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ABSTRACT

Science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) educational achievement is vital to economic competitiveness in the United States and abroad. Despite a concerted effort, the US lags well behind many similarly developed nations. Research suggests that the integration of fine arts education into traditional STEM curriculum (STEAM) boosts academic achievement in STEM subjects and closes gaps between low- and high-socioeconomic status students. Justifications for STEAM programs are based, however, on the unexamined assumption, for one, that fine arts courses instill creative and critical thinking skills that can be transferred to STEM subjects. The present study explores the impact of taking fine arts courses on mathematics achievement in high school. Using the High School Longitudinal Study of 2009 and multi-level regression modeling, this study provides evidence that credit accumulation in fine arts courses relates positively to advancing past Algebra II in high school. Additionally, this estimated impact is much greater in magnitude for low-SES students than for their high-SES peers.
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INTRODUCTION

In the United States and abroad, STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) education, the precursor to entry into STEM occupations, is a vital component of overall economic competitiveness and national security (Gonzalez and Kuenzi 2012). Furthermore, innovation in STEM occupations is thought to be important to viability and competitiveness in both national and global economic markets (Atkinson and Mayo 2010). Based on the general recognition of the importance of STEM occupations in US competitiveness, the US Department of Education (2017) has clearly stated that access to, and achievement in, STEM fields are the nation’s top educational priority.

Despite numerous national education initiatives that prioritize STEM in schools, the US ranks 38th in math and 24th in science in comparison with 71 similarly developed nations (DeSilver 2017). In addition, US mathematics rankings appear to be in decline. Mathematics performance dropped in 2015 for the first time since 1990 (DeSilver 2017), suggesting a need for new approaches to addressing poor mathematics outcomes in the US. Most importantly, a lack of access and poorer academic achievement in STEM subjects disproportionally affects low-socioeconomic status (SES) students (Blums et al. 2017; Gonzalez and Kuenzi 2012). Because success in STEM education leads to higher levels of employability in high-paying, high-status, and in-demand occupations, gaps in achievement and unequal representation in STEM education between lower- and higher-SES echelons contribute to the reproduction of social inequality in the US (Melguizo and Wolniak 2012). Additionally, workers’ ability to take innovative approaches to STEM
occupations is increasingly important to employability within those fields (Koonce et al. 2011). In short, new educational tactics geared towards increasing overall STEM achievement, addressing social inequality in STEM education, and instilling students with the abilities needed to innovate within STEM fields are of the utmost importance. The present study focuses on one such under-examined approach to improving mathematics educational outcomes: accumulation of fine arts courses.

On a theoretical level, visual and performing arts courses instill critical and creative thinking skills in more efficient and impactful ways than other subjects (Hamblen 1993; Harland et al. 2000). The increasing emphasis on STEAM—or the integration of arts education into STEM subjects—is motivated by this notion insofar as it is thought to help students take more creative and innovative approaches to STEM subjects, and in turn, increase academic innovation and achievement. Despite potential benefits of fine arts for STEM (Catterall 2012; Liao, Motter, and Patton 2016; Slee 2011), the implementation of the No Child Left Behind Act, which financially incentivized STEM outcomes over other subjects, and the Great Recession has led to a decline in arts education funding since the early 2000s, disproportionately impacting high-poverty schools (Harland et al. 2000; Parsad and Spiegelman 2012). This means that the potential positive impacts of arts education are less likely to reach students who would theoretically benefit the most.

Although there is a substantial amount of research focusing on the impact of arts education on overall educational outcomes, research on the impact of arts education on STEM achievement is limited. Some descriptive studies have attempted to answer these
questions using univariate analysis of national data sets, and some have utilized more advanced statistical analysis of small samples, but none have used advanced methods on robust, nationally representative data (Bequette and Bequette 2012; Ellen, R, and Stéphan 2013; Hetland and Winner 2001; Ludwig, Boyle, and Lindsay 2017). The present study will address this gap in the literature through the use of data on over 20,000 adolescents from the nationally representative High School Longitudinal Study of 2009 (HLSLS) to answer the following research questions: Does student accumulation of fine arts courses impact mathematics achievement in high school? Does student socioeconomic status moderate this association? This thesis is directed at answering these questions by first presenting a brief literature review, followed by a description of the data and methods that are utilized, an interpretation of the results, and finally a discussion of the findings.
LITERATURE REVIEW

*Education, Ideology, and Hegemony*

The historical and ideological development of mass education in the US is underpinned by a tension between economic justifications for education, *i.e.*, preparing workers, and democratic justifications, *i.e.*, preparing a well-rounded and critically literate populous prepared to govern themselves (Bowles and Gintis 2011; Hochschild 1996). Spring (1989) contends that the United States has not enacted or implemented a coherent national education policy, but rather a conglomeration that is the product of historical events, political ideology, and special interests. National emphasis on the importance of math and science—and later STEM subjects—over all other subjects is a relatively new phenomenon in the US. He posits that both the Cold War and the Civil Rights Movement led to the development of federal education policy geared towards “rationalized and controlled labor market and the control of social conflict arising from racial discrimination and inequalities in the distribution of income” (Spring 1976:173). Post-Cold War education policy has gradually shifted the education system to value positivist, technical knowledge and vocational training over fostering "cultivated" civic actors. The importance or value placed on STEM subjects over the arts reflects this economic imperative. Because arts education is not perceived by most Americans to contribute greatly to economic competitiveness or to produce productive workers, it is devalued ideologically and among the first subjects to be de-funded when schools are struggling academically or financially. A 2012 report from the Department of Education explained that although the US economy was recovering from the recession, and schools
were receiving more funding overall, funding for arts education continued to decline (Parsad and Spiegelman 2012). Overall, educational goals shifted from well-rounded or ‘holistic’ to STEM-focused and vocational.

Apple (1990) asserted that schools serve to maintain hegemonic assumptions, thus rendering societal power relations invisible or left to be understood as “common sense.” Schools naturalize the institutional acceptance of positivist knowledge—e.g. ‘hard facts,’ ‘proper formulas,’ the unequivocally right and wrong answer, etc.—uncoupling this knowledge from the dynamic human actors who produced and continue to produce it. In other words, school curriculum—both overt and hidden—is constructed socially as the ideological limits of knowledge. Put simply, schools in the US often teach students that the one way of arriving at an answer is the memorization of facts and formulas that are not to be questioned. When these limits are aligned with positivist, objective facts rather than historical, dynamic, and conflict-ridden knowledge production, school produces docile workers, rather than free and critical thinking subjects. STEM curriculum in the US is often taught to this “positivist ideal” (Apple 1990). Students are taught to produce technical knowledge but are not taught alternative explanations, the historical and philosophical development of what they are learning, the relationship between power and knowledge, and the crucial role conflict plays in the development of knowledge (Apple 1990; Foucault 2012). Mathematics and science are especially susceptible to being taught to this positivist ideal because of the emphasis put on an objective and universal framework in which the subjects are often taught. One could argue that although math and science education in the US prepares students to be productive workers, it does not
necessarily produce critical thinkers—a skill necessary for innovation in STEM-related jobs. Students are often taught to memorize and regurgitate facts and formulas in which there are definitively right and wrong answers, rather than engage with the material critically.

In contrast, arts education is thought to teach critical literacy in much more impactful ways than STEM subjects. Hamblen (1993), for instance, posits that art education encourages students to think in ways that deal “with concepts and percepts and opens the way for multiple systems and forms of knowing and being.” Put another way, art education teaches complex ways of thinking and of understanding the world conceptually and experientially. Through the study of aesthetics, composition, identity, semiotics, historical movements, and so on, students are taught ways of thinking that emphasize the relationship between art, themselves, and larger social systems. For these reasons, when paired with math and science education, art education has the potential to provide critical and creative thinking skills essential to students' ability to innovate in STEM fields. STEM education alone might impair students’ potential to perform the skill that is the most important in 21st-century labor markets. In sum, contemporary US STEM curriculum might actually undermine the economic imperatives that have motivated its prevalence and preference over other subjects such as the arts.

This cultural orientation toward curriculum and pedagogy may be implicated in the social reproduction of disadvantage (Apple 1990). High-SES students are more likely than socially disadvantaged students to receive STEM curriculum that encourages them to approach the material critically (Darling-Hammond 2000). In this way, the US STEM
curriculum simultaneously reproduces social inequalities and also compounds them (Hochschild 1996). High-SES students also attend schools that offer more fine arts courses, which may provide more opportunities for them to learn critical thinking skills that can transfer to STEM subjects (Parsad and Spiegelman 2012). Taking these points together, low-SES students are more likely to be exposed to hegemonic curriculum which fosters the passive consumption and memorization of facts, rather than critical thinking skills, such that they stand to experience more benefit from fine arts courses than their high-SES peers. Yet, low-SES students are less likely than high-SES students to have access to fine arts education. Increasing access to fine arts courses for all students, and shifting cultural preferences toward a more holistic curriculum, might help to mitigate these unintended consequences.

*From STEM to STEAM: Key Theoretical Assumptions*

STEAM education—i.e. science, technology, engineering, *art*, and mathematics, or the *integration* of arts and STEM education rather than distinct and separate disciplines—is increasingly perceived as a means of improving STEM achievement and closing achievement gaps within the US (Bequette and Bequette 2012; Robelen 2011). Any argument for the integration of arts education into STEM subjects, however, rests on a key theoretical assumption. Namely, that arts education instills creative and critical thinking skills in ways that are potentially more cognitively impactful and transferrable than other subjects (Bowen 2017; Eisner and Day 2004; Fava 2017; Hamblen 1993; Harland et al. 2000; Pantaleo 2017; Roy 2016; Santin and Torruella 2017). In an
experimental study, Bowen et al. (2014) show students who were exposed to an art criticism education program demonstrated significantly higher critical thinking skills, skills necessary for innovation, than those who were not.

McFee (1961) asserts that art education encourages students to think in pluralistic and thus more innovative ways, with an emphasis on dialectical thinking, between subjects or concepts explored within the works they created and the world around them. The peer-critique, or “crit,” is one common curricular example of this in a high school visual arts context. The crit functions similarly to an exam in other subjects insofar as it serves as an evaluative mechanism and is a curricular requirement adopted by the National Coalition for Core Arts Standards (2019). Students are required to present the artwork they have created and are asked to "defend" it, while the other students and teacher critically analyze how the work is being perceived. In many classrooms, students are encouraged to avoid phrases connoting their idiosyncratic tastes such as “I like it,” or “it’s really pretty.” Rather students are asked to discuss how the work is functioning formally, as well as how the work relates to contemporary political issues, identity, and culture. Students are encouraged to critically analyze the work aesthetically and contextualize its larger societal implications. Students are taught that not only one way of understanding is correct. This curriculum encourages “reflective skepticism,” debate, and dialectic thinking which is believed to be transferable to other subjects (Hamblen 1993; McFee 1961; McPeck 2016). Meaning that students should be able to apply, or transfer, such critical thinking skills to science and mathematics courses.
Based on the theoretical framing presented above, taking fine arts courses might help students to apply more innovative approaches to STEM courses, thus improving academic achievement in those subjects. Meaning that arts education has the potential to provide students with cognitive skills—i.e. critical, reflexive, and creative—that can transfer to STEM subjects and in turn, advantage them in school and into the labor market (Bazler and Van Sickle 2017). This ‘transferability’ paradigm underpins arguments for STEAM education and motivates much of the research concerned with the integration of arts education into STEM fields as potential interventions. Strong empirical evidence supporting cognitive transfer from arts education to STEM subjects, however, has not been provided in the literature. In other words, whether or not taking arts courses relates to higher achievement in math and science subjects has not been adequately explored.

Cognitive Transfer, SES, and Empirical Evidence

There are few recent studies examining the relationship between arts course accumulation and STEM outcomes. There are fewer still that consider the potential moderating effects of student SES. Utilizing the National Educational Longitudinal Study, Catterall (2012) found a significant positive connection between students’ exposure to arts education and overall academic success, and that this effect was greater for high poverty students. Similarly, Bowen et al. (2014) found that the positive effects of

---

1 See Deasy (2002) for a detailed summary of relevant research prior to 2002.
arts education on students’ critical and creative thinking skills were larger for those from disadvantaged backgrounds. This suggests that the prioritization of arts education can help to close gaps in overall academic achievement. However, findings remain mixed when considering positive relationships between arts courses and STEM outcomes more generally. Of the studies investigating the impacts of fine arts on academic achievement, results have been inconsistent; some find arts education benefits overall academic achievement (Catterall 2012; Ludwig et al. 2017), whereas others conclude results are empirically unreliable (Hetland and Winner 2001; Winner and Cooper 2000). Overall, the justification of arts education via the transferability paradigm is hotly contested and results remain mixed (Eisner 1999; Eisner and Day 2004).

More recent research in STEAM education however has been approached from a slightly different frame. First, from a theoretical perspective, the transferability paradigm has been complicated. Instead of considering the value of arts education as a distinct discipline, it is now being considered in how it benefits learning outcomes in other subjects through curricular integration. For example, a chemistry teacher might ask students to sculpt molecular models out of clay. Research suggests that arts integrated interventions (STEAM programs) have some positive impacts on math (Kariuki and Humphrey 2006; Kinney and Forsythe 2005) and science (Kinney and Forsythe 2005) outcomes. Other reports provide evidence that similar interventions have students attitudes towards math (An et al. 2014; Werner 2001), and special reasoning (Taylor and Hutton 2013). These studies, however, focus on STEAM interventions and although justifications for STEAM assume a transfer effect on a theoretical level, they are unable
to provide evidence of the benefits of arts education, as a finite discipline, on math and science outcomes.

This body of research, focusing on the potential academic benefits of STEAM interventions, rests on the integrative valuation of arts education and is most generally composed of qualitative case studies (Bazler and Van Sickle 2017; Grant and Patterson 2016; Quigley and Herro 2016; Robelen 2011). The overall impact of the STEAM approach on academic achievement is well documented within this body of research but is limited in both validity and generalizability. Furthermore, STEAM approaches are criticized for breaking down student understandings of discipline-specific ways of thinking methodologically (Bequette and Bequette 2012). Justifications for the STEAM approach, however, rests on theoretical assumptions—i.e. potential transfer effects—that have not been grounded within advanced quantitative analyses (Eisner and Day 2004; Hetland and Winner 2001).
PURPOSE OF THE PRESENT STUDY

As the importance of innovative approaches to boosting outcomes in and increasing access to STEM education is at the fore of almost every national education debate (Atkinson and Mayo 2010), it is important to examine the theoretical assumptions i.e., that fine arts education teaches critical and creative skills that can be transferred to STEM subjects. Although this study is unable to measure these theoretical mechanisms—i.e. critical and creative thinking skills—based on data limitations, it will explore the potential for evidence of cognitive transfer focusing specifically on the impact of fine art course accumulation on mathematics achievement, and further, whether SES moderates this relationship. In addition, the current study will utilize nationally representative data and control on initial levels of mathematics achievement and proficiency, key demographic differences, school-level differences, as well as key out-of-school factors to help rule out spuriousness. The two hypotheses examined are:

$H1$: Credit accumulation in fine arts courses will relate to a higher likelihood of advancing past Algebra II in high school, accounting for other related differences

$H2$: This positive relationship will be more pronounced for low-SES students than for their higher-SES peers, net of controls
DATA AND METHODS

This study utilizes nationally representative data from the High School Longitudinal Study of 2009 (HLS, a study administered by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). HLS is focused on examining student trajectories throughout high school, postsecondary education, and into the workforce with a special focus on math and science. The HLS base-year survey was conducted in fall 2009 with 21,444 9th graders in 944 public and private high schools in the United States. HLS includes three follow-up waves thus far, which were conducted in 2012, 2013, and 2016. In the base year (Wave 1), NCES also surveyed each student’s parents, their math and science teachers, as well as their school administrators and counselors. I use data from both the Wave 1 (2009) and Wave 3 (2013) student surveys, as well as transcript data linked by the NCES in the analysis. Of the 23,415 participants with transcript data (Ingels et al. 2015), I excluded students missing on the dependent variable (math course attainment), resulting in a final analytic sample of 21,870.2 Contingent on the variables included in analyses (Radford et al. 2018), I apply the “W3HSTRANS” weight in all analyses. Similar to other data sets with complex survey design (Bollen et al. 2016; West 2016), HLS does not include level-specific weights that statistical packages require to estimate multilevel models. I rescale the weight to sum to the effective cluster sizes within schools for use in multi-level regression analyses following the recommendations made by statisticians tackling this issue (Carle 2009; Chen, Ping; Chantala, Kim 2014;

2 NCES requires all unweighted frequencies to be rounded to the nearest ten.
Rabe-Hesketh and Anders 2007; West 2016). In addition, I add the data’s stratification variables as controls as suggested by Stapleton and Kang (2018).

I handle missing values on all independent variables using multiple imputation with 5 imputed data sets via the MICE system of chained equations (White, Royston, and Wood 2011).

*Advanced Past Algebra II (Transcript Data (2014))*

This study examines how credit accumulation in fine arts education relates to whether students advance past Algebra II. Research suggests that advancing past Algebra II is a substantive measure of mathematics achievement, as a strong indicator of post-secondary enrollment, majoring in a STEM field, and college completion (Adelman 2006; Schneider, Swanson, and Riegle-Crumb 1997; Trusty 2002; Trusty and Niles 2003).

Using transcript School Courses for the Exchange of Data (SCED) codes, NCES constructed a mathematics achievement measure. 'SCED is a 5-digit identification coding scheme which captures the subject, title, and the sequence of a course, as well as the level and number of Carnegie units available for the course (Ingels et al. 2015). Students who received any nonzero value for credit hours earned in the highest-level mathematics course in the pre-post-secondary pipeline were placed in that category—e.g., if a student received 1 credit in a trigonometry course and did not earn credit hours in any higher math course, they would be placed in the "Trigonometry" category (Dalton, Ingels, and Fritch 2016). The NCES variable is a 13-point ordinal measure of math course attainment.
ranging from 0, ‘no math,’ to 13, ‘AP/IB Calculus. I created a dichotomous indicator by collapsing Algebra II and all hierarchical categories below into a ‘no’ category, and all categories above Algebra II into an affirmative category.

*Credit Accumulation in Fine Arts Education (Transcript Data (2014))*

Course accumulation in fine arts education, the predictor of interest in this study, is measured using a variable constructed by the NCES using student transcript data. This continuous variable captures the amount of Carnegie units earned for completing a course, with one unit representing the completion of a course that meets for one hour, five days per week for one year (Ingels et al. 2015). This measure is categorized based on SCED course identification codes and captures courses designated as visual and/or performing arts courses. Courses were designated as visual and performing arts based on recommendations by the State Education Agency Directors of Arts Education (National Forum on Education Statistics 2014). These categories include dance, theater, music, visual arts, media arts, and other interdisciplinary arts courses.

*Socioeconomic Status (Wave 1 (2009) Student Data)*

To explore possible moderation of students’ SES on the estimated impact of fine arts credit accumulation on mathematics achievement, I interact a continuous measure of student SES with fine-arts-credit-accumulation. Students’ SES composite measures were calculated by the NCES using their parents/guardians’ Wave 1 reports of their highest level of education, their occupations, and their total family income (Ingels et al. 2011).
The NCES used multiple imputation with 5 imputed sets to address missing values on the variables used to compute the composite variable (Ingels et al. 2011).

**Student-level Controls (Wave 1 (2009) Data)**

I include both highest-level mathematics course taken by 9th grade and students’ Wave 1 math test score as control variables to narrow the focus on the estimated impact of fine arts education by accounting for baseline differences in mathematics ability and initial placement. Both factors are highly correlated with end of high school math course attainment and so represent powerful controls. Based on transcript data, NCES measures highest-level math course attained by 9th grade with a 13-point hierarchical scale ranging from 0, ‘No Math,’ to 13, ‘AP/IB Calculus.’ To more closely align with the math course hierarchy established in the literature (Adelman 2006; Schneider et al. 1997), and based on exploratory analyses on the congruity between math test scores and the NCES categories, I recoded this variable to have six categories: 0=No math, 1=Lower than Algebra I (combining pre-Algebra, basic math, other math), 2=Algebra I, 3=Geometry, 4=Algebra II, 5=Other advanced math (Trigonometry, Pre-Calculus, Probability and Statistics, and Advanced Placement (AP) / International Baccalaureate (IB) courses other than Calculus), and 6=Calculus (regular and AP/IB calculus). The NCES administered an assessment of students’ abilities in mathematics during Wave 1 data collection (first semester of 9th grade). I use the 2009 mathematics assessment theta score which is measured on a continuous scale and provides a “norm-referenced” measurement of
ability—i.e., an estimation of proficiency relative to the population overall (Ingels et al. 2011).

Students’ sex and race are NCES composite measures which impute Wave 1 student reports utilizing school sampling rosters and Wave 1 parent survey data. Students’ reported sex is dummy coded with 1 indicating female and 0 indicating male. I combine ‘Hispanic, no race specified’ and ‘Hispanic, race specified.’ In addition, I recode ‘American Indian/Alaska Native,’ ‘Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander,’ and ‘More than one race, non-Hispanic’ as ‘other race’ due to small cell sizes.

In addition to individual academic and demographic factors, I control for relevant family characteristics as they might provide an alternative explanation for the first hypothesis. At least one parent has a STEM degree is a dichotomous measure that combines variables measuring whether or not students have a parent that completed at least a bachelor’s degree with a STEM major. At least one parent in a STEM field is a second dichotomous variable that captures whether students have a parent with a current or recent occupation in a NCES categorized STEM field.

School Characteristics (Wave 1 (2009) Data)

I also control for school-level differences with Wave 1 variables describing the student body, teacher and counselor perceptions of teacher expectations, school structure, STEM focus, and academic programming. Student body characteristics are measured in the percent of students at each school that are eligible for free or reduced lunch and English Substantive Learners. Scales averaging Wave 1 reports of counselor perception
of teacher and administrator expectations (alpha= 0.91), math teachers’ perception of math teachers’ motivation (alpha= 0.91), and math and science teachers’ perception of teachers’ motivation (alpha= 0.91), are used to measure teacher motivations and expectations. Some measures were reverse coded to address directionality. The structure of schools is measured using school type (public, Catholic, or other private), region, and urbanicity. To measure relevant academic programming, Wave 1 variables are employed in which administers provided several dichotomous reports of level and type of math offered at their school. These reports were combined to measure math course offerings and ordered from highest to lowest: Up through AP/IB, Up through Calculus but no AP/IB, No Calculus or AP/IB, and No math offered. A scale was also created to measure the extent to which schools foster a STEM-focused environment (alpha= 0.63) which averaged administrators’ reports of whether the school sponsors math and/or science after-school programming, holds math and/or science fairs, and so on. School programming is further measured with the percent of students in AP courses, in special education, repeating 9th grade, in an alternative program, or in a dropout prevention program. The survey items used to construct scales are detailed in the Appendix.
Analytic Plan

Descriptive statistics depict the dependent variable, predictor of interest, moderator, and control variables in this nationally representative sample. The averages and proportions in Tables 1 and 2 are adjusted using Stata’s survey command to reflect qualities of the population rather than the sample. In addition, descriptive statistics on fine art course accumulation and advancing past Algebra II are stratified by SES tertiles to detect baseline differences in the population estimates. To answer the first research question, I use multilevel random-intercept logistic regression models predicting whether students’ advanced past Algebra II with credits earned in fine arts courses. Multilevel models are utilized to account for the clustering of students within schools which violates the assumption of independent errors (Bollen and Brand 2010). Fixed-intercept models would be preferred because they have fewer assumptions (Clarke et al. 2010). I chose random-intercept however because of insufficient variation in SES within schools due to school segregation. As recommended by Clarke et al. (2010), I include school-level controls to increase the likelihood of meeting random-intercept assumptions. The first model estimates the baseline impact of accumulation of fine arts courses on whether students progressed past Algebra II, and controls are entered in the second model.

To investigate the possible moderating effects of SES, a final model includes an interaction term between fine-arts-credit-accumulation and students’ SES. To facilitate interpretation of results, I use the mimrns command developed by Klein (2018), and marginsplot developed by Royston (2013), to post-estimate predicted probabilities and display them graphically.
RESULTS

Descriptive statistics are provided in Table 1. Overall, 54% of 9th-grade students in 2009 advanced past Algebra II by the end of high school. In addition, students accumulated roughly two (1.78) credits in fine arts courses by the time they exited high school. Additionally, students generally fall around the middle of the SES scale (-0.07) with values ranging from -1.93 to 2.88. Estimated population means, standard errors, ranges, and proportions are provided for all other measures included in regression analysis.²

² Standard errors for means rather than standard deviations are provided because the means and proportions are adjusted to be population- rather than sample-estimates; see Sribney (2019).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable:</th>
<th>Mean or Proportion</th>
<th>(SE)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced past Algebra II (n=21,870)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor of Interest:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total credit hours in Fine Arts courses</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>0, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moderator:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>-1.93, 2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Controls:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest 9th grade math completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No math</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower than Algebra I</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Advanced Math</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade math test score</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>-2.58, 3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one parent has STEM degree</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one parent has STEM occupation</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Student Body Demographics Controls:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent eligible for free lunch</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>(1.18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent English language learners</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>(0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Student Body Programming Controls:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent in special education</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent in alternative program</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent in dropout prevention program</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>(0.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent in Advanced Placement courses</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>(0.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent repeating grade 9</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 indicates that students who are in the low-SES-tertile are about half as likely to advance past Algebra II than their peers in the high-SES-tertile, 0.38 and 0.78 respectively. Meaning that high-SES students are much more likely to advance past Algebra II than their low-SES counterparts. Additionally, high-SES students accumulate more art classes on average than low-SES students. Low-SES students average about one
and a half (1.44) credits in fine arts courses, while high-SES students accumulate about one credit more (2.27). Overall, high-SES students both have a much higher likelihood of advancing past Algebra II and receive about one credit more in fine arts than low-SES students. Standard errors and ranges are also provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Descriptive Statistics Stratified by SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End-of-High-School Math Achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced past Algebra II (n = 21,870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean or Proportion (SE) Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Students</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.54 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low-SES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.38 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course Accumulation in Fine Arts Education</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total credit hours in Fine Arts courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean or Proportion (SE) Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median-SES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.78 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-SES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.44 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: These analyses are based on 25,206 adolescents. Analytic sample sizes are noted next to the dependent variable. This table provides standard errors for means, rather than standard deviations, and for proportions because the means and proportions are adjusted to be population-rather than sample-estimates; see Sribney (2019).

To understand the independent effects of fine arts education, Table 3 shows log odds from multilevel logistic regression models, with a random-intercept at the school level, predicting students advancing past Algebra II. Model 1 shows that the log odds of advancing past Algebra II increase significantly (0.24, on average) with every one-credit increase in the number of credits accumulated in fine arts courses. After controlling for relevant measures in Model 2, the significant positive estimated effect of fine arts education holds but the magnitude is reduced to 0.15. These findings support my first
hypothesis and suggest that credit accumulation in fine arts courses relates to a higher likelihood of advancing past Algebra II in high school, holding related differences constant.

Table 3, Part 1 of 2: Log Odds from Multilevel Logistic Regression Models Predicting Advancing Past Algebra II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor of Interest:</th>
<th>Model 1 - Baseline</th>
<th>Model 2 - Controls</th>
<th>Model 3 - Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total credit hours in Fine Arts courses</td>
<td>0.24 *** (0.14)</td>
<td>0.15 *** (0.02)</td>
<td>0.15 *** (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>0.45 *** (0.04)</td>
<td>0.57 *** (0.05)</td>
<td>-0.07 *** (0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controls:

| Race: | | | |
|-------| | | |
| White (ref) | - | - | - |
| Black | 0.15 + (0.08) | 0.15 + (0.08) | |
| Hispanic | -0.06 (0.07) | -0.05 (0.07) | |
| Asian | 0.52 *** (0.14) | 0.52 *** (0.14) | |
| Other | -0.13 + (0.08) | -0.13 + (0.08) | |
| Female | 0.27 *** (0.04) | 0.27 *** (0.04) | |

| Highest 9th grade math course completed: | | | |
|----------------------------------------| | | |
| No math (ref) | - | - | - |
| Lower than Algebra I | -0.63 *** (0.11) | -0.63 *** (0.11) | |
| Algebra I | -0.10 (0.08) | -0.11 (0.08) | |
| Geometry | 1.32 *** (0.11) | 1.32 *** (0.11) | |
| Algebra II | 0.70 *** (0.14) | 0.70 *** (0.14) | |
| Other Advanced Math | 0.97 ** (0.29) | 0.97 ** (0.29) | |

9th grade math test score | 0.98 *** (0.03) | 0.97 *** (0.03) | |
At least one parent has STEM degree | 0.30 * (0.10) | 0.30 * (0.10) | |
At least one parent has STEM occupation | -0.15 * (0.05) | -0.14 * (0.05) | |
Percent eligible for free lunch | 0.01 + (0.00) | 0.01 + (0.00) | |
Percent English language learners | -0.01 * (0.01) | -0.01 * (0.01) | |
Percent in special education | 0.00 (0.01) | 0.00 (0.01) | |
Percent in alternative program | -0.01 (0.01) | -0.01 (0.01) | |
Percent in dropout prevention program | -0.01 (0.01) | -0.01 (0.01) | |
Percent in Advanced Placement courses | 0.01 + (0.00) | 0.01 + (0.00) | |
Percent repeating grade 9 | 0.00 (0.01) | 0.00 (0.01) | |

+ p< 0.1, * p< 0.05, ** p< 0.01, *** p< 0.001
To explore whether SES moderates the impact of fine arts education on mathematics course attainment, an interaction is included in a third model in Table 3. In Model 3, the main effect of credit accumulation in fine arts coursework remains positive and statistically significant (0.15, on average), controlling for other differences. In the main effect of SES, Model 3 indicates that a higher SES significantly increases the log odds of a student advancing past Algebra II (0.57, on average) for students with 0 arts courses. The interaction between these two variables is negative (-0.07, on average) and statistically significant. For every unit change in the number of fine arts credits
accumulated, the slope of SES vs. advancing past Algebra II decreases by 0.07. This suggests higher-SES students receive less benefit from fine arts education than lower-SES students. These results are presented graphically in Figure 1 to facilitate interpretation.

Figure 1 shows a graphical representation of predicted probabilities of advancing past Algebra II differentiated by credits in fine arts courses and student SES, with SES partitioned at the 10\textsuperscript{th} (lowest SES), 50\textsuperscript{th} (median SES), and 90\textsuperscript{th} (highest SES) percentiles. Consistent with results from Table 3, the predicted probability of advancing past Algebra II generally increases with increasing credits in fine arts courses. Figure 1 demonstrates that the probability of advanced math course-taking is modestly differentiated by credit accumulation in fine arts courses for the highest-SES students. The steeper slopes of the lines show the benefit of credit accumulation in fine arts courses is significantly greater however for lower-SES students. Among students with no credits in fine arts coursework, there is an approximately 20 percentage-point difference in the predicted probability of advancing past Algebra II between the lowest- and highest-SES students. In contrast, for students who accumulated eight credits in fine arts courses, there is a roughly 0.5 percentage-point gap between the lowest- and the highest-SES students. In other words, when considering the likelihood of students advancing past Algebra II in high school, student accumulation of high levels of fine art credits virtually closes gaps due to unequal SES. This supports my second hypothesis that the positive relationship between accumulation of fine arts credits is more pronounced for low-SES students than for their higher-SES peers, net of controls.
Figure 1: Predicted Probabilities of Advancing Past Algebra II Depending on Credits in Fine Arts and Socioeconomic Status

Note: predicted probabilities were estimated from Model 3 in Table 3
DISCUSSION

Scholars have suggested that fine arts education teaches students creative and critical thinking skills that, based on cognitive transferability theory, can be applied to other subjects such as STEM. However, there has been very little research with the goal of testing these assumptions using advanced quantitative methods and less still that have utilized robust, nationally representative data sets. Research also suggests that the inclusion of arts education can help to narrow gaps in STEM achievement based on SES. This study improves understanding of the impacts of fine arts education on math achievement in high school through the use of multilevel logistic regression modeling, nationally representative data, and long-standing lines of sociological and educational theory. The results of this study suggest that taking fine arts courses increases the likelihood that students will advance past Algebra II in high school. More importantly, this association is much more pronounced for lower-SES students. The US continues to prioritize STEM achievement overall as well as closing achievement gaps based on unequal SES among students. This study suggests that further investment in STEM education alone might not be an optimal solution and provides evidence that, alongside STEM education, fine arts education can contribute to these educational goals. Furthermore, it provides a strong case that fine arts education should be prioritized in low-SES schools during a historical period in which fine arts programming is more likely to be eliminated in those very same schools.

Hetland and Winner (2001), among others, argue that mixed results in the literature point to problematic motivations for research concerned with the utility of arts
education. They conclude that the value of arts education should not be evaluated based on the ways arts might positively impact other subjects, but rather on the fact that the arts are an important part of culture “and an education without them is an impoverished education leading to an impoverished society” (Hetland and Winner 2001:5). Eisner (1999) corroborates this sentiment but emphasizes that most quantitative analyses of arts education's impact on academic achievement are similar in that they employ problematic research designs and/or nonrepresentational samples. Meaning that, although the justification of arts education should not have to rely on proving its academic utility within other subjects alone, research in this area is often fundamentally flawed. Additionally, this argument ignores the need for low-income schools to justify programmatic spending based on key deliverables that are often STEM achievement outcomes.

Some important limitations of the present study are worth noting. These findings provide only modest support for cognitive transferability theory. Compared to their peers, students who take fine arts courses are more likely to advance past Algebra II. However, because HSLS does not provide an accurate measure for creative and/or critical thinking skills, the actual mechanism, it cannot be claimed that this is due to cognitive transfer. Providing strong evidence of transfer would require mediation analysis and a strong longitudinal measure of critical and creative thinking skills. It could be, for instance, that students who take many arts courses are more socially integrated which is positively associated with better mathematics outcomes (Reynolds et al. 2017). The inability to measure whether teachers are, and to what extent they are, integrating arts education into
STEM curriculum (STEAM approach) provides a second limitation of this study. It could be that this is more likely to happen in higher-SES schools, which would help explain some of the moderation effects. Additionally, it is likely that art courses are taught inconsistently across schools. Meaning that, credit accumulation in fine arts is not necessary a consistent measure across schools. Another limitation of note is that some students in the sample may have taken arts courses after completing their math course requirements, meaning that a causal argument cannot be made. Finally, the findings presented could be due to unmeasured factors. It could be that there is a tendency for students who take many arts and math courses to take certain types of other courses or activities that positively influence their likelihood to advance past algebra II.

Overall, this study provides support for the inclusion of fine arts education in STEM education debates. If Americans are committed to improving access to and achievement in STEM subjects, it is important to consider a wide range of pedagogical approaches and interventions. This research suggests that fine arts education might be one such approach. In the midst of sweeping neoliberal economic policy and globalized markets, economic competitiveness and viability rely on innovative approaches to the STEM fields. Educationally speaking and especially since the Cold War, students seem to be being trained as docile workers, rather than critically literate, innovative scientists and engineers. More importantly, social systems place substantial limitations on entering STEM fields for low-SES Americans. This research suggests that the inclusion of fine arts education in high school, and potentially a STEAM approach, may be the intervention the US has been seeking for some time. At the same time, arts education
faces an unprecedented period of chronic underfunding in the U.S. with underserved
districts being hit the hardest.

Future research should explore the impact of taking fine arts courses on
achievement gaps among students that are disadvantaged or underrepresented in STEM
in different ways. For example, researchers might investigate whether race, sex, or being
labeled with a learning disability might moderate the impact of fine art education on
STEM performance. In addition, other predictors of STEM field participation should be
examined such as STEM GPA, and highest level in physics courses. Finally, locating
accurate measures for creative and critical thinking skills would strengthen any argument
for cognitive transfer from fine arts courses to STEM subjects.
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APENDIX: SURVEY ITEMS USED TO CONSTRUCT SCALES

School Fosters STEM Environment (alpha=0.63)

(0=No, 1=Yes)

- Holds math or science fairs/workshops/competitions
- Partners w/ college/university that offers math/science summer program
- Sponsors a math or science after-school program
- Pairs students with mentors in math or science
- Brings in guest speakers to talk about math or science
- Takes students on math- or science-relevant field trips
- Tells students about math/science contests/websites/blogs/other programs
- Partners with MESA or a similar enrichment-model program
- Requires teacher prof development in how students learn math/science

Counselor Perception of School Staff Expectations (alpha=0.91)

- Teachers in this school set high standards for teaching
- Teachers in this school set high standards for students' learning
- Teachers in this school believe all students can do well
- Teachers in this school work hard to make sure all students learn
- Teachers in this school have given up on some students
- Teachers in this school care only about smart students
- Teachers in this school expect very little from students
- Counselors in this school set high standards for students' learning
- Counselors in this school believe all students can do well
- Counselors in this school work hard to make sure all students learn
- Counselors in this school have given up on some students
- Counselors in this school care only about smart students
- Counselors in this school expect very little from students
- Principal in this school sets high standards for students' learning
- Principal in this school believes all students can do well
- Principal in this school works hard to make sure all students learn
- Principal in this school has given up on some students
- Principal in this school cares only about smart students
- Principal in this school expects very little from students

Math Teacher's Perception of School's Math Teachers' Motivation (alpha=0.91)

- Math teachers in this department share ideas on teaching
- Math teachers in department discuss what was learned at workshop/conference
- Math teachers in this department share and discuss student work
- Math teachers in this department discuss lessons that were not successful
- Math teachers in this department discuss beliefs about teaching/learning
- Math teachers in department share research on effective teaching methods
- Math teachers in department share research on ELL instructional practices
- Math teachers in department explore approaches for underperforming students
Math teachers in department coordinate course content with other teachers

Math teachers in department are effective at teaching students in math

Math teachers in this department provide support to new teachers

Math teachers are supported/encouraged by math department's chair

Math teachers in this school set high standards for teaching

Math teachers in the school set high standards for students' learning

Math teachers in this school believe all students can do well

Math teachers in this school make goals clear to students

Math teachers in the school work hard to make sure all students learn

Math teachers in this school have given up on some students (reverse-coded)

Math teachers in this school care only about smart students (reverse-coded)

Math teachers in this school expect very little from students (reverse-coded)

Math/Science Teachers' Perception of School's Teachers' Motivation (alpha=0.87)

Reports on each survey item from both of each student's 9th grade math and science teacher:

Teachers at this school help maintain discipline in the entire school

Teachers at this school take responsibility for improving the school

Teachers at this school set high standards for themselves

Teachers at school feel responsible for developing student self-control

Teachers at school feel responsible for helping each other do their best

Teachers at this school feel responsible that all students learn

Teachers at school feel responsible when students in this school fail