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No other ethnic group enjoyed the level of success, defined in terms of economic status and social acceptance, attained by Portland Jews in the second half of the nineteenth century. Hailing predominantly from the German states of northern and central Europe, the Jewish pioneers transplanted middle class values and mercantile skills in their new home. From a small unstable population of single men in the 1850s, Portland Jewry grew into an affluent class conscious family oriented
community by the mid-1880s.

The center of Portland's Jewish life during the formative years was Congregation Beth Israel, the first congregation in the Pacific Northwest. It provided the spiritual and social cement the community needed to meet the challenges of the frontier environment. As the population increased, the institutional structure of the community expanded with a succession of organizations--Hebrew Benevolent Association, Hebrew Ladies Benevolent Society, B'nai B'rith, YMHA, to name the most prominent.

As the population increased with the immigration of Polish and Prussian Jews in the 1870s, some internal struggle occurred. The more traditional Jews, primarily from eastern Prussia, formed a new congregation, rejecting the reforming, Americanized Beth Israel. In the 1880s the split became further institutionalized as the wealthy German Jews established the Concordia Club, a social club for the Jewish elite.

Despite this division, Portland Jewry remained fairly homogenous through the 1880s. The outstanding distinguishing characteristic of the community was its adaptation to American society and its integration into city life. The pioneer Jews sought the same rewards as their gentile neighbors--economic success and community stability. They experienced little racial prejudice and moved with no apparent self consciousness in Portland society. Although they were excluded from the Arlington Club, the bastion of the gentile elite, Portland's Jews maintained close business and social ties with the non-Jewish community. This experience was similar to that in other frontier communities where Jews entered city life early in its development.

While becoming Americanized, Portland Jewry clung to its cultural
heritage. Its organizations and institutions which showed the effects of the frontier environment were still distinctively Jewish. And in business, success was fostered by intra-group and family networking and credit arrangements that were familiar in Europe.

The use of "new social history" techniques provides a view of all levels of Jewish society. By using data gathered from federal and county census records, burial records, marriage records, and tax records, as well as institutional records and personal papers, the development of institutional structure, leadership roles, and class divisions can be understood.
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INTRODUCTION

One student of Portland history noted that "Portland grew by means of the gradual accretion of individual entrepreneurs and by the rapid and often disorderly accumulations of speculators." A small, though disproportionately important, class of entrepreneurs who assisted in the growth of Portland were Jewish immigrants seeking an economic advantage on the frontier. Jews established a permanent community early in Portland's history and by the 1880s had developed into an affluent, stable presence. The economic contours of the city satisfied the entrepreneurial designs of the aggressive, but conservative Jewish businessmen who concentrated in the retail, wholesale, and importing trades.

This study analyzes how Portland Jewry, both its leaders and "ordinary" citizens, structured a community and contributed to the development of the city from 1850 to the mid-1880s. The following chapters probe the motivations and aspirations of the community's founders over forty short years in which they constructed the basic institutional framework that would serve as the organizational model for future generations.

The mid-nineteenth century was a critical period in the life of American Jewry. European immigration continually enhanced the Jewish population and the westward impulse spread Jews across the breadth of the United States and its Territories. Jewish pioneers appeared in Portland almost at the point of the city's founding--a circumstance which served
to aid their integration and acceptance into the frontier community.

The Jewish experience in the West, as in America generally, was
decidedly urban. As early as 1861, nearly half of Oregon's Jews lived
in Portland, ² with most of the balance found in growing towns such as
Salem, Corvallis, Albany, and Jacksonville. Therefore, this work also
addresses the issue of urban development and how one distinct group
contributed to the birth and growth of the western city.

In recent years American Jewish historiography has undergone a
revision which has resulted in new perceptions of the social, political,
economic, and cultural experience of Jews in the United States. The
long dominant view, articulated by Jacob Rader Marcus, assumed that
sequential waves of ethnic immigration determined the main contours of
American Jewish history. This hypothesis, reduced to the simplest form,
describes three distinct periods of Jewish immigration: the Sephardic,
1654–1776; the German, 1820–1880; and the Eastern European, 1880–1924.
However, in his review of American Jewish historiography, Oscar Handlin
has argued that all too often this tripartite scheme was used to explain
the communal development of American Jews, assuming, for example, that
a German–East European split alone explained community divisions. Hand-
lin contends that these tripartite divisions are artificial— that during
the colonial period Polish and German Jews emigrated as did Russian and
Polish Jews during the German era. He argues, in short, that the "tra-
ditional divisions of the Jewish past in the United States rested on a
false premise." ⁴

Handlin believes that too much American Jewish historical writing
looks inward for the effect of subsequent waves of immigrants on the
preceding group. That consideration, important in its own right,
ignores and obscures the place of the Jews in the broader American culture and the effect of the American environment on Jewish communities and institutions. Handlin argues that the problems faced by Jewish immigrants were steps in the acculturation of their institutions, religion, and cultural identity. William Toll, a student of Portland Jewry, seems to agree when he concludes that American Jewish distinctiveness from other Jewish communities is not based on the development of peculiarly American forms of Judaism, but on the same social tensions which affected other American immigrants.

Ellis Rivkin argues that the fate of Jews everywhere was bound to the changes in the host society's economy. He sees the cause of Jewish immigration as "the break-up of a European pre-capitalist society resulting from the penetration of capitalism." As the relationship of Jews to the pre-capitalist agricultural system was broken, Jews were propelled to America. Rivkin argues that because capitalism had not reached equilibrium in America, opportunity was rife for the Jews. During periods of economic disintegration, Jews faced a hostile environment, but in a society where capitalism was growing and developing, they could find a friendly home. Rivkin argues that "emancipation and a tendency toward equality always went hand in hand with new outbursts of capitalist energy."

Acquiring equal rights and gaining acceptance in America was never a difficult struggle, according to Lloyd Gartner, a student of urban Jewry. He sees a Jewish community that feels at home in its own country: "In these respects we see in America an emancipated Jewish society probably without parallel." Gartner believes the substance of American Jewish history is the investigation of true freedom and the
question of how Judaism was redefined in America. 8

The methodology employed in the "New Social History" has broadened the scope of Jewish historiography. Quantitative methods have opened the door for historians who wished to recreate the lives of ordinary people rather than emphasize only the roles of religion, welfare institutions, and elites in community development. 9 Broad urban histories of this genre have been written on the Jews of Atlanta and Columbus, Ohio. 10 More specialized histories, keying on specific issues or limited time periods, have been written on New York, Syracuse, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Denver, and Portland. 11

William Toll noted that the use of federal and local public records provides the historian with a key to the issues of housing, employment, family organization, education, fraternity, residential and geographic mobility, and the development of class structure. He argues that historians need to emphasize class development and structure to see how classes relate, fade, or grow. 12 Quantitative analysis also provides an opportunity to compare a single ethnic group's experience in several locals or to contrast different groups in a single area. Toll calls for exactly these kind of studies to further our understanding of the social and economic mobility of different groups. 13 And Steven Hertzberg presents many of his findings about Atlanta Jewry in quantitative terms so that they "will permit comparison with those of future studies." 14

All these views have influenced this study. Each has merit and I have accepted none as the last word in the writing of American Jewish history. Thomas Kessner could have been discussing American Jewish historiography when he wrote about mobility studies—that they "are still very much like the proverbial blind men touching different parts
of the elephant: no single description is inaccurate but all are incomplete."15 This investigation into the development of the Jewish community structure in Portland includes use of the traditional source material for such histories: congregational records, organizational records, personal papers, and newspaper accounts. Additionally, information was taken from the United States Census, cemetery records, and early county tax and census records found in the Oregon Territorial Documents.

The following chapters are primarily topical in nature, although their structure and arrangement fall roughly in a chronological order. Chapter One deals with the first decade of Jewish settlement in Portland and the first tentative steps in communal development. Chapter Two presents a profile of the people through statistical analysis. Chapter Three concentrates on the evolution of Jewish organizations. Chapter Four raises the issue of economic and occupational mobility and the business structure of the community. Chapter Five treats the role Jews played in the civic, cultural, and political life of the city. Chapter Six closes the period with a look at the emergence of a class consciousness within the Jewish community.

Historian Abraham J. Karp, writing about the American German-Jewish community in the 1850s, noted it was "beginning to establish those institutions and organizations which would give it structure and identity, which would answer the needs of today, and lay the foundation for the community of tomorrow."16 As Portland began to emerge in the 1850s as the leading urban center of the northwest, the Jews who chose to settle in the city were entering the stage of development Karp described. By the mid-1880s Portland Jewry had matured and created the institutional
framework upon which future generations would build.
Like most of the cities and towns of the west coast in the 1850s, the initial growth experienced by Portland resulted from the 1849 California gold rush. On the eve of the gold rush, Portland was little more than a stump-lined village with a few hundred residents. Nine years earlier Massachusetts ship captain John H. Couch discovered a deep water anchorage adjacent to a level, forested site twelve miles upstream from the Willamette River's confluence with the Columbia. Recognizing the commercial potential of the site on the river's west bank, Couch moved his merchandise store from Oregon City to a clearing which later became known as Couch's Addition. Other proprietors, also appreciating the geographic advantage of Couch's discovery, began developing the location, forsaking the then larger Oregon City which was situated above Willamette Falls, a barrier to sailing vessels. In 1845 the young settlement was named Portland and a townsite of sixteen blocks was platted. Settlers cleared the forest to make way for streets and used the lumber to construct homes and small shops, creating by 1848 what one observer described as a "small and beautiful village of about 100 (with) an air of neatness, thrift, and industry."¹

During the four decades following the platting of the Portland townsite, the village grew steadily, becoming the predominant commercial center of the Pacific Northwest. River transportation, shipping,
and merchandising produced the economic leadership of the city from 1850 into the mid-1880s.²

However, the early settlers faced uneasy times in the fragile economy of the mid-1840s. Despite the proximity to the agricultural settlements on Tualatin Plains, markets for Portland merchants were limited and shipping was both difficult and costly. In 1847, a large immigration to Oregon and the beginning of a small California market produced a measure of stability in Portland's economy.³ But gold was the impetus for growth in commerce and population. Although the discovery of gold in California nearly depopulated Portland for a short time as members of the community, dreaming of instant wealth, packed their grips and headed for the mines, the ultimate effect of the gold rush was expansion of trading markets for local merchants and a lucrative business in supplying provisions for the mining camps.⁴

The gold rush populated California almost overnight as tens of thousands of adventurers from every corner of the United States and from several foreign nations sailed around the horn of South America or packed overland to try their luck in the mining regions. Among this migration was an indeterminate number of young Jews. The Jews of mid-nineteenth century America had already played a role in the westward movement, entering the frontier one step behind other settlers. Seldom in the vanguard of explorers and pioneers, Jewish peddlers and traders followed the lines of settlement with an eye toward exploiting expanding commercial markets. Of the Jews who migrated to California during the gold rush, it is likely that only a handful attempted prospecting since it was not an occupation known in central and northern Europe where most of them were born. Yet, Jews became an integral part of the mining
economy, peddling goods, supplying gold camps by pack-train, or establishing small retail stores in San Francisco and the mining communities of the Sierra Nevada.⁵

Life in the mines and mining communities was hard and success was elusive. Many forty-niners whose dreams of fortunes were shattered by the realities of prospecting left California to begin anew, to search out more lucrative opportunities. Among them were Jews who like their gentile fellow pioneers placed the quest for economic success foremost in their thoughts. "To these men," historian Robert E. Levinson observed, "if the chance of greater profits existed in a new region or community, the distance to be travelled in order to cash in was immaterial."⁶ Market potential was the most significant factor affecting mid-nineteenth century Jewish migration in America.⁷ It was that consideration that accounted for the appearance of Jewish settlers in Portland in 1849. The lure to Portland was strong for the more adventurous pioneers who were leaving the gold camps. The region was sparsely populated and relatively undeveloped. But, more important, with its location on the Willamette-Columbia Rivers system, the young town offered a bright commercial future for those who were willing to invest their energy and sweat.

Jews entered the Oregon Territory as early as 1840 and it is not unwarranted to assume that a Jewish peddler may have sold his wares in or around Portland prior to 1849. However, students of Portland's pioneer Jews agree that Jacob Goldsmith and Lewis May were the town's first Jewish residents.⁸

Little is known about Goldsmith and May. They operated a general merchandise store on Front Street for two years before leaving Portland.
Both men joined a group of local merchants in forming the city's first Masonic lodge in 1850. May served as the first Grand Marshall of the Grand Lodge of Oregon and Goldsmith was the Portland lodge's representative to the district lodge in California when the former applied for its charter.

Born in Worms, a free city bordering the German state of Hesse, May immigrated to the United States in 1840, settling in Shreveport, Louisiana, where he entered upon his Masonic career. Whether May moved from the south to California before he migrated to Portland is unclear. Goldsmith, on the other hand, came to Portland from San Francisco where he apparently was a partner in J. Goldsmith and Company, an auctioneering firm. Goldsmith returned to San Francisco in 1851 and in the same year May left for New York where he became involved in banking and railroad.

Following on the heels of Goldsmith and May were several young Jews--often brothers or cousins travelling together--who made their way to Portland and eventually became influential community leaders. Simon Blumauer, a merchant from Bavaria, operated a retail store with his brother Jacob as early as 1851 and served as President of Congregation Beth Israel for nearly two decades. The majority of Jewish immigrants, however, either stayed only a short time or immediately fanned out into the surrounding environs.

The first decade of Jewish immigration to Portland was characterized by a high degree of mobility which is illustrated by the population statistics drawn from early census records. The 1850 United States Census for Portland counted only one person in Portland who can be identified as Jewish. That individual, Isaac Stamper, a 32 year old
Prussian-born merchant, did not appear in the Washington County Tax Roll of 1852, the county census of 1853, nor in the United States Census of 1860. Of the 3808 people in Washington County in 1853, only nineteen Jews can be identified, all of whom resided in Portland. Fifteen of them (79 percent) were adult males, and all but one were single. By 1860 only five remained in Portland.\textsuperscript{11}

Of the fifteen Jewish settlers who did not remain through the decade, only two, brothers J. B. and Maier Hirsch, can positively be traced beyond Portland. The Hirschs arrived in Portland from the southern German state of Wurtemberg in 1852 and by early 1854 had moved to Salem, Oregon, where they established a general store. They were joined in Salem during the 1850s by their brothers Leopold, Edward, and Solomon. The former was a partner with Jacob and Simon Blumauer in Portland in 1851, apparently operating a branch of the firm in Salem until the 1860s. Solomon, following a decade and a half of merchandising in Salem, Silverton, and The Dalles, settled in Portland and in 1875 became a senior partner in Fleischner, Mayer and Company, the largest wholesale merchandise house in the northwest. Edward and Solomon played leading roles in the state's Republican party in the late 1870s and 1880s.\textsuperscript{12}

The early departure of two-thirds of the Jews listed in the 1853 census can be explained only in part by the experience of the Hirsch brothers who found Salem a more attractive market. It is likely that a certain number of the Jews in 1853 felt the effects of the fragile economy of the region. Although the California gold rush had produced a surge in the Portland economy allowing local merchants and shippers to exploit gold-created markets as well as the small expanding regional markets, by late 1853 an overabundance of eastern goods shipped to the
mercantile outlets of San Francisco hit Portland like a thunderbolt. The city sank into a depression that lasted for two years.\textsuperscript{13} With money tight, prices low, and no distant market to supply, merchandisers faced economic hardships. Thus it is highly probable that most of the Jews who did not remain in Portland left as a result of the downward spiral of the economy. Like other Jews of the period, they recouped their losses and sought success elsewhere.\textsuperscript{14}

Despite a dependence on San Francisco, the Portland business community rebounded from the 1853 depression and the city continued to grow in population and commercial strength. Gold discoveries in eastern Oregon and Canada, expanded ocean shipping, and the development of local river transportation assured success for Portland merchants.\textsuperscript{15} While the Jewish community barely expanded to an estimated population of twenty-five by 1855, it grew five-fold by 1860 with the influx of Jews who left the mining regions of California to seek a less risky environment. While the vast majority moved to San Francisco, large numbers of Jews migrated to Phoenix, Salt Lake City, Los Angeles, Sacramento, Stockton, and Portland.\textsuperscript{16}

The Jews who immigrated to Portland in the 1850s were almost exclusively German born or of German parentage. Foreign-born accounted for fifty-seven percent of the Jewish population of the city in 1860 with eighty-eight percent of the persons 16 years of age or older hailing from Europe. Sixty-eight of the seventy-four foreign-born adult Jews\textsuperscript{17} in the 1860 census immigrated from Germany including sixty-two percent of those from the southern German states, principally from Bavaria (Table I).

There is no indication that any of the Jewish immigrants in the
1850s arrived in Portland directly from Germany. For them, Portland was a second or third or even fourth stop. Jacob Mayer, a co-founder of Fleischner, Mayer and Company, and a religious and fraternal leader in the community, came to the United States in 1842 from Bechtheim in the German state of Hesse and resided in three cities prior to his arrival in Portland in 1857. He clerked for a brother in New York from 1842 to 1847, then took up residence in New Orleans until the gold fever led him to San Francisco in 1850. Aaron Meier, founder of the Meier and Frank department store empire, made only one stop on his way to Portland. He worked as a clerk for his brothers Julius and Emmanuel in their Downieville, California general store before settling in Portland in 1857.  

### TABLE I

**BIRTHPLACE OF PORTLAND JEWS**  
16 YEARS OF AGE AND OLDER  
1860

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birthplace</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Tot.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Foreign Born</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bavaria</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>(56.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baden</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wurttemberg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesse</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>(14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prussia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>(13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France/Alsace</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>(5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>(100.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent</strong></td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Not all of Portland's pioneer Jews came to the city by way of California. By using the biographies in Joseph Gaston's history of Portland and tracing the birthplaces of children listed in the 1860 census it is possible to determine the routes travelled by 21 adult male Jews...
who arrived in Portland in the 1850s. Eleven of them lived in California prior to migrating to Portland. The others arrived in Portland after first testing the markets of the midwest or south. 19

The migration pattern of Jews who settled in Portland clearly indicates that they had experience with the American frontier before arrival in Portland. By the time they reached the town, they could speak rudimentary English and had adapted in part to the frontier lifestyle. While they may have spoken German among themselves, none of the organizations they founded in the community's first three decades used other than English in conducting meetings or keeping records. 20

The use of English, rather than their native German language, was part of the Americanization process that most pioneer Jews embraced. Although they were proud of their German heritage and strove to retain certain elements of their Germanness, the pioneer Jews were also concerned with adapting to and being accepted by the host society.21 Because so many of the western pioneers in the 1850s were immigrants the issue of acceptance might not, at first glance, seem so immediate. Indeed, as Paul Merriam has observed, "Portland, like other cities of the Far West, was in the broadest sense a city of immigrants and their offspring." 22 While it is true that over one-quarter of the city population was foreign-born in 1860, more than half of that group immigrated from English speaking countries. Additionally, the community leaders and the institutions they built were decidedly Anglo-American. Therefore, learning and speaking English must be viewed as a means for the German Jews to integrate with the rest of the populace.

The Jews who came to Portland during the first half of the 1850s were involved almost exclusively in general merchandising as
storekeepers and, in a few instances, peddlers. Over the course of the
decade, Jewish firms began to specialize and skilled laborers began to
settle in Portland. Joseph and Isaac Bergman established a butcher shop
on First Street in 1856. In 1859 Moses Seller moved from Corvallis,
where he had operated a dry goods store since 1852, and opened a crock-
ersy store. But the majority of Jews who found their way to Portland
during the decade continued to deal in general merchandise and dry
goods.23

The 1860 census listed 146 merchants in the city and almost one-
third were Jews.24 Gentiles such as William S. Ladd, Henry W. Corbett,
Stephan Coffin, Asa Harker, and Cicero H. Lewis had already accumulated
over $40,000 in real and personal property, but only one Jewish mer-
chant, Abram Weil, exceeded $20,000 in listed wealth, and even that figure
is deceptive. In partnership with his brother Moses, Abram Weil opera-
ted a dry goods store. The 24 year old Moses Weil, three years younger
than Abram, listed no property. It is reasonable to assume that in the
Weil's partnership, the older sibling managed the finances. A similar,
but more striking example, is A. B. Elfelt who with three brothers oper-
ated Elfelt and Company, a retail dry goods firm. A. B. Elfelt claimed
property values at $15,000, while none of the brothers listed any prop-
erty.25

The 1850s was a period in which the foundations of many Portland
fortunes were laid. Although none of the Jews listed in the 1860 census
had acquired a large amount of property, many were becoming quite com-
fortable. Despite a continuing high incidence of out-migration from
Portland,26 undeniable signs of permanence among the Jewish community
were visible as early as 1853 when Jacob and Simon Blumauer erected the
city's second brick building. By the end of the decade, of thirty-three brick structures interspersed among the frame buildings, seven had been constructed by Jewish merchants. 27 The brick buildings, sturdier than their wooden counterparts, stood as signs of a permanent Jewish presence in an expanding city.

Coinciding with the commitment to remain in Portland and coupled with a growing population in the latter half of the 1850s was recognition of the need for organizing basic Jewish communal services. Frontier life imposed the dreaded possibilities of death from disease and high infant mortality, so that the need for traditional Jewish burial preyed heavily on Portland Jews at mid-decade. Julius Nodel, in a history of Portland's first Jewish congregation, argued that the birth of a son to Simon and Mollie Blumauer in 1855 created an urgent need for a religious institution. 28 And in virtually all burgeoning Jewish communities of the mid-nineteenth century, the burial society and synagogue were founded as the initial response to communal needs. 29 Creation of those two organizations did, indeed, grow out of a deeply felt communal need. But reaching consensus about these matters was no easy task in the small and unstable community.

The concern for traditional Jewish burial is a thread that is found throughout the history of American Jewish communities. In Europe, burial was handled by the kahal, a form of "corporate" structure that dominated the communal life of the Jews in a city, town, or village. The kahal was a semi-autonomous, quasi-governmental entity given jurisdiction over the Jewish community by the local prince, baron, or king. The leaders of the kahal generally included the religious and business leaders of the Jewish community. The kahal levied taxes and in turn provided all
the services its constituents expected. Attempts to institute the kahal in America failed, primarily because of the democratic nature of the institutions in the young country. During the colonial period, Sephardic synagogues operated as nearly like a kahal as possible. They controlled the institutional and communal life of colonial Jews. Charity, Jewish education, and burial all fell under the synagogue jurisdiction. The German Jews who arrived in the nineteenth century did not accept the autocratic nature of the Sephardic synagogue and began creating their own religious institutions. The burial ground often preceded the synagogue in the German Jewish immigrant experience, although in Portland, as elsewhere, the synagogue eventually assumed control of the cemetery. In some cases the cemetery was owned and operated by a benevolent society or a fraternal order.

In his study of American Judaism sociologist Nathan Glazer noted that "almost all Jews wished to be buried in hallowed ground." In Portland, however, there seemed to be some opposition to formation of a burial society. When the issue arose is conjecture. In 1855 Portlander Moses Abrams wrote Isaac Leeser, the leader of traditional Judaism in America, concerning his desire for a cemetery. "We are trying to get a Jewish burying ground," Abram wrote. "There are sum [sic] here who want to wait a while. We are all healthy persons, but I think it is better to prepare."

The reasons for the opposition and who the opponents were are unknown. One possible explanation is that establishing a burial society required the purchase of land and membership dues. Portland Jews may have felt their financial position was less secure than they would prefer before expending sums on a burial ground. A more probable
explanation related to the high geographic mobility of Portland's first Jewish settlers. Portland often was not the first or final stop in their search for economic success. And thus, without plans to remain in the city, they shied away from supporting a burial ground that would be of no use to them. In light of the low (33 percent) persistence rate from 1853 to 1860, this explanation is quite plausible. However, at some point between Abrams' letter of July 10, 1855 and the first recorded meeting to consider forming a congregation on May 2, 1858, the Mount Sinai Cemetery Association was founded.

Twelve men met at the National Hotel in May 9, 1858, and elected officers for the first congregation in the Pacific Northwest. At a meeting a month later the Constitution and By-laws of San Francisco's Congregation Emanu-El were temporarily adopted until the new congregation had written its own. "Thus, regularly organized, with nineteen members, did Congregation Beth Israel engage in the holy mission of preserving the rites and customs of the ancient faith of their fathers, and transmitting in purity its elevating principles to the rising generations."

Considering the size of Portland's Jewish population in the mid-1850s, organization of a religious institution came late. Cities like Cleveland and Cincinnati had congregations almost as soon as there were enough Jews to form a minyan—a quorum of ten males over the age of thirteen required to hold services. The explosion in San Francisco's Jewish population following the discovery of gold resulted in the formation of two congregations as early as 1850. Enough adult male Jews lived in Portland by 1853 to form a congregation, and by 1860 sixty Jewish males over the age of fifteen lived in the city. It is unlikely that the qualified male population dropped below ten between 1853 and
1858. Why, then, did it take five years for a congregation to develop?

The announcement in The Weekly Gleaner of San Francisco of Beth Israel's organization noted: "Much opposition was manifested against this laudable movement by those who little care to exhibit the true principles and beauties of our religion."36 No records of that organizational meeting remain, and neither the extent nor source of the opposition can be definitely known. However, a possible clue is found in the Articles of Incorporation, filed with the Territorial Government in January, 1859, which stated that Beth Israel was created "for the purpose of conducting religious worship, in conformity to the Minhag Ashkenass, or Custom of the German Israelites...."37 If discussion at the meeting turned toward which ritual or prayer book to adopt, then a spirited opposition can be explained. The German Minhag was followed throughout the German states with subtle changes in each locale. Jews who immigrated from Poland or the former Polish province of Posen (part of Prussia after 1793) generally followed the Minhag Polen, a slightly more traditional custom. Though the difference was more in nuance than substance, a division existed between the adherents which seldom was bridged. By the 1850s most large Jewish communities expressed this split either by establishing two separate congregations simultaneously (as in San Francisco), or by suffering a schism within a single congregation.38

Few Polish or Posener Jews lived in Portland in 1858, but those who did may have opposed the creation of a congregation along the lines of German custom. No Poles appear among the members in 1861 nor among the officers in the years pervious, and the founders were almost exclusively from Bavaria, the Hessen provinces and Prussia.39 Thus, it
appears likely that the Polish Jews did initially reject Beth Israel. But since ninety percent of the adult male Jews in 1860 hailed from German states, opposition to a congregation cannot be laid entirely on the more traditionally minded.

Ten of the twelve men who met to establish Beth Israel are found in the 1860 census. By studying the ages of their children it can be determined that at least nine of the ten were married, most with young families, at the time of the congregation's creation. Eighty percent of the members in 1861 who can be located in the 1860 or 1870 census were married by that year. Thus, a correlation can be drawn in Portland between the stage in the life cycle and establishment of a religious institution. Although one Jewish family could be found in Portland as early as 1853, and although the Blumauers began another family in 1856, it was not until 1858 that enough families resided in the city to warrant a congregation. Nodel's suggestion that Louis Blumauer's birth created an urgent need for organization should, therefore, be viewed symbolically as a commitment to remain in Portland rather than literally as an immediate necessity for community structure.

Most of the Jews in Portland during the 1850s were single men trying to establish an economic foothold. They hoped for enough success that they could then marry and begin a family. Simon Blumauer attained a comfortable position by 1853. In that year he travelled to New York and married Mollie Radelsheimer. They returned to Oregon and soon established a family. Aaron Meier, who came to Portland in 1857, travelled to his home in Hesse-Darmstadt in 1864 and married a cousin, Jeanette Hirsch. Only after his marriage did Meier join Beth Israel. The pattern of working to build economic stability and only then marrying
and joining a congregation repeated throughout the nineteenth century among German Jews. In the 1850s and early 1860s, most men sought wives in New York, San Francisco, or even Germany, as in Meier's case.

Portland Jews were unusual in their desire to marry before establishing a congregation. It certainly explains the failure to organize between 1853 and 1858. But that was not the common experience of mid-nineteenth century Jewish communities. The cities of Cincinnati, Cleveland, and San Francisco all saw congregations created with large numbers of single men. Perhaps there was a feeling during mid-decade, in the midst of an unstable economic climate, that Portland might not offer the optimum environment for establishing a communal structure. Given the uncertainty of life in a frontier society, young single Jewish men had no overriding commitment to remain in the city. Only after the more successful pioneer Jews decided to stake themselves and start families did the urge for community begin in earnest.

Once established, the synagogue's attraction was strong because it provided a spiritual haven in a frontier community which was still characterized by its roughness. Portland at the dawn of the 1860s remained a small town of 2,874, economically dependent in great measure on California markets. The need for cohesion and stability, and support and companionship was not lost on Portland Jews. Only one-third of the males 16 and over in the 1860 census were members of Beth Israel in 1861. During the following decade, another one-third of the 1860 male population joined. Most of the latter group were single in 1861, but married in subsequent years and then joined the congregation. Only three of the eighteen married men in 1860 failed to join Beth Israel. Two of those, Louis Rosenthal and M. Jaretsky, were Polish. The third,
P. Frankenstein, a Prussian merchant, did not remain in Portland. Of the 17 adult males who remained in Portland through the decade, only two failed to become members of Beth Israel—Louis Rosenthal, again, and Bavarian tobacconist Henry Rosenfeld. Rosenfeld's brother and partner Issac did affiliate with Beth Israel.

Soon after its creation, the congregation became an agent for stability in the small community. Julius Nodel has claimed that charity and economic assistance were dispensed from the beginning. He reported that venture capital, as well as aid to the sick and bereft, were available to members and non-members. No synagogue records exist prior to 1865 to confirm Nodel's contention. The records after that date illustrate synagogue charity regarding burials but nothing about aid to the sick. Nevertheless, there is reason to believe that benevolence from the congregation was part of its early function since charity traditionally was the purview of the synagogue in the kahal structure of Europe, as well as in mid-nineteenth century Jewish communities in America.

In the Spring of 1859, Portland Jews took their first step toward an organized system of benevolence that had no attachment to the religious institution. The First Hebrew Benevolent Association was founded by members and non-members of Beth Israel. Jacob Mayer, in keeping with his organizational propensities, was a founder of the benevolent society. He also was instrumental in the organization of Beth Israel and the first B'nai B'rith lodge in Portland. Additionally, he assisted in the creation of the second benevolent society in San Francisco and that city's first B'nai B'rith chapter. The officers in 1859 included three young merchants and a jeweler. Two of them, Leopold Mayer
(Jacob's brother) and J. Kalmes, were also founders of Beth Israel. No evidence survives to show the size of the membership. The policy and means for providing charity in 1859 is unknown. However, it probably did not change perceptibly by the time the society incorporated in 1863, when the Articles of Incorporation stated that "Its purposes and occupation shall be acts of Fellowship and Charity namely to relieve the distressed and support the afflicted attend the sick and bury the dead." The necessity for a benevolent society in Portland in 1859 is unclear if Beth Israel was administering charity. It is likely that the financial demands of the operation of the congregation limited its ability to dispense aid. Moreover, a synagogue was erected in 1862 and the membership coffers felt the pinch of construction and maintenance costs. The number of Jews arriving in Portland was rapidly increasing. The need for charity, or initial assistance, grew as the population grew. Additionally, in 1862 Beth Israel, by mutual agreement, was given title to the Mt. Sinai Burial Association property and assumed responsibility for the proper burial of the deceased.

As Portland's Jewish community moved into the 1860s, it exhibited the rudimentary elements of communal life. The spiritual and associational needs of an ethnic group settled in the midst of an open, raw land had been addressed by establishment of Congregation Beth Israel. Concern about frontier hardship and the vagaries of old age were met by the purchase of land and creation of a burial society. Finally, in recognition of the new immigrant's needs, an aid society was created. In the two and a half decades to come, Portland Jewry would undergo an evolution that would radically alter the face of the fledgling community.
Economic stability in the 1860s, religious ferment in the 1870s, and social stratification by the mid-1880s awaited. The demands of internal growth, and the force of external pressures would shape the contours and chart the development of an active and thriving Jewish community.
CHAPTER II

PROFILE OF AN EXPANDING COMMUNITY

When, in January, 1862, Congregation Beth Israel purchased a large tract of Carruthers Addition on the southern edge of Portland for the Jewish cemetery, the Daily Oregonian commented that

it was a subject of some remark that the larger portion of the property was purchased by our Jewish brethren. It looks as if the day is nigh at hand when the children of Judah and Benjamin will cease going to and fro, and become attached to the soil.¹

The writer's observation contained an important insight about the Portland Jewish community in the early 1860s. The itinerant nature of the Jewish presence in the 1850s had been altered slightly by the creation of ethnic organizations, and that change was given further voice with the purchase of property in the name of the community. Although Portland Jewry had expressed its intention to create a permanent presence in the late 1850s, the gentile community, if the Oregonian article can be used as an indication, viewed the acquisition of land in 1862 as a temporal landmark in Portland Jewry's evolution.

The commitment to build a Jewish community in Portland ushered in a new stage in the group development. The 1860s and 1870s witnessed a substantial growth and maturation of the community. This was a period of transition, characterized by a six-fold increase in population, institutional expansion and internal conflict over religious reform. Between 1860 and 1880 Portland Jewry changed from a small community
composed primarily of young single male adults from the southern
German states to a larger, well established community of families with
a closer sex ratio, a greater percentage of married men, a broader age
range, and a slight, though distinct, shift in national origin. The
profile of the community was affected by the changes as they occurred
during the two decades and the direction of social and institutional
development was an outgrowth of Jewish reaction to these changes.

Over the course of the 1860s, Portland's Jewish population grew
from 135 to 469. In the following decade the population again in-
creased, if less dramatically, to 768. Over the two decades Portland's
Jewish population grew at about the same rate as the general popula-
tion, but in a different pattern. Jews accounted for 4.7 percent of
the city's population in 1860. Over the next decade they increased
245.9 percent while the city as a whole grew by 188.6 percent. In the
1870s, the growth rate of the Jewish population slowed to 67.4 percent
while the city's population doubled.² (Table II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>City Pop.</th>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
<th>Jewish Pop.</th>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
<th>Jews as % of Pop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>2874</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>8293</td>
<td>188.6</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>245.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>17577</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: United States Census Bureau, Original Schedule of Population,
1860, 1870, 1880 (Microfilm copies) Oregon Historical Society, Portland
Oregon; Paul G. Merriam, 'The 'Other Portland': A Statistical Note on
Foreign Born, 1860-1910," Oregon Historical Quarterly, 80 (Fall, 1979),
pp. 258-68.
The city's economic climate explains much of the difference in the Jewish and gentile growth patterns during the 1860s and 1870s. Portland's population reflected national and regional events such as gold discoveries and labor demands created by railroad construction. Even during the depression of the 1870s laborers were in demand. However, few Portland Jews belonged to the urban labor class. Rather, Jews were almost exclusively white collar workers, artisans, or (to a lesser extent) semi-skilled workers. The fluid and expanding economy of Portland in the Sixties, and the increasing size of the city, provided an opportunity for the expansion of Jewish business establishments. The 1870s, however, offered less opportunity for commercial development.

The nation-wide Panic of 1873 and subsequent depression brought about by the failure of Jay Cooke—and locally the bankruptcy of Ben Holladay—hurt the small frontier shopkeeper who could not compete with larger, better established houses that could draw on larger capital reserves. For example, B. Hamburger, a 53 year old dry goods dealer who had operated stores in San Francisco and Sacramento, opened a store in Portland in 1871. He developed a small stock purportedly worth $8000 but was unable to compete and was forced to petition for bankruptcy in 1873. Likewise, N. Goodman, a dry goods merchant who originally came to Portland to operate a branch of Galland, Goodman and Company—a dry goods concern which failed in 1869—and later opened his own store, could not meet his notes in 1873 and was forced to declare bankruptcy and settle with his creditors.

Larger firms also experienced difficulty but were able to remain solvent. L. Goldsmith and Company, the successor to Elfelt and Company, was backed by almost half a million dollars in capital in 1873. The
wholesale dry goods firm had branches in New York and San Francisco. Bernard Goldsmith, capitalist, speculator, and mayor of Portland, purchased the firm in the 1860s from the Elfelt brothers for his brothers Louis and Max, and he later became the principle partner. R. G. Dun and Company, the New York credit agency, reported in June 1873 that L. Goldsmith and Company "held that trade has been light and losses heavy all around." But by March, 1874 the Dun investigators reported that L. Goldsmith and Company experienced no unusual losses and that trade during the previous Fall was good.6

Disastrous fires in 1872 and 1873 fanned the depressed atmosphere in Portland's business community. The August 1873 fire destroyed twenty-two city blocks and caused between $1.3 and $2.3 million in property losses in the city's commercial district. Jewish businessmen, like their non-Jewish counterparts, suffered large losses. Aaron Meier and Sigmund Frank lost most of their stock, but were able to rebuild after a favorable settlement with their creditors.7 Henry Sinsheimer, a dealer in musical instruments whose business was modest, at best, was able to recoup his losses after the 1873 fire, but never managed more than a marginal operation afterward.8

In addition to national and local economic trends affecting Portland in the 1860s and 1870s, the growth of the city's Jewish population must be seen in the context of the general European migration from Europe to America. Jewish immigration to America was part of a universal population movement that saw the number of immigrants entering the United States in the years 1815-1860 surpass the nation's population of 1790.9 Many of the reasons that caused the English, Irish, and German gentiles to settle in America also pushed the German Jews toward
the new world. Devastating winters throughout the 1820s destroyed crops, drove prices up, and bankrupted small tradesmen, village shopkeepers, and marginal farmers. After 1830, continuous inflation, urbanization, surplus labor, and a liberal economic system that offered no protection for the lower middle class also spurred immigration. Farmers, shopkeepers, and artisans according to historian Mack Walker were "squeezed out by interacting social and economic forces: a growth of population without a corresponding growth of economic bases, and the increased cosmopolitanization...of the economy."¹¹

In addition to the economic uncertainty of nineteenth century Europe, Jews in the German states suffered from social, political, and economic discrimination. A reaction against Jewish emancipation—won following the French Revolution—set in after the defeat of Napoleon in 1815.¹² Assaults on Jews occurred in most large German cities. Old restrictions reappeared, particularly in the southern and western states, governing travel, place of habitation, employment, and marriage. Corporatism was reinstated in some areas, notably Posen, a Polish province annexed by Prussia in varying stages between 1793 to 1815. A few liberal German states attempted to maintain a semblance of equality for Jews, but efforts to improve the social and economic standing generally failed. Attempts to increase the number of Jewish artisans, an occupation long closed to Jews, failed because of a lack of available jobs and virulent anti-Jewish sentiment among the craft guild leadership.¹³

In the 1830s the worst conditions for Jews were found in the southern German states. And not surprisingly, by 1860 the majority of foreign born adult Jews in Portland had immigrated from Bavaria and its
neighboring states. Bavarians dominated Jewish immigration to most American communities between 1830 and 1860.\(^4\) An indeterminate number of Jews left Germany after the failure of the revolutions of 1848. As Bernard Goldsmith, a leading Portland merchant, speculator, and two term Portland mayor noted: "In 1848 there was a general revolution and general dissatisfaction over there [Bavaria] and I did not like things and just made up my mind that I would come here."\(^{15}\) He arrived in the United States in 1849. Many of the "48ers," Jewish and non-Jewish, actually immigrated in the early 1850s as German reaction against liberalism grew stronger.\(^{16}\) The number of Portland Jews who immigrated for that reason is unknown. But Joseph Gaston, in his history of Portland, included fifteen biographies of German Jews who were 15 years or older in 1848. Seven of them immigrated between 1848 and 1855.\(^{17}\) While not conclusive, we can safely assume that some of them, and others, fled Europe following defeat of the revolutions.

The immigration of German Jews continued unabated into the 1870s. By the mid-1860s and certainly into the following decade, the origin of Jewish immigrants to Portland had undergone some change. Comparison of Tables I (Chapter I) and III clearly illustrate the changing character of the immigration during the 1860s and 1870s. The birthplace of most adult foreign born Jews located in the 1860 census was Bavaria and its neighboring states. But, by 1880 the southern German immigrants comprised only 23.6 percent of the foreign born adults, while almost 34 percent hailed from Prussia. This change, coupled with the increase in Polish Jews arriving in Portland, affected the institutional structure of the community. Polish Jews and some Prussians tended to follow more traditional religious practices than the Bavarians, a condition
which led to the creation of a second congregation in Portland in 1869.\footnote{18} 

\textbf{TABLE III}

\begin{center}
\textbf{ORIGIN OF FOREIGN BORN JEWS BY SEX} \\
\textbf{1870-1880}
\end{center}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & \textbf{1870} & & & \textbf{1880} & & \\
 & Male & Fem. & Tot. & & Male & Fem. & Tot. \\
\hline
Bavaria & 39 & 34 & 73 & 34.0 & 33 & 24 & 57 & 18.4 \\
Baden & 9 & 7 & 16 & 7.4 & 5 & 6 & 11 & 3.6 \\
Wurttemberg & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 1.6 \\
Hesse & 15 & 22 & 26 & 12.1 & 10 & 5 & 15 & 4.9 \\
Hamburg & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ---- \\
Weimar & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ---- \\
Prussia & 32 & 16 & 48 & 22.3 & 59 & 45 & 104 & 33.7 \\
Germany, n.d. & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1.0 & 14 & 7 & 21 & 6.6 \\
Austria/Bohemia & 9 & 4 & 13 & 6.0 & 13 & 9 & 22 & 6.9 \\
Hungary & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1.0 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1.9 \\
France/Alsace & 4 & 5 & 9 & 4.2 & 10 & 6 & 16 & 5.2 \\
Poland & 9 & 11 & 20 & 9.3 & 20 & 11 & 31 & 10.0 \\
Russia & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 5 & 1 & 6 & 1.9 \\
Other & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1.0 & 8 & 7 & 15 & 4.9 \\
\hline
Total & 124 & 91 & 215 & 100.3 & 184 & 125 & 309 & 99.5 \\
\% & 57.7 & 42.3 & & & 59.5 & 40.5 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


The increase in non-Germans among the foreign born Jews did not, however, change the balance of leadership in the community. Despite evidence that Polish Jews may have been a majority in some parts of the west,\footnote{19} such was not the case in Portland. Moreover, many of the Poles were "Germanized" either in Europe, as a result of Prussian policies in Posen requiring the study and adoption of German culture and language, or in America, in order to integrate with the more numerous German Jews.\footnote{20} For example, Julius Loewenberg, a close friend of Bernard Goldsmith and a dealer in tin and stoves, listed his birthplace
as Prussia, though he was from Posen. His background was not an issue in the community as he became a leader in Congregation Beth Israel and in the First Hebrew Benevolent Association.

The German Jews comprised a portion of the largest immigrant group in Portland. Germans, both Jewish and gentile, accounted for one-quarter of Portland's foreign born population in 1860 and 1870. And although birthplace tabulations were not made for 1880, Germans probably remained the most numerous foreign born element in the city. Jews accounted for over one-third of the German born population in 1860 and over one-quarter in 1870.²¹ (Table IV) Because the respective populations were small and they shared a common language and background, strong ties developed between German Jews and German gentiles in the 1860s.

### TABLE IV
FOREIGN BORN JEWS AS PERCENT OF TOTAL FOREIGN BORN 1860-1880

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Foreign Born</th>
<th>Jewish Jews as % of FB</th>
<th>German FB</th>
<th>German Jews as % of German</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>2578</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>6312</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>n.a. 213</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


When German Jews arrived in the United States they were loath to discard the German culture and language. German was often the language of the synagogue and in most cases was used in conducting business.²² In many locales German Jews joined in the formation of German cultural
organizations like the Turnverein, literary and musical societies, and social clubs. 23

A common rural background, recollection of economic distress, and a shared language created a situation in the mid-nineteenth century that brought German Jews and non-Jews together in relative harmony. They shared many social, cultural, political, and charitable interests. 24 Nowhere was this more apparent than in the cities and towns of the Midwest where Germans settled in vast numbers. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, which by 1865 had a Jewish population of 1500, boasted a strong social and economic relationship between Jews and non-Jews in the 1850s and 1860s. 25

The German experience in Portland differed in some respects from that in cities of the Midwest. Because most Jews who settled in Portland had lived elsewhere in the United States prior to moving to Oregon, they had already been exposed to American culture and were beginning to adopt English. The West experienced a "second immigration" of people who had first lived in the east and who tended toward acculturation rather than separation. 26 The records of Jewish organizations in Portland during the years under discussion were kept in English exclusively, unlike the Portland Social Turnverein and the German Aid Society. However, the city's German Jews expressed pride in their heritage and although English was their adopted language and Hebrew was their sacred language, they felt enough affinity for German to require it as a course in the Beth Israel day school which operated from the 1860s to the mid-1870s. 27

Jews in Portland participated in German cultural organizations, actively in some cases, passively in others. A Turnverein was established in Portland in 1858. The Turner societies began in Germany as
physical training societies which stressed liberal and often socialist ideals. Whether Portland's Turnverein espoused socialist beliefs is unknown. Records of the society's early years no longer exist, nor do membership lists from the 1860s. The society's officers included a number of Jews, including Jewish presidents. Few of the fourteen Jewish officers during the 1860s were also leaders in the Jewish community. Sol Goldsmith, a clerk for J. Kohn and Company in 1862 when he was Turnverein treasurer was president of the Hebrew Benevolent Association in 1864-65. By then Goldsmith was a partner in Elfelt, Weil and Company, a dry goods firm that succeeded Kohn's. Goldsmith left Portland by 1870. Additionally, F. S. Ostheim, Turnverein president in 1863 and 1866, was also president of the Hebrew Ladies Benevolent Society in 1867 and 1868. Only Sam Loewenstein, Turnverein president in 1868 and 1869 advanced in financial and social stature in the city. By 1880 he was president of the Oregon Furniture Manufacturing Company and was a member of the prestigious Concordia Club, a club for the Jewish social elite in the 1880s.

Dissension enveloped the Turnverein in the early 1870s and led to its dissolution in May 1871. No record of the dispute exists, but one student of Germans in Portland suggests that it was precipitated by personality conflicts and differences between Republican and Democratic members over the Grant-Greeley presidential campaign. Whatever the reason, the younger members met in August and formed the Portland Social Turnverein, with no Jews included in the new membership. The reorganized society's functions were strictly physical fitness, cultural, and benevolent.

Only two men who can be identified as Jews served as Turnverein
officers between 1871 and 1885. Ludwig Levy, a Bavarian jeweler in his thirties, who also belonged to B'nai B'rith and Congregation Ahavai Shalom, was an officer throughout these 15 years. Samuel L. Beary, the Oregon born son of a merchant from Wurttemburg, served on the Turnverein board in 1878 at the age of eighteen.

The exclusion of Jews from Turnverein membership in 1871 may have been a conscious discriminatory act, or it may have been the result of Jews seeking other avenues of cultural expression, although no Jewish cultural organization existed in the city until 1873. More likely, it was the beginning of a new identification for Portland Jews. While proud of their German heritage and using it to differentiate themselves from their Polish cousins, Portland Jews were beginning to seek greater assimilation into American life. The Hebrew and German school at Beth Israel was in decline in 1871 and 1872. As public education improved, and the community felt less need for their children to speak German, the school's stature dwindled. On August 25, 1872, at the request of Adolph Waldman and Moses Seller, the Beth Israel Board of Directors voted to use the school house for a separate English school so as not to interfere with the German and Hebrew school. By 1873 the Beth Israel school was reduced to offering two classes every other day for short afternoon lessons. By 1874 the school rooms were being rented to non-Jewish teachers for private classes. Instruction by the rabbi remained a late afternoon supplement to public school studies. Religious instruction was given on Sundays.

Portland's German Jews associated in large numbers with the German Aid Society, a benevolent organization established in 1869 to aid German immigrants in need. Before 1885 only Ludwig Levy represented the
Jewish community among the officers of the society, suggesting that Jews did not play an active role in the administration of the organization or disbursement of funds, a function generally handled by the trustees. The earliest existing membership list for the society is from 1887 and includes eighteen individuals who can be identified as Jews. Several of those were members of the Jewish upper and upper-middle class social elite that was developing in the 1880s. Eight of them were members of the Concordia Club. Additionally, the membership included several Jewish community leaders who did not join Concordia, specifically Louis Blumauer, Solomon Hirsch, Jacob Mayer, and Philip Wasserman. Presumably, the Jewish leadership lent their names, dues, and benevolence to the German Aid Society, if not their energies. Thirteen of the Jewish members also belonged to the Hebrew Benevolent Association. 34

Jewish membership in the German Aid Society indicated a charitable impulse toward individuals of similar heritage. By the 1880s, on the other hand, it did not represent a tenacious clinging to German culture. The pioneer Jews accepted the United States as their new home and Americanization came easily. Moreover, in Portland the Jewish population was becoming increasingly native born as the single men who immigrated during the previous decades married and started families.

Perhaps the most important demographic change that occurred among Portland Jewry between 1860 and 1880 was the increase in married couples as a percentage of the adult population. The establishment of families on the frontier signalled a transition from a mobile, unstable population to a permanent, secure component of the city. Just as Congregation Beth Israel was the product of the initial stage of community building,
the decision by Jewish pioneers to establish permanent residence in
Portland resulted in the creation of other organizations designed to
serve the needs of a community of families.

The transformation of the Jewish community from predominantly
single males to family oriented followed a natural progression. Condi-
tions in Europe, where marriage and residence restrictions on Jews pro-
duced a large class of single men, encouraged immigration. Unlike the
male Irish immigrants who were, in large measure, peasants with families
to support and few marketable skills, the German Jews were petty
traders and artisans who were not burdened by familial responsibilities.
Once in America, the Jews could move with greater ease from place to
place until they found a city or town that met their particular needs
and expectations. Over half of the adult Jews in Portland in 1860 were
single men, most between the ages of eighteen and thirty. Seventy per-
cent of the adult males were single and they outnumbered single women
by more than ten to one. While single men moved onto the frontier in
large numbers, single Jewish women did so only in the company of family
or friends.

One woman who sought to settle in Portland discovered the perils
which could face young women who entered the frontier outside the care
of their families. Fanny Hyman, a niece of Portland tobacco merchants
Philip and Henry Wasserman, decided in 1858 to move to Portland from
Troy, Ohio where she lived with another uncle, Jacob Wasserman. It was
arranged that she be accompanied to Portland by Nathan Cohen, a Prussian
watchmaker. They travelled to New York City in January, 1858, where
they were scheduled to book passage to San Francisco. They stayed in
New York with Nathan Hyman, another uncle of Fanny's. The two men
conspired to detain Fanny until she agreed to marry Cohen, threatening to leave her destitute in New York if she did not accede. Not being allowed to communicate with her relatives for four months, she finally agreed to the union, "protesting and remonstrating against it." The newlywed Cohen's then sailed for San Francisco and Portland in early July. Upon arrival in Portland Fanny left Cohen and took up residence with her uncle, Henry Wasserman. She and Wasserman petitioned the Territorial Legislature to grant a divorce which was affirmed in December of 1858. While divorce was uncommon among Portland's pioneer Jews, no stigma apparently attached to Nathan Cohen. He became a member of Congregation Beth Israel and later affiliated with Congregation Ahavai Shalom. Fanny's fate is unknown.

In the two decades following 1860 the percentage of single men in the adult male population dropped significantly to 43.2 in 1870 and 46.6 in 1880. The slight rise in the latter year resulted, in part, from the immigration of single German men who fled the conservative regime of Otto von Bismarck's unified Germany. Many of the Jews who came to Portland in the 1860s and 1870s joined relatives who had already established themselves. These new residents, unlike the first Jewish settlers in Portland generally came directly to the city from Europe. Max and Leopold Hirsch arrived in Portland in the 1870s to clerk in the store of their uncle Aaron Meier. They later formed the Hirsch-Weis Company after purchasing Willamette Tent and Awning Company in 1907. Likewise Sigmund Sichel, nephew of Solomon Hirsch, became a clerk in 1873 for L. Fleischner and Company, of which Hirsch was a senior partner. Later in the decade Sigmund's younger brother Moses left Bavaria to enter his uncle's firm which had become Fleischner, Mayer and Company.
Bernard Goldsmith, who had family business affiliations in San Francisco, New York, and Munich, sponsored the migration of brothers and nephews to Portland during the 1870s.38

Before the early 1860s, the number of single Jewish women in Portland was so small that many of the men who had attained financial security and were ready to start families travelled to San Francisco, New York, or Europe to seek brides. By 1870 the proportion of single men to single women had decreased to four to one, and by 1880 it was 2.5 to one. The most startling change reflected in the 1870 and 1880 figures concerned the number of married Jews in the population. In 1860 only 44 percent of the adult Jews in Portland were married, including 82 percent of the women. By 1870 the total married rose to 68 percent and represented a four-fold increase in real numbers over the previous census. The proportional change came almost entirely within the ranks of the male population as early settlers like Levi White, Joseph Bergman, Philip Wasserman, Alex Kaufman, and Aaron Meier started families. The total number of married Jews almost doubled by 1880, although as a percentage of the adult population the figure dipped to 62 percent. (Table V)

As more marriages occurred among the pioneer Jews of Portland, the number of children in the population increased. In 1860 when single adult males dominated the population, children age 15 years and under accounted for 38 percent of all Portland Jews. By 1870, when many single men had consolidated their positions, married, and started families, the percentage of children in the Jewish population had increased to 49.5 percent. A decade later, as more adults arrived in Portland and many children passed the age of fifteen, the percentage of children
dropped to thirty-nine. (Table VI)

TABLE V
MARITAL STATUS OF PORTLAND JEWS
18 YEARS OF AGE AND OLDER
1860-1880

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tot.</td>
<td>Mar. %</td>
<td>Sing. %</td>
<td>Tot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18 30.0</td>
<td>42 70.0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>75 56.8</td>
<td>57 43.2</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>126 53.4</td>
<td>110 46.6</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


TABLE VI
POPULATION OF PORTLAND JEWS BY AGE AND SEX
1860-1880

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1860</th>
<th></th>
<th>1870</th>
<th></th>
<th>1880</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male Fem.</td>
<td>Tot. %</td>
<td>Male Fem.</td>
<td>Tot. %</td>
<td>Male Fem.</td>
<td>Tot. %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>18 18 36</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>50 48 98</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>57 65 122</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>5 7 12</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>42 36 78</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>47 46 93</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>0 3 3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>33 23 56</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>43 39 82</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>4 3 7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>14 15 29</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>42 44 86</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>14 7 21</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>22 18 40</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>50 38 88</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>20 6 26</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>14 17 31</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>31 35 66</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>14 4 18</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>21 19 40</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>33 27 60</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>9 2 11</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>26 17 43</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>27 19 46</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>22 4 26</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>27 15 42</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>0 1 1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9 5 14</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>27 16 43</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>4 0 4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16 5 21</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>1 1 2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7 4 11</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61+</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>2 4 6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6 2 8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 84 51 135 100.0 520 207 467 100.1 413 355 768 100.0

% 62.2 37.3 55.7 44.3 53.8 46.2


The percentage of Jewish children who had been born in the United
States approached ninety-five percent in 1860 and did not change in 1870 or 1880. Studying the birthplace of children provides an insight into several aspects of the Jewish migration, settlement, and community life in nineteenth century America. The overwhelming majority of American born children in the Jewish community confirms the point that Jewish men emigrated from Europe while single, and established families only upon arrival. By using the "child ladder"—tracing the birthplace of children within a given family—it is likely that we can identify the country in which the parents were married. Table VII clearly shows that no more than 14.5 percent of the married Jews in any year married and started families in Europe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACE OF MARRIAGE</th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>1880</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>76*</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>141+</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes one widow.
+Includes 12 widows and one divorcée.


The "child ladder" also reveals the high degree of geographic mobility of Jews on the frontier. While many Jews started families after arriving in Oregon, Table VII indicates that many others began careers and families elsewhere in America or in Canada. For example, David
Jacobi, a Bavarian bookkeeper and police official, settled in California in the early 1850s, moved to Vancouver Island around 1860, and migrated to Portland sometime after 1862. Prussian produce dealer, later commission merchant, William Gallick left Connecticut in the early 1850s for California where he remained until at least 1865 before settling in Oregon.

As the number of native born Jews—particularly children—increased, the community's population became, correspondingly, less foreign born. In 1860 the foreign born element among Portland Jewry was 57 percent, but by 1880 it had decreased to 40 percent. (Table VIII)

### TABLE VIII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total FB</th>
<th>% FB</th>
<th>Total FB</th>
<th>% FB</th>
<th>Total FB</th>
<th>% FB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16+</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** United States Census Bureau, Original Schedule of Population, 1860, 1870, 1880 (Microfilm copies) Oregon Historical Society, Portland, Oregon.

By 1880 native born individuals constituted 66 percent of the 16-30 years old age group compared to 32 percent in 1870 and 17 percent in 1860. While this illustrates the aging of young Jews, its importance lies in the effect it would have in the future. Although the early pioneers embraced their new home and sought to adapt to the American way of life, they were still hyphenated Americans with an indelible German
identity. Their sons and daughters, however grew up with American institutions and education, and matured in an environment that bore little relation to the Europe of their parents. Although their German heritage would provide a distinction between them and the Russian immigrants of the 1890s and 1900s, they were for all other intents American Jews.

The dynamic changes in Portland Jewry in two decades produced far reaching effects in the social and economic structure of the community. The increase in population created the possibility for organizational development and stability. The growth of families—both nuclear and extended—fostered strong bonds in business and helped build a well-established, affluent middle-class community.
CHAPTER III

THE COMMUNAL IMPULSE

Israel Joseph Benjamin, a world traveler from Moldavia, visited Portland in February 1861 and wrote of the Jewish community: "In spite of the fact that all the Jews living in the State are well-to-do, there is only a cemetery to give visible proof of their religious feeling and needs." He scorned the low salary allotted for the chazzan, who was also the Hebrew teacher.

I cannot say much in praise of their benevolence, but, for the sake of historical accuracy, must report just the contrary. They are most stingy. Perhaps the cold and damp climate has affected their hearts and killed every warm feeling.¹ Salary for the community religious leader never reached a large sum, but if Benjamin had remained in the city for three more months, he would have witnessed the laying of the cornerstone for the Pacific Northwest's first synagogue.

Following the creation of the congregation, members of Beth Israel met for services every Friday evening and Saturday morning in Burkes Hall, a loft over a stable and blacksmith shop. In the fall of 1859 the congregation purchased a 100 ft. x 100 ft. lot from Benjamin Stark for $750 and began laying plans for a synagogue. The building was consecrated in August, 1861, with a service officiated by the Reverend Dr. Elkan Cohn of San Francisco's Emanu-El.²

The presence of Rabbi Cohn at the service commemorating the completion of the synagogue symbolized the bond between the Portland and San
Francisco religious communities. Beth Israel, besides adopting the constitution of Emanu-El, borrowed and later purchased the first Torah scroll and shofar (ram's horn blown to announce the Jewish new year) used in Portland from the San Francisco congregation. Additionally, several of the religious leaders of Beth Israel came from or later moved to San Francisco.

For the quarter of a century following the formation of Beth Israel, the congregation experienced continual struggle and turmoil as its members adapted to the social climate of the frontier. Portland Jews were far from the centers of Jewish religious life and had to determine for themselves the type of ritual that best met their needs and expectations. They could turn to the chazzan or rabbi for direction, but in more cases than not the congregation found itself at loggerheads with its religious leader. In this respect, the Beth Israel experience paralleled the general experience found in American Judaism during the mid-nineteenth century. Like most congregations founded in mid-century, Beth Israel was an orthodox institution following a traditional ritual—in this case, the German minhag. And like a large body of the German congregations, it moved inexorably toward a reform stance.

In Europe, the synagogue was the seat of religious law and custom in the community and the rabbi provided the last word on interpretation of the law. In America, however, the European system did not work. Although before 1850 most congregations attempted to pattern their services after the European model, on the frontier where individual Jews first encountered the rough and open life of the peddler and shopkeeper before thinking of forming a religious organization, the traditional kahal stood little chance of survival. These pioneers fought for their
independence and were not inclined to become part of an authoritarian communal structure.

The attitudes of American life leaned toward change—whether it meant minor deviations from tradition, such as the introduction of English sermons by Isaac Leeser in his orthodox Philadelphia congregation, or the more radical steps of allowing mixed seating, organ and choir music, and extensive modification of the prayer book taken by David Einhorn at the reform Congregation Har Sinai in Baltimore. While larger communities experienced doctrinal rifts, secessions of members, and formation of separate congregations, virtually all congregations were undergoing some kind of change. The modifications in synagogue ritual during the nineteenth century were Americanizations based primarily on the Jews' adaptations to the environment, not the influence of the German reform movement. Historian Leon Jick observed that "respectability and Americanization were the goals; decorum, reform of ritual, and English were the means." American reform leader Isaac Mayer Wise wrote in 1859: "For our own part, we are Jews in the synagogue and Americans everywhere." Thus, with no direction from a central religious authority, and imbued with an independent and democratic spirit, Portland Jews began the struggle to find a common ground of religious beliefs and ritual.

In four of its first five years, the congregation was served by learned laymen. In 1860 an advertisement in the Occident, Isaac Leeser's voice of traditional Judaism, sought "a gentleman who is capable to act as Minister, Chazan, Shochet, and is also required to teach from 10-15 children in Hebrew," for which the congregation would pay $1000 per year. The German educated Herman Bieum accepted the position but
remained only one year before leaving to pursue a political and journalistic career in Nevada and California. Bien left on bad terms with the congregation, although the nature of the controversy is not clear. The fact that in Germany Bien had studied under David Einhorn, who later became the most radical of American rabbis, suggests that he was too liberal a thinker for Portland Jewry.12

For the next two years the congregation was led by Herman Bories, a conservative shoe dealer from Bohemia, who served Portland's Jewish community as shochet, mohel, teacher, and at times chazan, for several decades, first at Beth Israel and later at Ahavai Shalom.13

In 1863 Posen-born Julius Eckman came to Portland--for the first time--to become the first ordained rabbi to serve Beth Israel, despite his traditional Polish background, he had studied under Leopold Zunz at the University of Berlin, the German seat of radical Judaism.14 Eckman's background and education were not compatible, but he seemed to meld them into a philosophy of orthodox Judaism that allowed for reform in decorum and certain aspects of worship. Eckman did not fit the role the congregation preferred for its spiritual leader. In fact, the congregation was probably seeking more reform in the law and less in decorum, unlike Eckman who supported changes to enhance the dignity of services, but maintained a strict posture on religious interpretation and would brook no change in the law. Eckman, like Bien, was a journalist. With no chance to start a Jewish press in the limited Portland market and faced with open philosophical hostility from congregation members, Eckman resigned in 1866 and returned to San Francisco.15

That the congregation was ready for moderate reform was apparent in 1865 when it joined the Board of Delegates of American Israelites, an
organization formed in 1859 in an attempt to unite American Jewry. Religious moderates comprised the Board's initial membership while both Einhorn and Wise rejected it. Reform congregations joined in the 1870s and the Board merged in 1878 with the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, the umbrella of reform Judaism. Membership in the Board of Delegates was probably the final straw for Eckman, who opposed it.16

In 1866 Beth Israel advertised for a chazan and teacher "who having passed examination and received his diploma in Germany...be competent to discourse and deliver lectures...."17 The hiring of Isaac Schwab was no panacea for the ills of the congregation. Internal struggles over congregation leadership and the form of ritual to be followed flared at meeting after meeting with fines levied regularly against members for disorderly conduct.18 Support for Schwab was split in 1871 and when the Board of Directors was not disposed to rehire him on his terms, he resigned.19

The struggle between the supporters and proponents of Schwab created the most serious controversy at Beth Israel to that date. In 1869 when the congregation voted to rehire Schwab for two years, he refused the offer, demanding a three year contract. The congregation then voted a one year contract, later compromising on the original two years, which Schwab subsequently accepted.20 An article in The Jewish Messenger in October, 1869, claimed that the congregation voted for the two-year contract while Schwab's opponents were absent from the meeting and that several of those members split from Beth Israel to form Congregation Ahavai Shalom "with the stern purpose to see Judaism ennobled, its forms more idealized, but not abolished."21

Congregation Ahavai Shalom was incorporated four months after the
vote cited in The Jewish Messenger, however, evidence of a split from Beth Israel by a portion of its members is inconclusive. Records for Ahavai Shalom do not exist prior to 1912. By checking both the 1912 membership list and a list of congregants in The Jewish Tribune of June 19, 1908, and surveying the Ahavai Shalom Cemetery, eight names can be found of individuals who belonged to Beth Israel and later joined the new congregation. Whether they left because Schwab was rehired or in general protest over the reforms of Beth Israel is a matter of conjecture. Six of the eight were natives of Prussia and Poland and probably held more traditional views than the majority of Beth Israel members.

One account indicates that "but a handful of men of conservative ideas" organized Ahavai Shalom. Ten individuals who may have been among the handful are listed in the census of 1870. Most of them were married, in their thirties and forties, and hailed from Prussia, Poland, or Bohemia. Among them was N. Goodman, a dry goods merchant from Posen who had belonged to Beth Israel, but left to become the first president of Ahavai Shalom.

That the congregation assumed a more traditional stance than Beth Israel can be seen through an anonymous letter reprinted in The Jewish Messenger. The author, a member of the new congregation, complained that Beth Israel was attempting to copy the reform congregations of San Francisco and New York. It was:

mimicking third hand here, the fashionable congregational life of the merchants of New York, which borders closely on nihilism and gentilism. What is to be a synagogue is actually to have every appearance of a radical gentile church, and in its teachings it is to abolish all distinctive marks of the revealed religion of Moses, and set that of reason instead, and that of the reason of every merchant member of those fashionable congregation!24

The harsh criticism of Beth Israel in 1869 was not justified on
theological grounds since the changes within the congregation centered on the trappings of worship, not on the ritual itself. Attempts in the 1860s to institute use of a reform prayer book met with continued defeat. Changes in decorum and dignity during services were designed to provide a more protestant-like air, to assume a more American appearance, not to emasculate traditional Judaism. The American reform movement, under the early leadership of Isaac Mayer Wise, was an Americanizing influence. It was not until the 1870s and 1880s that Reform Judaism began to fully address the issues of the status of divine law, messianism, and Jewish peoplehood.

The split between Portland's two congregations widened immediately in 1869 when Ahavai Shalom hired Julius Eckman as rabbi and teacher. Relations between the two congregations, situated within a block of each other on Fifth Avenue, remained cool for several years. Eckman, who remained in Portland for two years, continued his opposition to reform in the pages of San Francisco's Hebrew Observer, a newspaper he once edited. In November, 1869, just a month before the dedication of the Ahavai Shalom synagogue he wrote, "what avail can be to the men who long ago turned their backs against specially Jewish observances."

The synagogues' functions were to provide for the preservation of the customs of Judaism (as defined by each congregation), to educate the young and provide for burying of the dead. Additionally, the synagogue served as a meeting place for friends and business associates. In larger cities, like New York, synagogues flourished along national, and even provincial lines, whereby people from the same European locale who followed a particular ritual congregated together, as much socially as religiously. In Portland men of like interests and backgrounds
formed the core of the two congregations. While Polish Jews and conservative Prussians made up the Ahavai Shalom membership, German Jews overwhelmingly dominated the Beth Israel membership. Tracing Beth Israel members through the census indicates German Jews (even excluding Prussians) and the native born offspring of Germans formed a significantly greater proportion of the membership than of the total adult population (Table IX).

**TABLE IX**

**CONGREGATION BETH ISRAEL MEMBERSHIP BY ORIGIN, 1860-1880**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>% of Mem.</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>% of Mem.</th>
<th>1880</th>
<th>% of Mem.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bavaria</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baden</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wurttemberg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesse</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamburg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prussia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany, n.d.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total German</strong></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>60</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>% of Mem.</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>% of Mem.</th>
<th>1880</th>
<th>% of Mem.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria/Bohemia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France/Alsace</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>78</strong></td>
<td><strong>99.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>101</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCES:** Congregation Beth Israel, Records (Microfilm copies), Oregon Historical Society, Portland, Oregon; United States Census, Schedule of Population, 1860, 1870, 1880 (Microfilm copies), Oregon Historical Society, Portland, Oregon.

Beth Israel's members were the business leaders of the Jewish community. They did not uniformly support the reforms that were taking place in the congregation, but neither did the opponents of reform all
embrace strictly traditional Judaism. Men like Abraham Waldman, a clothing retailer from Bavaria, opposed what they viewed as radical departures from Jewish principles, while moderates like Jacob Mayer, co-founder of Fleischner, Mayer and Company, supported limited changes in ritual. Bernard Goldsmith, who a close friend characterized as a "rationalist" and "unitarian," espoused more radical views. 30

The philosophical differences between members came to a head during the 1870s and culminated in a bitter and violent dispute between the rabbi and conservative elements in 1880. Moses May, a twenty-four year old reform-minded rabbi, was hired by Beth Israel to replace Schwab in 1872. May was described as "a practical and faithful teacher who does his whole duty to his scholars" and delivered sermons that were "well-worded, deep, plain, concise, logical, fearless, progressive, and eloquent...." 31 But he was also a brash, uncompromising, and often tactless reformer. Within a year of assuming the pulpit, May demanded use of Isaac Mayer Wise's reform prayer book, Minhat America. 32 The congregation rejected the demand in 1873, but recanted a year later following a blistering letter from May in which he charged that his service to the congregation was being hindered by indifference and a lack of consistency by the members.

And Gentlemen! how is it possible for any minister to do good where there is such a lack of good spirit,...You have no regularity in your school nor in your synagogue, and against all my endeavors to please the Congregation I stand in the midst of a chaos of forms and it is very difficult to discern whether to go to the right or to the left. 33

Shortly after Beth Israel adopted Wise's prayer book and joined the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, congregation president Charles Friendly attacked what he viewed as an erosion of faith. An opponent of May, Friendly issued a report at the annual meeting of the
congregation complaining that:

our children will have reason to say that our fathers are not only hypocrites [sic] but worse than heathens, as that race professes idolatry and practice the same when we profess to be Jehudim but do not practice the good example of our forefathers....

The controversy continued throughout the decade. In 1876 a committee was formed to seek a new rabbi, but May's proponents managed to get him elected in 1877 for three more years. Nevertheless, in 1878 the Board of Directors passed a resolution to advertise for a minister who would use the German minhag. May's supporters again prevailed and the advertisement was quashed.

At a special meeting of the congregation in January, 1879, four conservative members leveled a series of charges against May that included insulting the women of the congregation, slandering and black-mailing several members, opening other people's mail, threatening to join the Unitarian Church if Philip Selling was re-elected president, and being "an immoral man and an unbeliever in the doctrines of the Holy Writ." May was exonerated of the charges by a special committee and on September 21, 1879, was rehired for a two year period by a vote of twenty-eight to ten.

In September of the following year May entered into a heated argument with Abraham Waldman in front of the stove and tin store of Levi Hexter and Levi May. Waldman became enraged and knocked the rabbi to the ground. The two men were separated whereupon May pulled a gun from his coat and fired several shots at Waldman, none of them hitting their target. May subsequently resigned his position, issuing an understated explanation. "Being desirous of promoting harmony in your Congregation and understanding that my resignation as chazan and teacher
will have a tendency in that direction I hereby tender my resigna-
tion...." The congregation voted to give him the balance of his salary, $1200, and he left the city. 39

The violent outbreak resulting from the reform controversy at Beth Israel was not unique in the American Jewish experience. Isaac Mayer Wise felt the conviction of his Albany, New York congregants in 1850 when he was physically attacked on the pulpit during services on Rosh Hashanah. However, the struggle for reform generally remained verbal, either in congregational meetings or in the courts. 40

Beside the acrimonious debate over reform, Beth Israel faced serious financial difficulties in the 1870s, brought on in part by a dwindling membership. Approximately 100 Jews belonged to Beth Israel in 1871. Despite a 67 percent increase in the Jewish population in the seventies, the membership fell to 80 in 1881. 41 Various means devised to extricate the synagogue from indebtedness included a quarterly tuition for the German school, sale of the school property, mortgaging the school property, requests for ten members to each sign $300 notes, operation of a fair by the "Israelitish Ladies," and creation of a subscription program. 42 The latter campaign in 1873 failed because opponents of Rabbi May refused to financially support the reforming congregation. Simon Blumauer and Matthias Kosland reported that a lack of harmony existed and "to do justice to one and all we will not take money from our liberal members only." 43

The depression and fires of the decade also hurt the congregation and it did not fully rebound until the mid-1880s. The completion of the Northern Pacific Railroad in 1883 brought a population surge to Portland that was felt by Beth Israel and new members joined as more Jews arrived
by rail. A year later the congregation hired Rabbi Jacob Bloch who served Beth Israel for 16 years. Bloch, who had been a rabbi in Little Rock and Pine Bluff, Arkansas, and in Sacramento before taking the Portland post, guided Beth Israel into a flourishing period. He shunned the limelight and quietly led the congregation into the reforms May had espoused during his tenure. By 1887 Beth Israel's growth created a need for a new synagogue. Louis Fleischner of Fleischner, Mayer and Company organized a subscription fund which raised $20,525 from 93 individuals and seven businesses. A large Byzantine structure very similar to Congregation Emanu-El's Sutter Street Synagogue in San Francisco was completed and dedicated in 1889.

Little is known of Ahavai Shalom during this period. Two years after its organization the congregation had fifty members and forty-five pupils in its Hebrew school. The wedge that the presence of Julius Eckman on the pulpit drove between the two congregations may have eased in 1879 when Herman Bories became the chazan of Ahavai Shalom. Bories, long a member of Beth Israel, was traditional in his approach to Judaism and may have left Beth Israel during the later years of the May disputes. It is also likely that he accepted the position at a time when Ahavai Shalom needed a chazan and teacher while it searched for a rabbi. Bories had spent his years at Beth Israel acting in just such a capacity.

The first mention of Ahavai Shalom in the records of Beth Israel came in late 1882 when the former requested a meeting of school directors to discuss improvement of Sunday school offerings and instruction. The division that separated the two congregations was easing, although no thought of uniting was ever seriously considered. Differences be-
between the two synagogues remained but a closer relationship developed as Portland's Jews gained a social maturity in the 1880s. David Solis-Cohen, a member of an influential Philadelphia Sephardic family, symbolized the new relationship. A merchant, lawyer, banker, poet, and author who opposed theological rigidity, he believed in a wholeness regarding Judaism and, in putting his preaching into practice, belonged to both congregations. 48

The dissension that characterized the religious life of Portland Jewry in the 1860s and 1870s apparently was not manifest in any great measure in other facets of Jewish communal life. The national division between Germans and Poles that partially explained the split in the religious profile of Portland Jewry remained an issue in social relationships, receiving expression in the 1880s with the establishment of the Concordia Club as an institution for the German elite. But for membership in the aid societies, B'nai B'rith, and cultural organizations, being a Jew was the only requirement.

Without the European corporate structures to provide for all their needs, American Jews began to adapt the corporate services to the democratic institutional life of their new home. During the Colonial and Federal periods, charity was the function of the synagogue. The Sephardic congregations of the 18th century tried to emulate the European synagogue which was the heart of the corporation. That became increasingly difficult as the Jewish population grew. In New York, by 1803, seventeen synagogues were providing charity, loans, burial, and medical aid with little thought given to consolidating their services. Fragmentation along essentially national or provincial lines—an early day landsmanschaft—insured the deterioration or disintegration of
traditional synagogue functions. Not every synagogue could maintain a cemetery, joint administration of the slaughter of animals and preparation of matzoh became impossible, and charity became too diffuse and competitive to be effective. 49

New York was a special case because of the size of the Jewish community, yet similar problems faced other cities of the East. However, as Jews moved onto the frontier they left behind the fragmented communal life of eastern cities. Virtually all frontier communities established Benevolent Societies to dispense charity independent of the congregations, again as a reaction to the independence of frontier life. In many cases the charitable organization appeared before the religious body. 50

When the First Hebrew Benevolent Association of Portland, Oregon was incorporated in 1863, four years after it was formed, the prime movers included Levi White and Lazarus Bettman. White, with his brother Isom, settled in Portland in 1858 and opened a dry goods store on Front Street. By 1860 they had accumulated a very modest amount of personal property. The 1860s were boom times for the Whites and by 1870 Levi White listed $10,000 real and $30,000 personal property, making him one of Portland's wealthiest Jewish merchants. Bettman began his business career with Simon and Leopold Baum as a clerk in their wholesale dry goods store in McMinnville which was part of a network of establishments operated by the Bettman and Rosenblatt families. 51

By the mid-1860s the profile of leadership of the benevolent society was firmly established. Young men who had consolidated their positions in Portland's business community and had accumulated considerable wealth turned to the charitable organization. Merchants like
Kalman Haas and Augustus Elfelt served as trustees in 1864 and 1865. They left the city soon after and their places were filled by merchants Solomon Hirsch, Julius Kraemer, Philip Wasserman, and Bernard Goldsmith. David Friedenrich, a wealthy attorney and one of only two Jewish professionals in the city in 1870 was president during the late 1860s. In the 1870s and into the 1880s the driving force in the organization was Louis Fleischner. He and his brother Jacob unlike most Jewish pioneers travelled overland to Oregon by ox team, arriving in 1862. Louis operated a store in Albany until 1859, then peddled goods and engaged in a general merchandise business in Idaho for four years. In 1864 he, Solomon Hirsch, and Alexander Schlussel established L. Fleischner & Company which merged with Jacob Mayer's wholesale store in 1875 to form Fleischner, Mayer and Company.

Perennial trustees during the late 1860s and 1870s included Wasser­man, White, Goldsmith, Hirsch, Kraemer, Julius Loewenberg, and Leon Lewis, all of whom with Louis Fleischner were members of Beth Israel. But more telling for understanding the operation of the benevolent soci­ety was that in 1870 they were among the twenty wealthiest Portland Jews, ranging from Kraemer's $12,000 to Goldsmith's $180,000. Although records for the benevolent association do not exist for the years prior to 1884, a brief sketch of the organization indicates that the wealthier members would disburse charitable funds and receive reimbursement from the association after submitting an itemized list. This loose arrangement differed from synagogue charity in which agreement on disbursement had to be reached by the Board of Officers. By the late 1860s and 1870s synagogue charity was restricted to free burials if the family of the deceased had limited means, and donation of
funds to aid Jews suffering due to catastrophe or epidemic in other locales. 55

The First Hebrew Benevolent Association paid for nursing care, hospital expenses, pharmaceutical costs, funeral costs, and charity to "worthy persons." By 1885 payments were generally made by the treasurer who then received reimbursement from the organization. 56

Quarterly dues of $3 were collected from the 104 members in 1885. Capital of over $15,000 was loaned out at six to ten percent interest and an additional $1900 was placed on deposit. Interest from the loans produced the bulk of the association's income and was probably enough to meet charitable needs. The loans extended in 1885 included two $5000 notes to non-Jews and a number of small business notes to members. 57

Nodel stated that the benevolent association was not associated with Beth Israel in 1859 but that it was run by congregation members. The same held true twenty-six years later. Of the 104 association members in 1885, seventy-one were members of Beth Israel, four were or would be members of Ahavai Shalom, while twenty-nine were unaffiliated or did not appear in congregation records through 1885. During the 1860s and 1870s a handful of men served as officers of both organizations. During this period there was a close relationship between the association and congregation in arranging burials and in the 1880s the association provided loans to Beth Israel. 58 Nevertheless, charity was dispersed to needy Jews regardless of affiliation or origin.

A year after the First Hebrew Benevolent Association incorporated, the first Jewish women's organization was formed in the city, a benevolent society established by wives of local merchants. Ten years later in 1874 it formally incorporated as the First Hebrew Ladies Benevolent
Society of Portland, Oregon, with the expressed purpose "to aid, assist and relieve the poor, needy and sick by contributions of money, provisions, clothing and other necessaries; to prepare the dead for burial and to do all other acts and things usually done by Charitable Institutions." The volunteer society served as a mutual support group for young married women whose husbands often left the city on extended business trips. The role of the society followed traditional maternal lines—visiting and caring for the sick and comforting the bereaved—in a non-traditional organization.

Dues, fines and interest from small loans comprised the funds available for charitable work. Through 1885 the society disbursed no more than $630 in any given year for charity, generally in small sums for funeral expenses, to aid families or individuals of limited resources to leave the city, or for the hire of nurses. While Jewish men provided loans through the First Hebrew Benevolent Association to assist business development, the women's society funds were "exclusively devoted to ladies of the Jewish faith." The society found some common ground for cooperation with the men's association—for example, splitting the cost of a full-time nurse—and assisted Beth Israel in its period of financial distress.

Charitable expenditures could be made by individual members, though the regular procedure originally allowed for disbursements by action of the board or by individual authorization of the president. The president and secretary, who made the actual disbursements, were allowed by the Constitution to be men, and both were until 1879 when Cecilie Friedlander replaced Lehman Blum as secretary. In February, 1884, an amendment to the Constitution required that the Board of
Officers approve all expenditures made by the president. Three months later the president of ten years, Edward Kahn, resigned and was replaced by Emma Goldsmith, the wife of former mayor Bernard Goldsmith. The Ladies Benevolent Society had forty-three members in 1875. By 1886 it increased to seventy-nine and under the leadership of Emma Goldsmith, who Judge Matthew P. Deady described as "a woman of a great deal more than ordinary ability," the society grew to a membership of 177 in 1893 while changing its form of benevolence and administration of capital very little. 

"Charity was a form of mutual insurance as well as a basic tenet of their Jewish Faith," Nodel wrote of the pioneer Jews. The benevolent societies created a vehicle for internal stability in the community. As the numbers of Portland Jews increased, the societies acted as a means to insure that their co-religionists would not fall into poverty, while also providing to some an initial business stake. These functions and the family support mechanism inherent in the women's society played a central stabilizing role and provided a cohesiveness in the community structure.

Portland Jews recognized the dangers of the frontier and made preparations for burials. Additionally, they recognized that fellow Jews might need some form of assistance in establishing a place in the city. By the mid-1860s as Jewish merchants and artisans began accumulating wealth, investing their capital in the city, and starting families, the need for long range mutual aid became apparent. In 1866 twenty-two men petitioned the District Grand Lodge #4 of San Francisco to form a B'nai B'rith Lodge in Portland in large part to satisfy their health and death benefit insurance needs.
In 1843 a group of liberal German Jews who wished to unite the fragmented community of New York formed the first B'nai B'rith Lodge in the United States. The preamble to the B'nai B'rith constitution clearly stated the insurance needs of the members, "providing for, protecting, and assisting the widow and orphan on the broadest principles of humanity." The lodge also served a social, fraternal function and was structured along the lines of Masonic and Odd Fellow lodges (several founders were members of those fraternal orders) replete with regalia, handshakes, signs and passwords.

The Portland lodge, Oregon Lodge 65, was the first organization of its kind in the Pacific Northwest. From an initial membership of about thirty in 1866, the lodge grew to include fifty-seven in 1877. By 1884 there were seventy-five members in Oregon Lodge 65. Seventy-five percent of the members in 1873 remained in Portland in 1884, far exceeding persistence rates for all Jewish adult males in Portland and elsewhere, thus suggesting that the lodge was an agent of cohesion and a symbol of a stable, permanent community in Portland.

Western B'nai B'rith experienced some growing pains in the 1870s. While the national organization was attempting to institute some reforms that would make it look less like a Masonic order, the District Lodge Executive Council felt disposed to take exception. The Council saw no reason to use English rather than Hebrew titles like Grand Saar, Worthy Grand Baal Hagginze, and Worthy Grand Shomer. "It is a sad commentary upon the state of our Order, which is composed of Jews, to see them trying their best to eradicate all that pertains to Judaism from it." The council did agree, however, that the use of regalia be discontinued.
In Portland more serious matters plagued the membership. A fire in 1872 destroyed the lodge's paraphernalia forcing it to request remittance of $150 in dues from the District Lodge. The following year, the great fire of 1873 damaged B'nai B'rith property and created a hardship for many members. As a result the Oregon Lodge disbursed $714.40 to members, more than twice the payments of San Francisco's Orphir Lodge 21 which had over three times the membership. Additionally, the District Lodge donated $600 to Oregon Lodge 65.71

The doctrinal split in the religious community which fell along national lines did not occur in the B'nai B'rith, indicating that the desire to obtain mutual benefits was more compelling than provincial cleavages. By tracing members in Oregon Lodge 65 for the years 1866-1884 through the census, the pluralism of the lodge is clearly revealed. Jews from Bavaria, Prussia, Hesse-Darmstadt, Austria, Poland, France, and Russia found acceptance in the lodge.72

An actuarial controversy did embroil Lodge 65 in the mid 1870s. As early as 1873 attempts were made to institute a second lodge in Portland, but the District Lodge would not grant a charter because the Oregon Lodge opposed it. At the 1875 annual meeting the Executive Council reported that the petitioners for a new lodge could not, nor would not, join the existing lodge. A letter from Oregon Lodge 65 stated that two lodges could not exist in the city and asked "Will you cut us off in our prime...?" The letter also suggested that the petitioners were old and infirm and would not form a healthy lodge.73 However, the petitioners eventually carried the day and Pacific Lodge 314 was granted a charter in 1879.

The charge that the Pacific Lodge petitioners were old and infirm
did not bear scrutiny in 1879 (though it may have in 1874). In fact, the opposite was the case. The average age of the thirty-four members of Pacific Lodge in 1879 was thirty-one, and it would have been lower except for the presence of 62 year old Joseph Harris, a clothing merchant from Prussia. The average age of Oregon Lodge members was forty-two. The wide age disparity was a deterrent to the younger men joining the older lodge because, as William Toll has suggested, "younger men would generally be reluctant to join such a lodge and watch their premiums paid out in benefits to the families of older members."74 Joseph Harris was allowed to join the North Pacific Lodge No. 314 by the younger members because of a District No. 4 rule that disqualified new members over forty-five years of age from participating in the insurance benefits.75

Most of Pacific Lodge's members in 1879 and into the mid 1880s were single men who had not advanced in business as far as their counterparts in Oregon Lodge of whom virtually all were married and owned their own businesses. Beside actuarial concerns, the men who formed Pacific Lodge found greater common ground and the possibility for more satisfying social ties among themselves than they could have in the older lodge.77 The members of Lodge 314 followed the same lines of business as the members of Lodge 65, the only difference in 1880 being the place in the occupational life cycle. Of the thirty-four inductees in 1879, ten were clerks or salesmen, fifteen listed their occupation as merchant, and the remaining nine were skilled or semi-skilled workers. Of the twenty-four members of Oregon 65 located in the 1880 census there were twenty merchants or established entrepreneurs, two artisans, one clerk and one retired.78
The organizational framework of Portland's Jews through 1880 was primarily designed to enhance the stability of the community. Even the literary society formed in 1879 became involved in aid to the needy. The Young Men's Hebrew Association was organized "to promote a better feeling among young men and to unite them in a liberal organization which shall tend to their moral, intellectual, and social improvement" and its stated objective was "The Protection of Hebrew Interests." By 1882 the YMHA revised its Constitution and By-laws to include as an objective "To mutually assist each other in our general interests and to aid strangers in our city in obtaining employment." A Relief Committee was formed to "take notice of all cases deserving their attention and in every way carry out the spirit of our Constitution." The extent of aid provided by the YMHA—or if it ever dispensed aid—is unknown.

The YMHA movement in America was part of the growth of German cultural organizations in the 1850s. After the first YMHA was established in New York in 1851, the associations quickly spread to other cities. They supported reading rooms and libraries for use by young Jews. Lectures, debates, and a variety of cultural events were designed to improve the moral character and intellectual condition of the members. While some events were often social, the YMHAs were not social clubs and generally prohibited card playing and other activities associated with convivial organizations.

Like the early YMHAs, Portland's counterpart was almost exclusively German or native-born of German parentage. The 1882 membership consisted of forty-six active members and ten contributing members. The latter group included long-time Portland residents like Jacob Meyer,
Joseph Bergman, Louis Fleischner and Samuel M. Lyon who, as contributing members, were required to pay less in annual dues than active members, but who probably underwrote the organization with large donations. All the contributing members were successful merchants or professionals while many of the active members were still clerks or bookeepers. Well over half the YMHA members were single men under thirty years of age and a large portion were the sons of Portland Jewry's leading families.

An apparent predecessor to the YMHA was formed in the early 1870s. The Portland Literary Society appeared in the 1873 City Directory with Samuel Simon, Samuel Beck, Louis Blumauer, and Ben Mayer as officers. All four were native-born sons of local merchants. The Society disappeared from subsequent directories and its function and reason for failure are unknown.

The Portland YMHA disbanded by 1894 and its failure was lamented in the Jewish press in the 1890s. The reasons for disbanding probably centered on limited financial support as the cost of establishing a library and maintaining a reading room may have weighed heavily on the relatively small membership.

The communal organization of Portland Jewry during the "German era" was complete in 1887 with the reorganization of the Concordia Club. Founded in 1879 by young clerks and merchants, the club soon became the province of the Jewish elite, maintaining a decidedly German character. Whereas the early immigration had been almost entirely German, and Beth Israel had become a gathering place for the old German families, Concordia represented just such an enclave in a population that was becoming increasingly non-German in the 1880s and 1890s.

By 1880 Portland Jews supported an insular organizational framework
that gave group identity to their ethnicity. However, the congregations, benevolent associations, B'nai B'rith lodges, and literary societies were not created in a social vacuum. The structure and profile of each organization reflected the environment in which it developed, and the changes in form that evolved during the previous two decades indicated a desire to adapt to and become part of the host society rather than withdraw into a traditional, isolated sub-group. The pioneer Jews embraced the democratic spirit of the frontier and modelled their organizations to fit into it. The result of this development was the relatively easy movement of Jews in the life of the city with the security of having a community structure that could meet the various needs peculiar to their Jewish identity.
CHAPTER IV

OCCUPATION, PERSISTENCE AND ECONOMIC MOBILITY

Lamenting what he viewed as an erosion of Judaic tradition in Portland's Jewish community, an anonymous author wrote in 1869 that "the religious state of our people here is like that everywhere else in the United States: it is business that absorbs the thoughts and civilities of men."¹

Though overstated, there is a ring of truth in the comment. Portland Jews entered the trades familiar to them, drawing on their European experience, and quickly developed modestly successful enterprises. From a handful of small general merchandise shops in the 1850s the Jewish trades burgeoned into sophisticated wholesale, importing-exporting, and specialty businesses by 1880. After three decades of economic evolution, Jews virtually dominated the city's clothing industry, and owned a large share of the tobacco, furniture, and wholesale stores.² The success of Portland's Jewish businessmen was built on a combination of singleness of purpose, familial business nurturing, and sophisticated credit and trading networks.

Restricted in Europe to the petty trades and peddling, Jewish immigrants in the mid-1800s followed the lines of business they had known in Europe with peddling and small shopkeeping becoming the principle occupations of Jews who moved to the frontier in 1840. Continually moving to new locales in search of better markets, the peripatetic Jew
became a figure that has become a legend in frontier life. The Jewish peddler was a near heroic figure who shunned city life and tracked the countryside selling his goods to farmers and in small towns, often to other immigrants with whom he shared a common experience and language. Stories abound of the peddlers who accumulated enough money to open a retail store in a small town or village, then advanced to wholesaling in a larger market, and finally created a financial empire. The truth for the great majority of peddlers, however, lay midway along the path from rags to riches. Peddling was not a romantic enterprise. It was a harsh and lonely existence, as described by historian Howard Morley Sachar:

The plaint must have been often repeated by immigrant sons, lying friendless and alone in Midwestern country inns, listening to the call of strange birds in the woods, the raucous laughter of raw-boned frontiersmen rising from the public rooms below. Still, the peddlers general success was formidable and economic security was the reward.

The Portland market was a rung on the occupational mobility ladder. Because peddling was a transient occupation it cannot be known how frequently peddlers came to the city or how many lived in Portland when not on the road, nor is it possible to ascertain how many men first peddled in Oregon before establishing permanent stores in Portland. The Weil brothers, Abram, Moses and David, peddled goods in the 1850s in Washington and Yamhill Counties. David Weil was a resident of Portland in 1853 and his two brothers were listed on tax assessment roles in Yamhill County from 1854 to 1859. In 1860 they all lived in Portland and by mid-decade Abram and Moses managed Weil Brothers, a dry goods store, and David was a partner in Elfelt, Weil and Company, also
a dry goods firm. The Weils left Portland by 1870.

The Weils' experience as peddlers-turned-merchants in the same locale was not the norm for Portland Jews. As previously indicated the early Jewish pioneers arrived in Portland after spending a few years in other places, generally New York or California. The fourteen foreign born Jews listed by Joseph Gaston whose dates of arrival in the United States and Portland can be traced averaged six years between initial settlement in America and arrival in Portland. Thus, most Jews who settled in Portland had previous business experience and came to the city to establish small stores, either on their own or as branches of firms in other cities or towns.

The departure of the Weils from Portland in the 1860s was indicative of Jewish geographic mobility. Persistence in the decade (measured by their appearance in both the 1860 and 1870 census) accounted for only 27.9 percent of those men who listed occupations in the 1860 census. This suggests that for Jews who came to the city in the late 1850s, Portland was simply a stopping point along the road to economic success.

Over 61 percent of the Jews who did not remain in Portland through the decade had accumulated less than one thousand dollars. That would seem to suggest a correlation between economic and occupational success and persistence. However, the same percentage of Jews who did remain also had accumulated under $1000. One of the problems with studying the 1860-1870 persistence is that the bulk of the population immigrated to Portland in the late 1850s and had not had time to attain much wealth. The capital they did accumulate was reinvested in order to insure a solvent business in the fragile economic atmosphere of a
frontier urban center.

Some individuals who arrived and departed in the 1860s remained in Portland for several years before leaving, and during that time they became fairly wealthy. Julius and Kalman Haas operated a successful grocery in Portland until they sold their business to Louis Fleischner in 1864 and established a more successful firm in San Francisco. Some others left the city almost upon arrival. Sigmund Schwabacher, a 19 year old clerk who worked for the Waterman brothers in 1860, left Portland the next year to join his brothers in Walla Walla. They supplied farmers, miners, and pack trains with general merchandise. By 1863 they had branches in four towns, and at the end of the decade the Schwabacher enterprise had spread to Seattle and San Francisco.

In turn, the men who arrived without measurable assets and who remained through the 1860s, consolidated their positions in the economic community. Of the ten persisters who listed $1000 or less in property in 1860, all accumulated substantial wealth by 1870 with six, in fact, listing over $10,000. Aaron Meier claimed $30,000, tobacconist Philip Wasserman claimed $42,000, and merchant Henry Bloch claimed $26,000, including $20,000 in real estate.

Despite the ambiguities in the figures for the 1860s, persistence can be linked to economic success and stability. Studies of Jewish communities in Atlanta, Columbus, and Los Angeles indicate that economic stake and property ownership are key elements in geographic stability. The rate of persistence in a locale, according to historian Steven Hertzberg, "tells us much about the quality of the Jewish experience in a given locale, an indication of satisfaction with present place and
The 1860s was a decade of tremendous growth and change in the Jewish community in Portland. The seventeen adult males who remained from 1860 were joined by over 100 additional males (not including sons of the persisters who had reached the age of 18 during the decade). Portland in the 1860s witnessed an influx of young men, many with families, who would establish stable, permanent businesses.

Persistence rates for the 1870s give a far more accurate picture of the community than those for the 1860s. Table X indicates a forty-seven percent persistence rate for Portland Jews from 1870-1880, which although considerably lower than exhibited for Atlanta Jews for the 1870s (56.4 percent) and Los Angeles Jews for the 1880s (54.7 percent), represented a greater stability than shown by immigrant and native born groups in Omaha, Poughkeepsie, Atlanta, New York, and Los Angeles. It also, may have been twice the persistence rate of Germans in Portland.

TABLE X

PERSISTENCE BY MARITAL STATUS
1860-1870 AND 1870-1880

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Married</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tot. Per.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Tot. Per.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Tot. Per.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Of the Jews who remained in Portland through the 1870s almost forty-four percent owned some real estate in 1870, and forty-seven
percent had accumulated over $4000 in real and personal property. Of those who had persisted since 1860, eighty-two percent owned some real estate and sixty-four percent had accumulated more that $4000 (Table XI). The latter figures suggest that as the length of residence increases, the economic stake becomes greater. Further evidence of the correlation between persistence and wealth is seen in the figures for non-persisters. Forty-five percent of those who left Portland during the 1870s had accumulated less than $1000 in personal wealth by 1870. However, a quarter of them owned real estate. The fact that such a large number owned real estate, and that 16.4 percent of the non-persisters claimed assets of $10,000 or more, indicated that there was still an urge to seek more fruitful markets (Table XII). J. S. Rosenbaum, a tobacco merchant who with his brother operated branches in New York and San Francisco, left Portland in 1874 after accumulating $22,000 to concentrate on business in California. Likewise, Max Goldsmith, who received his business training from his uncle, Bernard Goldsmith, settled in New York where he became a partner in the east coast branch of L. Goldsmith and Company. 14

Persistence is linked to two other factors—marital status and occupational mobility. Over three quarters of the single males in Portland in 1860 left the city by 1870 compared to only fifty-six percent of the married males. The out-migration of married men in the 1870s decreased to forty-eight percent, and the incidence of single men leaving dropped to sixty percent. This change in the mobility of single men could have resulted from an increase in the number of single females of marriageable age. Additionally, as the community's population growth slowed in the 1870s and established business firms expanded, more young
Table XI

Wealth in 1870 of Jews Persisting 1860 to 1870

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tot. $</th>
<th>Prop. %</th>
<th>Real Prop. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1000</td>
<td>1 9.1</td>
<td>0 ----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001-4000</td>
<td>3 27.3</td>
<td>4 36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4001-7500</td>
<td>1 ----</td>
<td>1 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7501-10000</td>
<td>2 18.2</td>
<td>1 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10001-15000</td>
<td>1 ----</td>
<td>1 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15001-20000</td>
<td>0 ----</td>
<td>0 ----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20001-30000</td>
<td>2 18.2</td>
<td>1 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30001+</td>
<td>3 27.3</td>
<td>1 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 100.1</td>
<td>9 81.8</td>
<td>18 100.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table XII

Personal and Real Wealth of Persisters and Non-Persisters 1870-1880

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Persister Wealth 1870</th>
<th>Non-Persister Wealth 1870</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>Total Wealth %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-1000</td>
<td>18 29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001-4000</td>
<td>15 24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4001-7500</td>
<td>6 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7501-10000</td>
<td>8 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10001-15000</td>
<td>5 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15001-20000</td>
<td>2 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20001-30000</td>
<td>3 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30001+</td>
<td>5 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62 100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Men were needed for sales, clerking, and bookkeeping. Besides providing a vehicle for learning the mercantile trades, clerking generally allowed
young men to accumulate enough capital to consider marrying and starting a family. Of the 23 single men who persisted in the 1870s, eleven married by 1880.

Standing in the occupational strata played an important role in the persistence of Jews, particularly in the 1870s. While the percentage of merchants in the work force decreased in successive census years, the percentage of merchants persisting through each decade ran approximately ten points higher than the population at large (Tables XIII and IX). Over eighty-two percent of the persisters in 1860 were merchants compared to seventy-two percent of the work force. Similarly, the group that persisted to 1880 was comprised of 69.4 percent merchants in 1870 compared to 59.7 percent of the whole work force.

**TABLE XIII**

**OCCUPATION OF PERSISTERS**

**1860-1870 AND 1870-1880**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Per. 1860-1870</th>
<th></th>
<th>Per. 1870-1880</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1860 % 1870 %</td>
<td>1870 % 1880 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>14 82.4 14 82.4</td>
<td>43 69.4 51 82.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk</td>
<td>0 ---- 1 5.9</td>
<td>8 12.9 2 3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled</td>
<td>2 11.8 1 5.9</td>
<td>9 14.5 8 12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborer</td>
<td>0 ---- 0 ----</td>
<td>2 3.2 0 ----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>0 ---- 0 ----</td>
<td>0 ---- 1 1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1 5.9 1 5.9</td>
<td>0 ---- 0 ----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17 100.1 17 100.1</td>
<td>62 100.0 62 100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** United States Census Bureau, Original Schedule of Population, 1860, 1870, 1880 (Microfilm copies), Oregon Historical Society, Portland, Oregon.

The marital, occupational, and economic status of the persisters provides an explanation of the values that defined stability for the
community. How those factors changed during the persisting decade reveals the social and occupational mobility of Portland Jews. As noted previously, young Jews married after establishing an economic stake in the community. The fact that two-thirds of the single persisters married by 1880 offers some proof of advancement in business and economic success. A clearer picture can be drawn from the changes in occupation. The number of men identified as merchants did not change among those who remained in Portland through the 1860s. However, mobility was in evidence as butcher Joseph Bergman advanced from the low-skilled labor occupation into the mercantile class, as a grocer. Downward mobility may have occurred for Joseph Bachman who listed himself as a merchant in 1860 and a clerk in 1870. However, Bachman accumulated $10,000 by 1870, including $2000 in realty, a fact that conflicts with his occupational status as a clerk. Only one other clerk in either 1860 or 1870 owned real estate, Lehman Blum ($2000), and with the exception of Anson Goldsmith ($5000), none had accumulated more than $3000 in personal wealth.

The 1860-1870 cohort exhibited upward and downward mobility of 5.9 percent respectively while 88.2 percent remained in the same occupational strata. The 1870-1880 group of persisters was far more occupationally active as twenty-one percent moved upward, over seventy-four percent maintained their positions and almost five percent skidded to a lower strata. This change is characterized by the movement of young men from the clerking ranks in 1870 into the merchandising trades as proprietors by 1880. Ten of the thirteen upwardly mobile Jews of the decade were between 18 and 30 years of age in 1870. Levi May, for example, clerked for his father in 1870 and at 27 years of age had already accumulated $2000. In 1880 he was a successful stove and tin dealer in
partnership with Levi Hexter.

The findings for Portland's Jewish occupational mobility concur with those of Atlanta and Los Angeles concerning the limits of mobility. Most Portland Jews belonged to the proprietor, professional, managerial, and official class for whom there was less potential for upward movement once that status was attained. Immigrant groups generally had several strata through which advancement could occur. Most Italians in New York in 1880 belonged to the unskilled labor class and while their initial mobility was limited, the potential for advancement was great. In Portland only one unskilled laborer could be located among the city's Jews. There were skilled and semi-skilled workers in significant numbers, but even in that case many were tailors like Issac Bloom or Marks Rybke who built successful businesses and could be classified as merchant-tailors, or proprietors. Theoretically, then, the chances for "skidding" or downward mobility were greater than upward mobility for Jews of the period. The Atlanta study found that to be true for the Jews who persisted from 1870-1911 as downward movement tended to occur among older Jews who had entered the merchant class and then dropped from it. Two of the Jews in Portland who experienced downward mobility in the 1870s were over 35 years of age in 1870.

Mobility can be further understood by studying capital accumulation. If a persisting group remains occupationally stable, as in the case of the 1860-1870 cohort, mobility can be viewed in terms of changes in the type of proprietary occupation and in degree of capital accumulation. A small retailer may enter the importing or wholesaling arena as Louis Fleischner, Jacob Mayer, and the White brothers did or become a manufacturer as in the case of Sam Lowenstein. Those movements often
occur in concert with increased financial success. All the persisters from 1860 to 1870 substantially increased their worth, from Nathan Loeb who listed $1200 in 1860 and $3200 in 1870 to Samuel M. Lyon, one of the founders of Beth Israel, whose wealth grew twelve fold from $3500 to $42,000. The accumulation of wealth and relative occupational stability suggests that the 1860s was a period of consolidation for those who remained in Portland, a hypothesis which gains more credence when it is noted that ninety-four percent of those individuals acquired real estate by 1870.

Occupational statistics by marital status provides a picture of the life cycle of Jewish businessmen in the 1860s to 1880s (Table XIV). Single men, almost exclusively, made up the class of clerks in the community while three quarters of the merchant class were married in both 1870 and 1880 (76.6 percent and 74.8 percent respectively) which further indicates the link of occupational mobility to marital status.

TABLE XIV

OCCUPATIONAL CLASS OF PORTLAND MALE JEWS BY MARITAL STATUS
1860-1880

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>1880</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>1880</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>1880</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The steady increase of clerks in proportion to merchants in each succeeding decade suggests that the growth of individual businesses required more and more employees. Where two or three partners could handle the buying, selling, and collecting trips as well as manage the store in the 1850s and 1860s, by the mid-1870s it was necessary for larger stores to hire clerks, bookkeepers, and salesmen. The large size of Jewish families meant that local merchants were creating their own work force. The sons, and sometimes daughters, of Bernard Goldsmith, Aaron Beck, Philip Selling, and Jacob Mayer all received their first exposure to business in their fathers' stores. Thus, much of the increase in the number of single clerks was the result of the second generation reaching maturity.

Over sixty percent of the single clerks in 1880 were males new to the city. Some of these young men were native born like George Franklin whose family operated clothing stores in New York, San Francisco, and Portland and sent him to Portland to learn the trade. Still others were foreign born relatives of Jewish merchants who were sponsored to America and became clerks in the firms of uncles and cousins. Twenty year old Moses Sichel, one of the dwindling number of Bavarian immigrants in the 1870s, worked for his uncle Solomon Hirsch in 1880, later establishing a clothing store in eastern Oregon.

The increase in skilled and semi-skilled laborers among Jews in 1880 resulted from a growing immigration from Prussia and Poland, as well as an in-migration of artisans who had been in the United States for many years, but who arrived in Portland only after 1870. Herman Wise, a 40 year old Hungarian tailor, came to America in mid-decade after two children had already been born, settled first in California
then migrated to Oregon in 1876 or 1877. Likewise, expressman Mortic Gerstle started a family in Europe in 1870 and settled in Portland at mid-decade.21

Over seventy-five percent of the employed Jews in Portland in 1880 held white collar jobs (all individuals in the merchant, clerk, and professional classes). Although lower than the corresponding figures for Jews in Atlanta (84 percent), and Los Angeles (80 percent), the incidence of Portland Jews wearing white collars far outstripped non-Jews.22 While their European background determined the trades they entered in America, Jewish success hinged on and was fostered by family and ethnic bonds. Intra-group business networks that linked Portland with New York and San Francisco, as well as the small settlements of the Oregon hinterland, provided training for young Jews, facilitated extension of credit, and fostered commercial success. The fragility of the frontier economy coupled with the often limited capital Jews held upon arrival in Portland, insured a struggle for economic survival. Lacking capital in reserve, Jews and all other businessmen, had to depend on the extension of credit for survival during times of recession. Attaining credit depended upon receiving a good rating based on investigation of business assets, liabilities, and histories of the principle partners.23

The principle rating agency in the country was the R. G. Dun and Company of New York. Credit, by Dun's definition, meant "assets plus character." Peter Decker has shown that in San Francisco R. G. Dun agents assumed that Jews were of low or questionable character despite their level of assets. Therefore, Jews could not easily find credit from the gentile banking and investment houses.24

Dun records for Portland's Jewish businesses displayed some of the
same characteristics as in San Francisco. The incidence of poor credit ratings and derogatory notations in Dun reports, particularly where a businessman is identified as a Jew, was significant enough to assume that the agents discriminated against Jewish businessmen. A. Burchard, of Burchard and Powers, dealers in second hand furniture, was characterized as "a close fisted Jew" while another report warned that Aaron Meier was "shrewd, close & calcultg..." and "is considered tricky... it is said that if hard pushed would take care of his private interests before those of crs." While a few firms like L. Goldsmith and Company received good ratings ("the firm has a first class reputation") based on the local standing of one or more partners, Dun investigators generally gave negative reports or admonished caution about Jewish businesses.

Jewish business enterprise had to depend on a network of branch merchandising, familial enterprise and insulated credit arrangements. The essence of this networking is evident in a Dun report on J. S. Rosenbaum and Company, a wholesale tobacco and cigar firm. Rosenbaum, in partnership with Nathan Hirstel, maintained credit with A. S. Rosenbaum (a brother) and Company of San Francisco and a New York firm of the same name. The Dun investigator noted, "We learn from excellent authorities that they have a credit with A. S. Rosenbaum of San Francisco to the amount of $25,000. A. S. Rosenbaum makes nearly all their purchases, but in their name and acts as their Banker." Many of Portland's successful Jewish businesses were branches of family firms in San Francisco and New York. Firms like Walter Brothers, dealers in imported and domestic carpets, and Neustadter Brothers, a wholesale clothier, had branches in the three cities, each
managed by a relative. A few Jewish businessmen like Bernard Goldsmith maintained ties with family businesses in Europe. 28

Portland firms were subordinate to San Francisco wholesalers throughout the period, although the growth of local wholesale houses and manufacturers in the late 1870s and 1880s began to free Jewish businessmen from that domination. 29 Beyond the New York and San Francisco connections, Portland businessmen created a merchandising network throughout Oregon and the Washington Territory that included establishing branches in smaller markets or staking friends or relatives with a credit of goods. Akin, Selling, and Company, a dry goods house managed by American-born Ben Selling in the 1880s, sponsored friends and family in small towns like Prineville, Pendleton, and Joseph. 30

The relationship between Jewish businessmen in the Pacific Northwest included more than an avenue for receiving credit. Some Portland firms interceded on behalf of businesses in the interior for the purchase of insurance. Ben Selling, for example, used his influence—and co-signature—to obtain insurance for the merchandise of M. Kaminsky and Son of Cheney, Washington. Additionally, the business network provided a system for facilitating collections. Selling, for example, asked his friend Sig Sichel, who was living in Goldendale, Washington Territory, in the mid 1880s, to hire an attorney for the collection of a post-due account in that town. 31

Portland Jews were conservative in their business arrangements, although a few men, like Bernard Goldsmith and Joseph Teal, were continually involved in speculative enterprises and took risks with their capital. 32 But they were atypical among Jewish merchants. Selling was a conservative businessman who scorned the "liberal" lifestyle of some
young men. He engaged in land and commodity speculation, but was very careful, desiring to insure that the venture was "an excellent investment."\textsuperscript{33}

The branches that Portland Jewish merchants established served as the training ground for young family members or friends. The training of the younger generation was taken seriously by the elders and was supposed to be accomplished to the exclusion of other interests. Selling adamantly subscribed to the system and was not above the blunt expression of displeasure when a "trainee" did not exhibit the same view. His young cousin Julius Wertheimer apparently enjoyed the company of a young woman as much as he desired to run a store. Selling advised him:

you must positively let women alone and cards also, if you want your friends to assist you and respect you...When you have made enough money so that you can support a wife it will be time to pay attention to women, until then let them all severely alone or you will surely regret it.\textsuperscript{34}

When Wertheimer continued his errant ways, Selling threatened to remove him from the business. Within a month of that warning, Selling transferred Wertheimer to Joseph, Oregon with the admonition that he was sent there

\begin{quote}

\textit{to get you away from bad company and try to make a man of you... You are not up in the country for your health... but to make and save money. It will not be long before your father and mother will depend entirely on their children for support.}\textsuperscript{35}
\end{quote}

The trades were the dominant occupation of Portland Jews, whether as proprietors, clerks, or artisans. By 1880 a handful of professionals appeared in the Jewish workforce, including physicians Marcus Mellis and Julius Auerbach, both older men, who were new to Portland during the decade. On the other hand, young attorneys like Henry Ach and
Joseph Simon were sons of Oregon pioneers. While professionals represented only 4.7 percent of the workforce in 1880, that figure was more than double over 1870. As the Jewish community attained economic stability and the children of affluent families reached maturity, more sons chose to follow a different occupational road than their fathers.

The success of Jews in the business world cannot be told only in terms of the major personalities like Jacob Mayer, Bernard Goldsmith, Ben Selling, and the White brothers. The majority of Jewish merchants operated relatively small or medium size retail outlets. The Hirstel Brothers, bookdealers and grocer Jacob Mitchell who managed modest, but successful businesses more accurately represented the emerging stable and affluent middle class community.

With a European background in the mercantile trades where economic survival was marginal, Jewish immigrants were psychologically prepared to challenge the rigors of nineteenth century business life. The success of Jewish merchants in Portland mirrored the experience in other cities where the Jewish population remained relatively small and where it entered the commercial life of the city at the same time as non-Jewish businessmen. Despite a few barriers, like credit discrimination, Jews enjoyed the same opportunities as gentiles.

Jews were part of the economic profile of Portland almost from its founding. Because the community was in a stage of expansion, there was room at all business levels for the small number of Jews who chose to stake their future on the banks of the Willamette River.
CHAPTER V

JEWS IN THE LIFE OF THE CITY

The occupational restrictions placed on Jews in Europe had forced them to cluster in the petty trades and artisan pools. Concentration in those occupational strata produced in the Jews of central and northern Europe a value system that transferred to America and enhanced their chances for success in adapting to a new environment. Milton M. Gordon argues that their European condition meant "the Jews arrived in America with the middle-class values of thrift, sobriety, ambition, desire for education, ability to postpone immediate gratifications for the sake of long range goals, and aversion to violence already internalized."¹ In that respect the Jews who migrated to Portland in the third quarter of the nineteenth century held values similar to their gentile counterparts.

That Jewish businessmen played a role in city building from the early stages of Portland's development was important in their integration into the whole of community life. That fact was lost on neither Jew nor non-Jew. From the moment that an organized social life developed in Portland, Jewish participation was evident. When local merchants met to form Portland's first Masonic lodge, Jacob Goldsmith and Levi May were present, and as Willamette Lodge No. 2 completed its first decade in 1860, fourteen Jewish merchants were or had been members.² All these men were shopkeepers, with a few like Henry Wasserman and
David Weil experiencing considerable success, which suggests a selective emphasis of the fraternal order. The lodge, particularly on the frontier, provided a socializing mechanism that sanctioned the creation of a middle class solidarity that transcended national and religious boundaries.

In 1861 Portland had two Masonic lodges, one Odd Fellow lodge, and a Royal Arch Chapter. The 1860s and 1870s witnessed a proliferation of secret fraternal orders in the city until in 1880 there were at least thirty lodges representing over half a dozen orders. The orders of the Masons and Odd Fellows held the greatest attraction for the city's business and professional leaders, including those prominent Jews who were disposed to joining fraternal organizations. During this period Jewish community leaders like Jacob Mayer, Sam Lowenstein, Philip Selling, Julius Kraemer, Joseph Simon, and many others played active roles in local lodges. Bavarians, Prussians, Poles and Bohemians all were invited to membership. The only prerequisite was the proper degree of occupational standing. Thus Posen-born Henry Damziger, a successful cigar dealer and president of the Ahavai Shalom Cemetery Association joined the Mayers and Sellings on an equal footing in the Willamette Lodge.

In the mid-1860s the Minerva Lodge of the Independent Order of Odd Fellows was established apparently with a predominately Jewish membership. All of its officers in 1867 were German Jews. It is likely that the lodge was created as a German chapter with an initially dominant Jewish membership since German lodges were common throughout the nineteenth century in communities with a substantial German population. Fidelity Lodge No. 120 in San Francisco, like Minerva, began with a
Jewish majority, as did Harmony Lodge No. 142 in Milwaukie, Wisconsin.

In lesser numbers, Jews became members of other secret fraternal orders like the Red Men, Druids, Foresters, United Workmen, Chosen Friends, and Knights of Pythias. The measure of acceptance in the councils of those orders can be understood by studying the positions Jews attained. Jews were conspicuous in the frequency with which they appeared on the lists of officers, particularly for the Masons and Odd Fellows. Jacob Mayer, who began his Masonic career in San Francisco in 1852 and belonged to Willamette Lodge No. 2, was Grand Treasurer of the Grand Lodge of the Free and Accepted Masons of Oregon--Masonry's governing lodge in the state--in 1881-1883 and was Grand Master, the pinnacle of Masonry, in 1888.

Not all of Portland's leading citizens, Jewish and non-Jewish, adopted the fraternal order with the fervor of Jacob Mayer. Matthew P. Deady, a close friend of Solomon Hirsch and Bernard Goldsmith, described the rituals of the secret societies as pretentious "Masonic Mummeries," Goldsmith, and undoubtedly several other Portland Jews, joined a masonic order more as a duty required by his station in the community. He wrote in 1889 "I am a Mason although I have never taken any active interest in it."

Fraternal participation is a good barometer of Jewish acceptance in Portland, although the community was not without its opponents. Thomas Dryer, editor of the Daily Oregonian during the fifties and perennial candidate for political office, directed his nativist pen toward the city's Jewish population in 1858, charging that they exhibited "duplicity in all social intercourse with the gentile world..."
overreaching and cheating in trade..." After defeat in a race for the territorial legislature Dryer sought a scapegoat, and because the German community was large and visible, his anti-foreign sentiment found a target. And the German Jews were a particularly easy mark. He wrote:

The Jews in Oregon, but more particularly in this city, have assumed an importance that no other sect has ever dared to assume in a free country. They have leagued together by uniting their entire numerical strength to control the ballot boxes at our elections. The Oregon Statesman, an unalterable foe of the Daily Oregonian characterized Dryer "an irresponsible libeller...without integrity or honor," whose "object was to proscribe men on account of their birth place and their religion." The Statesman suggested that the Germans and Jews did vote against him and that his "follies and impudence have driven all honest and consistent men from his support...."

The vitriol in print derived more from the animosity between the two newspapers than an issue of political anti-Semitism. The Statesman may have been correct when it claimed that "the Jews, as a class" opposed Dryer's candidacy. Considering his political philosophy they had good reason. Nevertheless, four years earlier when Dryer was narrowly defeated for mayor by William S. Ladd, he received four of the eleven Jewish votes in the election. Available city election records indicate that Portland Jews did not form a voting block in the 1850s and 1860s. Only in elections in which a candidate completely dominated the vote, as in James O'Neill's 1857 mayoral victory over Henry Corbett, did the Jewish vote approach unanimity. Even in 1861 when Henry Wasserman, a Jewish tobacconist and brother of future mayor Philip Wasserman, was narrowly elected city
treasurer, he managed only ten of eighteen Jewish votes.  

Analysis of voting records for the period is full of potential hazards. The Civil War era was a watershed in the political life of American Jewry. Prior to the election of Abraham Lincoln most Jews belonged to the Democratic party, but northern Jews who opposed slavery switched their allegiance to the infant Republican party. Following the war an indeterminate number of Jews returned to the Democratic ranks, particularly when Ulysses Grant ran for president. General Grant's expulsion of Jews from the Tennessee Department in 1862 on charges of war profiteering left bitter feelings in the hearts of many American Jews. However, most remained Republican into the twentieth century.  

The absence of evidence makes it difficult to determine the affiliation of the vast majority of Portland's Jews. Bernard Goldsmith, elected as Republican mayor of Portland in 1869 noted:

I was a strong Union man during the war. I was a democrat [sic] before the war. I voted and worked with the republicans [sic] after the war broke out. At the second election of Grant I quit and went back to the old school; to the democratic [sic] party.  

Goldsmith's close friend and business associate in several speculative adventures, Joseph Teal, was also a Democrat before and after the war. Teal, an ardent supporter of Joseph Lane, the leader of Oregon's Democratic Party and candidate for vice-president in 1860, wrote to Matthew Deady prior to the state convention in December 1859:

But if we can secure the election of 1860 by electing a good sound Democrat,—One who can and will do somthing [sic] besides love the dear people,—One who will stick to the constitution and the rights of the South, Oregon will then enjoy the blessings of a Once more united Democratic party.  

Like Lane, however, Teal's support for Southern rights was an
ideological belief in states' rights, not an expression of pro-slavery sentiment. In the late 1850s Teal became the only Jew in Oregon to own a slave when he purchased the "negro boy Cole and his grandmother" from a man named Southworth and subsequently gave them their freedom. 18

Portland Jews viewed local politics and political office as a means of advancing the development of their adopted city. Holding office was almost a duty required of the founding fathers. Merchants Augustus Elfelt, A. Rosenheim, Sigmund Rosenblatt, and attorney Morris Fechheimer all served on the Common Council in the 1860s and 1870s. Leon Lewis, Henry Wasserman, and Joseph Bachman combined for eight terms in the City Treasurer's office and David Friedenrich was City Attorney in 1868. 19

For four consecutive terms beginning in 1869 Goldsmith and Philip Wasserman held the mayor's office. Both men typified the merchant politician who viewed the city with pride and strove to improve its beauty and services. Goldsmith was responsible for the initial purchase of forty acres for City Park (now Washington Park) and forced an ordinance through the Common Council requiring store owners to plant shade trees outside their stores. Wasserman expressed his optimism about the city's future in his annual message of 1872, requesting funds for parks and recreation. 20

Both Goldsmith and Wasserman faced serious issues that required tough, often unpopular positions, and neither should be viewed as merely a booster in office. In the 1860s the city witnessed an influx of Chinese laborers who came to work as truck gardeners and wood cutters. Anti-Chinese sentiment ran high on the west coast as "Workingmen's Associations" sprang up calling for the removal of the Chinese. 21
1865 several moves were made by the Portland Common Council to tax the Chinese and prohibit their residence in the city. The proposed ordinances were vetoed by Mayor Henry Failing or dropped after the city attorney issued negative opinions. 

Many prominent Jews found themselves in the middle of the furor over the Chinese. On June 19, 1873, Mayor Wasserman approved a health ordinance that required 550 cubic feet of space for each occupant of an apartment, a measure directed at the Chinese who generally crowded together in rooms with as little as 100 cubic feet per person. Two days later, Wasserman struck down an attempt by the Common Council to prohibit Chinese laborers being employed on city contracts. He argued that it conflicted with the laws and treaties of the United States and that "the power to act in this matter in my judgement lies solely with the Congress of the United States." 

Ralph and Isaac Jacobs, owners of the Oregon City woolen mills, hired Chinese labor in 1869 and the Oregonian accused them of acting "against the peace and welfare of the community." When white laborers struck the mill following the employment of the Chinese, the proprietors hired more Chinese. On November 23, 1872, the mill was destroyed by fire, believed to have been set by anti-Chinese agitators. 

The anti-Chinese forces were led by Irish laborers who felt their jobs were being given to Orientals who would work for lower wages. But a large portion of the German mercantile class also opposed the Chinese, including some Jewish shopkeepers. Their opposition may have taken form as a means to draw the trade of white laborers. In 1885 Rohn's Clothing store ran a poem in an advertisement in the Oregonian that read, in part:
Our boys with coolies can't compete,
So what's the use of trying?
So Chinese John gets all the work
through white men avaricious. 28

The advertisement appeared in the midst of a wave of anti-Chinese violence in the Portland vicinity in which the Chinese were forcibly expelled from Oregon City, Albina, and Mount Tabor. 29

Despite some Jewish opposition to the Chinese, the leaders of the community rose to their defense and the defense of civil order. In February and March, 1886, anti-Chinese forces threatened to expel the Chinese from Portland, causing Mayor John Gates to call out the militia, double the police force, and deputize over 200 citizens. Goldsmith and Wasserman were among the men responding to the crisis. Ben Selling also answered the call and testified to the seriousness of the problem:

We have been threatened with serious trouble on account of the Chinese agitation. At Oregon City the Anti-Coolie club drove the Chinamen out of town during the night. The better class of citizens deprecate this and here in Portland have enrolled about two hundred deputy sheriffs. I am one and have done patrol duty two nights...I think the organization of citizens will prevent any Riot, but if there is one some body is going to be hurt. 31

The show of strength by the "better class of citizens" preserved the peace and order, and though isolated acts of violence against the Chinese community continued, it never again was threatened by mob violence. Portland's Jews, as a group, and the merchant class, in general, respected civil order and finding any of them among police records was a rarity. Tempers flared at times, as in the confrontation between Rabbi May and Abraham Waldman, or the sibling disagreement between Emil and Aaron May in which the former was charged with defacing a building and assaulting and threatening to kill his brother. But generally the
violations of the law committed by Jews included citations for minor infractions. Mortic Gerstle was cited for operating an express wagon without a license, M. Wertheimer was cited for driving over a bridge faster than a walk, and Philip Selling violated an ordinance that prohibited "keeping a sign over the sidewalk."32

On the other side of the law, only a few Jews sought to join the militia or police force. Benjamin Norden, whose family had been in the United States for over two generations, was the Multnomah County Sheriff during the late 1870s.33 A. Rosenheim, a Common Council member in 1865 and 1867, was elected town marshall in July 31, 1867 in a close vote of the council. He appointed deputies and tried to assume office; however, Henry Hoyt, the previous marshall, refused to surrender the office, claiming Rosenheim's election was illegal. For two months the dispute raged leaving Portland with two non-functioning police forces and often using the services of the county. Although the State Supreme Court ruled against Hoyt, Rosenheim did not assume office. The vacancy was filled by David Jacobi, a 37 year old Bavarian Jew who had yet to advance beyond the occupation of bookkeeper. He was not considered for the post the following year and was defeated in 1869 and 1875 in attempts to become marshall and police captain, respectively. He later served one year as a policeman before being discharged in October, 1871.34

Fire occupied the imagination of Portlanders throughout the nineteenth century. Despite the growing number of brick buildings, Portland was still a city of wood-frame buildings. Fires plagued the city, ranging from the catastrophic fires of 1872 and 1873 that destroyed large sections of the business district to individual fires of natural or incendiary origin.35 Several Jewish merchants were victimized by fire
in the 1870s including Henrietta Ach, S. Levy, Simon and Leopold
Baum, Moses Seller, H. Wolf, and as previously mentioned Aaron Meier,
Henry Sinsheimer, and the Jacobs brothers. 36

An observer in 1861 felt Portland had the best fire fighting sys-
tem on the west coast, supporting three fire engine companies and a
hook and ladder. 37 However, the non-professional crews were composed
entirely of volunteers. Whereas Jews did not take an active role in
police and militia functions, many participated in leadership roles in
the various engine companies, including attorney Joseph Simon who be-
came president of the Board of Delegates of the Fire Department in the
late 1870s.

Simon studied law in the early seventies when business in his
father's dry goods store slowed. He joined the firm of John H. Mitchell
in 1872, but it was dissolved when Mitchell was elected to Congress.
Simon became a leading corporate lawyer during the next decade and devel-
oped a political power base that made him the most powerful Republican
in the state. He aligned with Henry W. Corbett, Solomon Hirsch, and
Jonathan Bourne in the 1880s and 1890s to oppose his old mentor Mitchell.
During his career, Simon served on the Common Council (1877-1880), in
the State Senate (1880-1891, 1895-1898), as Mayor of Portland (1909-
1911), United States Senator (1898-1903), and Chair of the State Central
Committee of the Republican Party (1880-1886). 38

In 1882 State Senator Simon wrote legislation that created the first
professional fire department in the city. He subsequently stepped
down as head of the department. The first president of the new Board
of Commissioners was Jewish merchant Henry Ackerman. 39

Simon's legislation creating a fire department sprang from a
commitment to the welfare of the city, while another important piece of legislation directed toward city services was pushed by Simon in an effort to consolidate his power in Portland. The Board of Police Commissioners, created in 1885, was a three member citizen board that had complete authority over the Portland Police Department. Fifteen years earlier Portland's first permanent, salaried police department had been established, in part through the efforts of Mayor Bernard Goldsmith. His concern was that the force was too small to insure the security and safety of the community. Simon's concern was to minimize the strength of the Mitchell Republicans in municipal politics. Two of the three men appointed to the first commission were Simon and Bourne. The maneuver succeeded, initially, though by the late 1890s the commission and police department were foundering in scandal.

Portland's Jewish merchants did not disproportionately enter the political arena, though their representation exceeded other ethnic groups. Similar to the gentile business community whose leaders played important political roles in Portland and the state, many of the Jewish business elite sought elective office or lobbied for particular causes. Simon, like his non-Jewish counterparts Bourne and Mitchell, was a professional politician. The other Jews who delved into politics were not, although Solomon Hirsch and Ben Selling, both prominent merchants, showed signs of political prowess. Hirsch served in the State Senate from 1874 to 1885 including one term as Senate President, and in 1889 President Benjamin Harrison appointed him the United States Minister to Turkey, a post he held until 1892. He lacked the ruthless drive for power that characterized Simon's approach to politics. Hirsch, promoted by Simon, failed to defeat Mitchell for U.S. Senate in 1885 because he
refused to cast his own vote for himself.\textsuperscript{43}

Selling was a staunch Republican, like Hirsch and Simon. While he did not enter political life until the 1890s, he used his influence to help elect or defeat office seekers. In 1886 he engaged in a letter writing campaign urging his friends and business associates to work for the defeat of a candidate seeking the Republican Party nomination for the State Supreme Court who he termed "a jew-hater [sic]." Selling exhibited some political savvy in cautioning that the issue of race not be raised "as that would only help him in many cases." Selling's efforts succeeded. Supporters of the defeated candidate then sought to unseat Simon as Chair of the Republican State Central Committee. Selling again used his pen, this time in support of his friend Simon.\textsuperscript{44}

The Jewish leadership, or more accurately, the wealthier Jewish merchants and professionals, took an active interest in the civic and business life of the city. Men like Goldsmith, Wasserman, and the White brothers sat on the boards and associations that oversaw the orderly development of Portland. With William Ladd, Henry Corbett, Reverend Thomas Eliot and others, they worked toward a common end.

The career of Bernard Goldsmith is an example of the Jews' relationship to and view of Portland, although it was atypical in the breadth of his activities and influence. His political contributions noted above were overshadowed by the extent of his business involvement in promoting city growth. He boasted with justification that "I have been identified with almost everything that has been going on in this town since I came here."\textsuperscript{45}

Goldsmith settled in Portland in 1861, already a wealthy man following a successful jewelry trade in northern California and southern
Oregon. In 1865 with Addison and L. M. Starr, Asa Harker, and Philip Wasserman, Goldsmith formed the first national bank on the west coast. The institution held deposits from the companies of the founders, but experienced little growth over the next four years. In 1869 Henry Corbett and Henry Failing purchased the interests of the Starr brothers and transformed the bank into a financial empire--The First National Bank of Portland. Goldsmith had stepped out of the picture in 1866, though he maintained a large deposit for L. Goldsmith and Company.

In the late 1860s Goldsmith entered the transportation and shipping business on a large scale. He claimed that he and J. McCracken were responsible for the first direct shipment of wheat to England. Whether that claim is accurate does not change the fact that he was involved in early direct trade with Liverpool, nor does it diminish the importance of that trade. Prior to 1868, wheat bound for England was sold to brokers in San Francisco. Direct shipment to England allowed Portland merchants to sell at lower prices, expand their markets, and thus maximize profits. Goldsmith's role may have been as important as he claimed since by 1869 he operated a brokerage in Portland.

Goldsmith participated in the controversy over the north-south railroad and found himself aligned with the California interests in opposition to the Oregon Steam Navigation Company (OSN) directors. Two companies competed for the Portland to California line, one on the east side of the Willamette River and one on the west side. The directors of OSN, the shipping company that monopolized the Columbia River trade, backed the west side because they believed connection with California could be delayed, thus protecting their interests longer. Goldsmith supported the east side company. He invested $20,000 in the company in
1868 and with Wasserman, J. C. Hawthorne, and Hamilton Boyd was part of the group that initially graded five or six miles south of Portland before selling out to Ben Holladay. Hawthorne and Boyd resided on the east side and, in that light, their support can be understood. Goldsmith may have supported the east side line because it would have connected with California much quicker, a desire fostered by his interests in California.

Another explanation of his east side support could be Goldsmith's belief that the OSN monopoly—and any monopoly—ran counter to Portland's best interests. In 1868 he and Joseph Teal incorporated the Willamette Falls Canal and Lock Company and began construction of a system that would facilitate river shipping between Portland and Eugene City. They hoped to break the monopoly of the People's Transportation Company (PTC) above the falls. The state provided $200,000 for construction, but it ultimately cost $400,000 and Goldsmith bore the brunt of the overrun. When the locks were completed, PTC refused to utilize them. Goldsmith then built several boats and incorporated the Willamette Falls Transportation Company in 1873. For two years he competed with PTC and even challenged OSN on the Columbia. OSN retaliated by entering the Willamette trade. The existence of three shipping lines on the Willamette and the fact that Holladay's railroad reached Eugene drove prices so low that Goldsmith could not remain solvent. He attempted to sell the locks to the state but a bill to purchase the system was defeated in the State Senate by the Holladay forces. In 1876 Goldsmith sold his company to OSN at a loss of perhaps $225,000.

Goldsmith maintained that despite his losses, the enterprise had been a worthwhile venture:
I have always claimed that the greatest benefit I have been to this state was in building those locks and canal... The whole carrying trade was in the hands of a monopoly which retarded the growth of the country by the freight rates they charged but as soon as the locks were built it prevented them from charging exorbitant prices for freight. That was a great thing for this state and for this city. They could not see it for a while but have seen it since. I was the only one that suffered by it but it benefitted the state very much.\textsuperscript{55} Goldsmith never fully recouped his losses following the sale to OSN. His penchant for speculation resulted in further hardship in the 1880s when several Idaho mines in which he had an interest failed.\textsuperscript{56}

Judge Matthew Deady, a close friend of Goldsmith, noted that Goldsmith's speculation often mirrored his desire for civic improvement.\textsuperscript{57} Certainly no other Jewish businessman of the period exerted as much influence or affected the economic life of the city to the extent Goldsmith did. Notwithstanding his financial misfortune in the Willamette Falls enterprise, he remained an influential and respected member of the community.

The most important civic organization of the 1870s and 1880s was the Board of Trade, the forerunner of the Chamber of Commerce. The business leaders of the city served on the Board and its committees, including the handful of Jews whose success or prestige paralleled Ladd, Corbett, Reed, and banker David P. Thompson. Goldsmith, Wasserman, Hirsch, Isom White, Louis Fleischner, and Julius Loewenberg all held positions on the Board. Benjamin I. Cohen, member of a prominent Baltimore family, was assistant secretary and statistician to the Board. In 1887 he helped incorporate the Oregon Bank, then known as the Portland Trust Company. He was elected bank president in 1890 and remained in that position for twenty years.\textsuperscript{58}

The cultural life of Portland, like any other urban center,
depended upon the existence of an educated, wealthy, leisure class for its sustenance. From a limited offering of church choir recitals and small band concerts in the 1850s and 1860s, Portland fostered musical, literary, and theatrical organizations through the efforts of its leading citizens. The Jews of Portland played an active role in that aspect of the city, in some cases acting as prime movers.

The first permanent library association in the city was formed in 1864. William S. Ladd was the first contributor, *Oregonian* editor Harvey Scott was the first librarian, and Matthew Deady was elected the first president. Goldsmith attended the first organizational meeting and sat on the board of directors for several years. When Deady ran a life membership campaign in 1875, Goldsmith purchased the fiftieth subscription. While Goldsmith and other prominent Jews served on the Portland Library Association board, the most active Jewish member was Morris W. Fechheimer, an attorney, who arrived in Portland in the late 1860s and immediately entered the cultural and social life of the city. He was a free thinker and an agnostic who retained no organizational affiliation in the Jewish community, though he did lecture on Jewish topics. Deady, who grew very fond of Fechheimer, nominated him to the library board in 1872, a position he held until his death in 1886. Fechheimer and Deady collaborated in 1871 with the former's non-Jewish partner J. W. Whalley, in the organization of one of Portland's first literary societies, the Willamette Society.

It was in the area of music that Portland Jews made their most important mark on the city. Despite early attempts to introduce quality vocal and orchestral music to Portland, it was not until the mid-1870s that instrumental music by local musicians received its first serious
exposure in the city. Prussian born Simon Harris, the son of dry goods merchant Joseph Harris, established the Amateur Music Society in 1875 and was its first conductor. Fechheimer and H. Brenner, an Austrian hotel keeper, served as officers of the society during the first year. The society promoted orchestral music for the next three years, but when Harris travelled to Europe in 1878 it disbanded. In 1882 Harris returned to found the Orchestral Union, serving as its director for several years. The Amateur Music Society and Orchestral Union were predecessors of the Portland Symphony Orchestra, founded in 1895.64

One of the accouterments of genteel society apparently was the musical recitals of the daughters of the well-heeled. Throughout the 1870s and 1880s, young women provided musical entertainment in the social halls, salons, and parlors frequented by the community's elite. Jacob Mayer's daughters, Clementine and Rose, were particularly popular. Deady wrote of the former: "She is a very charming person, talks well and sings divinely, besides being very pretty."65

By the mid-1880s Portland Jewry was fully integrated into the economic, political, and cultural life of the city. The relatively small size of the community kept it from becoming isolated and insulated from the gentile community. Nor is there any evidence that they would have chosen to accept that way of life. Only a select few, epitomized by Goldsmith, individually had an impact on the growth of the city. As a group, Portland Jews formed a small, cohesive component of the population and represented a microcosm of the city. Jewish leaders sat in the councils of government with non-Jewish leaders. The vast majority of Jews met the vast majority of other Portlanders in the day to day bustle of city life.
With the growth of the city came an expanded social consciousness among its citizens. One observer of early Portland history noted, "Leading Jews joined in community activities on an equal footing with Gentiles."

But the late 1870s and 1880s were years of social definition and the gulf between those who had achieved a great measure of economic success and those who had not was ever widening. The notion of social class was becoming ingrained in Portland as it was in other urban centers. Differences in economic power, political power, and social status created class divisions. This phenomenon changed the relationship of Jews and gentiles in Portland. But its greatest impact would be felt within the Jewish community itself. Added to the three criteria of class standing was national origin. The division of the religious structure of Portland Jewry, based on nationality and cultural orientation, was compounded in the 1880s in the social relationship between German and non-German Jews.
CHAPTER VI

AN EMERGING SENSE OF CLASS

On October 27, 1884, "the Hebrews of this city had a grand celebration of the one hundredth anniversary of the life of Sir Moses Montefiore. The New Market Theater was jammed. Hundreds were turned away and the affair was equal if not superior to any ever before held in this city." Jews and non-Jews alike attended the event honoring the British philanthropist. Matthew Deady, who sat on stage with the dignitaries that included the British Vice-Concul, noted that the theater "was packed from pit to dome." Attorney David Solis-Cohen and ministers Thomas Lamb Eliot of the First Unitarian Church and A. L. Lindsley of the First Presbyterian Church delivered the principle addresses.

Seven months prior to the Montefiore centenary, Portland Jews staged a gala celebration of Purim, a festive holiday celebrating freedom from oppression. Emma Friendly, Gertie Gallick, and Ralph Jacobs recreated the Biblical story of Queen Esther, and the "best orchestra Portland ever saw" performed for an audience estimated to be two-thirds Christians.

Social interaction between Jews and non-Jews, exemplified by the Montefiore and Purim celebrations, occurred with little apparent self-consciousness. Non-Jews partook of the festivities at the dedications of Portland's synagogues. William S. Ladd and Henry Failing were among the 300 attending the first services at Congregation Ahavai Shalom's new
building in 1869, and the celebration was even greater twenty years later when the new Congregation Beth Israel synagogue was dedicated. Jews undoubtedly joined in similar activities in the gentile community. Men and women who shared a common goal and a common class structure moved together with ease in polite society. The close ties between the wealthy and prestigious Jews and non-Jews are easily documented. Solomon Hirsch, Morris Fechheimer, Bernard Goldsmith, Philip Wasserman, and the Jacobs brothers, among others, maintained intimate business and social relationships with men like Matthew Deady, Judge Stephen Field, Henry Corbett, J. W. Whalley, and the Dolph brothers.

Relations between the middle classes are not as easily documented. However, a relatively small Jewish population and the fact that it was dispersed residentially suggests that social intercourse must have occurred, if only at a minimal level. Additionally, as noted in a previous chapter, Portland's fraternal orders provided a common meeting ground for middle class Jews and non-Jews.

Whereas participation in cultural organizations was one form of association, strictly recreational and social organizations like the Alpine Club and Bicycle Club provided another kind of vehicle for intergroup activities. In 1873 the Progress Club was incorporated with "sociability" as its only stated object. All of the officers and trustees during its first year of operation were Jews, although its membership was not exclusively Jewish. During the following years the officers were mixed. The club sponsored parties, dances, and other gatherings that included Portland's socially active citizens. Among its first gatherings was a banquet honoring wholesale merchant Isom White.

The whirl of social activities in the 1870s and 1880s indicates
a growing sense of class in Portland. The cultural and social development of the city was promoted, in particular, by the "economic notables" of the city. Historian Paul Gilman Merriam defined an "old line" social elite whose position was based on wealth, community service, and long-time residence, clearly identifying several Jewish merchants as part of the upper class strata of Portland Society.

Eighteen Portlanders, including two Jews, claimed over $100,000 in accumulated real and personal property in 1870. Joseph Teal was the third wealthiest resident of the city claiming property worth $338,000, and his friend and business partner Bernard Goldsmith was eighth with over $180,000. Over the course of the next fifteen years several Jews built fortunes in wholesaling, retailing, and brokerage firms. Merriam distinguishes the "old line" elite by family residence in Portland prior to 1870, which presumably meant that men like Goldsmith, Teal, Ben Selling, the White brothers, Philip Wasserman, Joseph Simon, and Louis Fleischner belonged to the group.

Portland's elite dominated the cultural and civic life of the city, and in the 1870s they began to adopt a lifestyle common to the American upper class, including spending the summer season at coastal resorts, taking extended trips to Europe, and sending their children to the best schools.

Portland's Jewish elite participated in those activities with relish. Goldsmith sent some of his children to an exclusive high school in Benicia, California, after they attended the Bishop Scott School in Portland. Simon and Ben Selling, Louis Blumauer, Henry Ach, Emil Bories, and Emmanuel Beck were among the alumni of the Portland Academy and Female Seminary, a school attended by the children of the Failing, Couch,
Starr, Ankeny, Coffin, and Chapman families in the 1860s and 1870s. 15

In the late 1870s and early 1880s travel to the east coast and Europe lured many of Portland's wealthy Jews. Philip Selling, his wife, and daughters travelled for over a year in Europe in the early 1880s, leaving Ben to manage the family business. They stayed in Germany for an extended period of time visiting friends, family, and the families of other Portland Jews. 16 Levi White, who dissolved his partnership with brother Isom in 1878, took an extended trip to Europe where he met other Portlanders, including Simeon Reed, one of Portland's wealthiest non-Jewish businessmen. 17

The Jewish and non-Jewish elites of the city had a great deal in common, however, there remained a barrier built on an ingrained and, during this period, largely unspoken prejudice. As in cities of the east, Jews were excluded from the very pinnacle of polite male society despite their wealth and reputation. 18 In Portland's case, Jews were not invited to join the Arlington Club, "the most aristocratic club in the city." 19

The Arlington Club was founded in 1867 without a name or a constitution as a vehicle for businessmen and professionals to "fraternize for mutual enjoyment and relaxation, and to provide a meeting place for discussing their own and Portland's destiny." The club members reorganized in 1881 and adopted the present name. 20 The membership, though diverse politically, included Portland's most important non-Jewish businessmen. The men associated with the Oregon Steam Navigation Company and the west side railroad were prominent in the social club. 21 A student of Portland's business community noted, "It would appear that many of the major decisions affecting Portland's business and political life
were actually reached during 'informal' discussions held within the club's portals.\textsuperscript{22} That means Portland's Jewish elite was not privy to at least part of the decision-making process that fostered local growth.

The reaction of men like Louis Fleischner, Sol Hirsch, and Philip Wasserman is unclear, although there is no record of Jewish dissatisfaction over exclusion from the Arlington Club. The close social ties between Jews and non-Jews remained, but no suggestion to allow the former into the club ever received public utterance.\textsuperscript{23} Even though Cyrus Dolph and Joseph Simon were close associates, and J. W. Whalley and Morris Fechheimer were long time friends and partners, and Matthew Deady was a good friend of Bernard Goldsmith and Sol Hirsch, the Arlington Club members were never disposed to embracing the Jewish elite.

On three occasions the Arlington Club accepted a member who had one Jewish parent, however in none of the cases did the parent actively participate in Jewish communal organizations, nor did the son identify himself as a Jew. Joseph Teal, a Prussian who entered many speculative ventures with Bernard Goldsmith, married Episcopalian Mary Coleman in the mid-1850s and they raised seven children. Two of the four boys, Joseph N. and Henry, became members of the Arlington Club early in the twentieth century. Both were members of the Episcopalian Church. Joseph N. Teal maintained a close friendship with the Goldsmith family, and in fact received his first business training with L. Goldsmith and Company.\textsuperscript{24}

Benjamin Norden, the son of Benjamin Norden, joined the Arlington Club in the late 19th century. The father arrived in Portland late in
the 1850s and soon entered the public service, serving several years
as Multnomah County Sheriff. He was an early member of Congregation
Beth Israel, but later left the congregation, probably after marrying
a non-Jew. Young Benjamin, who studied medicine, was not raised as
a Jew. 25

That the elder Teal did not affiliate with Jewish organizations
was probably the result of his rejection of Judaism rather than exclu-
sion by other Jews after he intermarried. Another Jew, Benjamin I.
Cohen, a member of a prominent Baltimore banking family, also inter-
married but remained within the community. While he did not affiliate
with a congregation, he was active in the B'nai B' rith. 26

The Assembly Club founded in the late 1880s, like Arlington, was
a club for the social elite. It functioned less as a businessmen's
meeting ground than as a convivial organization that sponsored dances
and parties for its members. In 1891 it listed no Jews among the
membership. 27

To argue that social anti-semitism, or even political anti-semitism
lay at the heart of Jewish exclusion from the Arlington and Assembly
Clubs would be an oversimplification, particularly in light of the
friendships that crossed ethno-religious lines. By the mid-1870s so-
cial discrimination, particularly at the top of the social ladder, was
evident in New York and other population centers of the east and mid-
west. The most famous incident was refusal by a Saratoga, New York re-
sort hotel in 1877 to register Joseph Seligman, a New York financier and
founder of the prestigious Union Club of that city. 28 Prior to the
Seligman incident, discrimination against Jews occurred in locales with
Jewish populations, particularly in the extension of insurance. By the
mid-1870s, discrimination was becoming more overt.  

Julius Nodel noted that during the two decades after Jews first arrived in Portland, they encountered little hostility. With a measure of hyperbole, he contended that "on their westward trek...they discovered something more precious than gold--almost complete absence of anti-Semitism." He suggested for Portland what historian John Higham confirmed regarding other cities with relatively large Jewish populations—that Jews found an "especially favorable status from their large share in molding the basic institutions of the city." 

The majority of Portland's Jewish merchants and entrepreneurs operated businesses that could not be counted among the financial and commercial institutions that directly affected the economic and political development of the city. The members of the Arlington Club, on the other hand, owned or sat on the boards of corporations that shaped the city's destiny. The fact that a few Jews like Julius Loewenberg, Bernard Goldsmith, and Joseph Simon held directorships in some of those same corporations did not open the doors of Arlington to them. The Club members were a "company of men" who shared common interests and backgrounds which included religious preference. There can be no question that Jews were deliberately excluded from Arlington because they were Jews. However, through the 1880s, at least, this social discrimination does not appear hostile nor motivated by political or ideological anti-Semitism.

The Portland and Oregon environment was not totally free of overt anti-Jewish feelings. The Dryer incident of 1858 and the discriminatory reports of R. G. Dun and Company illustrate that fact. Leo Samuel, a Prussian Jew who edited and published the West Shore, a monthly booster
magazine, recounted an incident in 1877 of a little girl being taunted by her public school classmates as a Christ-killer.  

33 Ben Selling, in 1886, opposed the candidacy of a judge to the Oregon Supreme Court who "stated that he never knew a Jew to come into court with an honest claim." Selling noted that the candidate's chances were good if the campaign degenerated into a race fight because "there are many Jew Haters in Oregon."  

34 Selling may have been correct, but it would not be until the influx of thousands of orthodox East European Jews that anti-Semitism would become a major issue.

Certain stereotypes based on a "conception of Jews in the abstract" carried over into America from the European tradition. Those stereotypes antedated any real problem in America in relations between Jews and non-Jews. The use of slang terms "Shylock" and "to Jew" received common usage in the pre-Civil War era. Yet, there seemed to be no hostility or negative judgements associated with their use.  

35 Portland was no different in this respect. Biblical stereotyping, generally a positive comment tying modern day Jews to the patriarchs, resulted in Portland Jews being referred to as "the children of Judah and Benjamin."

36 A much less sensitive remark, but not written with malice, came from Judge Deady after hearing a case in his courtroom.

"Heard argument for 4 hours today on a motion for an injunction in Kahn v. Salmon et Burman--Fechheimer on the one side and Simon on the other. A Jewdicial affair truly."  

37 No matter what reason lay behind remarks like Deady's, by the 1880s Portland society differentiated between Jews and non-Jews. The distinction is easily drawn on ethno-religious lines, but is less clear in the total picture of class development in the city. Portland Jews organized
a social club that has been described as a counterpart of the Arlington Club. The Concordia Club became the social center of the Jewish elite in the mid-1880s. While Concordia represented the expression of a new view of themselves for Portland Jews, the city at large identified the Jewish elite somewhat differently.

The evolution of a Jewish upper class in Portland faced with exclusion from the gentile upper class conforms to Milton M. Gordon's definition of "ethclass." The ethclass is "created by intersection of the vertical stratifications of ethnicity with the horizontal stratifications of social class...." Thus, Solomon Hirsch's ethclass was upper class Jewish while William S. Ladd was upper class white Protestant. Although national origin played a role in distinguishing class structure among Jews, non-Jews did not as readily distinguish Jews along national lines.

Concordia was formed in 1878 by young Jewish men who had not yet advanced far in the white collar occupational strata. The officers during the first three years included twenty year old Moses Sichel, twenty-five year old Edward Ackerman, twenty-two year old Solomon Oppenheimer, and twenty-four year old Rudolph Goldsmith. All four were single and worked as clerks in the stores of relatives. The size and composition of the Concordia Club is unknown. Likewise, the reason for its organization and its early activities are unclear. What can be learned from studying the lists of officers is the fact that they were exclusively German or native-born of German parentage. Additionally, the young clerks of 1880 exhibited the same economic mobility that characterized the earlier generation. By the late 1880s Moses Sichel was proprietor of a clothing store in eastern Oregon and Rudolph
Goldsmith worked his way into a management position with Fleischner, Mayer and Company.  

In the mid-1880s Concordia members included many of Portland's prominent young Jewish businessmen, including Ben Selling, August Goldsmith, and Nathan Baum. It had assumed every appearance of a social club, offering activities like "full dress" balls and card games. In 1887 Concordia was reorganized with its officers and trustees drawn from the ranks of the Jewish merchant elite. They included members of old Portland families like Selling and Louis Fleischner and men who arrived recently like Edward Ehrman and Adolph Bissinger. They all had two things in common: business success and German background.

Concordia's membership consisted of "the best Jewish citizens of Portland," which, defined by the members, meant the best German-Jewish citizens. The club was listed in the 1891 Portland "400" Directory as one of four elite social institutions in the city, with over one hundred Jewish merchants and professionals on the membership roll. Only thirty-five of them can be located in the 1880 census, but the pattern of membership was clear. Eighty-six percent were born in Germany or were members of German families (Table XV). Four Alsatian Jews who were members undoubtedly were viewed as German by the rest of the community.

The Prussians among the membership most certainly were not Posener Jews. That assurance is based on a comparison between the membership and the "Calling and Address List" in the Portland "400" Directory. Polish merchants Isaac and Ralph Jacobs, owners of the Oregon City Wollen Mills, were among Portland's wealthiest Jews. They were principle financial supporters of Beth Israel in the 1887 building fund.
drive, but despite their standing in the city and inclusion on the list of 400 prominent citizens, they did not belong to Concordia. Julius Loewenberg, a stove and tin merchant, and speculator from Posen, was also included on the Calling and Address List in 1891 but not on the Concordia membership roster. A friend and business partner of the Goldsmith family, Loewenberg was one of only a handful of Jews to sit among the directors of Portland's leading financial and non-Jewish commercial institutions.

TABLE XV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign Born</th>
<th>Parentage of Native Born</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tot. %</td>
<td>Tot. %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bavaria</td>
<td>6 17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wurttemberg</td>
<td>1 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prussia</td>
<td>2 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohemia</td>
<td>1 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France/Alsace</td>
<td>3 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13 37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bavaria</td>
<td>9 25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baden</td>
<td>2 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesse</td>
<td>5 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prussia</td>
<td>3 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany, n.d.</td>
<td>2 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France/Alsace</td>
<td>1 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22 62.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


It is possible that the Jacobs and Loewenberg chose not to belong to Concordia. Several older members of the community including Goldsmith, Wasserman, and Hirsch did not join the club. However, it appears more likely that the Jacobs' and Loewenberg's national origin was the determining factor. Several successful young Polish immigrants in the 1880s failed to become members, including boot and shoe merchant Morris Marx.
and merchant-tailors Sol Shipper and Henry J. White who attained the requisite business success for standing in the upper middle class, but whose Polish heritage kept them from Concordia membership. 48

A visible link existed between Concordia and Congregation Beth Israel. Beth Israel members were predominantly German, and by the mid-1880s the synagogue "had become the preserve of the old German families."49 Almost three-quarters of the Concordia membership of 1891 belonged to Beth Israel or were children of families affiliated with the congregation. Only four of the Concordia members were affiliated with Ahavai Shalom. Additionally, over half of the men who contributed to the Beth Israel building fund were members of Concordia. 50

The ties between Concordia and Beth Israel paralleled the non-Jewish community in the same way that Trinity Episcopal Church provided the religious cement that bound the Protestant social elite. The First Presbyterian and First Unitarian Churches were also important spiritual centers for Portland's gentile elite. 51

Not all of Portland's Jewish elite joined Concordia, though all of the Concordia members were part of the Jewish upper and upper middle class. The 1891 membership did not include Ben Selling, Joseph Simon, Sam Simon, or Sig Sichel, though all four were members at one time or another. Nor were all the Jews listed on the "Calling and Address List" included in Concordia. Thirty-eight Jews were viewed by the publisher of the Portland "400" Directory as part of the city's social elite, but only nine of them belonged to Concordia that year. 52 The Jacobs' and Loewenberg have been discussed. Jeanette Meier, Aaron Meier's widow and matriarch of the Meier, Frank, and Hirsch families, could not be a member because the club was for men only. As suggested above, older
residents may have declined membership, leaving the club to the younger men in the community. Besides those mentioned, Levi White, Meyer Rosenblatt, Matthias Kosshland, Jacob Fleischner, and Levi Hexter all appear among the "400", but not in the Concordia Club.

The inclusion of the Jacobs and Loewenberg among the "400" indicates that the non-Jewish portion of society did not clearly distinguish between German and Polish Jews, a distinction certainly drawn by the Jews themselves. The German-Polish split that caused, in part, the creation of two religious congregations in the Jewish community was further institutionalized by the development of the Concordia Club. German Jews viewed their culture and heritage as far superior to that of the Poles. Because Portland's Jewish population was small and the identifiable Polish contingent remained relatively limited in the mid-1880s, the division does not appear to have been as divisive as in San Francisco.53 With a population of 16,000 Jews by 1880, a distinct line was drawn in San Francisco between the two groups. Harriet Lane Levy, author and member of a San Francisco Prussian-Polish family, noted the difference between German and Pole in her autobiography:

That the Baiern (Bavarians) were superior to us, we knew. We took our position as the denominator takes its stand under the horizontal line. "Polack" confessed second class. Why Poles lacked the virtue of Bavarians I did not understand, though I observed that to others the inferiority was as obvious as it was to us that our ashman and butcher were of poorer grade than we, because they were ashman and butcher... upon this basis of discrimination everybody agreed and acted. The birthplace of parents determined the social rank of themselves and their offspring. Birth in the kingdom of Bavaria provided entrance to the favored group, as a cradle in Poland denied it....Many a distinguished Jewish San Franciscan's Polish background was laundered to become pure German.54

The problem became more pronounced in Portland after 1890 when large numbers of East European and Russian Jews arrived in the city.
Marriage between Germans and Poles during this period was unheard of. It was more likely that a Jew would marry a gentile. However, intermarriage was not an issue in Portland's Jewish community during the 19th Century. From 1776 to 1840 "outmarriages" accounted for an estimated twenty-nine percent of all marriages in the United States involving Jews, but as the Jewish population increased, the incidence of mixed marriages decreased. The Jewish leadership at mid-century uniformly opposed it, from the traditional Isaac Leeser, who noted there was no Jewish law forbidding it but felt it was wrong theologically, to the radical David Einhorn, who argued that to sanction it was "to furnish a nail to the coffin of the small Jewish race."\(^{55}\)

Besides Benjamin Cohen and Joseph Teal, perhaps as few as three mixed marriages occurred in Portland between 1860 and 1880,\(^{56}\) although the number may have been higher. We must expect that a certain number of Jews came to Portland with little or no Jewish identity. The rejection of Jewishness was far more serious in 19th century American Jewry than intermarriage. Julius Nodel wrote that "The blind desire to blend into the American melting pot prompted many to throw off completely every trait they thought might distinguish them from their Christian neighbors."\(^{57}\) The rejection of Judaism and non-affiliation with Jewish organizations is euphemistically called "leakage."\(^{58}\)

It is impossible to know how many pioneer Jews threw off the mantle of their Jewishness in order to fully assimilate into the gentile community. One who tried was David Wittenberg who rejected his religion and maintained no ties to his Jewish background except through his relationship with some family members who continued to embrace Judaism. But Wittenberg was not able to fully divorce himself or his family from
their heritage. Despite attendance at the Unitarian Sunday School and the family's denial of Judaism, the Wittenberg children were often the targets of anti-Semitic remarks by their public school classmates.59

Interrace and "leakage" represented only minor problems for Portland Jewry. Class structure and the evolving tension created by development of a self-conscious elite were more immediate issues. One of the characteristics that helped stabilize class development in most large Jewish centers and insured continuity of the elite was "intra-marriage." Young men and women of prominent families married each other, thus perpetuating and strengthening the bonds of class and, as important, solidifying business relationships.60 In cities like New York and San Francisco where the Jewish communities were large with correspondingly large upper and upper-middle classes, the class-bred marriages of the elite could remain pure. In Portland, even with a smaller and less well defined elite, marriages seldom occurred outside of class and never outside of nationality.

In New York the children of financiers and merchant princes married, generally following informal agreements between families. Arranged marriages occurred with regularity between the Lehman, Kuhn, Schiff, Loeb, Warburg, Seligman, Hellman, Guggenheim, Strauss, and Rosenwald families.61 In San Francisco economic and social bonds were tied by the marriage of the children of the Sloss, Gerstle, Greenbaum, Lilienthal, Hecht, Levinson, Fleishhacker, Brandenstein, and Dinkelspiel families.62

Business relationships and class standing were cemented by several marriages in Portland. Rudolph Goldsmith married Emma Fleischner, the niece of his employer Louis Fleischner; Sigmund Frank married Aaron
Meier's daughter Fannie in 1885, a decade after becoming Meier's partner; and Levi Hexter, Philip Wasserman, Charles Lauer, Solomon Hirsch, and Julius Kraemer all married daughters or younger sisters of their business partners.\(^6^3\) The most intricate and far reaching intra-marriage involved the Meiers, Franks, Hirsches, Sellers, Koshlands, Dittenhoefers, Mayers, and Falks. All eight families operated successful retail or wholesale firms with branches reaching throughout Oregon, Idaho, Washington and California.\(^6^4\)

To suggest that all those marriages were contracted or arranged by the families would be an exaggeration. The independent spirit of pioneer Jews must be considered. When Rosa Hirsch of Salem agreed to marry Sam Baer of Baker City, speculation made the rounds of Portland Jewish society that Celia Friendly, daughter of Charles Friendly, had refused him.\(^6^5\)

Portland Jews did not congregate together residentially during this period. In the 1850s and 1860s they generally lived in or near their stores, most of which were located on Front or First Streets. The only pattern that can be ascribed to them was the movement away from the business district as they accumulated more wealth. By the 1880s Jews were found scattered throughout the city as the wealthier members of the community moved further and further west, to the Park Blocks and beyond to 16th and 17th Avenues. Sigmund Frank, Ben Selling, Isaac Gevurtz, and Abraham Meier lived adjacent to non-Jews Simon Benson, Cyrus Dolph, and Henry Ladd Corbett as the 1890s dawned.\(^6^6\)

Ralph and Isaac Jacobs built identical ornate mansions that rivalled the homes of William Ladd and Henry Corbett side by side in the Park Blocks in the 1880s.\(^6^7\) In the 1880s a number of Portland's wealthiest
businessmen moved from what is now the southwest portion of the city and built magnificent homes in the "Nineteenth Street" district in what is now Northwest Portland. Among the residents of the neighborhood were Isom White and Matthias Koshland.68

By 1887 Portland Jews were stable, affluent, and supported a well-organized community structure. Few, if any, members of the community belonged to the class of the city's poor. They had evolved in thirty-five years into a class conscious community of merchants and professionals. Portland was a cosmopolitan city with a full compliment of social and cultural organizations. The tone of the city was distinctly affected by the New England background of many of its non-Jewish leaders.69 The class-consciousness of the gentile community allowed intersection with the Jews at most, but not all levels. Thus, at mid-decade Portland Jewry experienced a dual class structure, one relative to the non-Jewish community and one based on intra-group relations.
CONCLUSION

As the 1890s approached, Portland Jewry occupied a comfortable position in the city. During the previous four decades, the Jews of the city built a community fashioned by business acumen, mutual support mechanisms, and adaptation to the environment. Although some avenues of social expression were closed to them, they enjoyed a freedom unknown in the cities and towns of Europe. Affluence and stability characterized the community in the mid-1880s. While few enjoyed the economic success of Solomon Hirsch or Philip Wasserman, most had achieved a solid standing in the city's mercantile middle class.

The pioneer Jews, perhaps more than any other ethnic group, fit into the mold of the city. From its founding, Portland exhibited a distinctively conservative flavor that arose from the influence of New England born merchants who dominated the city's business life. As Paul Merriam has noted, Portland "had neither the bustling and exotic quality of San Francisco nor the boom and bust impermanence of bonanza cities of the mining districts." Portland began as a commercial city and remained so through the 1880s. The conservative, commercial minded German Jews formed a mercantile class which found success where they could find a stable, sober business atmosphere.

The reorganization of the Concordia Club in 1887 provides a natural point from which to review the pioneer Jews of Portland. It represented the culmination of an evolutionary process which saw Portland Jewry grow from a handful of young, aggressive entrepreneurs fighting for survival
in the early 1850s into a socially and civically active and class-conscious component of the city. From the entrance of the Jews into the city they had identified their interests with those of Portland and its non-Jewish residents. While integrating into the life of the city at every possible level, they retained an attachment to their heritage and structured their internal development in a distinctively Jewish manner. The institutions they created supported their sense of a Jewish identity, but they also reflected the dynamic frontier society the Jews encountered. The Concordia Club signalled a maturation in Portland Jewry that paralleled the changes within the gentile community. The pioneer Jews, like their non-Jewish neighbors, began to define themselves in terms of class. As William Toll stated, "The reorganization of the Concordia Club marked the debut of a self-conscious Jewish upper class, as opposed to an established patriarchy which mingled with aspiring newcomers."^2

Despite the emergence of a social elite and some division between Germans and Poles, the Jewish community in the mid-1880s was markedly homogenous. The issues that threatened to disrupt the community during its gestation period were met squarely and dealt with to the satisfaction of the majority. The issues of proper burial, religious ritual, charity, and social interaction which arose in all Jewish communities in America were no less apparent in Portland. After some initial struggle, a religious pluralism developed in the community that resulted in the organization of two congregations that addressed with a minimum of rancor the needs of both modernists and traditionalists.

Establishment of two B'nai B'rith lodges by 1880 served the insurance and death benefit needs of the community. More importantly,
creation of the second lodge indicated changing social patterns among Portland's Jews. The community was ageing and a new generation had reached adulthood. Recognition of differing social desires and interests between the younger and older members of the community convinced them that two lodges were needed.

The benevolent societies were, perhaps, the adhesive that held the community together. The First Hebrew Benevolent Association provided aid to individuals just starting out in the city. Along with discreet charity to the sick and the needy, the society insured that a poor class did not develop among the Jewish population. Mutual assistance through small loans helped stabilize businesses and aided economic growth. Mutual support among the members of the Ladies Benevolent Society lessened the burden of life in a frontier community, particularly when husbands were out of the city on extended buying and selling trips.

In 1887 Portland Jews had every reason to be optimistic about their future. They were successful in business. With few exceptions they were embraced by the non-Jewish residents of the city. And they had built a solid foundation on which their institutions could grow and prosper. With the basic institutions of Jewish life in place, Portland's predominantly German Jews could look forward with confidence.

A division between German and Polish Jews did exist in the community and should not be underestimated. It was as real (particularly at the top of society) as the barrier between Arlington and Concordia. Yet, just as the Jewish and non-Jewish elites had a common meeting ground outside of club portals, Germans and Poles met as equals in B'nai B'rith, benevolent society, and business. Additionally, the percentage of Poles in the population, while growing in the late 1870s and 1880s
remained much smaller than the German contingent. Furthermore, the Poles were part of the pioneer Jewish milieu and despite cultural differences, they sought the same success and acceptance in the city as the Germans. Moreover, the split between the two groups was insignificant compared to the division between the pioneer Jews and the orthodox Russian Jews who entered the city after 1890.

Between 1881 and the beginning of World War I in 1914 approximately two million Jews—eight times the American Jewish population in 1880—immigrated to the United States in response to official and unofficial anti-Jewish violence in Russia and a breakdown in the traditional agrarian economy of Eastern Europe. Although most of the new immigrants remained in eastern seaboard ports of entry where they found large Jewish populations, many migrated west, either on their own or as part of a resettlement program. By the turn of the century Russian Jews equalled or outnumbered Portland's German Jews. Thus a new era in Portland Jewry's development began—an era that radically changed the profile of the community.

The German response to the Russians can be understood only by studying the former's development prior to 1890. Growing with the city from its infancy, the pioneer Jews created an ethnic community that showed the affects of a frontier environment. Their institutions acquired a democratic nature which was the antithesis of the Russian experience. The pioneer Jews viewed the newcomers with shock and horror—a viewpoint which was reciprocal. To the affluent German Jews the Russians were backward and clannish, indeed, an embarrassment. The Russians, on the other hand, were shocked by the liberalism of the German-American Jews. To the new immigrants' mind, even Congregation Ahavai
Shalom exhibited too progressive an air. They segregated themselves, partly from a desire to be with others of like mind and partly because they were poor and had to reside where they could afford to live. The Russian settlement encompassed south Portland from Harrison Street to Curry Street and between the Willamette River and Fifth Avenue on the west side of the river. To the residentially dispersed German Jews, the area represented the spectre of European ghettos.

Initially, the two groups shunned each other. The Russians formed separate congregations and established a Russian B'nai B'rith chapter. Although the immigrants followed occupations similar to those of the pioneer Jews, their occupational status fell below that of the Germans. A Russian merchant, for example, could operate a junk store, and although classed as a merchant, his work did not carry the status of a large retail or wholesale merchant such as Louis Fleischner or Ben Selling.

Thus, the class distinction that appeared in the 1880s widened with the immigration of Russian Jews. National origin was further entrenched as the determining factor in class standing in the community. However, that began to gradually change early in the twentieth century. While the pioneers would not associate socially with the Russians, many of them followed the charitable impulse and played key roles in dispensing aid to the new arrivals. Ben Selling, Sig Sichel, and Julius Lowenberg provided the leadership. Additionally, a few of the new immigrants became successful in business in a short time and turned their attention to aiding their fellow Russians. These two groups of men joined in 1910 to form the B'nai B'rith Building Association to provide an athletic and cultural center for the Jewish community. That step, in concert with
the earlier consolidation of three of the four lodges of the city, initiated the first step in the erosion of a class system built on national differences and the creation of one based on wealth. 6

These events, the German reaction to the Russians and the subsequent developments in relations between the two groups, must be seen, in part, as the products of the German Jewish experience in Portland between 1850 and 1890. The Jews who built the original community in Portland placed a premium on stability and order. The steady and successful growth of any merchant class depends on order as does its continued well-being. The Russian Jews represented a potential disruption to the stability of the community. The Germans recognized this and addressed the problem by supplying aid and services that were designed to help in the transition to American life. This step called for no social interaction. However, men like Selling who recognized that a complete social division was also disruptive took the first tentative steps to establish social ties between the two groups. Cordia remained German and many of the old German families remained aloof, but a new leadership would emerge in the second decade of the twentieth century. 7

Speaking at the service commemorating the eightieth anniversary of the founding of Congregation Beth Israel, historian Jacob Rader Marcus praised the character, enterprise, and industry of Portland's pioneer Jews:

In no other state in the Union has the Jew adjusted himself to his environment better than Oregon....There is no other state in which the Jew reached such high positions in the political life of the commonwealth....The foundations were laid strong and deep by the pioneers who built the Jewish Community. 8

The pioneer German Jews came to Portland seeking economic reward. With
some American experience behind them before they arrived, they chose not to transplant traditional European Jewish culture in their new home. While they established traditional ethnic institutions, they adapted them to the non-traditional environment of the frontier. That form of integration was not unique to the Jews, but the level of success they enjoyed was not duplicated by any other group in Portland's formative years.
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