

*Not So Different After All:
Examining the Shift from Oregon Teacher Work Sample Methodology to edTPA*

Reviewer Suggestions	Revisions/Changes
<p><u>Reviewer #1:</u> This is a topical paper not research so some of the headings are not appropriate. To ensure the paper flows properly and reflects the type of manuscript that it is, I have suggested some changes to the heading.</p>	<p>Headings have been updated to align more with topical components of this paper, to assist with flow.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #1:</u> The author needs to strengthen the information of EdTPA. It has been in use for a few years and some studies (dissertation and manuscripts) have been done on it. The author/s need to address what research have been done and their findings. This information will provide administrators/faculty with credible data about the strength, weaknesses, bias, validity, & reliability of this type of performance assessment. A more appropriate heading is required for the second to last heading on page 10. In this section the author/s could talk more about the findings of studies that have been done on EdTPA.</p>	<p>“Limitations and Future Research” heading has been changed to two separate headings “Findings from the National Field” (new section) and “Lingering Limitations.”</p> <p>Content has been expanded and updated to include additional findings in the “Findings from the National Field” section. Thank you to the reviewer for recommending some excellent new resources.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #1:</u> In some places page numbers are cited (e.g. Schalock & Schalock, 2044, p. 2) but there is no direct quotation.</p>	<p>APA updated throughout the paper, page numbers removed unless there is a direct quote.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #1:</u> Check references to ensure they are consistent with APA.</p>	<p>APA references have been revised and corrected as per the 6th edition.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Be more concise throughout - I encourage the author(s) to refer to Chapter 3 in the APA Manual (6th ed.) for more information regarding writing style (pp. 65-69). Most of the sentences throughout the manuscript were extremely wordy - the average sentence length was 23.4 words!</p>	<p>During revision, I took care to look at the length of sentences. Unnecessary words were cut and some lengthy sentences broken into two more concise sentences.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Use abbreviations consistently - In several places, the author(s) defined an abbreviation for a series of words but did not use the abbreviation thereafter. The APA Manual 6th ed. has relevant information about abbreviations in Chapter 4 on</p>	<p>Most abbreviations were taken out and instead items are called by their full title (example: Teacher Standards and Practices Commission). In reading the piece again, it</p>

<p>pages 106-107.</p>	<p>seems that having the full name for these organizations helps flow and would allow better access to readers who may not be familiar with Oregon entities.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Attend to grammar and style - There are a significant number of issues with grammar and style throughout the body of the manuscript. I encourage the author(s) to make sure that all proofing tools are enabled in Word and recheck the document. By doing so, you will remedy many of the issues.</p> <p>Hint: Be sure to also set the spaces required between a sentence to two. This was also an issue in several places.</p>	<p>Grammar has been attended to, with additional readings by author and colleagues, Word tools, and other tools (Grammarly).</p> <p>I have chosen not to use double spaces after the period, APA 7th edition has shifted this to one space between.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Double-check the in-text citations for correctness. For example, on page 2, the year for the Schalock & Schalock entry was 2044. Additionally, there were several time in which a page number was include, but it did not appear to be directly quoted material.</p>	<p>APA updated throughout the paper, page numbers removed unless there is a direct quote.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Triple-check the entries in the reference list. There were a number of errors throughout, such as use of italics for book titles, journal titles, and journal issue number; capitalization issues, state abbreviations, etc.</p> <p>Chapter 7 in the APA Manual (6th ed) is the best resource to remedy these issues. Also, be aware that a differentiation is needed between the first two entries, since they have the same author and year of publication. The bottom of page 182 in Chapter 6 of the APA Manual (6th ed.) may help to make this distinction. Lastly, I did not see the NEA source that was cited in the text listed as an entry in the reference list.</p>	<p>APA references have been revised and corrected as per the 6th edition.</p> <p>Differentiation has been added between the two first resources by the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, as per APA 6th edition.</p> <p>NEA referenced on page 4</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Other issues to be considerate of are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Words that should be hyphenated (see Table 4.1 in the APA Manual, 6th ed.) • Correct use of punctuation • Use of single quotation marks to set off double quotation marks in directly quoted material (see p. 92 in the APA Manual, 6th ed.) 	<p>Multiple errors of missing hyphens were corrected.</p> <p>Punctuation and missing words corrected through the editing process.</p> <p>Quotations with a quote have been corrected</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Missing words, such as that and with• Consider use of different sub-headings. Since this manuscript does not report empirical findings, I encourage the author(s) to use more descriptive sub-headings that best represent the content of the section.	<p>to align with APA format.</p> <p>Headings changed to support flow, and match the topical nature of the paper.</p>
<p><u>Reviewer #2:</u> Check as to whether or not edTPA needs the registered trademark symbol. I have seen it both ways. Librarians might be a great resource to answer this question.</p>	<p>Consulted university librarian, they recommended not including the registered trademark symbol.</p>