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MEETING:  JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

DATE:     June 22, 2006
TIME:     7:30 A.M.
PLACE:    Metro Regional Center, Room 370A/B

7:30       CALL TO ORDER AND DECLARATION OF A QUORUM           Rex Burkholder, Chair
7:35       INTRODUCTIONS                                           Rex Burkholder, Chair
7:40       CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS                                  Rex Burkholder, Chair
7:45       CONSENT AGENDA                                         Rex Burkholder, Chair
           * Consideration of JPACT minutes for June 8, 2006

COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR                                      Rex Burkholder, Chair

ACTION ITEMS

* Resolution 06-3663, For the Purpose of Proposing A List Of Highway
  Modernization Projects To Receive Funding In The 2008-11 State
  Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)– APPROVAL
  REQUESTED                                               Ted Leybold

OTHER COMMITTEE BUSINESS                                     Rex Burkholder, Chair

9:00       ADJOURN                                               Rex Burkholder, Chair

* Material available electronically.                                      Please call 503-797-1916 for a paper copy
** Material to be emailed at a later date.
# Material provided at meeting.
    All material will be available at the meeting.
Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation

M I N U T E S
June 8, 2006
7:30 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.
Council Chambers

MEMBERS PRESENT

Rex Burkholder, Chair Metro Council
Rod Park, Vice Chair Metro Council
Brian Newman Metro Council
Sam Adams City of Portland
Bill Kennemer Clackamas County
Rob Drake City of Beaverton, representing Cities of Washington County
Dick Pedersen Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
Lynn Peterson City of Lake Oswego, representing Cities of Clackamas County
Royce Pollard City of Vancouver
Maria Rojo de Steffey Multnomah County
Fred Hansen TriMet
Steve Stuart Clark County
Jason Tell Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT - Region 1)
Paul Thalhofer City of Troutdale, representing Cities of Multnomah County
Bill Wyatt Port of Portland

ALTERNATES PRESENT

James Bernard Cities of Clackamas County
Tom Brian Washington County
Doug Ficco Washington DOT
Susie Lahsene Port of Portland
Dean Lookingbill Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council

OTHER COUNCILORS PRESENT

Jef Dalin City of Cornelius
John Hartsock City of Damascus

GUESTS PRESENT

Kenny Asher City of Milwaukie
Kathy Busse Washington County
Roland Chlapowski City of Portland
Danielle Cowan City of Wilsonville
I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Rex Burkholder declared a quorum and called the meeting to order at 7:31 a.m.

II. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

III. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

Mayor Royce Pollard expressed his concern over the likelyhood of increased congestion if Wal-Mart's plans to potentially occupy a spot on Jantzen Beach come to fruition. Mr. Stuart concurred with Mayor Pollard's comments and urged action sooner rather than later.

Mr. Dean Lookingbill, JPACT alternate, distributed an invitation to JPACT members (included as part of the meeting record) to attend an important VIP briefing on the Washington State Transportation Commission's Tolling Study on Tuesday, June 20, from 7:30-9:00am at WSDOT. The Commission will be presenting an overview of the tolling study and will be discussing the role that tolling could play in better utilizing the existing transportation system as well as helping to fund badly needed highway and bridge projects across the state.

Ms. Sharon Nasset, 1113 N Baldwin Portland, noted that in the past, the bridges on the I-5 system were looked at and ranked based on their condition. She stressed the importance of looking at all the bridges on the system and prioritizing the need for improvement/replacement by evaluating their location in addition to their condition.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA

Consideration of minutes for the May 11, 2006 JPACT meeting

ACTION: Mayor Rob Drake moved, seconded by Fred Hansen to approve the May 11, 2006 meeting minutes. The motion passed.
V. COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR

Additional JPACT Meeting in June

Chair Burkholder announced that if the committee could not resolve the State Transportation Improvement Program agenda item, June 22nd, the usual meeting date for the JPACT Finance committee, would be used for an additional regular JPACT meeting instead.

Status Report on ConnectOregon

Chair Burkholder directed the committee's attention to a handout (included as part of the meeting record) containing the project prioritizations for Region 1 ConnectOregon applications. The committee briefly discussed the project recommendations. Ms. Ann Gardner, ConnectOregon Region 1 Committee Chair, acknowledged Chair Burkholder and Councilor Rod Park for their hard work. Chair Burkholder thanked Ms. Gardner for her efforts. He added that the committee identified a need for greater specificity from the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) as it relates to criteria for small as compared with large projects and comparing different modes when trying to achieve economic development objectives. They are also committed to working the OTC and the 2007 Oregon Legislature to promote, adopt, and fund ConnectOregon II.

VI. ACTION ITEMS

Resolution No. 06-3661, For the Purpose of Approving A Work Program For The 2035 Regional Transportation Plan Update And Authorizing The Chief Operating Officer To Amend Contract No. 926975

Chair Burkholder introduced the resolution, noting that this update will involve taking a new approach to address the realities of increased growth and competition for limited funds. The new approach will use an outcomes-based framework to evaluate and prioritize the most critical transportation investments in the region and integrate with the New Look planning process to better support the land use, economic, environmental and transportation goals envisioned in the 2040 Growth Concept. He stated the discussion draft work program was released for review by Metro’s advisory committee from May 10-24, including MTAC, TPAC, MPAC and the RTO Subcommittee. The Metro Committee for Citizen Involvement reviewed the public participation element of the work plan on June 7.

Mr. Andy Cotugno brought to the committee's attention that full work program describes work plan activities for both Metro staff and the consultant team. This resolution authorizes $410,000.00, covering the contractor portion of the full scope of work.

Referring to page 28 of the Work Program (included as part of the meeting record), Commissioner Tom Brian stated that the description of the intent of the Mayors'/Chairs' Forums is different than how it was described to the group initially.

Mayor Drake acknowledged that in 25 years, a million more people would be living in Portland. With that said, he expressed his concern about the repercussions of exploding growth and what it means for the region’s future.

Commissioner Brian added that it would be helpful to have an idea what percentage of this growth would go to new versus existing developed areas.

Chair Burkholder stated that integrating the RTP update with the New Look will help us better address these issues - the Regional Forum on June 23rd would focus on how to make growth within existing urban areas more compatible and welcome, how and where the urban growth boundary should be...
expanded, and how to re-tool the current Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) so it is balanced, affordable and consistent with community goals.

**ACTION:** Mayor Drake moved, seconded by Mr. Hansen, to approve Resolution No. 06-3661. The motion passed.

**Resolution No. 06-3704, For the Purpose of Determining The Consistency Of The Locally Preferred Alternative For The Interstate 5 / Delta Park To Lombard Project With The Adopted Interstate 5 / Delta Park To Lombard Project In The Regional Transportation Plan And Recommending Project Approval**

Mr. Mark Turpel appeared before the committee to present Resolution 06-3704, which would endorse the preferred alternative. The Resolution, if recommended by JPACT, is slated to go before the Metro Council this afternoon.

The I-5/Delta Park to Lombard project was one of several highway, transit and rail projects recommended by the I-5 Strategic Partnership. It is the first of the recommended projects to be developed for the I-5 Corridor, with the Columbia River Crossing Project next to be developed.

Over the past three years, considerable public input has been solicited and considered at all stages of developing the I-5 Delta Park Project. ODOT formed two project advisory committees, a Citizen Advisory Committee and the Environmental Justice Work Group, to guide development of the project. The advisory committees and public input have influenced the development of the purpose and need statement for the project, the evaluation factors, range of alternatives studied in the Environmental Assessment, and the recommendation of the preferred alternative.

Mr. Turpel outlined the Hearings Panel recommendations and in detail, Alternative #2 – Argyle on the Hill, the preferred alternative. He directed the committee's attention to a map illustrating this alternative.

Although initially intended to be included in the recommendation, ODOT will not make a decision about the status of the I-5 Delta Park Project additional southbound lane (whether it should be a general purpose lane, HOV or managed lane) until the Columbia River Crossing Project is further along.

Chair Burkholder recognized Ms. Kate Deane, ODOT Project Manager, for her great work in managing both the technical aspects of the project and enhancement committee simultaneously. Mayor Pollard also thanked Ms. Deane and commented on the outstanding public involvement process.

**ACTION:** Mr. Jason Tell moved, seconded by Mayor Pollard, to approve Resolution No. 06-704. The motion passed.

**Resolution No. 06-3704, For the Purpose of Proposing A List Of Highway Modernization Projects To Receive Funding In The 2008-11 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)**

Resolution No. 06-3663, For the Purpose of Proposing A List Of Highway Modernization Projects To Receive Funding In The 2008-11 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Mr. Ted Leybold directed the committee's attention to the handouts (included as part of the meeting record), and noted that the project recommendation is contained in Exhibit A, with funding conditions listed in Exhibit B. He added that the recommendation itself calls out a few policy questions JPACT might want to address including what happens to the funds programmed on a project if matching funds are not secured by local agencies by a specific milestone.
Mr. Leybold reviewed each of the projects recommended in Exhibit A, noting the total cost for the projects is just over $75 million but the target funding available is $32 million. Mr. Jason Tell voiced his concern over the number of unfunded projects needed in the region and spending modernization funds on planning for additional projects that may never be constructed due to lack of funding. Mr. Tell stated his preference for seeing a better sense of agreement from the committee and noted that the more work JPACT does upfront, the more influence the committee will have with the Oregon Transportation Commission.

The committee discussed whether the use of Modernization funds, the only dedicated funding source for highway construction was best used for the Highway 217 EIS. Mayor Drake stated his concern for the large match required for the projects, adding that Washington County has not yet determined if a match that large is feasible. He noted that Highway 26 west is critical to the cities of Washington County as well as industry and added that looking into the phasing the project to see if portions could be done, has not been looked into yet.

Mr. Daniel Whelan, Field Representative with Congressman David Wu's office, appeared before the committee to read a statement from Congressman Wu (included as part of the meeting record) urging JPACT to consider including funds for improvements to Highway 217 in the STIP.

Commissioner Brian noted that neither Commissioner Roy Rogers nor the Washington County Coordinating Committee were satisfied with the approach, specifically with the uncertainty over the viability of such a large match required.

Commissioner Adams stated that the City of Portland views the I-5 Delta Park Phase I and Phase II as a single project that needs to be constructed in the same time frame.

Due to time constraints, the committee decided to delay a vote on Resolution 06-3704 until the June 22nd meeting.

VII. INFORMATION / DISCUSSION ITEMS

Eastside Transit Alternatives Analysis Update

Mr. Ross Roberts appeared before the committee and briefly presented information on the Eastside Transit Alternatives Analysis. The purpose of the Eastside Transit Alternatives Analysis is to develop, evaluate and select a transit alternative that is responsive to community needs and the travel demand in the Central City and which serves as a catalyst for economic development and supports and focuses land use. Mr. Roberts presented a PowerPoint (included as part of the meeting record), which included information on the following:

- Origin of the Project
- Federal Funding Sought
- JPACT Role
- Alternatives
- Evaluation Results
- Proposed Capital Funding Sources
- Operating Revenue Issues
- Next Steps in Decision-making

In conclusion, Mr. Roberts noted that at their next regular meeting, JPACT would be asked to make a recommendation on the Eastside Transit Alternatives Analysis.
VIII. **ADJOURN**

There being no further business, Vice Chair Rod Park adjourned the meeting at 9:11 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jessica Martin
Recording Secretary
DATE: June 15, 2006

TO: JPACT Members and Alternates

FROM: Rex Burkholder, Rod Park and Brian Newman
Metro Council representatives to JPACT

SUBJECT: 2008-11 STIP Modernization Proposal

* * * * * * *

In preparation for the June 22 JPACT meeting, the Metro Council representatives to JPACT wish to emphasize the importance of reaching consensus on a proposal to the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) for modernization funds for the Metro area. JPACT decided two months ago to take on the task of recommending a narrowed list of modernization projects for public review as part of the STIP process. To establish strong credibility with the OTC in this effort, it is important we complete the task we took on as a committee.

To reach consensus on a proposal on June 22, we request JPACT members consider the following proposals:

1. We propose that the amount of local match needed to fully fund highway modernization projects be minimized. Local sources are stretched thin and needed for local priorities. State highway projects should be funded with state highway fund sources.

   In that spirit, JPACT and the Metro Council should direct technical staff to evaluate the potential for phasing of construction elements of the projects currently proposed for funding. If there are elements of the current projects that could be constructed as a first phase, consideration should be given to constructing that phased element as a means of reducing costs and the overall need for match funding.

2. JPACT should also work with ODOT staff to better define the funds available for the Metro area as part of the Region 1 modernization fund target. The
objective will be to ensure the Metro area is receiving a fair share of the state modernization funds.

As we discuss these approaches, it is important to remember that the JPACT proposal under consideration is for the purpose of receiving public review and comment. Following the STIP public comment period this Fall, JPACT will have another opportunity in early 2007 to make a final recommendation to the OTC on the state funding program. Therefore, at this time it is most important to propose a reasonable alternative to the commission that can serve as a basis for public comment. Our final comment on the STIP in 2007 can then be refined based on the public comments received, final project scopes and cost, and further JPACT discussions regarding funding options.
BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROPOSING A LIST OF HIGHWAY MODERNIZATION PROJECTS TO RECEIVE FUNDING IN THE 2008-11 STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (STIP) ) RESOLUTION NO. 06-3663

Introduced by Councilor Rex Burkholder

WHEREAS, the Oregon Transportation Commission will release a draft State Transportation Improvement Program for public comment in the fall of 2006; and

WHEREAS, this program will contain funding recommendations for highway related “modernization” projects within the Metro Area; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation and the Metro Council, as the Metropolitan Planning Organization board for the Metro Area needs to coordinate with the Oregon Transportation Commission on the selection of transportation projects in the Metropolitan Planning area; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has requested comments on which highway modernization projects should receive state transportation funding targeted for use in the Metro Area; and

WHEREAS, projects selected for funding in the Metro Area will need to be programmed into the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) prioritizes projects from the Regional Transportation Plan to receive transportation related funding; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and the Metro Council must approve the MTIP and any subsequent amendments to add new projects to the MTIP; and

WHEREAS, projects selected for inclusion in the MTIP will be assessed for impacts to regional air quality analysis and need to comply with the State Implementation Plan for air quality; now, therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the Metro Council requests the Oregon Transportation Commission to include the projects as described in Exhibit A be included in the public review draft of the 2008-11 State Transportation Improvement Program.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 29th day of June 2006.

David Bragdon, Council President

Approved as to Form:

Daniel B. Cooper, Metro Attorney

Resolution No. 06-3663
## Prioritization Summary of Potential ODOT Region 1 Modernization Projects

### 2008-11 STIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Cost (millions)</th>
<th>Recommendation (millions)</th>
<th>Recommended Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-5 Delta Park Phase II: PE and ROW for Columbia Blvd access to I-5</td>
<td>$14.000</td>
<td>$7.000</td>
<td>PE, ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 SB/I-205 SB Merge Lane extension</td>
<td>$3.000</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US26: 185th to Cornell</td>
<td>$19.500</td>
<td>$12.500</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troutdale Marine Dr./Backage Road</td>
<td>$7.900</td>
<td>$0.500</td>
<td>PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US26: Springwater Interchange Phase I</td>
<td>$5.800</td>
<td>$3.000</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5: Wilsonville Interchange</td>
<td>$10.500</td>
<td>$8.000</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise Corridor</td>
<td>$7.000</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Supplement for Ped/Bike</td>
<td>$1.000</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA Implementation Project: McLoughlin Blvd in Oregon City Phase 2 as example.</td>
<td>$3.450</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US26: Kane/257th/Palmquist Interchange</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 217 EIS</td>
<td>$1 to $3 million</td>
<td>$0.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-205/Powell Interchange EA/PE</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-205 South: I-84 to I-5 EIS (OIPP coordination)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-405 Loop: I-5 to I-84 refinement plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Milwaukie Industrial Area Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>TGM grant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$75.150</strong></td>
<td><strong>$32.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Committed Projects in 2008-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Cost (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-205/Mall LRT</td>
<td>$5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sellwood Bridge</td>
<td>$1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 Delta Park Ph. 1: PE/ROW</td>
<td>$2.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation supplement for Ped/Bike</td>
<td>$1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### New funding Committed to Projects in 2008-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Cost (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metro Area 2008-11 STIP Modernization Target after existing commitments</td>
<td>$32 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conditions of Recommended State Modernization Funding
For the Draft 2008-11 State Transportation Improvement Program

1. The $500,000 of Modernization funding proposed for Highway 217 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) work is conditioned on obtaining a federal “earmark” of transportation funds adequate to complete an EIS. Otherwise, these funds would revert to the US26: 185th to Cornell widening project.

2. The I-5 Delta Park Phase II project funding is subject to match funds of $7 million, the current cost estimate to complete preliminary engineering and right-of-way for the project.

3. The US26: 185th to Cornell project funding is subject to match funding of $7 million, the current cost estimate to complete construction of the project. The $500,000 of Modernization funds recommended for Highway 217 EIS work is eligible to reduce this match amount should federal earmark funding for that project not be obtained.

4. The US26: Springwater Interchange Phase I project funding is subject to match funding of $2.8 million, the current cost estimate to complete construction of the project.

5. The I-5 Wilsonville Interchange project funding is subject to match funding of $3.5 million, a cost estimate to complete construction of some elements of the project. Additional project scope and cost elements beyond a $10.5 million project definition may be considered outside of this funding recommendation.

6. The I-205 South: I-5 to I-84 project scope will be defined following proposals for further work in the corridor by the Oregon Innovative Partnership Program (OIPP). Funds could be used for required environmental work associated with a project proposal or corridor planning activities.
IN CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 06-3663, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROPOSING A LIST OF HIGHWAY MODERNIZATION PROJECTS TO RECEIVE FUNDING IN THE 2008-11 STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (STIP)

Date: June 29, 2006
Prepared by: Ted Leybold

BACKGROUND

The Oregon Transportation Commission has previously defined how it will target available funding among its various areas of responsibility for the state highway system. This includes funding targeted towards administration, maintenance, operations, bridges, safety and “modernization” or capacity projects. These targets are further defined by target amounts within each of five ODOT districts within the state. The Metro boundary is contained within a greater Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) district known as Region 1.

This resolution would provide a recommendation to the Oregon Transportation Commission on which highway related modernization projects to propose for public comment within the draft 2008-11 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for the Metro area of ODOT Region 1. The Commission is scheduled to release a draft 2008-11 STIP this fall for public comment in the mid-October to mid-December 2006 time frame.

The commission, through their guidelines for Area Commissions on Transportation, has requested ODOT regional office staff to closely coordinate with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) on the development of the draft STIP. JPACT and the Metro Council are the designated MPO boards for the Portland metropolitan area.

Furthermore, the forums the Oregon Transportation Commission has created for local participation in the development of transportation policy and recommendations, an Area Commission on Transportation, does not exist in the Portland metropolitan area. Therefore, no other method of deliberating and coordinating regional priorities for state transportation funding exists in the Portland area other than the JPACT and Metro Council process.

In February 2006, ODOT Region 1 staff released lists of potential projects, for the Modernization, Safety, Maintenance and Bridge funding categories. The projects in each of the funding categories, except for the Bridge category, were estimated to cost more than the funds identified as available to pay for the projects. Open house forums were held (three in the Metro area) and public comment was received during a 45-day comment period. At the end of the public comment period, JPACT requested to provide the OTC with a prioritized list of Modernization projects for release for further public comment as part of the draft 2008-11 STIP.

To reach a recommendation, a technical analysis of the Modernization projects nominated by ODOT Region 1 staff and projects nominated during the public comment period was developed to evaluate the projects relative to prioritization criteria identified by the OTC and JPACT (See Attachment 1 to this staff report). The analysis and summary of public comments received was made available to TPAC, JPACT and the Metro Council. From this information, a prioritized list of Modernization projects was developed.
for recommendation to the Oregon Transportation Commission (See Exhibits A and B to Resolution 06-3663).

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

1. **Known Opposition** None known at this time.

2. **Legal Antecedents** None. In adopting this resolution, the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and the Metro Council are acting in a coordinating capacity with the Oregon Transportation Commission in the creation of the 2008-11 State Transportation Implementation Program. JPACT and the Metro Council will ultimately decide whether to include the proposed programming of state “modernization” funds when it considers adoption of the 2008-11 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program.

3. **Anticipated Effects** Adoption of this resolution will provide the Oregon Transportation Commission with a recommendation of local priorities for consideration of the use of state “modernization” funds, as set defined by the Commission, for use on highway related projects that address capacity in the Metro region.

4. **Budget Impacts** None.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Metro staff recommends the approval of Resolution No. 06-3663 as proposed.
## Prioritization Summary of Potential ODOT Region 1 Modernization Projects
### 2008-11 STIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Project Readiness</th>
<th>Oregon Highway Plan Consistency</th>
<th>Freight Mobility</th>
<th>Congestion and/or Freight Mobility (V/C ratio)</th>
<th>Leverage and Public Benefit</th>
<th>Environment al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5 Delta Park Phase II: PE and ROW for Columbia Blvd access to I-5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High - Preferred all being selected this month as part of current EA</td>
<td>PE, ROW</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High (Fed/TC)</td>
<td>High - Safe operations and congestion (72)</td>
<td>Potential benefits of Denver Ave., community enhancements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 SR/41-205 SB Merge Lane extension Med</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High - Came out of auxiliary lanes project design</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med - Safe operations and congestion, (.34 w/ 2 lanes)</td>
<td>Enhance benefits of Auxiliary lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US26: 185th to Cornell High</td>
<td>Medium - US 26 corridor plan completed</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
<td>Med (TC)</td>
<td>Med (TC)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med - Safe operations and congestion, (.76 w/ 3 lanes)</td>
<td>Low: $1 million earmark for PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troutdale Marine Drive extension PE Med: Troutdale TSP but not RTP Med</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Med: earmark funds available but insufficient for planning and design</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High (Int/TC)</td>
<td>No but directly connects to I-84 interchange and Marine Dr. - high</td>
<td>Med: High $1 million earmark for PO/PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US26: Springfield Interchange Phase 1</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med - Refinement plan completed, EA/JAMP in 06-09 DITP</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med (Ind) but is timing ripe relative to other projects?</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 Wilsonville Interchange (Refinement Plan, PE &amp; ROW) High</td>
<td>High (PE, ROW in construction CPR)</td>
<td>Med - Wilsonville Freeway Access Study defined need, proposal includes refinement plan</td>
<td>PE to Con</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High (Int/TC)</td>
<td>High - Safe operations and congestion</td>
<td>High (Wilsonville VISTA 194 acres vacant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise Corridor (PE, ROW) High</td>
<td>Med - EIS underway</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med (Ind)</td>
<td>Yes - medium</td>
<td>Med (Total match)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Supplement for Ped/Bike</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Con</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med (Ind)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| STA Implementation Project: Oregon City High | High - Roadway plan completed, PE phase underway | Con | High | High | Yes | Low | High (MTF, bridge and Ash projects) | Med | Yes |?
## Prioritization Summary of Potential ODOT Region 1 Developmental STIP Projects  
**2008-11 STIP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Prioritization Criteria</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>D-STIP Project Suitability</th>
<th>Oregon Highway Plan Consistency</th>
<th>Already Completed D-STIP Milestone(s)</th>
<th>Funding Identified for Development or Construction</th>
<th>Leverage and Public Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highway 217 EIS</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>High - 217 corridor plan</td>
<td>Unk - need to define EIS scope</td>
<td>High (2 RC’s)</td>
<td>Refinement Plan completed</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-205/Powell Interchange EA/PE</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>High - Powell Foster Corridor Plan</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Refinement Plan adopted</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-205 South: I-84 to I-5 EIS (OIPP coordination)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>Med - OIPP and recon. underway</td>
<td>Med - need more definition</td>
<td>High (Ind, RCs, TCs)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OIPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-405 Loop: I-5 to I-84 refinement plan</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>Med - part of I-405 Loop Study</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High (RC, Ind)</td>
<td>Refinement Plan drafted</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Milwaukee Industrial Area Plan</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>North Milwaukee Industrial Area Plan - TGM</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med (station community)</td>
<td>Refinement Plan completed</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>