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Development of the Idaho Statewide Travel Demand Model Trip Matrices Using Cell Phone OD Data and Matrix Estimation

Portland State University, October 24, 2014
Topics

- Idaho Statewide Travel Model
- Cell Phone OD Data
- OD Matrix Estimation
- Validation
- Discussion
In spring 2013, started building the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) statewide travel demand model (STDM)

Why? – as part of ITD’s data-driven, performance-based Investment Corridor Analysis Planning System (ICAPS)

The two key requirements for the model are to forecast link level (road segment) auto and truck traffic, including external traffic
What is a travel demand model

- A series of mathematical equations that represent how choices are made when people travel.

- Combines a network (supply) with population and employment by location (demand for travel).

![Diagram showing the relationship between characteristics of the transportation system, the number and location of households and employment, travel demand forecasting model, and transportation system performance.](image)
Network

- Network for:
  - Routing trips
  - Generating travel time and distances between locations
  - Accumulating forecasted trips on roadway segments to estimate volumes
- Started from ITD’s GIS system so LRS coding is maintained
- Stitched-in MPO networks and FHWA’s network for areas beyond the state
Zone system

- All land use coded at the zone level
  - Uses MPO land use forecasts in order to be consistent
- Zones are the origin and destination of all travel in the model
- Developed the 4000+ zones in conjunction with MPOs and ITD District Planners
Zone System

- MPO zones ~3200
- Non-MPO ~350
- Buffer area ~600
- Remaining US & CA ~55
- Total zones 4200+
Travel demand (i.e. trips)

- How do we get an estimate of the travel demand for the entire model region? Two approaches in this project:
  - Phase 1 - we used cell phone origin-destination location data to synthesize travel demand
    - Not a forecast, but useful for estimating travel
  - Phase 2 - estimate models based on surveys and other data that forecast travel based on land use
    - Will have an activity-based person travel model and a FAF/Transearch disaggregation-based freight model
External travel demand

- External travel is travel coming in and/or going out of the study area
- Very difficult to collect external travel data
- Typically estimate external travel based on traffic counts, as opposed to land use
- Cell phone OD data is emerging as a promising data set for external travel estimates
- Cell phone OD data will be used for external travel estimates in both phase 1 and in phase 2
Phase 1 model

Cell phone OD matrices and traffic counts

OD Matrix Estimation
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Phase 2 model

Person Transport

- Long distance
  - Non-work trips

- Short distance
  - Commute and non-work trips

Performance Measures

Network Assignment

Freight Transport

- Long distance
  - Commodity flows

- Short distance
  - Truck trips

Socioeconomic and Transearch data

System Users

- Tourism*
- Employment Retail
- Long haul Agriculture*
- Short haul Agriculture*
Cell Phone OD Data

- AirSage converts cell phone time and location data into trip OD data
- Has exclusive agreement with Verizon and others to aggregate and sell the cell phone location data
- Extracts the time and location of the cell phone every time it talks to the network - email, texts, phone calls, GPS, etc.
- Identifies cell device usual home and work location based on the cluster of points identifying where the phone “sleeps” at night and “works” during the day
Cell Phone OD Data

- Trips are coded with respect to the home and work anchor locations:
  - Home-based work
  - Home-based other
  - Non-home-based
  - Resident versus visitor
- AirSage expands the sampled trips to better match the population using various Census data sets
Cell Phone OD Data Request

- Calendar: Average weekday for the month of September 2013
- Markets: Resident HBW, HBO, and NHB; Visitor NHB
- Time period: Daily
- Zones: 750 x 750 super zone matrices to reduce cost
- Price: Quite reasonable
- License: Data licensed only for the project; derivative products can be used for other purposes though
Disaggregation to Model Zones and Initial Network Assignment

- Matrices disaggregated from 750 zones to 4000+ zones using each model zone’s share of super zone population and employment
- Results in daily raw cell phone flows between model zones for four markets
- Assign (or route) cell phone “trips” through the network using free flow travel time as the routing criteria
- Compare trip lengths to check results
  - Statewide model network trip lengths were joined to the trip records
Cell Phone HBW and Census JTW Trip Lengths

- Similar results within each District as well
Cell Phone OD and Boise MPO (COMPASS) Survey Trip Lengths

### Coincidence Ratio and Average Trip Length Difference by Trip Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trip Category</th>
<th>Coincidence Ratio</th>
<th>Average Trip Length (Miles)</th>
<th>Avg. Trip Length Difference</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPASS</td>
<td>AirSage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBW</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Census JTW to Cell Phone Resident HBW Trips

- Would expect around 2 cell phone trips for each Census work journey
- The proportion of Census JTW to AirSage trips should be around 0.5
- This is essentially the case, as shown in the figure to the right, when summarized for all zone pairs
- The unexplained exception around 1.6 is flows to/from the state of Utah
## Trip Length Differences by District and Trip Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Trip Category</th>
<th>Coincidence Ratio</th>
<th>Average Trip Length (Miles)</th>
<th>Avg. Trip Length Difference</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Census JTW</td>
<td>AirSage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Internal-Internal</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>28.69</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Internal-Internal</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>22.03</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>29.35</td>
<td>30.68</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Internal-Internal</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>26.74</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Internal-Internal</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Resident</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Internal-Internal</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>24.91</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Internal-Internal</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-MPO Resident</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Observations from Initial Assignment

- Reasonable goodness-of-fit between the cell phone trip length distributions and the Census Journey-to-work and Boise MPO travel survey data sets
- Significant differences for NHB trips, especially short distance trips
- Why? Most likely a classification issue
  - Survey NHB trips are just household-based non-home-based trips, whereas the AirSage trips are everything else, including commercial vehicles
  - Very short trips in terms of distance and time may drop out of the AirSage data set as well
  - Simplified procedure to disaggregate super zone flows to model zones likely creating differences for some OD pairs
- Remember we’re comparing cell phone movements to person reported travel
Origin Destination Matrix Estimation

- Assign initial trip matrices to the daily statewide network using free flow travel time for impedance
- Adjust the trip demand matrices to minimize the difference between the estimated link volumes and traffic counts by user class
- Check difference between observed and estimated traffic volumes by user class (auto and truck) and facility type
- Repeat procedure until acceptable convergence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count Source</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMPO</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTPO</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPASS</td>
<td>2,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMPO</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCVMPO</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITD (only 10% used)</td>
<td>30,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35,205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ODME Steps

- Input link level traffic counts
- Assign trip demand matrix (i.e. route trips through the network)
- Skim the sum of link traffic counts by OD
- Skim the sum of link assigned volumes (where count >0) by OD
- Calculate the ratio of count to assigned volume by OD
- Scale trip demand matrix by OD using the ratio calculated above
- Re-run assignment and repeat until converged
- Weight links by importance (i.e. larger counter = larger weight)
- Encourage solution convergence by averaging results across iterations (such as 50% this iteration + 50% previous iteration)

- Procedure borrowed from the Florida DOT
ODME Results

- %RMSE (goodness-of-fit measure) by ODME iteration
- Final %RMSE: auto 10.0%, truck 15.8%
## ODME Results

### %RMSE by Facility Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>Freeway</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Minor Arterial</th>
<th>Principal Arterial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%RMSE</td>
<td>25.30%</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
<td>49.30%</td>
<td>15.10%</td>
<td>11.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing %RMSE by Facility Type](image-url)
ODME Results

Assigned Volumes vs. Traffic Counts

R² = 0.9941

% Difference
ODME Results

- Good results in the MPOs and non-MPO areas as well
- All MPOs have similar results to COMPASS
ODME Results

- Reasonable trip length frequency results as well

HBW

NHB

HBO

Visitor
**ODME Results**

- County to county HBW flows for COMPASS area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Ada</th>
<th></th>
<th>Canyon</th>
<th></th>
<th>Others</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted Trips</td>
<td>Census JTW</td>
<td>Adjusted Trips</td>
<td>Census JTW</td>
<td>Adjusted Trips</td>
<td>Census JTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada</td>
<td>22.83%</td>
<td>19.49%</td>
<td>1.47%</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>2.83%</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>5.32%</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>0.83%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>66.79%</td>
<td>69.62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Reasonable goodness-of-fit between synthesized travel demand and limited observed data across multiple dimensions - user class, facility type, geography
ODME Criticisms

- ODME “naively” adjusts the travel demand to match the traffic counts
- This can result in overfitting (which is where the model describes random error instead of the underlying relationships between variables)
- This means it can only be used for short-term forecasting, in which conditions are similar to today
- ODME estimated traffic flows are a best-case scenario for goodness-of-fit since the process explicitly adjusts the input to better match the output
- The phase 2 travel demand model, which is a function of land use, will be more sensitive to inputs, but is unlikely to match the traffic counts as well
Discussion

- ITD wanted an ODME model in order to get components of the system (network, zones, trip matrices, etc.) up and running as early as possible in the project.
- The ODME model can be used for current year (and short term) estimates of roadway volumes by auto and truck.
- The next phase of the model will be more of a long range forecasting tool since it is a function of land use (which drives travel demand).
Discussion Continued

• The cell phone OD data is a reasonable starting point for generating statewide trip matrices
• Used in conjunction with existing travel modeling tools and techniques, the cell phone OD data has a very promising future in our industry
• Additional work is required to better understand how cell phone flows are different than traditional travel data sets
• The phase 2 demand models will only replace the internally generated travel and so the cell phone trip matrices will still be used to model the external travel
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