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Diversity of Archaeosine Synthesis in Crenarchaeota
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Abstract

Archaeosine (G+) is found at position 15 of many archaeal tRNAs. In Euryarchaeota, the G+ precursor, 7-cyano-7-deazaguanine (preQ0), is inserted into tRNA by tRNA-guanine transglycosylase (arcTGT) before conversion into G+ by ARChaeosine Synthase (ArcS). However, many Crenarchaeota known to harbor G+ lack ArcS homologs. Using comparative genomics approaches, two families that could functionally replace ArcS in these organisms were identified: 1) GAT-QueC, a two-domain family with an N-terminal glutamine amidotransferase class-II domain fused to a domain homologous to QueC, the enzyme that produces preQ0; 2) QueF-like, a family homologous to the bacterial enzyme catalyzing the reduction of preQ0 to 7-aminomethyl-7-deazaguanine. Here we show that these two protein families are able to catalyze the formation of G+ in a heterologous system. Structure and sequence comparisons of crenarchaeal and euryarchaeal arcTGTs suggest the crenarchaeal enzymes have broader substrate specificity. These results led to a new model for the synthesis and salvage of G+ in Crenarchaeota.

The 7-deazaguanosine nucleosides queuosine (Q) and archaeosine (G+) are two of the most highly modified nucleosides found in tRNA (1). While sharing a common core structure and a significant portion of their biosynthetic pathway (2) (Figure 1A), Q and G+ are segregated phylogenetically and are located in different regions of the tRNA; Q is found in the tRNA of Bacteria and Eukarya at position-34 (the wobble position) in tRNAs decoding NAC/U codons, while G+ is found only in Archaea at position-15 in the dihydrouridine loop (D-loop). Consistent with its position in the anticodon, Q has a role in modulating codon–anticodon binding efficiency (3), while the presence of the positively charged formamidine group of G+ is thought to be important in structural stabilization of the tRNA through electrostatic interactions with the anionic phosphates (4, 5). Computational studies show that G+ can also participate in structural stabilization via strengthening of the hydrogen bonding between the G15-C48 Levitt base pair (4, 5), however neither mechanism has been tested experimentally.
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GTP cyclohydrolase I, the first biosynthetic enzyme in the folate/biopterin pathways, is also the first enzyme in the Q/G+ pathways (6), which is followed by the QueD, QueE and QueC enzymes in both Bacteria (7, 8) and Archaea (9) to produce the advanced intermediate 7-cyano-7-deazaguanine (preQ0) (Figure 1A). In Archaea, preQ0 is inserted directly into tRNA by a tRNA-guanine transglycosylase (arcTGT, EC 2.4.2.29) (10, 11), encoded by the tgtA gene (10, 11) (Figure 1A). In bacteria, preQ0 is first reduced to 7-aminomethyl-7-deazaguanine (preQ1) by QueF (EC 1.7.1.13) (12) before insertion in substrate tRNAs by a bacterial type TGT (bTGT, EC 2.4.2.29) encoded by the tgt gene (13) (Figure 1A). PreQ1 is further modified on the tRNA to Q in two subsequent enzymatic steps (14, 15).

A recently discovered ATP-independent amidinotransferase, ARChaeosine Synthase or ArcS, catalyzes the final step in the G+ pathway, the conversion of preQ0-tRNA to G+-tRNA, in Euryarchaeota (16) (Figure 1A). No ArcS homolog could be identified in most sequenced Crenarchaeota with the exception of a few Sulfolobii sp. Thermophilus pendens, Hypothermus butylicus, Ignisphaera aggregans and Ignecocci (16) (Figure 1B). However crenarchaeal tRNAs contain G+ (4, 17, 18). The amidino group of G+ must therefore be introduced by other non-homologous enzyme families in these organisms, and here we identify the candidate “missing enzymes” with a combination of comparative genomics and experimental approaches.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

G+ is one of the rare archaeal specific tRNA modifications found quasi-universally along the archaeal tree. ArcTGT is found in all Archaea sequenced to date with the exception of the extreme halophile Halogaffratum walsbyi (Figure 1B). Analysis of bulk tRNA extracted from H. walsbyi showed that G+ was indeed absent in this organism (Supplemental Figure 1A), reinforcing arcTGT as a signature enzyme for the G+ pathway. ArcS, however, is not universally distributed: while all sequenced Crenarchaeota contain tgtA genes, the majority lack arcS homologs (Figure 1B). Specific organisms lacking arcS such as Sulfolobus acidocaldarius or Pyrobaculum islandicum are known to contain G+ (4, 17), and we confirmed this for another Pyrobaculum species, Pyrobaculum calidifontis JCM 11548 (Supplemental Figure 1B). This suggests that amidotransferase enzymes responsible for amidation of the nitrile group of the preQ0 precursor are yet to be identified in Crenarchaeota.

We observed that QueC proteins from several Crenarchaeota are much larger than those from most other Archaea (470 residues instead of 270) because of the presence of an additional N-terminal domain homologous to proteins of the glutamine amidotransferase class-II (GATase) family (Figure 2A and Supplemental data 1). This domain generally catalyzes an ammonia group transfer from glutamine to the appropriate substrate (19). This fused protein family, named here GAT-QueC, is therefore a natural candidate for the missing crenarchaeal enzyme family that would transfer an amido group to the nitrile of preQ0. However, GAT-QueC homologs are not found in all Crenarchaeota that lack ArcS (Figure 1B). We identified another gene family, queF-like (Supplemental data 1), with a member that physically clusters in Aeropyrum pernix with the queC gene (Figure 2B) and encodes a protein family homologous to QueF, the NADPH-dependent enzyme that catalyzes the reduction of preQ0 to preQ1 in bacteria (12) (Figure 1A). QueF are Tunneling-fold enzymes (20) characterized by the QueF motif (E(S/L)K(S/A)hK(L/Y)(Y/F/W) where h is a hydrophobic amino acid), which provides key residues that are proposed to bind the cofactor NADPH. QueF enzymes fall into two subfamilies (12): unimodular QueF enzymes comprised of a single T-fold domain harboring both the QueF motif and the substrate binding pocket, and bimodular QueF enzymes comprised of two weakly homologous tandem T-fold domains with the QueF motif and the substrate binding residues lying
separately in the N- and C-terminal domains, respectively (12). To compare the QueF-like family with both QueF subfamilies, we generated homology models for all QueF-like sequences (from eight crenarchaea) and several unimodular and bimodular QueF sequences, and superposed these models with the crystal structures of bimodular \textit{V. cholerae} QueF (PDB ID 3BP1, (21)) and of unimodular \textit{B. subtilis} QueF (Swairjo and Iwata-Reuyl, unpublished data). The predicted QueF-like structures were most similar to the C-terminal T-fold domain of bimodular QueFs (e.g., versus \textit{V. cholerae} QueF C-terminal half, the r.m.s.d. is 1.5–3.1 Å over 89–107 C\textsubscript{\alpha} atoms, 16–22% identity). A structure-based multisequence alignment of QueF-like with unimodular QueF and the C-terminal half of bimodular QueF revealed that residues of the QueF preQ\textsubscript{0} binding pocket including Cys55, Tyr70 and Glu97 (in \textit{B. subtilis} QueF residue numbers) as well as Asp62, which interacts with the nitrogen atom of the substrate cyano group (Swairjo and Iwata-Reuyl unpublished data), are strictly conserved in QueF-like proteins (Figure 2C). The alignment also shows that QueF-like proteins lack the putative signature NADPH-binding motif of QueF (Figure 2C). This led us to propose that the QueF-like proteins found in Archaea are also enzymes that recognize and act on preQ\textsubscript{0}, but instead of using NADPH to reduce the cyano group, they perform an amidation of preQ\textsubscript{0} to form G\textsuperscript{+}.

Most crenarchaeal genomes encode a fused GAT-QueC protein or a QueF-like protein (with a clear inverse distribution of the two protein families) (Figure 1B). No good genetic model organisms were available to directly test the function of these two protein families in Archaea. Indeed the only Crenarchaeota with available genetics tools, \textit{S. solfataricus} (see (9) for review), encodes both a regular ArcS and a GAT-QueC homolog and no genetic tools are available for any organism encoding a QueF-like homolog. We therefore developed heterologous systems based on the common features between Q and G\textsuperscript{+} synthesis (Figure 1A) to test whether archaeal GAT-QueC and QueF-like proteins could synthesize G\textsuperscript{+} in \textit{E. coli}. In one case, a \textit{ΔqueC ΔqueF E.coli} strain was transformed with a pBAD24 derivative expressing the \textit{GAT-QueC} gene from \textit{S. solfataricus}, SSO0016 (Figure 2D). In the other, a \textit{ΔqueF E.coli} strain was transformed with a pBAD24 derivative expressing the \textit{queF}-like gene from \textit{P. calidifontis}, Pcal\textunderscore 0221 (Figure 2E). tRNA was extracted from the different strains grown in Luria Broth (LB) with 0.2% arabinose, digested and dephosphorylated to generate the ribonucleosides for LC/MS/MS analysis. As shown in Figure 3A, tRNA extracted from the \textit{ΔqueC ΔqueF} strain transformed with an empty vector control (VDC3281) contains no Q or preQ\textsubscript{0}, as expected, because the precursor pathway has been disrupted. Peaks at 25.5 min and 26.4 min corresponding to G\textsuperscript{+} (MH\textsuperscript{+} 325 m/z) and preQ\textsubscript{0} nucleoside (MH\textsuperscript{+} 308 m/z), respectively, were detected in tRNA extracted from the strain expressing SSO0016 (VDC3282). Similarly, the \textit{ΔqueF} strain transformed with empty pBAD24 (VDC3367) accumulated preQ\textsubscript{0} in tRNA as expected (12) (Supplemental Figure 2). The same strain transformed with a derivative expressing Pcal\textunderscore 0221 (VDC3368) contained both preQ\textsubscript{0} and G\textsuperscript{+} (Supplemental Figure 2).

To identify the positions of preQ\textsubscript{0} and G\textsuperscript{+} in these two strains (VDC3367 and VDC3368), tRNA\textsuperscript{Asp} was purified and sequenced by RNase T1 digestion LC-MS/MS analysis (22, 23). The tRNA\textsuperscript{Asp} purified from strain VDC3367 was found to have a single digestion product ([M-H]\textsuperscript{−} 2907), detected at the 2- (m/z 1453.25) and 3- (m/z 968.75) charge states, that was not expected from the published wild type sequence of this tRNA (24) and was consistent with having the sequence CCUpreQ\textsubscript{0}UCm\textsuperscript{2}ACGp (Supplemental Figure 3). tRNA\textsuperscript{Asp} purified from strain VDC3368 had the same unique digestion product as seen in strain VDC3367 and an additional product ([M-H]\textsuperscript{−} 2925), detected at the 2- (m/z 1461.92) and 3- (m/z 974.42) charge states (Supplemental Figure 4). This new digestion product is consistent with the sequence CCUG\textsuperscript{+}UCm\textsuperscript{2}ACGp, and was also detected as the cyclic phosphate (Supplemental Figure 4).
Collision-induced dissociation (CID) tandem mass spectrometry was used to confirm these sequence assignments. The assigned CID spectra of m/z 1453 and m/z 1462 were consistent with the sequences CCUpreQ0UCm2A Gp and CCUG+UCm2AGp, respectively (Figure 3B). CID spectra from all of the RNase T1 digestion products also were analyzed and were consistent with tRNA^Asp wild-type sequence except for preQ0 at position 34 from both the VDC3367 and VDC3368 strains and G^+ at position 34 found only in the VDC3368 strain tRNA (Supplemental Figure 5).

While the data clearly show that GAT-QueC and QueF-like function as amidinotransferases, generating G^+ modified tRNA in \textit{E. coli} (and remarkably that G^+ can be tolerated in bacteria at position 34 in normally Q-containing tRNA), we do not yet know if the conversion of the nitrile to the amidino group occurs before or after preQ0 is inserted into tRNA (Figure 2D and 2E). The bacterial TGT (bTGT) can utilize preQ0 as a substrate (12, 25), and preQ0 nucleoside is indeed detected in \textit{queF} mutants (Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure 2, and (12)). Therefore it’s possible that the QAT-QueC and QueF-like enzymes modify preQ0–tRNA just as ArcS does. Consistent with this proposal is the observation that G^+ base is very unstable (10, 11), readily undergoing deamination to reform preQ0 (Figure 4). However, bTGT is promiscuous (25), and should be able to use the G^+ base as a substrate if it were available. Notably, while biochemical analysis of the canonical arcTGT has demonstrated that it isn’t able to utilize G^+ (10, 11), structural comparison of the canonical arcTGT with 3D homology models of the catalytic domains of arcTGT enzymes from Crenarchaeota that lack ArcS (Supplemental Figure 6) reveal differences in the active site (see supplemental Fig. 6) that might allow accommodation of G^+ base in the active sites of these crenarchaeal arcTGTs. Thus, at this point both preQ0 and preQ0-tRNA can be considered viable candidates as the natural substrate for the GAT-QueC and QueF-like enzymes. Differentiating between these possibilities will require detailed biochemical and enzymological characterizations of these novel amidinotransferase families and of the crenarchaeal arcTGT, and is currently being investigated.

Finally, specific Archaea such as \textit{Sulfolobus tokodaii} have retained ArcS in addition to GAT-QueC (Figure 1B). A salvage route to G^+ is known to occur in Archaea (6). An abundant source of G^+ precursor is the hydrolyzed archaeal tRNA. As the liberated G^+ base will quickly deaminate to preQ0, the salvage route in Crenarchaeota could require ArcS (Figure 4). This work illustrates the power of comparative genomics approaches, particularly when combined with biochemical reasoning, in discovering novel enzymes and pathways, which has now led to a much more diverse picture of G^+ synthesis in Archaea than previously appreciated.

**METHODS**

**Bioinformatics**

Analysis of the Archaeosine sub-system was performed in the SEED database (26). Results and protein sequences are available in the “Queueosine and Archaeosine biosynthesis” sub-system on the public SEED server (http://theseed.uchicago.edu/FIG/-index.cgi). The list of arcTGT and sequences used in these studies is given in Supporting Information. We used the Blast tools and resources at NCBI (27). Multiple protein alignments were performed with the ClustalW tool (28) in the SEED database or the MultiAlign software (http://omics.pnl.gov/). The 3D models were generated using the protein fold recognition protocols of Phyre (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/~phyre/, (29)) based on one- and three-dimensional sequence profiles, coupled with secondary structure and solvation potential information. Structure based multisequence alignment was performed using MultiProt (30) and ESPript (31) through the web interfaces (http://bioinfo3d.cs.tau.ac.il/MultiProt/ ) and (http://escript.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/ ), respectively.
**Media, strain and plasmids**

See Supporting Information.

**E. coli bulk tRNA extraction and analysis**

Bulk tRNA was prepared from cells grown in LB with 0.2% arabinose, hydrolyzed and analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) as described in (32). To compare tRNA concentrations, we compared the ratio of the levels of the Ψ modified base \((m/z\) 245) in each sample by integrating the peak area from the selected ion chromatograms. The MS/MS fragmentation data was also used to confirm the presence of the nucleosides preQ0 and G+. All tRNA extractions and analysis were performed at least twice independently.

**tRNA\textsuperscript{Asp} purification and analysis**

tRNA\textsuperscript{Asp} was extracted from bulk tRNA using a biotinylated primer (5’biotin-CCCTGCGTGACAGGCAGG-3’) bound to the streptavidin sepharose resin (33). The RNase T1 digestion and oligonucleotide sequencing analysis by LC-MS/MS is described in the Supporting Information.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. G\(^\pm\) biosynthesis
A) Experimentally validated G\(^\pm\) and Q biosynthesis steps in Bacteria and Euryarchaeota. B) Phylogenetic distribution of aTGT, ArcS, GAT-QueC and QueF-like in the two archaeal phyla. Filled boxes denote the presence of the gene in the corresponding organism; empty box denotes its absence.
Figure 2. Analysis of the GAT-QueC and QueF-like protein families

A) Two domain organization of GAT-QueC enzymes; B) Physical clustering of queF-like with queC in *A. pernix*; C) Structure-based multisequence alignment of QueF and QueF-like proteins. Invariant residues of the substrate binding pocket are highlighted in red. The QueF motif in unimodular QueF is boxed. Secondary structure elements from the *V. cholerae* QueF crystal structure and from the *P. calidifontis* QueF-like homology model are shown above and below the sequences, respectively; D) Design of *E. coli* test strain; in the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 strain, the *queF* and *queC* were deleted, and the resulted deletion strain was transformed with an expression plasmid containing *GAT-queC* from *S. solfataricus* (*SSO0016*) cloned behind a PBAD promoter. E) Design of the *E. coli* test strain; *queF* was
deleted in *E. coli* K12 MG1655, and the resulting deletion strain was transformed with an expression plasmid containing *queF*-like from *P. calidifontis* (*Pcal_0221*) cloned behind a PBAD promoter.
Figure 3. tRNA analysis extracted from the *E. coli* derivative strains
A) Analysis of modified nucleosides extracted from *E. coli ΔqueF ΔqueC* derivative strains. The UV traces at 254 nm and the extraction ion chromatograms (inset) for 325 m/z are shown. The UV chromatogram of the bulk tRNA extracted from VDC3282 showed the G+ peak eluted at 25.5 min and preQ0 peak eluted at 26.4 min. The UV chromatogram of the bulk tRNA extracted from VDC3281 (the negative control) showed no preQ0 peak. B) LC-MS/MS of the RNase T1 digestion products from tRNAAsp purified from the ΔqueF strain. (top) CID of the m/z 1453 digestion product eluting at 30.6 min (Supplemental Figure 4). The detected a-B, c-, w- and y-type ions consistent with the sequence of CCUpreQ0UCm2AGp are identified in this mass spectrum. (bottom) CID of the m/z 1462
digestion product eluting at 29.4 min (Supplemental Figure 4). The detected a-B, c, w- and 
y-type ions consistent with the sequence of CCUG+UCm²AGp are identified in this mass 
spectrum.
Figure 4. Predicted $G^+$ biosynthesis and salvage pathways in Crenarchaeota
Abbreviations not in text: NE=Non enzymatic, T=predicted transporter. The solid black arrows denote the experimentally validated pathway. The dashed arrows show the predicted crenarchaeal pathway.