEAR
(continued)

Relations	Financial Assistance	Food And Clothing	Finding Employment	Short-Term Accommodation	Marriage Advice/ Problem Solving
Other Relatives					
Weekly	3.5%	0.8%	2.9%	18.6%	17.8%
Monthly	16.8	15.6	7.7	35.7	20.0
Annually	44.8	27.0	22.1	19.3	21.5
Hardly Ever	35.0	56.6	67.3	26.4	40.7
N =	143	122	104	140	135
Friends					
Weekly	5.8%	1.8%	3.3%	18.4%	14.5%
Monthly	12.4	18.2	8.3	31.9	20.3
Annually	32.1	12.7	26.7	22.0	17.4
Hardly Ever	49.6	67.3	61.7	27.7	47.8
N =	137	110	120	141	138
Neighbors					
Weekly	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%	25.2%	8.9%
Monthly	10.5	9.8	3.4	21.8	15.4
Annually	20.2	30.1	22.0	17.7	17.9
Hardly Ever	66.9	56.9	72.9	35.4	57.7
N =	124	123	118	147	123

centers on the immediate family, moves outward with less intensity to encompass other relatives and friends, and becomes weaker with those living nearby (neighbors). With such widespread helping networks among relatives and friends, it is likely that neighbors rely more on their own relatives and friends for these kinds of help than upon their neighbors.

To measure family participation, subjects were also asked how they spent their time within the previous two week period in various traditional family activities. These included spending time with their family, teaching their children, and visiting parents, relatives and friends. They were also asked to indicate how much time they spent in more urban types of activities. These included spending time in cultural and social clubs, participating in sports activities, watching television, reading, and doing volunteer work for their communities.

Table XVII clearly shows that besides going to the Mosque, especially for men, participants spent a large portion of their time in family-oriented activities. Over 60% of respondents spent between 26 – 50 hours during the two week period with their families and indicates a very strong family orientation. A large amount of time was also spent helping children in their education which is one of the important family functions. Another important indication of the strength in family relations is shown by the high percentage of hours spent visiting family members and relatives. However, among the more urban types of activities, television seems to consume a large portion of people's time. Twenty-five percent of the respondents reported watching television between 26 – 50 hours during the two week period. Other modern activities such as sports and other city-like activities is expected to compete for more time, as more facilities become available and people move from spending more time in traditional activities, such as visiting and home-oriented activities, to spending more time in modern activities such as social, cultural, and health clubs.

TABLE XVII
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS SPENT IN ACTIVITIES THE LAST TWO WEEKS

Range Of Hours	Hours Of Activity						N
	0 – 2	3 – 4	5 – 8	9 – 15	16 – 25	26 – 50	
At The Mosque	2.7%	18.3%	19.6%	18.9%	12.8%	27.7%	148
At Home With Family	0.0	1.0	4.1	21.2	13.1	60.6	99
Helping Children w/ School Work	6.9	11.1	20.8	27.8	8.4	25.0	72
Visiting Parents/ Relatives	1.2	27.2	16.0	24.7	10.5	20.4	162
Reading At Home	4.7	20.0	21.8	32.4	6.4	14.7	170
Visiting Friends	8.4	35.8	21.8	19.6	3.3	11.1	179
At Cultural/Social Clubs	10.9	34.8	17.4	15.2	8.7	13.0	46
At Sport Club	1.4	30.2	19.2	16.4	16.4	16.4	73
Watching Television	3.5	14.0	14.5	34.3	8.7	25.0	172
Volunteer Work	15.9	27.3	25.0	13.6	6.8	11.4	44

CHILD CARE

Child care is one of the areas examined by this thesis. It was assumed that families living in the capital area would rely more on outside help for child care. This assumption was initiated by the fact that families in Muscat today live far from their parents, grandparents or other relatives. Such a requirement for child care would be especially needed when the mother goes to work. Table XVIII shows the average hours of child care received within one week, and the number of families with children receiving at least some child care from each source. As indicated, most of the families are still relying on help from within the family. From the child's grandparents, for

TABLE XVIII

AVERAGE HOURS OF CHILD CARE RECEIVED LAST WEEK FROM:

	Grandparent	Sibling	Relative	Housekeeper	Neighbor	Daycare
Average Hours	13.6	15.2	13.0	17.4	13.6	39.5
Number Receiving From	54	41	28	32	9	2

example, 13.6 hours; from the child's older siblings, 15.2 hours on average per week. There were also 32 families who received 17.4 hours average care from the housekeeper. Relative and neighbors also provided a good amount of child care. The least was Daycare with only 2 families relying on such child care services.

Table XIX illustrates further the point that families today still prefer child care from within the family. Respondents were asked to rank their preference of child care sources in order to measure family members' participation. As shown in Table XIX, older brothers and sisters were preferred as a first or second choice for child care by 90% of those with children. Grandparents were chosen by 75% as first or second choice, and other relatives were preferred by 70% as a first, second, or third choice, with the majority being third choice (58.5%). Non-relatives (housekeepers, neighbors, and daycare) were clearly not preferred as a means of child care. Neighbors were the least preferred with only 13% listing them as first through third choice while housekeepers and daycare were the top three choices for about 20% of those with children.

Table XX shows a comparison of having a foreign housekeeper as a child care source relative to family income. Data from this survey is compared with a study done by the Ministry of Social Affairs and Labor in 1984 which used 160 families. There is an indication that although having a larger income increases the percentage preferring a housekeeper for child care, the majority of respondents preferred not to have a foreign housekeeper. This preference is indicated by over 90% of those having an income of

TABLE XIX
PREFERENCE OF CHILD CARE SOURCE
(1 = HIGHEST, 6 = LOWEST)

Ranks 1 – 6	Child's Grandparent	Older Sisters/ Brothers	Other Relatives	Housekeeper	Neighbors	Daycare
1	1.2%	28.4%	4.9%	2.9%	2.8%	3.1%
2	74.4	61.4	7.3	4.3	1.4	7.8
3	15.1	4.5	58.5	11.4	8.5	10.9
4	5.8	1.1	18.3	14.3	32.4	23.4
5	1.2	2.3	3.7	31.4	22.5	26.6
6	2.3	2.3	7.3	35.7	32.4	28.1
N =	86	88	82	70	71	64

600 R.O. or less per month. This is perhaps due to the fact that most wives in this category do not work and have no need for outside child care. Another reason is due to the fact that many participants were dissatisfied with foreign housekeepers. Many were concerned about the negative effects of a foreign culture on their children.

TABLE XX
DISTRIBUTION OF FAMILY MONTHLY INCOME AND FAMILY PREFERENCE
IN HAVING FOREIGN HOUSEKEEPERS (CHILD CARE)

	Survey					Government				
	<300 R.O.	300 - 600 R.O.	600 - 900 R.O.	900 - 1200 R.O.	>1200 R.O.	200 R.O.	600 R.O.	1000 R.O.	1400 R.O.	1800 R.O.
Yes	1.4%	7.1%	43.3%	56.2%	100.0	60.0%	28.6%	33.3%	45.5%	45.5%
No	98.6	92.9	56.7	43.8	—	40.0	71.4	66.7	54.5	54.5
N =	74	85	30	16	3					

Source of Government Data: Ministry of Social Affairs & Labor, 1984, p. 104.

This study, however, is not consistent with the study by the Ministry of Social Affairs which indicated that 60% of those in a lower income category do have

housekeepers. The Ministry's study also shows an increase of percentage of those with higher incomes as having housekeepers.

Table XXI indicates that there is more reliance on a foreign housekeeper when the wife works; 53.7% versus 11.0% when the wife does not work. Many of the working wives indicated to the researcher during the survey that they did not feel comfortable leaving their children with a foreign housekeeper. This is corroborated in Table XX. Some of the mothers took their children and their housekeepers to a relative's house during the day. Others who did not have any relatives nearby and had to rely on housekeepers were making telephone calls from their work very frequently to make sure everything was fine at the house. It was indicated to the researcher that such problems are increasingly becoming a concern of the working mother.

TABLE XXI
HOUSEKEEPER BY WIFE WORKING SURVEY RESULT

Housekeeper	Wife Works	Wife Does Not Work
Yes	53.3%	11.0%
No	46.7	89.0
N =	30	91

Table XXII shows the positive and negative effects of a housekeeper on children. Many families were concerned about their children's language with 62.5% thinking the foreign housekeeper's effect on their children was negative. Children spoke a broken native language and sometimes mixed words from the housekeeper's native language. Religion did not have a large effect. Types of food had some negative effect at 59.6%. Clothes rated 25.5%, and music and movies also had a smaller negative effect at 25.0%. Children learning hobbies from other cultures was not preferred by 60.0% of the parents.

TABLE XXII
FOREIGN HOUSEKEEPER EFFECTS ON CHILD

	Language	Religion	Food	Clothes	Music/Movies	Hobbies
Positive	37.5%	89.1%	40.4%	74.5%	75.0%	40.0%
Negative	62.5	10.9	59.6	25.5	25.0	60.0
N =	48	46	47	47	48	55

ELDERLY CARE

It was assumed in this research that as life expectancy improves, special elderly care will be needed. It was also assumed that as young children become involved in modern city life, and have less time to spend with their elderly parents or grandparents, other professional institutions, such as nursing homes, would be needed. However, analysis of the research data indicates that elderly care in Oman is still a family matter. Even though life expectancy in Oman is estimated at 65.2 years, only about a third of the participants who indicated they have elderly parents said that they were unable to care for their parents themselves. See Table XXIII.

TABLE XXIII
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF FAMILY HAVING ELDERLY WHO
CANNOT CARE FOR HIM/HERSELF

Yes	32.3%
No	67.7
N =	189

It is also clear that most of the help needed by elderly is in housing, 88.5%, as indicated in Table XXIV. Daily care was least needed at 8.5%. However, such care giving is expected to increase in the coming years as life expectancy improves.

TABLE XXIV
KIND OF HELP MOST ELDERLY NEED

	Housing	Financial	Daily Care (Cooking, Washing, Feeding)	Transportation	Shopping
Yes	88.5%	10.5%	8.5%	23.1%	14.3%
No	11.5	89.5	91.5	76.9	85.7
N =	26	38	47	26	14

It is traditional in the Middle East, and in Oman particularly, that the oldest son is most responsible in caring for his parents. This belief is supported in Tables XXV and XXVI. Table XXV shows that the participants themselves provided the most help, over 65.0%, to their elderly parents. Brothers and sisters were second and third in importance, at over 55%., for providing help for the elderly in the family.

TABLE XXV
WHO OFFERS MOST HELP TO ELDERLY IN THE FAMILY
(1 = MOST, 8 = LEAST)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	N
Self	65.3%	9.3%	16.1%	4.0%	1.3%	1.3%	—	2.7%	75
Brother	20.4	60.9	10.1	1.4	1.4	5.8	—	—	69
Sister	18.5	15.4	55.4	6.2	1.5	—	1.5	1.5	65
Relative	6.5	9.7	6.5	62.9	12.9	1.5	—	—	62
Friends	—	—	1.9	9.4	34.0	22.6	22.6	9.5	53
Neighbor	3.6	—	1.8	1.8	27.3	41.8	16.4	7.3	55
Housekeeper	8.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	4.0	24.0	42.0	50
Government	—	2.0	2.0	6.0	12.0	20.0	24.0	34.0	50

Participants were also asked their opinion as to who should be most responsible for elderly care. As shown in Table XXVI, about 80% agreed or strongly agreed that the oldest son is held most responsible for elderly parents. Over 70% thought the oldest daughter is responsible compared to Western societies where the older daughter is most often the elderly care provider. It was also indicated by most of the participants that the government should offer elderly care. This is indicated by over 90% of participants who thought that both the children and the government are responsible for such care. In fact, 91.3% of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that the government is responsible for care for those elderly who have no children. It should be pointed out, however, that the government, through the Ministry of Social Affairs, already provides both housing and financial assistance to many such elderly, especially those who are in need and for those disabled.

TABLE XXVI

ATTITUDES ABOUT WHO SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ELDERLY CARE

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N
Oldest Son	37.4%	42.2%	16.1%	4.3%	211
Oldest Daughter	25.1	52.2	15.6	7.1	211
Any Son or Daughter	29.5	25.1	16.4	29.0	207
Government	35.1	41.5	18.0	5.4	205
Children and Government	55.6	37.1	4.9	2.4	205
Government for Elderly With No Children	67.6	23.7	6.8	1.9	207

Finally, the subjects were asked from whom they would expect the most care when they became older and needed assistance themselves. As shown in Table XXVII,

the oldest son is expected by over 60% of the respondents to be the help provider. Again, the oldest daughter and any of the children were second and third choice respectively. It should be pointed out, however, that the religion of Islam does not put more responsibility on the oldest son or daughter. It does not differentiate between sex or age of the children, but by whoever is able to provide the care.

TABLE XXVII
FROM WHOM DO YOU EXPECT CARE WHEN YOU BECOME ELDERLY
(1 = MOST, 8 = LEAST)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	N
Oldest Son	60.7%	23.6%	11.0%	1.6%	1.6%	—	0.5%	1.0%	191
Oldest Daughter	11.5	44.5	24.3	11.5	4.4	3.3	—	0.5	182
Any Children	23.0	13.4	32.6	7.0	10.2	3.7	7.5	2.6	187
Relative	3.9	7.3	15.1	41.9	24.6	3.9	2.8	0.5	179
Government	10.8	11.8	10.2	18.8	14.0	10.8	14.5	9.1	186
Friends	1.2	1.2	3.6	7.8	26.5	42.9	10.2	6.6	166
Neighbors	0.6	1.8	1.8	4.8	10.2	28.3	42.3	10.2	166
Housekeeper	1.9	1.3	2.5	1.9	3.1	1.3	17.6	70.4	159

Overall, the previous tables indicate that elderly care is still strongly considered a family responsibility. Modern elderly care institutions will be expected and needed, especially with the present generation who moved to the city as young people. These people are cut off from close relatives and their own children may become more involved in the busy city life and have their own family responsibilities.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY

“Despite the progressive nuclearization of the family, about 14 percent of households in Japan still contain three generations of members” (Isuneo Yamane, reported in Luis Lenero-Otero, 1977, 88). The Yamane study shows 42.8% extended families among 215 families who participated in the survey. However, the study also found that there is an 8.45% decrease in extended families from 51.2% in the parental generation to 42.8% in the present generation. It was also pointed out in Chapter II that a study completed in Turkey showed about 50% of village families in Turkey are extended families. In this study, 61.5% of Muscat government employees living outside the capital were living in extended family types and 38.5% were nuclear. The same study in Turkey pointed out that there were about 70% nuclear family types in the capital. This study found that 67.1% were nuclear families in the capital city of Oman. It was also pointed out in the literature review that Al-Thakeb’s analysis of some Arab countries from the 1970 census found that in Egypt, about 77.5% of families were nuclear. In Bahrain, 94% were nuclear; in Kuwait, 59% with 22% extended and 19% were quasi-extended or what has become known as modified extended families.

This study indicates that despite the limited years of modern social and economic development in Oman, family structures have shown some changes. These changes were indicated by a large percentage of modified extended family types from about 18% in the parental generation to over 31% in the present generation. It was also indicated by more people living further away in the present generation and few people living in the same house or nearby. These changes in the family pattern show that as modernization

proceeds, families disperse more throughout the country. However, in such an early stage of modernization and as a result of good transportation and communication systems, families stay in strong contact. However, the results also show that on the average, there is less visiting on a daily or weekly basis of relatives among people living in the Muscat than among those living outside the capital area. This evidence indicates that urban dwellers are involved in more modern-like types of activities rather than staying home or visiting relatives and friends. This includes working in their private offices (many government employees have their own businesses which they run after their main government jobs).

It was also shown that the participant's age and father's level of education led to more family nuclearization. It showed that younger children lived with their extended family. However, as age increased they became more independent and formed their own nuclear family. Children of less educated fathers had 50% extended and 50% nuclear type families, which indicates that with a low level of education, the lower income forces families to live in extended family types. However, participants who offer regular financial assistance to family members is indicated by over 60% of the respondents. Short-term accommodations was also a common indication that family members, relatives, and friends come to visit from rural areas on a regular basis, especially for hospitalization or when searching for employment in the city. Neighbors were offered strong support in terms of food (common in Middle East societies, especially during holidays) and clothing.

Overall, this research showed that participants do spend large amounts of their time at home with their families, going to the Mosque, helping their children with school work, and watching television.

Other areas this thesis was concerned with were the issues of child and elderly care. The question was at what level such issues are still a family function. As for child care, this study indicates that child care is still by and large a family matter. Most of the

respondents received many hours of child care from the child's grandparents and siblings. Housekeepers were a third source of care, but were least preferred. There were also more families where the wife works who relied on housekeepers than when the wife did not work. It was also found that the housekeeper's most negative perceived effect on the child was in terms of language, hobbies, and the type of food. Other factors, such as religion, clothing, culture, and music or movies were perceived as having a somewhat less negative effect.

Elderly care is the last issue this thesis was concerned with. The elderly care issue in Oman is still a family responsibility as pointed out in this study. Out of 189 respondents, 32.3% indicated that they have an elderly family member who requires care. Such care, however, was mainly in terms of housing and mobility. A smaller percentage (10% or less) required financial assistance and daily care.

When participants were asked who offers the most help to the elderly in the family, over 65.0% of respondents answered him/herself. A brother of the respondent scored second with 60.9%, and a sister placed third as a help provider with 55.4%. Other relatives came fourth at 62.9%. Friends, neighbors, housekeepers, and the government were lower and again housekeepers and the government were the least helpful in providing care. Participants also indicated that the oldest son should provide the most help. The oldest daughter came second, any son or daughter came third. The government, by itself, came last. However, the government and children together were the highest with 92.7% of the 205 participants thinking both the government and elderly children should be responsible for elderly care. However, the government was expected to provide help to the elderly who had no children and no close relations (91.3%).

Finally, participants were also asked from whom they would expect care the most when they themselves became elderly and in need of care. Again, the oldest son was first choice at 60.7%. The oldest daughter was again second choice and any of the children

were third choice. Other relatives placed fourth. There were also a large number of the participants (186) who expected the government to offer them help, especially in terms of financial assistance when they retire.

The last question also indicates that elderly care in Oman is still preferred to be handled by the family, although there is an indication that the government should take part of the responsibility. It should be pointed out, however, that most of the migrants from rural Oman to the capital area are young people who left their parents and relatives behind as they came looking for employment in the city. Elderly parents or relatives might come for a short visit or for advanced hospitalization, but they are not accustomed to city life. They usually prefer to go back and live in the villages as long as they can take care of themselves. It should be pointed out that life expectancy in third world countries in general is not as high as that in the Western societies and modern medical technology is not as readily available in most third world countries. Therefore, many elderly do not live to be very old to be taken care of.

CONCLUSION

This thesis concludes that despite twenty years of rapid urbanization and modernization, the extended family system in Oman holds strong. This seems to support the argument made earlier that third world countries exhibit a lower rate of family change when compared to Western societies. We can assume in this conclusion that early development in the Western societies reached every corner of the society at faster rates than most third world countries today where development is concentrated in the capitals and major towns. Therefore, it takes a longer time to reach the society as a whole. Furthermore, we can argue that rapid technological development in our time would result in faster effects on society. However, such an effect may not necessarily change our habits or our beliefs at the same rates. To put it differently, we may, for example, change

our transportation system or live in a different environment such as in the city versus rural village, yet our ideas and beliefs may not reach the same rates of change. Other forces take a longer time to have an effect on our way of life than technology takes.

Another point to be made here is that the nuclear family system in Oman, and in the Middle East in general, is not so isolated from kinship. Family participation is noticeably strong in Oman. Family members are expected to offer help to extended family or relatives when needed. This was indicated by over 60% of the participants giving financial support to immediate family at least on a monthly basis or by grandparents helping by offering child care.

It is also argued that in the early pre-industrial societies in the West, the extended family mainly existed among the wealthy elite, that is, those who could afford such a living arrangement both in terms of finance and size of housing. In third world societies such an arrangement is not the norm. If the extended family existed among the wealthy class, it is indeed a small percentage. In fact, in Obikeze's study in Nigeria, he reported the contrary. Most wealthy elite families were nuclear and preferred to be so. In Oman, the extended family existence was not necessarily because of wealth, but to keep close family ties. This was looked upon positively and gives the family respected status in the society. Furthermore, the extended family in Oman and in the Islamic societies in general existed mostly because of the polygamous marriage system. Islamic law allows up to four wives at a time. It is a reward for a man to marry more than one wife if such an act solves a social welfare problem, for example, marrying a widow with children. This is a positive act which puts less burden on the state in solving such problems. Such a law also helps after war times when men are killed and many widows are left. The practice of polygamy is more common today within bedouin tribes where multiple marriages are used to form alliances and strengthen kinship ties, and serves to keep the extended family system alive and strong.

Finally, this research should not be generalized and looked at beyond the limits of the survey participants. It supported some of the studies done in middle eastern societies in terms of strength of family relations despite fast urbanization and modernization. Therefore, a larger, more extensive study would be very helpful to clarify the limits of this research and help us to understand today's family system and structure.

REFERENCES

- Abdelrahman, A. I. and S. P. Morgan. 1987. "Socioeconomic and Institutional Correlates of Family Formation: Khartoum, Sudan, 1945 – 75." Journal of Marriage and the Family. Vol. 49, pp. 401 – 412.
- Al-Fahim, Abdul Rahim. 1989. "200 Sayings and Doings of the Prophet Muhammad." Third Edition. United Arab Emirates.
- Al-Thakeb, Fahed. T. 1985. "The Arab Family and Modernity: Evidence from Kuwait." Current Anthropology. 25 (5).
- Berman, Harry J. 1987. "Adult Children and Their Parents." Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 10.
- Berry, Brian J. L. 1981. Comparative Urbanization. St. Martin's Press: New York.
- Central Bank of Oman. 1988. Annual Report. Oriental Printing Press. Muttrah, Sultanate of Oman.
- Central Bank of Oman. 1989. Annual Report. Oriental Printing Press. Muttrah, Sultanate of Oman.
- Danesh, Abol Hussan. 1985. Rural-Urban Migration, Urbanization, and Squatter Settlements in the Developing Countries: A Case Study in Iran. Unpublished dissertation. University of California Dissertation.
- Edwards, John N. and Charles H. Page. 1969. The Family and Change. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.: New York.
- Finley, Nancy J. 1989. "Theories of Family Labor as Applied to Gender Differences in Caregiving for Elderly Parents." Journal of Marriage and the Family. 51.
- Frankel, Charles. 1963. "The Family in Context." In Helping the Family in Urban Society. Edited by Fred Delliguadri. Columbia University Press: New York.
- Gittins, Diana. 1986. The Family in Question. Humanities Press International, Inc.: Atlantic Highlands, New Jersey.
- Goode, William J. 1964. The Family. Prentice-Hall, Inc.: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Goode, William J. 1968. World Revolution and Family Patterns. The Free Press of Glencoe, New York.
- Gordon, Michael. 1972. The Nuclear Family in Crisis. Harper and Row: New York.

- Hokenstad, Merl C. 1988. "Cross-National Trends and Issues in Social Service Provision and Social Work Practice for the Elderly." Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 12.
- Kelley, A. C. and J. G. Williamson. 1984. "Population Growth, Industrial Revolutions, and the Urban Transition." Population and Development Review. 10, No. 3.
- Key, William H. 1969. "Rural-Urban Differences and the Family." In John N. Edwards, The Family and Change. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.: New York.
- Khurshid, A. 1974. The Family in Islam. The Islamic Foundation. Nenelitho, United Kingdom.
- Lenero-Otero, Luis. 1977. Beyond the Nuclear Family. Sage Publishing: Beverly Hills, California.
- Litwak, Eugene. 1989. "Geographic Mobility and Extended Family Cohesion." In John N. Edwards, The Family and Change. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.: New York.
- Martin, Elmer P. and Joanne Mitchell Martin. 1978. The Black Extended Family. University of Chicago Press.
- Ministry of Housing. 1990. Housing in the Sultanate of Oman: Policies, Planning, and Implementation. Unpublished report.
- Ministry of Social Affairs and Labor. 1984. A Study on the Effects of Housekeepers on the Omani Family. Unpublished report.
- Moore, W. J. 1979. World Modernization: The Limits of Convergence. Elsevier North Holland, Inc. New York.
- Nassirpour, Mehdi. 1985. "The Effect of Oil Revenue on the Fertility Pattern in Iran." Journal of Marriage and the Family. 47.
- Obikeze, D. S. 1987. "Education and the Extended Family Ideology: The Case of Nigeria." Journal of Comparative Family Studies. 18(1): 21-45.
- Ruggles, Steven. 1987. Prolonged Connections: The Rise of the Extended Family in 19th Century England and America. University of Wisconsin Press.
- Stone, Lawrence. 1975. "The Rise of the Nuclear Family in Early Modern England." In The Family in History. Edited by Charles E. Rosenberg. University of Pennsylvania Press, Inc.
- Sultanate of Oman Development Council. The Third Five-Year Development Plan 1986-1990.
- Sultanate of Oman, Directorate of National Statistics. 1990. Statistical Year Book 1989. Oriental Printing Press. Muttrah, Sultanate of Oman.

- Sussman, Marvin B. and James C. Roaieis. 1982. "Brief Communications." Human Organization. 41.
- Vergin, Nur. 1985. "Social Change and the Family in Turkey." Current Anthropology. 25(5).
- Wilson, Mervin N. 1988. "The Black Extended Family: An Analytical Consideration." Developmental Psychology. 22(2).

APPENDIX A

SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE (ENGLISH VERSION)

This survey questionnaire is designed to ask you about some of your past and present family structures. Some of the questions will be related to your parents' family life in their generation.

The major purpose of this study is to determine how our recent family life structure differs from that of our past generation. An example will be how differently we deal with questions such as childcare and elderly care, than our parents.

The outcome of the questionnaire will be used in aggregate form and the study is part of my thesis requirement for a master degree in sociology at Portland State University. Therefore, I ask your full participation; your voluntary time and effort are greatly appreciated.

One final note is that all of the information that you give will be regarded as strictly **CONFIDENTIAL** and will be used only for the purposes of this study.

I. PERSONAL BACKGROUND

1. Nationality: ☐ Omani, ☐ non-Omani
2. Your age _____ (estimate if necessary)
3. You are: ☐ Male, ☐ Female
4. How many brothers and sisters do you have? brothers _____; Sisters _____.
5. What is your present marital status?
☐ Single ☐ Married ☐ Divorced ☐ Widowed
 If you have never been married, please go to question number 9.

- 6A. How old were you when you first married? _____
- 6B. How old was your spouse when you were first married? _____ (estimate if necessary)

7. Does your spouse work for wages?
☐ Yes ☐ No

8. Do you have children? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If yes, please answer the following:

- A. Number of children you have _____.
- B. Age of the oldest _____.
- C. Age of the youngest _____.

9. About how many years of informal or Quranic education have you had? _____
 (Put a "0" if you have had none).

10. How many years of formal schooling have you completed? (Please check the highest level you have had).

☐ Less than 6 years	☐ 12 years
☐ 6 years	☐ 2 years of College
☐ Less than 9 years	☐ 4 years of college
☐ 9 years	☐ M.A. or M.S.
☐ Less than 12 years	☐ Ph.D.

11. What is your government financial rank? _____

12. What is the total monthly income of your family? (All income beside your salary, including your spouse's.)

- ☐ Under 300 R.O. ☐ 900-1200 R.O.
☐ 300-600 R.O. ☐ 1200 or over
☐ 600-900 R.O.

13. Please indicate, as well as you can recall, where you were living most of the time at each of the ages of your life:

At Ages	In Capital Area	Area Where You Lived			Other (please specify)
		In a small town In Oman	Rural Area In Oman	A city in another country	
At Birth	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
1-5	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
6-10	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
11-15	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
16-20	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
21-25	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
26-30	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
31-35	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
36-40	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
41-45	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
46-50	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
51 & Over	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____

14. How old were you when you began living in a different household from your parents? _____
(If you still live with parents, put zero)

15. Where do you live now? ☐ In the Capital Area ☐ Outside the Capital Area

16. Type of housing: ☐ Traditional type ☐ Modern type ☐ Apartment

17. How many rooms are there in your house (Total bedrooms and living rooms)?

18. Who owns the house in which you live?

- ☐ self ☐ spouse's parents
☐ spouse ☐ government
☐ parents ☐ renting
☐ relative

19. If you own your house, how did you obtain the land?

- ☐ Along with the house
☐ Given by the government
☐ Bought from Real Estate Agency
☐ Was inherited or given as a gift
☐ Other please specify _____

20. Please **WRITE** on the lines below, the number of each type of relative that lives at each distance from you. (Put not applicable for those you do not have.)

<u>Relative</u>	<u>Same house</u>	<u>Next door</u>	<u>Within walking Distance</u>	<u>Within Driving Distance</u>	<u>Faraway or in another Country</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
Spouse	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Married Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
married brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
married sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your grandparents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's grandparents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

21. Please **WRITE** the number of relatives on the lines below, that you eat with or visit and how often. (Put Not Applicable if you do not have those relatives.)

<u>Relative</u>	<u>Nearly Every Day</u>	<u>At Least Once A Week</u>	<u>At Least Once A Month</u>	<u>At Least Once or Twice A Year</u>	<u>Hardly Ever</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
Spouse	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Married Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
married brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
married sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your grandparents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's grandparents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

22. Please WRITE the number of relatives on the lines below that you call up and how often. (Put Not Applicable if you do not have those relatives.)

<u>Relative</u>	<u>Nearly Every Day</u>	<u>At Least Once A Week</u>	<u>At Least Once A Month</u>	<u>At Least Once or Twice A Year</u>	<u>Hardly Ever</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
Spouse	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Married Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Unmarried sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
married brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
married sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your grandparents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's grandparents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
your uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
spouse's uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

23. You live in your present home because: (check one of the following reasons)

☐ Ministry of Housing's land distribution

☐ Was given as a gift or inheritance

☐ Affordability, of the area

☐ Your own choice

☐ Other (specify) _____

24. If you were given land or housing closer to your relatives do you think it would help to strengthen family relations.

☐ Definitely agree

☐ Probably

☐ Uncertain

☐ Probably not

☐ Definitely not

25. You live in the present home because: (choose in order so that number 1 is the first choice and 5 is the last)

☐ Closeness to your parents or spouse's parents

☐ Closeness to your work

☐ Closeness to other relatives

☐ Closeness to your best friends

☐ This is the area you prefer most

II. FAMILY PARTICIPATION

26. How often, in the past year have you provided the following help to immediate family, other relatives, friends, and neighbors?

Relations	Type of Help	About Once a week	About Once a month	About Once or Twice a year	Never or Hardly Ever
Immediate Family (Parents, Brothers, Sisters, Grandparents)	Financial	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Food & Clothing	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Finding Employment	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Short Accommodation	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Marriage advice/ problem solving	[]	[]	[]	[]
Other Relatives	Financial	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Food & Clothing	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Finding Employment	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Short Accommodation	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Marriage advice/ problem solving	[]	[]	[]	[]
Friends	Financial	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Food & Clothing	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Finding Employment	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Short Accommodation	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Marriage advice/ problem solving	[]	[]	[]	[]
Neighbors	Financial	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Food & Clothing	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Finding Employment	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Short Accommodation	[]	[]	[]	[]
	Marriage advice/ problem solving	[]	[]	[]	[]

27. For the last two weeks, about how many hours did you spend in the following areas:
(Indicate the total hours)

- _____ At the Mosque
- _____ At home with your immediate family
- _____ Teaching or helping your children in school work
- _____ Visiting your parents or other relatives
- _____ At home reading
- _____ Visiting friends
- _____ At a cultural or social club
- _____ At a sports club or participating in sports
- _____ At home watching T.V.
- _____ Doing a volunteer work for your community

III. CHILD CARE: If you do not have children please go to question 33.

28. Do you receive child care help?

☐ Yes ☐ No If no, please go to question 33.

29. Last week, about how many hours of child care did your child receive from the following?

_____ Child's Grandparents

_____ The Child's older sisters or brothers

_____ Other relatives

_____ Housekeepers

_____ Neighbors

_____ Day care or preschool

_____ Other : specify _____.

30. From whom do you prefer receiving child care? (Put in order so that 1 is most preferred and 6 is least preferred.)

_____ Child's Grandparents

_____ The Child's older sisters or brothers

_____ Other relatives

_____ Housekeepers

_____ Neighbors

_____ Day care or preschool

31. Do you have a foreign housekeeper for child care?

☐ Yes ☐ No ; If no, go to question number 33.

32. What are the positive and negative effects on your children, caused by a foreign servant? (please put a + beside positive and -- beside negative effects).

☐ Exposure to foreign language

☐ Exposure to different religion

☐ Exposure to different types of food

☐ Exposure to different clothes

☐ Exposure to different music, movies, etc.

☐ Exposure to different games, hobbies, etc.

IV. The Elderly

33. Among your brothers and sisters, are you:

☐ The youngest ☐ The Oldest ☐ The Middle child ☐ Only child

34. Within your family or among your relatives, is there any elderly person that cannot care for him/herself and who needs special care on a regular basis?

☐ Yes ☐ No ; If no please go to question 38.

35. What kind of help does the elderly person need? (Check as many that apply).

- ☐ housing
☐ financial
☐ daily care (cooking, washing, feeding, etc.)
☐ Transportation
☐ shopping
☐ other (specify) _____

36. What kind of help do you provide for your elderly relative? (Check as many that apply)

- ☐ housing
☐ financial
☐ daily care (cooking, washing, feeding, etc.)
☐ Transportation
☐ shopping
☐ other (specify) _____

37. If an elderly person in your family needs help (such as those kinds mentioned in question 35 and 36) on a regular basis, who offers the most help? (put 1 as the most and 8 as the least help giver).

_____ Yourself	_____ Friend(s)
_____ Your brother(s)	_____ Neighbor(s)
_____ Your Sister(s)	_____ House keeper
_____ Relative(s)	_____ A Governmental agency

38. The oldest son should provide elderly care to his parents or grandparents.

- ☐ Strongly agree ☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

39. The oldest daughter should provide elderly care to her parents or grandparents.

- ☐ Strongly agree ☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

40. It does not matter which son or daughter provides elderly care to their parents or grandparents.

- ☐ Strongly agree ☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

41. The government should provide homes and services for the elderly.

- ☐ Strongly agree ☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

42. The elderly care should be a responsibility of both the children and the government.

- ☐ Strongly agree ☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

43. The government should provide homes and services only for the elderly who have no children or close relatives.

- ☐ Strongly agree ☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

44. When you become an elderly and in need of special care, from whom would you expect the most help (put 1 as the most preferred and 8 as the least preferred).

_____ Relative(s)	_____ any of your other children
_____ the government	_____ friend(s)
_____ Oldest son	_____ house keepers
_____ Oldest daughter	_____ neighbor(s)

V. RECOLLECTIONS

The following questions, ask you to **THINK BACK** to your parents' life and your life as you were living with your parents around the time when you were 15 years old.

45. How old were your parents when they first married?

_____ Father's first marriage _____ Mother's first marriage

46. How many brothers and sisters did your father and mother have?

_____ Father _____ Mother

47. What level of education did your father and mother have (please check the highest level)?

<u>Father</u>		<u>Mother</u>
☐	☐	No education
☐	☐	Informal or Quranic education
☐	☐	Elementary education
☐	☐	Secondary education
☐	☐	College education
☐	☐	Beyond college education

48. Did or do your parents work for wages?

Father ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mother ☐ Yes ☐ No

49. If you have knowledge of your father's residence before you were born, where did YOUR FATHER live when he was the following age?

	In Capital <u>Area</u>	In a Small town <u>In Oman</u>	Rural Area in <u>In Oman</u>	A City in another <u>Country</u>	Other (please specify)
At Birth	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
at age 16	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
at marriage	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
at birth of his first child	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____
Now	☐	☐	☐	☐	_____

50. As far as you can remember, Please write the number of relatives on the lines below and how far they lived from YOUR PARENTS most of the time. (Check Not Applicable if they did not have the specific relatives)

<u>Relative</u>	<u>Same house</u>	<u>Next door</u>	<u>Within walking Distance</u>	<u>Within Driving Distance</u>	<u>Faraway or in another Country</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
Their Unmarried Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Their Married Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

51. As far as you can remember, how often did your parents eat with or visit the following, Please write the number of each relative on the lines below.

<u>Relative</u>	<u>Nearly Every Day</u>	<u>At Least Once A Week</u>	<u>At Least Once A Month</u>	<u>At Least Once or Twice A Year</u>	<u>Hardly Ever</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
Their Unmarried Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Their Married Children	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Parents	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Brothers	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Sisters	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Aunts	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Father's Uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Your Mother's Uncles	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

52. As far as you can remember, how often did your parents offer a help to other relatives?

[] very often [] quite often [] once in a while [] no help was given

53. If your grandparents or other elderly relatives needed special care on a regular basis, who in your opinion should give them the most help? (Put 1 as the most care provider and 6 as the least).

[] your parents [] neighbors
 [] yourself [] House Keeper
 [] other relatives [] no help was given
 [] a government agency

54. As far as you remember, when you were a child, who took care of you, besides your parents, on a regular basis? (put 1 as the most care given and 8 as the least)

☐ grandparents	☐ other relative(s)
☐ An older brother	☐ neighbor(s)
☐ An older sister	☐ day care
☐ aunt/uncle	☐ no one
☐ house keeper	

.....

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR PARTICIPATING. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS SURVEY,
PLEASE CONTACT:

SULTAN AL-HASHMI
Telephone #: 513-333 Ext. 1687
S.Q.U. Sultanate of Oman

APPENDIX B

SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE (ARABIC VERSION)

أخي المواطن/أختي المواطنة:

أتقدم منك راجيا الإجابة عبر اسئلة الاستبيان المرفق للتعرف على بعض الجوانب الهامة لبنية عائلتك في الماضي والحاضر . وبعض هذه الاسئلة مخصص للتعرف على نمط حياة عائلة والديك وما يمت الى آباؤهم بعملة .

أما الهدف الرئيسي من هذه الدراسة فهو تحديد الفوارق الموجودة في البنية التركيبية لعائلات هذا الجيل وبين تلك التي كانت في الماضي . فهو يتطرق مثلا الى اسئلة ذات علاقة بالفوارق الخاصة بالعناية بالطفل والسن من حياة وتلك التي تخص الآباء من جهة أخرى .

هذا وستستعمل نتائج هذه الدراسة بشكل اجمالي لتكون جزءا من رسالتي لنيل شهادة الماجستير في علم الاجتماع من جامعة ولاية بورتلاند . لذلك فأنا مدين لك بشكري وامتناني لما ستساهم وتبذل من جهد من اجل تحقيق ذلك الهدف .

هذا وسنكون موضع ثقتكم بالاعتماد على سرية المعلومات الواردة في هذا الاستبيان ونؤكد لكم بأننا لن نستعملها الا لغرض هذه الدراسة فقط .

- القسم الأول: اسئلة متعلقة بالخلفية الشخصية :

- ١ - الجنسية : () عماني، () غير عماني
- ٢ - السن : _____ (تقديرًا إذا أمكن)
- ٣ - الجنس : () ذكر، () أنثى
- ٤ - عدد الاخوة : _____؛ الأخوات: _____
- ٥ - الوضعية العائلية الحالية :
() أعزب، () متزوج، () مطلق، () أرمل

إذا لم يسبق لك الزواج انتقل من فضلك الى السؤال رقم ٩/

- ٦ - أ. كم كان سنك عندما تزوجت اول مرة؟ _____
- ب. كم كان عمر زوجتك عندما تزوجتها اول مرة؟ _____
(تقديرًا إذا أمكن)

٧ - هل تعمل زوجتك لقاء أجر؟ () نعم، () لا

٨ - هل عندك اولاد؟ () نعم، () لا

إذا كان الجواب نعم، أجب من فضلك على التالي:

- ١ - عدد أطفالك ____ .
 - ب - سن أكبر طفل عندك ____ .
 - ج - سن أصغر طفل عندك ____ .
- ٩ - كم تقريبا عدد سنوات التعليم في المدارس غير الرسمية أو الكتاب، التي تلقيتها؟ ____ .
(ضع إشارة "٠" إذا كان الجواب صفرا).

- ١٠ - كم عدد سنوات التحصيل العلمي في المدارس الرسمية، ____
ضع إشارة على أعلى مستوى وصلت إليه:

() أقل من ست سنوات	() اثنتا عشرة سنة
() ست سنوات	() سنتان في الجامعة
() أقل من سبع سنوات	() أربع سنوات في الجامعة
() سبع سنوات	() شهادة الماجستير
() أقل من اثنتي عشرة سنة	() شهادة الدكتوراه

- ١١ - ما هي راتبك الحكومية المالية؟ _____
- ١٢ - ما هو دخل عائلتك الشهري؟ (مجموع دخلك مع دخل زوجتك).

() أقل من ٣٠٠ ريال عماني
() من ٣٠٠ إلى ٦٠٠ ريال عماني
() من ٦٠٠ إلى ٩٠٠ ريال عماني
() من ٩٠٠ إلى ١٢٠٠ ريال عماني
() ١٢٠٠ وما فوق

١٣ - اذكر هنا من فضلك بقدر ما تساعدك ذاكرتك، الاماكن التي كنت غالبا تعيش فيها عندما كان سنك:

السن	منطقة العاصمة	مدينة صغيرة في عمان	منطقة ريفية	في بلد آخر	مكان آخر حدد من فضلك
عند الولادة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
١ - ٥ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٦ - ١٠ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
١١ - ١٥ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
١٦ - ٢٠ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٢١ - ٢٥ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٢٦ - ٣٠ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٣١ - ٣٥ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٣٦ - ٤٠ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٤١ - ٤٥ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٤٦ - ٥٠ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____
٥١ - ٥٥ سنة ()	()	()	()	()	_____

وأكبر

١٤ - كم كان عمرك عندما انتقلت عن مسكن والديك؟ _____
(إذا كنت لا تزال تسكن في منزل والديك ضع (٠))

١٥ - أين تسكن الآن؟ () في منطقة العاصمة () خارج العاصمة

١٦ - نوع المسكن: () الطراز التقليدي، () الطراز الحديث،
() شقة

١٧ - كم عدد الغرف في منزلك (غرف الجلوس وغرف النوم)

١٨ - من يملك البيت الذي تسكن فيه الآن؟
() أنت نفسك () أقرباء زوجتك
() زوجتك () الحكومة
() والداك () أجرة
() أقاربك

١٩- إذا كنت تملك بيتك كيف حصلت على الأرض ؟

() مع البيت

() هبة من الحكومة

() اشتريتها عن طريق مكتب عقاري

() وراثة أو هدية

() طريقة أخرى (حدد من فضلك) _____

٢٠- اكتب على الخط من فضلك عدد أقربائك القاطنين على مسافة معينة عنك، ضع عبارة "لا يوجد" إذا لم يكن عندك أحد.

الأقرباء	نفس البيت	البيت المجاور	مسافة قريبة مشياً	على مسافة قريبة بالسيارة	في مدينة أخرى	لا يوجد
الزوجة	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أولاد غير متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أولاد متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
الوالدين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أخوة غير متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أخوات غير متزوجات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أخوة متزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أخوات متزوجات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
جداك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
جدة زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أخوة زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أخوات زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	عماتك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	وخالاتك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	اعمامك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	واخوالك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	عمات زوجتك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	اعمام
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	زوجتك

٢١- اكتب من فضلك على الخط عدد اقربائك الذين تأكل معهم او تزورهم وعدد هذه الزيارات.
اذا لم يكن عندك احد من هؤلاء الاقارب ضع: "لا يوجد".

الاقرباء	تقريبا يوميا	مرة في الاسبوع على الأقل	مرة في الشهر	مرة او مرتين في السنة	نادرا	لا يوجد
الزوجة	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اطفال غير متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اطفال متزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اباؤك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوة غير متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوات غير متزوجات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوة متزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوات متزوجات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
جداك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والدا زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
جدا زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوة زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوات زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
عماتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اعمامك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

(٦)

_____ اعمام زوجتك
 _____ عمات زوجتك

٢٢- اكتب من فضلك عدد افراد عائلتك الذين تتصل معهم وعدد المرات
 من حين الى آخره:

الاقرباء	كل يوم تقريبا	مرة في الاسبوع على الأقل	مرة في الشهر	مرة او مرتين من السنة	نادرا	لا يوجد
الزوجة	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اطفال غير	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اطفال	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
متزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والداك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوة غير	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
متزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوات غير	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
متزوجات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوة	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
متزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
متزوجات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
جدّاك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والدا	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
جدا زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوة زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوات	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
عماتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
وخالاتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اعمامك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
واخوانك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
عمات زوجتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
واخوانها	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اعمام	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
زوجتك واخوانها	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

٢٣- تقطن انت الآن في منزلك الحالي بسبب: (ضع اشارة على احد الاسباب التالية):

- () توزيع وزارة الاسكان
 () هبة او ميراث
 () بمقدوري شراؤه في المنطقة
 () باختيارك الشخصي
 () اسباب اخرى، فضل من فضلك _____

٢٤- اذا تم اعطاؤك ارضا او منزلا بالقرب من اقربائك هل تظن ان ذلك يساعد في الروابط الاسرية؟

- () اوافق بالتاكيد
 () ربما
 () لست متأكدا
 () ربما لا
 () طبعاً لا

٢٥- انت تسكن الآن في منزلك الحالي بسبب: (ضع الأرقام بحيث يكون رقم "١" هو اختيارك الاول و "٥" هو اختيارك الاخير).

- () قربك من والديك او والدي زوجتك
 () قربك من عملك
 () قربك من اقربائك
 () قربك من افضل اصدقائك
 () هذا المكان الذي تفضله أكثر من غيره

القسم الثاني: مشاركة الأسرة

٢٦- كم كان عدد المرات في العام الماضي التي قدمت فيها المساعدة الى أفراد الأسرة الرئيسية أو الأقرباء أو الأصدقاء أو الجيران؟

القراءة المساعدة اسبوعيا شهريا ١-٢ سنويا نادرا/أبدا

للأسرة	مالية	()	()	()
(للوالدين	طعام وملابس	()	()	()
للأخوة	ايجاد عمل	()	()	()
للأخوات	استضافة	()	()	()
للجدين	قصيرة	()	()	()
	نصائح أسرية	()	()	()
	ولحل المشاكل			
أقرباء آخرون	مالية	()	()	()
	غذاء وملابس	()	()	()
	ايجاد عمل	()	()	()
	استضافة قصيرة	()	()	()
	نصائح اسرية	()	()	()
	وحل للمشاكل			
أصدقاء	مالية	()	()	()
	غذاء وملابس	()	()	()
	ايجاد عمل	()	()	()
	استضافة قصيرة	()	()	()
	نصائح اسرية	()	()	()
	وحل للمشاكل			
للجيران	مالية	()	()	()
	غذاء وملابس	()	()	()
	ايجاد عمل	()	()	()
	استضافة قصيرة	()	()	()

(٩)

نصائح اسرية () () () ()
وحل للمشاكل

٢٧- كم مجمل عدد الساعات التي قضيتها في الاسبوعين الماضيين في الاعمال/المناطق التالية :

- _____ في المسجد
_____ في البيت مع اسرتك الخاصة
_____ في تربية وتعليم أطفالك في أعمالهم المدرسية
_____ في زيارة والديك أو أقربائك
_____ مطالعة في البيت
_____ في زيارة الاسدقاء
_____ في ناد اجتماعي أو ثقافي
_____ في ناد رياضي أو مباراة رياضية
_____ في مشاهدة التلفزيون في البيت
_____ في التبرع لأعمال حرة للمجتمع

القسم الثالث: (إذا لم يكن عندك أطفال فانتقل الى سؤال رقم، ٣٣)

٢٨- هل تتلقى مساعدة في تربية الأطفال؟ () نعم، () لا
إذا كان الجواب "لا" انتقل الى سؤال (٣٣)

٢٩- كم كان عدد ساعات الرعاية التي تلقاها طفلك في الاسبوع الماضي من الجهات التالية؟

- _____ من الجدين
_____ من اخوة أو اخوات الطفل
_____ من الاقرباء الآخرين
_____ من خادمة المنزل
_____ من الجيران
_____ من دور الحضانة
_____ من جهة أخرى (حدد من فضلك) _____

٣٠- ممن تحب أن تتلقى العناية؟ (ضع الأرقام حسب الأفضلية بحيث يكون رقم "١" هو الأفضل الى رقم "٦" الأقل تفضيلاً).

- _____ من الجدين
 _____ من الاخوة والاخوات
 _____ من الاقرباء
 _____ من الخادمة
 _____ من الجيران
 _____ من دور الحضانة

٣١- هل عندك خادمة اجنبية للعناية بالطفل؟
 () نعم، () لا، اذا كان الجواب (لا) انتقل الى سؤال (٣٣):

٣٢- ما هي التأثيرات الايجابية والسلبية التي تتركها الخادمة الاجنبية؟ ضع اشارة (+) امام الايجابية و (-) امام التأثيرات السلبية:

- () التعرض الى اللغة الاجنبية
 () التعرض الى ديانة مختلفة
 () التعرض الى مأكولات مختلفة
 () التعرض الى ملابس مختلفة
 () التعرض الى موسيقى مختلفة وافلام
 () التعرض الى ألعاب وهوايات مختلفة الخ.

القسم الرابع: الأكبر سناً

٣٣- من بين اخوتك واخوانك هل انت:
 () أصغرهم، () أكبرهم، () في الوسط، () الوحيد

٣٤- ضمن أفراد اسرتك او عائلتك هل هناك من المسنين من يحتاج الى رعاية معينة في وقت مستمر؟
 () نعم، () لا ؛ اذا كان الجواب (لا) انتقل الى سؤال (٣٨)

٣٥- ما نوع المساعدة التي يحتاجها هذا المسن؟ (ضع اشارة على نوع / أنواع المساعدات المطلوبة:
 () المسكن

(١١)

- () مادية
 () مساعدات يومية (مثل الطبخ، الغسيل، اطعام ... الخ)
 () المواصلات
 () التبضع
 () مساعدات أخرى (حدد من فضلك) _____

- ٣٦- ما نوع المساعدة / المساعدات التي تقدمها انت لهذا المسن في العائلة؟
 () المسكن
 () مادية
 () مساعدات يومية (مثل الطبخ، الغسيل، اطعام ...)
 () المواصلات
 () التبضع
 () مساعدات أخرى (حدد من فضلك) _____

- ٣٧- اذا كان في العائلة من هو بحاجة الى المساعدات المذكورة في سؤال (٣٥) و (٣٦) باستمرار من هو أفضل الذين يقدمون المساعدة؟ (ضع الارقام بالتسلسل بحيث يكون رقم (١) فيما يلي هو الأكثر في تقديمها الى رقم (٨) حيث الأقل اعطاءا).

_____ انت نفسك	_____ الأصدقاء
_____ اخوتك	_____ الجيران
_____ اخواتك	_____ الخادمة
_____ الأقرباء	_____ مؤسسة حكومية

- ٣٨- الابن الأكبر في العائلة تقع عليه مسؤولية تقديم المساعدة للأبوين او الجدين.
 () موافق بشكل قوي، () موافق، () لا أوافق، () لا أوافق أبدا
- ٣٩- يجب على الابنة الكبرى في الاسرة تقديم المساعدة للابوين والجدين.
 () موافق بشكل قوي، () موافق، () لا أوافق، () لا أوافق أبدا
- ٤٠- ليس المهم أي ابن او ابنة عليهم مسؤولية تقديم المساعدة للأبوين او الجدين.
 () أوافق بشكل قوي، () أوافق، () لا أوافق، () لا أوافق أبدا

٤١- على الحكومة تقديم المسكن والخدمات للمسنين.
() أوافق بشكل قوي، () أوافق، () لا أوافق، () لا أوافق أبدا

٤٢- الاعتناء بالمسنين هو من مسؤولية الأبناء والحكومة معا.
() أوافق بشكل قوي، () أوافق، () لا أوافق، () لا أوافق أبدا

٤٣- على الحكومة تقديم المسكن والخدمات للمسنين الذين ليس لهم أولاد أو أقرباء يسكنون بالقرب منهم.
() أوافق بشكل كبير، () أوافق، () لا أوافق، () لا أوافق أبدا

٤٤- عندما تصبح مسنا، من أين تتوقع المساعدة الخاصة بالدرجة الأولى؟ (ضع الأرقام بالتسلسل من ١-٨ من الأكثر إلى الأقل توقعا).

_____ الأقرباء	_____ اي واحد من الابناء الآخرين
_____ الحكومة	_____ الاصدقاء
_____ أكبر الابناء	_____ المربية
_____ اكبر البنات	_____ الجيران

القسم الخامس: اعادة نظر:

الاسئلة التالية تعود بك الى الورااء عندما كنت في الخامسة عشرة من العمر عندما كنت مع والديك وتتعلق بأمورهم آنذاك.

٤٥- كم كان عمر كل من والديك عندما تزوجا؟
الأم _____ الأب _____

٤٦- كم كان عدد اخوة واخوات والديك؟
الأم _____ الأب _____

٤٧- ما هو أعلى مستوى تعليمي توصل اليه كل من والديك؟
الأم _____ الأب _____

()	()	لم يتلق اي تعليم
()	()	التعليم غير الرسمي (في الكتاب)
()	()	التعليم الابتدائي

(١٣)

()	()	التعليم الاعدادي
()	()	التعليم الثانوي
()	()	دراسة جامعية
()	()	دراسة عليا

٤٨- هل عمل او يعمل والداك لقاء أجر:

الأب، () نعم، () لا
الأم، () نعم، () لا

٤٩- اذا كان لديك معلومات عن مسكن والداك قبل الزواج في سن معين، أين كان يسكن والداك؟

في العاصمة في عمان	مدينة صغيرة في الريف	مدينة في بلد آخر	مكان آخر (حدد)
عند الولادة ()	()	()	_____
في سن ١٦ ()	()	()	_____
عند الزواج ()	()	()	_____
عند انجاب أول طفل ()	()	()	_____
الآن ()	()	()	_____

٥٠- بالنسبة لأبيوك، حسبما تتذكر اكتب من فضلك عدد الأقرباء الذين كانوا يقطنون معظم الوقت في الأماكن المحددة في الجدول، ضع عبارة "لا يوجد" اذا لم يكن عندكم هذا القريب.

الأقرباء	نفس البيت	البيت المجاور	على مسافة قريبة	مسافة بعيدة	في بلد لا يوجد
أولادهم غير المتزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أولادهم المتزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والدا أبيك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والدا أمك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

(١٤)

_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	اعمامك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	اخوالك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	عماتك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	خالاتك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	عمات ابيك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	عمات امك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	اعمام ابيك
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	اعمام امك

٥١- حسبما تتذكر، كم عدد المرات التي كان يأكل فيها والداك مع هؤلاء الأقرباء أو يزورهم، اكتب من فضلك عدد هؤلاء الأقارب في المكان المخصص من الجدول:

الأقرباء	يوميًا	اسبوعيًا	شهريًا	١-٢ سنويًا	نادرا	لا يوجد
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أولادهم غير المتزوجين	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
أولادهم المتزوجون	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والدا ابيك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
والدا امك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اعمامك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اخوالك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
عماتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
خالاتك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
عمات ابيك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
عمات امك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اعمام ابيك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
اعمام امك	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

٥٢- حسبما تتذكر، كم عدد المرات التي كان يقدم فيها والداك المساعدة لأقربائك الآخرين؟

() كثيرا جدا، () كثيرا، () من حين لآخر، () أبدا

٥٣- إذا كان جداك أو أحد المسنين في العائلة بحاجة إلى المساعدة

بشكل مستمر فمن رأيك عليه تقديم أكبر قدر من المساعدة؟
(ضع الأرقام بالتسلسل من الأجدر برقم (١) الى (٧) الأقل).

- () والداك () أقرباء آخرون
() أنت نفسك () الخادمة
() أقرباء آخرون () لم تقدم أية مساعدة
() مؤسسة حكومية

هـ- حسبما تتذكر، عندما كنت طفلاً، من كان يعتني بك باستمرار
بالإضافة الى أبويك؟ (ضع الأرقام ١-٩ بالتسلسل من الأكثر الى
الأقل).

- _____ الجدان _____ أقرباء آخرون
_____ الأخ الأكبر _____ الجيران
_____ الاخت الكبرى _____ دور الحضانة
_____ الأعمام/الاخوال _____ لا أحد
_____ المربية _____

نشكركم ثانية على مساهمتكم وإذا كان لديكم اي استفسار على هذه
الدراسة الرجاء الاتصال ب:

سلطان الهاشمي
هاتف: ٢٢٢-١٣ هـ فرع ١٦٨٧
سلطنة عمان