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Sinusoidal-Gaussian beam solutions are derived for the propagation of electromagnetic waves in free space and in media having at most quadratic transverse variations of the index of refraction and the gain or loss. The resulting expressions are also valid for propagation through other real and complex lens elements and systems that can be represented in terms of complex beam matrices. The solutions are in the form of sinusoidal functions of complex argument times a conventional Gaussian beam factor. In the limit of large Gaussian beam size, the sine and cosine factors of the beams are dominant and reduce to the conventional modes of a rectangular waveguide. In the opposite limit the beams reduce to the familiar fundamental Gaussian form. Alternative hyperbolic-sinusoidal-Gaussian beam solutions are also found. © 1997 Optical Society of America

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most basic problems in optics is the determination of the propagation characteristics of electromagnetic beam waves in various optical elements and systems. Historically, the simplest limit of propagation in free space was the first to be analyzed in detail, and it was found that the field solutions in that case can be described in terms of Laguerre–Gaussian functions of real argument (referring to the argument of the Laguerre function) if one is working in cylindrical coordinates or Hermite–Gaussian functions of real argument in rectangular coordinates. However, many optical elements of interest involve spatial variations of the index of refraction, gain, or loss; and it is also important to understand the propagation characteristics of optical beams in such elements. It has long been known that media with refractive profiles can be used to guide electromagnetic fields. The guiding of beams by media with gain or loss profiles was also predicted, and gain guiding has been demonstrated experimentally. However, the propagation of higher-order electromagnetic beams in such complex media cannot be easily described in terms of polynomial-Gaussian solutions of real argument. Complex Hermite–Gaussian beams and Laguerre–Gaussian beams were discovered as eigenfunctions for laser resonators with Gaussian mirror-reflectivity profiles, and the complex Hermite-Gaussian beams were also found in more general propagation studies. In particular, it was shown that complex off-axis Hermite–Gaussian and Laguerre–Gaussian beams can propagate in any medium that can be characterized by only constant, linear, and quadratic transverse variations of the gain and index of refraction in the vicinity of the beam. Thus such beams are needed for the most general analytic propagation studies.

There are important qualitative distinctions between the propagation behavior of polynomial-Gaussian beams of real argument and the behavior of the corresponding beam solutions of complex argument. With the real-argument solutions the polynomial-Gaussian fields retain the general form of their field distribution at all planes along the propagation path. Thus the scale of the amplitude profile may change, but its general shape is constant. With the complex beams, on the other hand, the field profile may change form dramatically from one plane to another. A further important difference is that the spatial phase variations of the real-argument beams are similar to spherical waves, whereas the complex-argument beams have more complicated phase profiles.

Although most attention over the years has been focused on Hermite–Gaussian and Laguerre–Gaussian field solution sets, other solutions are possible, too. It was shown that linearly polarized J0-Bessel-Gaussian beams can propagate in free space, and recently a set of higher order azimuthally or radially polarized free-space Bessel–Gaussian beams was reported. A set of higher-order linearly polarized beams can also be obtained. Like the polynomial-Gaussian solutions of complex argument, the field distribution of these beams evolves strongly with propagation distance.

An attractive feature of the Bessel-Gaussian beams is their correspondence with the modes of waveguides. Thus in the limit that the Gaussian beam size becomes large, the remaining Bessel function factor corresponds exactly with the Bessel function modes of dielectric waveguides such as optical fibers. These solutions are
also the same as the so-called nondiffracting beams of recent interest.22,23 Such beams have been called nondiffracting on the basis that, if a sufficient portion of the beam profile is available, the beam will propagate for a long distance without much reduction in the amplitude of the central maximum (or any other maximum).

The new Bessel–Gaussian beams are one of the natural solutions of the wave equation in cylindrical coordinates. It is reasonable to inquire whether there might also be a corresponding set of beams in rectangular coordinates. Such a set should ideally reduce to conventional rectangular waveguide modes in an appropriate limit. One purpose of this work has been to develop a set of sinusoidal-Gaussian field solutions of complex argument for beam propagation in a rectangular system. In the limit that the Gaussian becomes infinitely wide, these solutions reduce to the ordinary sinusoidal modes that one would expect for a rectangular waveguide. Local maxima of such modes are, of course, also nondiffracting.

The derivation of our sinusoidal-Gaussian beams has included the possibility of propagation in complex lenslike media having at most quadratic transverse variations of the index of refraction and the gain or loss. The special case of propagation in free space is also considered. The beams also propagate in any of the optical elements or systems that have previously been analyzed in terms of polynomial-Gaussian functions. Advanced matrix methods for off-axis beams in general misaligned systems are also applicable to this solution set.24

The basic derivation of the sinusoidal-Gaussian beams is included in Section 2. The purpose of this derivation is to reduce the partial differential wave equation to a set of first-order ordinary differential equations governing the various parameters that characterize the beam. The solutions of these simpler equations are discussed in Section 3, and the specific problem of propagation through free space is explored in Section 4.

2. DERIVATION OF THE BEAM SOLUTIONS

For any investigation of light propagation the proper starting point is the Maxwell–Heaviside equations. These equations can be combined to yield coupled-wave equations that govern the various field components of a propagating electromagnetic beam. For the usual case of slowly varying complex propagation constant k, the dominant transverse field components are governed by the much simpler wave equation

$$V^2E'(x, y, z) + k^2(x, y, z)E'(x, y, z) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $E'$ is the complex amplitude of the vector electric field $E$, and $k$ is the complex spatially dependent wave number. The wave number may have an imaginary part as a result of nonzero conductivity or out-of-phase components of the material polarization or magnetization. If needed, the weak z components of the fields may be found from the transverse components by means of the Maxwell–Heaviside equations.11

In many practical situations the gain (or loss) and index of refraction have at most quadratic variations in the vicinity of the propagating beam, and one can write

$$k^2(x, y, z) = k_0(z)(k_0(z) - k_{1x}(z)x - k_{1y}y)$$

$$- k_{2x}(z)x^2 - k_{2y}(z)y^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

For an x-polarized wave propagating in the z direction a useful substitution is

$$E'_x(x, y, z) = A(x, y, z) \exp \left[ -i \int_0^z k_0(z') dz' \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and the x component of Eq. (1) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} - 2ik_0 \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} - i \frac{dk_0}{dz} A - k_0(k_{1x}x + k_{1y}y)$$

$$+ k_{2x}x^2 + k_{2y}y^2)A = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $A(x, y, z)$ is assumed to vary so slowly with $z$ that its second derivative can be neglected.

A useful form for an astigmatic off-axis Gaussian beam is12

$$A(x, y, z) = B(x, y, z) \exp \left[ -i \left( \frac{Q_x(x)x^2}{2} + \frac{Q_y(x)y^2}{2} + S_x(x)x + S_y(y)y \right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

With this substitution Eq. (4) may be separated into the set

$$Q_x^2 + k_0 \frac{dQ_x}{dz} + k_0k_{2x} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$Q_y^2 + k_0 \frac{dQ_y}{dz} + k_0k_{2y} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$Q_xS_x + k_0 \frac{dS_x}{dz} + k_0k_{1x} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$Q_yS_y + k_0 \frac{dS_y}{dz} + k_0k_{1y} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$- \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x^2} - 2i(S_x + Q_xx) \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial y^2} - 2i(S_y + Q_yy) \frac{\partial B}{\partial y}$$

$$-(S_x^2 + S_y^2)B - i(Q_x + Q_y)B - 2ik_0 \frac{\partial B}{\partial z}$$

$$- i \frac{dk_0}{dz} B = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

This separation is accomplished by setting equal to zero the various terms in $x^2, y^2, x$, and $y$. The significance of the Q parameters is contained in the relation

$$Q_x(z) = \frac{k_0(z)}{R_x(z)} - i \frac{2}{w_z^2(z)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $R_x$ and $w_z$ are, respectively, the radius of curvature of the phase fronts and the 1/e amplitude spot size in the x direction. The ratio $d_{sx} = -S_x/Q_x$ is the displacement in the x direction of the amplitude center of the Gaussian part of the beam, and the ratio $d_{sy} = -S_y/Q_y$ is the displacement in the x direction of the phase center of the beam.11 Here the subscripts s and p refer to the Gaussian and phase center, respectively.
denote, respectively, the imaginary and real parts of the parameters \( Q_s \) and \( S_j \), and similar relations apply to the functions \( Q_s \) and \( S_j \).

Thus far we have obtained a general set of off-axis Gaussian beams governed by Eqs. (6)–(9), and the solutions of these equations are known for \( z \)-independent media. Additional amplitude and phase variations can be found as solutions of Eq. (10). In the special case that \( B(x, y, z) \) is independent of \( x \) and \( y \), the solutions correspond to the fundamental Gaussian beam. If \( B(x, y, z) \) is not independent of \( x \) and \( y \), Eq. (10) can still be solved exactly. In fact, several different solution sets are possible, and most previous solutions have included various on-axis and off-axis Hermite–Gaussian and Laguerre–Gaussian beams. The solutions reported here involve general off-axis sinusoidal-Gaussian beams of complex argument.

To maintain as much generality as possible, one is led to modify Eq. (10) with the following changes of variables:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x' &= a_x(z)x + b_x(z), \\
y' &= a_y(z)y + b_y(z), \\
z' &= z,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a_x(z) \), \( b_x(z) \), \( a_y(z) \), and \( b_y(z) \) are as yet unspecified functions of \( z \). With these substitutions, Eq. (10) becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_x^2 \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x'^2} - 2 \left[ i a_x S_x + i(x' - b_x)Q_x \right] &= \frac{db_x}{dx'} - i \frac{d^2b_x}{dz^2} B, \\
    \frac{db_x}{dz'} &= \frac{db_x}{dx'} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x'} + i \frac{db_x}{dz'} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \\
    a_y^2 \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial y'^2} - 2 \left[ i a_y S_y + i(y' - b_y)Q_y \right] &= \frac{db_y}{dy'} - i \frac{d^2b_y}{dz^2} B, \\
    \frac{db_y}{dz'} &= \frac{db_y}{dy'} \frac{\partial B}{\partial y'} + i \frac{db_y}{dz'} \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} \\
    - (S_x^2 + S_y^2)B - i(Q_x + Q_y)B &= - 2ik_0 \frac{\partial B}{\partial z'} - i \frac{db_y}{dz'} B = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The substitution

\[
B(x', y', z') = C(x', y', z') \exp[-iP(z')]
\]

in Eq. (15) makes possible the arbitrary separation

\[
\frac{dP}{dz'} = \frac{1}{2k_0} \left[ (S_x^2 + S_y^2) + i(Q_x + Q_y) \right] + (\gamma_x^2 a_x^2 + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2) + i \frac{dk_0}{dz'},
\]

Thus the general solution for the propagation of optical beams in complex \( z \)-dependent lenslike media can be expressed in terms of sinusoidal-Gaussian functions of complex argument. The previous results can be collected together and written explicitly as

\[
a_x^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x'^2} - 2 \left[ i a_x S_x + i(x' - b_x)Q_x \right] + ik_0 \frac{\partial C}{\partial x'} + a_y^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y'^2} + \gamma_x^2 a_x^2 + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2 C = 0,
\]

where \( P(z') \) is a phase parameter and \( \gamma_x \) and \( \gamma_y \) are separation constants. Equation (18) may be reduced to equations for sinusoidal functions if the quantities in brackets are set equal to zero. Then this equation initially becomes:

\[
a_x^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x'^2} + \gamma_x^2 a_x^2 C + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2 C = 0.
\]

The corresponding constraints on \( a_x(z) \) and \( b_x(z) \) that arise from equating separately the terms in the first bracketed quantity of Eq. (18) that multiply \( x' \) and those that do not may be written:

\[
\begin{align*}
    Q_x + \frac{k_0 \partial a_x}{a_x \partial z'} &= 0, \\
    a_x S_x - \frac{k_0 \partial b_x}{b_x \partial z'} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where Eq. (20) has also been used in simplifying Eq. (21). Similar equations are obtained for \( a_y(z) \) and \( b_y(z) \).

The product function

\[
C(x', y', z') = X(x') Y(y')
\]

satisfies Eq. (19) provided that \( X \) and \( Y \) are solutions of the sine function differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x'^2} + \gamma_x^2 a_x^2 \gamma_y^2 a_y^2 C &= 0, \\
    \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial y'^2} + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2 C &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
where $\gamma' = i\gamma_x$, $\gamma' = i\gamma_y$, and the terms in large parentheses are meant to suggest a possible superposition of the sine, cosine, hyperbolic sine, and hyperbolic cosine symmetries, which could also be represented as, for example, just the sine or sinh functions but with added phase terms. The separation constants $\gamma_x$ and $\gamma_y$ could now be absorbed into their associated $a$ and $b$ coefficients, or, equivalently, they can be set equal to unity. Equation (25) is our general form for the sinusoidal-Gaussian beams of complex argument in complex lenslike media.

3. SOLUTION OF THE BEAM EQUATIONS

In the previous section we derived a set of beam solutions that can describe the spatial distribution of electromagnetic waves as they propagate in general complex lenslike media. In this process the partial differential wave equation has been reduced to a set of ordinary differential equations. Our solutions will not be complete until these secondary beam-parameter equations have actually been solved. Thus it is now necessary to solve the coupled ordinary first-order differential equations given above as Eqs. (6)–(9), (17), (20), and (21).

Although many specific solutions exist for the beam parameter Eqs. (6) and (7), no completely general analytic solution for $Q_x$ and $Q_y$ is available for arbitrary $z$ dependences of the wave-number coefficients $k_0$, $k_1$, and $k_2$. Numerical solutions are of course always possible, and it will be seen that all of the other coefficients in the mode expressions can be expressed in terms of $Q_x$ and $Q_y$. The solutions to Eq. (6) can be written in the well-known form

$$ Q_{2x} = \frac{1}{q_{2x}} = \frac{C_x + D_x/q_{x1}}{A_x + B_x/q_{x1}}, \quad (26) $$

and this result is also valid for a wide variety of other optical elements. We will be using the standard low-gain-per-wavelength form of the beam parameter:

$$ \frac{1}{q_x} = \frac{1}{R_x} = \frac{i\lambda}{n_0\pi w_x^2}. \quad (27) $$

In this expression $R_x$ represents the radius of curvature of the phase fronts, $w_x$ is the spot size or 1/e amplitude radius of the Gaussian amplitude distribution, $\lambda$ is the vacuum wavelength, and $n_0$ is the index of refraction. For a $z$-independent medium the $ABCD$ coefficients in Eq. (26) are the elements of the matrix

$$ \begin{bmatrix} A_xB_x \\ C_xD_x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos[(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}z] & (k_0/k_{2x})^{1/2}\sin[(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}z] \\ -(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}\sin[(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}z] & \cos[(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}z] \end{bmatrix}. \quad (28) $$

Similar solutions are obtained for Eq. (7). Equation (26) was referred to by Kogelnik as the $ABCD$ law but has also otherwise been called the Kogelnik transformation. Equation (26) gives the $z$ dependence of the beam parameter $Q_x$, and this result can now be substituted into Eq. (8) for the complex displacement parameter $S_x$. The resulting equation can be integrated explicitly. For simplicity we will make the specific choice that the medium is aligned with the $z$ axis ($k_1 = k_2 = 0$), and then the displacement parameter for the $x$ direction is governed by the $AB$ law

$$ S_{2x} = \frac{S_{x1}}{A_x + B_x/q_{x1}}, \quad (29) $$

with a similar equation for the displacement parameter for the $y$ direction $S_{y2}$.

In the present limit of an aligned medium, Eq. (20) for the parameter $a_x(z)$ is of the same form as Eq. (8) for the displacement parameter $S_x(z)$. Therefore the parameter $a_x(z)$ is also governed by an $AB$ law

$$ a_{2x} = \frac{a_{x1}}{A_x + B_x/q_{x1}}, \quad (30) $$

with a similar equation for $a_{y2}$. With the results given above, Eq. (21) for the parameter $b_y(z)$ can be written as

$$ \frac{db_y}{dz} = \frac{1}{k_0}a_x(z)S_y(z) = \frac{1}{k_0}a_x(z)\frac{1}{k_0}\left[\cos[(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}z] + (k_0/k_{2x})^{1/2}\sin[(k_{2x}/k_0)^{1/2}z]/q_{x1}\right]^2, \quad (31) $$
This equation can be integrated, and the result is
\[
b_x(z) = b_x + \frac{a_{x1} S_{x1}}{k_0} \left( \frac{k_0}{k_{2x}} \right)^{1/2} \sin \left( \frac{k_{2x}}{k_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{k_0}{k_{2x}} \right)^{1/2} \sin \left( \frac{k_{2x}}{k_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{q_{x1}} \right),
\]

or
\[
b_x(z) = b_x + \frac{a_{x1} S_{x1}}{k_0} \left( \frac{k_0}{k_{2x}} \right)^{1/2} \sin \left( \frac{k_{2x}}{k_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{k_0}{k_{2x}} \right)^{1/2} \sin \left( \frac{k_{2x}}{k_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{q_{x1}} \right),
\]

A similar result is obtained for \( b_y(z) \).

The phase parameter follows from Eq. (17), and for \( z \) independent media this equation is
\[
dP(z) = \frac{1}{2k_0} \left[ (S_{x1}^2 + S_{y1}^2) + i(Q_x + Q_y) \right]
\]
\[
+ \left( \gamma_x^2 a_x^2 + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2 \right),
\]

With the same methods as before, this equation can be integrated. The result is
\[
P_2 = P_1 - \frac{i}{2} \left[ \ln(A_x + B_x/z_{x1}) + \ln(A_y + B_y/z_{y1}) \right]
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2k_0} \left[ \frac{(S_{x1}^2 + \gamma_x^2 a_x^2)}{A_x + B_x/z_{x1}} \right]
\]
\[
+ \left( \gamma_x^2 a_x^2 + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2 \right),
\]

which can be checked by differentiation. When the various parameter formulas discussed here are introduced into Eq. (25), one has a complete description of the propagation of sinusoidal-Gaussian beams in aligned complex lenslike media. These results also apply to lenses, retroreflectors, and the many other elements that can be represented by ABCD matrices. Misaligned and displaced media are most easily treated by using a \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix formulation.24

4. PROPAGATION IN FREE SPACE

As an example of the previous results, we will now consider in detail the important special case of beam propagation in free space. The general propagation matrix given in Eq. (28) reduces for a uniform medium \( k_{2x} = 0 \) to the simple form
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_x & B_x \\
C_x & D_x
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and if the medium is free space the parameter \( k_0 \) has the real value \( 2 \pi / \lambda \). With use of Eq. (36) the various parameter equations given above as Eqs. (26), (29), (30), (33), and (35) reduce to
\[
\frac{1}{q_{x2}} = \frac{1}{q_{x1}} \left( \frac{1}{1 + z/q_{x1}} \right),
\]

\[
S_{x2} = \frac{S_{x1}}{1 + z/q_{x1}},
\]

\[
a_{x2} = \frac{a_{x1}}{1 + z/q_{x1}},
\]

\[
b_{x2} = b_{x1} - \frac{a_{x1} S_{x1} z/k_0}{1 + z/q_{x1}},
\]

\[
P_2 = P_1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \ln(1 + z/q_{x1}) + \ln(1 + z/q_{y1}) \right]
\]
\[
\frac{z}{2k_0} \left[ \frac{(S_{x1}^2 + \gamma_x^2 a_x^2)}{1 + z/q_{x1}} + \frac{(S_{y1}^2 + \gamma_y^2 a_y^2)}{1 + z/q_{y1}} \right].
\]

As a further specialization it will be assumed that both the Gaussian and sinusoidal factors of the beam remain on the \( z \) axis \( (S_{x1} = S_{y1} = b_{x1} = b_{y1} = 0) \). Furthermore, it will be assumed that the beam is so wide in the \( y \) direction \( (1/q_{y1} \rightarrow 0, \gamma_y a_{y1} \rightarrow 0) \) that it can be considered a simple slab geometry configuration. In these limits Eqs. (25) governing the field can be written
\[
E_x'(x, z) = E_{x0}' \exp \left[ -i \left( k_0 z + \frac{q_{x1} x^2}{2 q_{x1}} + P(z) \right) \right] \times \sin(\gamma_x a_x z),
\]

where, to be specific, only the sine form of the beam is shown. The remaining equations governing the parameters of the beam include Eqs. (37), (39), and the following simplified form of Eq. (41):
\[
P_2 = P_1 - \frac{i}{2} \ln(1 + z/q_{x1}) - \frac{z}{2k_0} \frac{\gamma_x^2 a_x^2}{1 + z/q_{x1}}.
\]

Equations (27) and (37) are familiar in Gaussian beam studies. If the spot size and phase front curvatures are referenced to their values at the waist of the Gaussian factor of the beam, these equations yield the standard results
\[
w_{z2} = w_{z0} \left[ 1 + (z/z_0)^2 \right]^{1/2},
\]
\[
R_{z2} = z \left[ 1 + (z/z_0)^2 \right],
\]

where \( z_0 = \pi w_{z0}^2 / \lambda \) is the Rayleigh length and \( w_{z0} \) is the spot size at the waist. To be still more specific, while emphasizing the initial form of the beam as a sine-Gaussian, it will be assumed that the parameter \( a_{x1} \) at the beam waist has the real value \( a_{x0} \). In this case the real and imaginary parts of \( a_{x2} \) in Eq. (39) can be written
\[
a_{x2r} = \frac{a_{x0}}{1 + (z/z_0)^2},
\]
\[
a_{x2i} = \frac{a_{x0} (z/z_0)}{1 + (z/z_0)^2}.
\]

From Eq. (43) the phase parameter can be separated into its real and imaginary parts according to
Fig. 1. Transverse intensity profiles of a sine-Gaussian beam at the reference plane \( z'' = 0 \) for the normalized modal parameter values \( a'' = 1, 3, \) and 5. For small values of this parameter the intensity distribution approaches that of a first-order Hermite-Gaussian beam mode.

Equation (50) is our final result for the intensity distribution of a pure sine-Gaussian beam propagating from a uniform-phase waist in free space. A similar result is obtained for a pure cosine-Gaussian beam.

The implications of Eq. (50) are not especially easy to visualize, so we have plotted some representative intensity profiles. As a first step it is helpful to introduce the normalized transverse coordinate \( x'' = x/w_{0x} \), the normalized longitudinal coordinate \( z'' = z/z_0 \), and the normalized parameter \( a'' = \gamma_x a_{0x} w_{0x} \). With these substitutions Eq. (50) reduces to the more compact form

\[
I(x'', z'') = \frac{I_0}{(1 + z''^2)^{1/2}} \exp \left( \frac{2x''^2 + a''^2 z''^2}{1 + z''^2} \right) \times \left[ \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{2a'' x'' z''}{1 + z''^2} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{2a'' z''}{1 + z''^2} \right)}{2} \right].
\]

(51)

We will look first at possible forms that this intensity profile may take at the reference plane \( z'' = 0 \). From Eq. (51) the intensity at this plane simplifies to

\[
I(x'', z'' = 0) = I_0 \exp \left( -2x''^2 \right) \left( 1 - \cos(2a'' x'') \right)/2.
\]

(52)

Figure 1 shows some typical transverse intensity profiles of a sine-Gaussian beam based on Eq. (52), for various values of the normalized parameter \( a'' \). For small values of this parameter, Eq. (52) reduces to

\[
I(x'', z'' = 0) \approx I_0 a''^2 x''^2 \exp( -2x''^2 ),
\]

(53)

which is the intensity distribution of a first-order Hermite-Gaussian beam. Under the same conditions the intensity distribution of a cosine-Gaussian beam would approach that of the fundamental Gaussian beam.

It is also of interest to consider the transverse intensity distribution of a sine-Gaussian beam at various values of the propagation distance \( z'' \), and plots of this variation are given in Fig. 2. For large values of \( z'' \), Eq. (51) simplifies to

\[
I(x'', z'' \to \infty) = I_0 z''^{-1} \exp \left( -2x''^2/z''^2 + a''^2/2 \right) \times \left[ \frac{\cosh(2a'' x''/z'') - 1}{2} \right] = I_0 z''^{-1} \exp \left( -2x''^2/z''^2 + a''^2/2 \right) \times \sinh^2(2a'' x''/z'').
\]

(54)

Thus the sine-Gaussian beam evolves into a sinh-Gaussian beam as it propagates. In a similar way the cosine-Gaussian beam would evolve into a cosh-Gaussian beam.

The cosh-Gaussian beam in particular may have important applications in optimizing the efficiency of laser amplifiers. To illustrate this, we will note from the form of

\[
I(x, z) = \frac{I_0}{(1 + (z/z_0)^2)^{1/2}} \exp \left( \frac{2(x/w_{0x})^2 + (z_0 \gamma_x a_{0x}^2/k_0)(z/z_0)}{1 + (z/z_0)^2} \right) \times \left[ \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{2\gamma_x a_{0x}(z/z_0)}{1 + (z/z_0)^2} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{2\gamma_x a_{0x}}{1 + (z/z_0)^2} \right)}{2} \right].
\]

(50)
5. DISCUSSION

Sinusoidal-Gaussian beams have been obtained here for the propagation of electromagnetic waves in free space and in complex media. In the limit of large Gaussian beam spot size the Gaussian beam factor becomes unimportant, and the field distribution reduces to the conventional modes of a rectangular waveguide. In the opposite limit that the period of the sinusoidal factors is large compared with the width of the Gaussian factor, the beam takes the form of the familiar fundamental Gaussian beam (or sometimes the first-order Hermite-Gaussian beam). A different but similar class of beams involves hyperbolic-sinusoidal-Gaussian functions.

None of these classes of beams is difficult to obtain in the laboratory. The easiest method would be to have an ordinary Gaussian beam be incident on an appropriate transmission or reflection aperture. For example, if a Gaussian beam is incident on an aperture having a cosh-Gaussian transmission or reflection aperture, the resulting interaction of the beam with the aperture could be represented as a Fourier series.
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