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The study of the Clackamas Small Business Development Center (SBDC) Small Business Management Programs (SBM) had three research objectives: to ascertain what information
transferred to small business owners and how best to transfer that information; to ascertain what information is presently being transferred to clients and what methods are used in transferring the information; and to survey clients in order to develop a current demographic profile, measure their level of satisfaction with the assistance received, and ascertain what they perceived were the benefits of the program to their companies.

Prior to the study, there had been little systematic research of what information should be transferred to SBDC clients and the most effective methods for transferring that information. The small business growth stage literature was used to develop the recommended body of information. The adult learning literature was used to develop the recommended methods for transferring that information to clients.

The majority of respondents were women, well educated, middle-aged, and from companies in service related industries that employed few workers. The findings suggest that clients desire an increase in both the appropriateness of the program's informational content and the level of their involvement in tailoring the SBM program to the needs of their organizations. As suggested by the Small Business Growth Stage Models, a statistically significant decline in client satisfaction of the program's informational content
was found to exist between stage two and stage three business owners.

The study found that instructors did not utilize specific business factors (such as sales levels, numbers of employees, etc.) in developing the informational content of the SBM programs. Instructors also did not utilize client preferred learning styles, or formal client involvement in developing the instructional design of the SBM program.

The study found that clients and center personnel appear to differ in their perceptions regarding the role of the instructor and the purpose of the SBM program. Clients appear to envision the role the instructor as more of a consultant, while instructors view their role as preparing clients to solve their own problems.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

During the 1970s and 1980s, the long-term ability of the United States to compete effectively in international markets became an increasingly debated issue within the U.S. economic community (Baumol & McLennen, 1985; Baily & Blair, 1988; Mishel, 1989; Neef & Thomas, 1987; President's Commission, 1985). Economists disagreed as to whether the U.S. manufacturing sector had succeeded in reversing its long-term trend in declining Labor Productivity Growth Rates that began in the early 1970s (Baily & Chakrabarti, 1988, p. 180). Some economists suggest that the declining trend in productivity growth rates experienced during the 1970s had been corrected during the 1980s (Nau, 1990; Nye, 1990; President's Commission, 1985). Other economists suggest that the apparent labor productivity reversal is actually a short-term phenomena rather than a long-term correction (Baily & Blair, 1988; Baumol & McLennen, 1985; Cuomo Commission, 1988; Mishel, 1989; Thurow, 1985). This is an important question because both the current level of labor productivity and its long-term growth are major factors that influence a nation's international competitiveness and standard of living (Baumol, 1985, p. 3).
This apparent turnaround in labor productivity can be seen in Table I, which compares the Labor Productivity Growth rates among France, Germany, Japan, United Kingdom, and the United States from 1950 through 1986.

**TABLE I**

**LABOR PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH RATES**

1950-86

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950-73</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-79</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-86</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent Growth of GDP per hour, total economy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950-73</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-79</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-86</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent Growth of GDP per hour, manufacturing sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950-73</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-79</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-86</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Baumol (1985) credits this upturn in manufacturing productivity rates to the increased utilization of plant and equipment in relation to the overall level of employment experienced in 1983, after the severe 1981-1982 economic recession (p. 4). This increase in manufacturing sector
productivity after a recessionary period is a normally expected phenomena. However, Baumol (1985) and Mishel (1989) have found some disturbing characteristics associated with this latest manufacturing productivity turnaround.

Baumol (1985) found that the productivity increase for the entire private sector was smaller in 1983 than any comparable recovery period since World War II, even though the productivity increase for the manufacturing sector alone was larger than any comparable time period (p. 4). Mishel (1989) contends that there is an actual broad-based decline in the manufacturing sector productivity, taking the following factors into consideration: there were two million fewer manufacturing employees at the end of the 1980s than there were in 1979; the growth of sales and investment within the economy lagged significantly below the 1970s level; and we have experienced a persistent manufacturing trade deficit (p. 35).

Other economists have suggested that manufacturing productivity rates have been overly influenced by the dynamic expansion of the computer and defense industries (Baily & Blair, 1988, p. 180; Mishel, 1989, p. 35); the dramatic decline of the exchange rate of the dollar in relation to other major currencies (Neef, 1987, p. 25); and the inaccurate measurement tools developed and utilized by government agencies to measure productivity (Mishel, 1989, p. 36).
The causes for the 1979-1986 upturn in manufacturing productivity rates appear to be multi-faceted. The evidence suggests that by the end of the 1980s productivity increases had been experienced by relatively few industries (agriculture and computers), and millions of manufacturing jobs had been eliminated. An important issue is how to increase productivity across all sectors of the economy while increasing the level of employment at the same time. Schumpeter's (1911/1983) paradigm of the role of the entrepreneur provides a useful conceptual framework from which to analyze this issue.

Schumpeter credits the entrepreneur with maintaining the health and economic vitality of capitalism through the continual introduction of new technological innovation into the market place. Without this continual introduction of new firms into the market place, industries become increasingly dominated by small groups of large corporations oblivious to new technological innovation and changing customer needs. Schumpeter suggested that in the long-run, the successful entrepreneur would force all firms to adopt the new technology in order to compete in the market place.

As these new forms of technological innovation are dispersed across the total economy, a continually evolving series or "stages" of economic equilibrium takes place (Taylor, 1971, p. 26). Although the movement of a society's economic sector is highly influenced by the nation's present
economic stage, cultural history, and geographical location, it is the infusion of new technology by the entrepreneur that provides for the dynamics of the process (Taylor, 1971, p. 31).

A number of economists have suggested that it is this evolution throughout sequential stages of economic equilibrium that differentiates the "Economic Development" needed for long term productive growth from mere "Economic Growth" (Brinkman, 1981; De Gregori, 1985; Myrdal, 1974). De Gregori (1985) has defined economic growth as an increase in a society's national per-capita income, while economic development is an increase in national per-capita income through a fundamental change in the economic structure of that society (p. 7). This fundamental change in the economic structure permeates the total social structure and culture of a particular society (Hoselitz et al., 1960, p. 202). This "holistic" understanding of culture as the sum of man's knowledge, both the material objects utilized by a society and the social structure of that society was originally introduced by Tylor in 1871 (Kroeber & Kluckhow, 1952, p. 43-46). Thus a society's culture can be thought of as a whole composed of two parts: the material part and the non-material part. When new scientific knowledge, in the form of new technological innovation, is applied to either a society's material objects or social structure, there is a concomitant change in the other part (Brinkman, 1981).
results of this concomitant change in the society's technological structure are higher levels of efficiency, effectiveness, and productivity which are ultimately reflected in increased international competitiveness, levels of employment, and higher standards of living.

This economic development paradigm suggests that the evolutionary structural change of a society's macroeconomic sector is a three step process. The first step is the development of new scientific knowledge which will ultimately provide a productivity advantage through the improvement of products, production processes, and/or management efficiency and effectiveness. The second step is the technological innovation stage, where entrepreneurs apply this new scientific knowledge to specific products, production processes, and/or management systems as they enter the market place. The third step is the dissemination of this technological innovation across the total economic sector. Thus the successful completion of all three steps is important for the long-term productivity growth of the U.S. economy.

Baumol (1985) has found that in addition to the utilization of new innovations by industry, increasing the level of skills and knowledge of the work force is a major influence on increasing long-term productivity (p. 4). However, a persistent problem within the U.S. economy appears to be the failure of businesses to utilize new
technological developments and effectively manage their operations (Myers, 1990, p. A1). An underlying reason for this persistent failure of companies to utilize new technologies may be the absence of a "Systematic Entrepreneurship" perspective on the part of their management (Drucker, 1985, p. 21).

Drucker suggests that it is the creation and utilization of "new knowledge" that increases innovation and higher skill levels (1969, p. 158-159). Drucker goes on to describe the development of this new knowledge as the direct result of the entrepreneur's systematic analysis and exploitation of seven sources of "innovative opportunity" (see Table II).

The successful utilization of each source of innovative opportunity requires a close relationship with and/or knowledge of the customer by the entrepreneur. There are many examples of large corporations successfully exploiting innovative opportunities. The larger the organization, the more financial and personnel resources should be available for new knowledge development and adaption. However, a number of analysts have observed that when organizations experience rapid growth, openness to new technological innovations and changing customer needs becomes more difficult (Bottomore, 1981, p. 23; Churchill & Lewis, 1983, p. 40; Drucker, 1969, p. 151, 1985, p. 147; Greiner, 1972,
### TABLE II

**DRUCKER'S SEVEN SOURCES OF "INNOVATIVE OPPORTUNITY"**

1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unexpected Situations</td>
<td>Unexpected successes or failures of business strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incongruous Situations</td>
<td>Failures of competitors to understand changes in customer expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Needs</td>
<td>Development of more effective production methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Structural Changes</td>
<td>Rapid growth of specific markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic Changes</td>
<td>Inherent changes in population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Perception Changes</td>
<td>Major changes in perceptions held by market segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Knowledge Development</td>
<td>Development of new scientific and nonscientific knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


p. 43; Schumpeter, 1911/1983). Large corporations can become increasingly distant from their customers and must continually work hard at developing structures and systems that facilitate change and customer awareness.

Birch's (1984, 1987) empirical studies of the job creation activities of millions of small businesses suggest
that they have made major contributions to the economic growth and development of the United States. After experiencing a doubling of employment between 1954 and 1970, the Fortune 500 companies employed 1.2 million fewer workers in 1983 than in 1973 (Birch, 1984, p. 15). By 1984, the total number of employees eliminated by these Fortune 500 companies had grown to 1.5 million workers (Solomon, 1986, p. 10). Between 1980 and 1982, while the large business sector was eliminating 1,664,000 jobs, the small business sector was creating 2,650,000 new jobs (Cutler, 1984, p. 30). Thus an important consideration is how to effectively foster the utilization of new innovations within the small business sector in order to create employment and increased productivity.

Researchers have found that it is the owner's managerial skills and the availability of capital that are the major causes of small business failures (Peterson, Kozmetsky, Ridgway, 1983, p. 17; Steinmetz, 1969, p. 31). Besides finding sources of additional capital, one major criteria for a program designed to assist small businesses is its ability to influence and improve the managerial and administrative skills of owners. There are a number of ways in which the improvement of an owner's administrative knowledge and skills can be approached. The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) and numerous state and local economic development agencies have developed an array of small business assistance programs from providing loan guarantees to offering retired
executives as mentors. The services of private consultants and special workshops can be utilized. Another approach is to utilize a systematic educational program in an attempt to insure that there is consistency of curricular objectives, informational content, and instructional methodology in assisting business owners. One such educational program designed to systematically improve the managerial and administrative abilities of owners is the Small Business Development Center (SBDC) Program.

The SBDC program is a nationwide collective of state partnerships comprised of the SBA, state and local economic development agencies, and the appropriate local state university and/or college system. With its inception in 1980, the major goal of the SBDC program has been to assist both in the development of new small businesses and the growth of already existing companies. The Oregon Small Business Development Center Network (OSBDCN) was established in September 1984. By 1988, OSBDCN had grown to 22 individual centers statewide and had a budget of $2,994,314.

Although SBDC assistance has taken many forms, the two major programs in Oregon are the Short-term Counseling and Small Business Management (SBM) Programs. The Short-term Counseling Program provides counselors to assist clients in finding solutions to very specific business problems. All clients seeking assistance participate in an initial Short-term Counseling session. From there they are directed
to the SBM Program, individual workshops, or other resources depending on their particular needs.

The success of the SBM program in improving the managerial skills of owners is particularly pertinent for Oregon because of the state's heavy concentration of small businesses. The Oregon Department of Economic Development estimated that in 1989, 99 percent of all Oregon businesses had less than 500 employees (p. 6). During the period 1982 through 1987, firms with less than 20 employees created all of the 113,000 new jobs in the state (p. 1). The remaining portion of this chapter will discuss the problem and purpose statements, definitions, and limitations of this study.

**PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Although the national Small Business Development Center Program has been in place for the past decade, most research has concentrated on conducting cost/benefit studies as a way of establishing the worth of the SBDC program (Chrisman, Nelson, Hoy, & Robinson, 1985; Elstrott 1987; Pelham, 1985). These studies have compared the estimated tax revenue generated by clients to the costs of funding the SBDC program. Finding that tax revenues have been greater than funding costs, researchers have concluded that the SBDC program is worthy of continued funding. There are two issues that arise out of using this methodology. The first issue is whether the revenue increases are only the result of SBDC assistance or
other contributing factors. The second issue relates to the use of the SBDC revenue output as a measure of its own effectiveness. Although a case can be made that a positive cost/benefit analysis is one measure of effectiveness, the methodology begs the question of whether the funds could have been used more effectively in another manner.

None of these studies has analyzed the appropriateness of the information or the way in which that information is given to clients. This study analyzed the SBM program in terms of the operational needs of the business and the learning needs of the owner.

PURPOSE STATEMENT

The purpose of this study was to analyze the contribution made by the Small Business Management Program to improving the managerial and administrative skills of clients. The first step in the analysis process was to ascertain the clients' evaluation of the curricular and instructional design of the program. The second step was to compare the actual curricular and instructional design of the program with an ideal design based on the small business growth and adult learning literatures.

This study had three research objectives: to ascertain what information should be transferred to small business owners and how best to transfer that information; to ascertain what information is presently being transferred to clients and
what methods are used in transferring that information; and to survey SBDC clients and develop a current demographic profile, measure their level of satisfaction with the assistance received, and ascertain what they perceived were the benefits of the program to their companies.

The first research objective was accomplished through the extensive utilization of both the small business growth stage literature and the adult learning literature. What is known of the informational needs of small businesses at various stages of organizational growth was used as a model of what should be the ideal informational content of an assistance program for SBDC clients (Churchill & Lewis, 1983; Greiner, 1972; Steinmetz, 1969). Likewise, what is known of the desires, expectations, and special curricular and instructional issues of adult learners was utilized as the ideal model for the SBDC program (Brookfield, 1986; Cross, 1981; Daloz, 1986; Wlodkowski, 1985).

The second research objective was accomplished through a series of in-depth, on-site interviews with personnel at the Clackamas Community College Small Business Development Center (Clackamas Center). These eight individuals were counselors and instructors directly involved in the Short-term Counseling and Small Business Management (SBM) programs, which are the major assistance programs offered by the Clackamas Center. The "ideal" informational and instructional criteria were used to evaluate the materials, processes, procedures, and factors
utilized by the Clackamas Center in designing and administering these programs.

Although most individual centers within OSBDCN have a SBM program, the decision was made to limit the research study to the Clackamas Center for two reasons. The first reason was the difficulty in controlling for the wide diversity of informational content and delivery formats of SBM programs at the individual centers if a network-wide study was chosen. The decision was made to select one program for analysis, and as a part of the evaluation process, to develop the criteria, procedures, and processes that could be utilized by other centers to evaluate their individual programs. The second reason was that the Clackamas Center was one of the first centers to implement a SBM program.

The third objective was accomplished through a client survey of all individuals (488) who contacted the Clackamas Center for assistance during 1989. The responses from this survey were utilized to identify who had used the Short-term Counseling and SBM programs, how satisfied they were with the informational content, curricular design, and instructional design of these programs, and what they perceived as the benefit of the assistance to their company.
DEFINITIONS UTILIZED IN THE STUDY

Small Business

A company independently owned and operated, not dominant within its industry, and with 500 employees or less. (Oregon Department of Economic Development, p. 6; Solomon, 1986, p. 21).

Small Business Development Center Program (SBDC)

A nationwide collective of state partnerships comprised of the U. S. Small Business Administration (SBA), state and local economic development agencies, and the appropriate local state university and/or college system.

Oregon Small Business Development Center Network (OSBDCN)

A collective partnership between the federal government, state government, and the postsecondary community, which is involved in assisting the establishment, and fostering the development of small businesses within Oregon.

Clackamas Community College Small Business Development Center (Clackamas Center)

An individual SBDC center within OSBDCN and housed at Clackamas Community College.
Small Business Management Program Clients

Those individuals who have participated in one or more of the Clackamas Center's Greenhouse Program, Small Business Management I Program, and Small Business Management II Program.

STUDY LIMITATIONS

The major limitation of this study is its generalization to the total Oregon Small Business Development Network (OSBDCN) program and to other Small Business Development Center (SBDC) programs within other states. However, it is hoped that this study will provide the criteria and instruments needed for evaluating the programs of other centers and providing SBDC administrators with added information to make decisions regarding current and future assistance programs.
CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Chapter I discussed the need for exploring both the type of information that should be transferred to small businesses and what mechanisms should be utilized in transferring that technology. In order to accomplish those objectives, chapter II is divided into three sections. Section one reviews the small business growth stage literature. Section two reviews the adult learning literature. Section three reviews the history of the Small Business Development Center Program, nationally, within Oregon, and specifically at the Clackamas Center.

NEEDS OF SMALL BUSINESSES AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF GROWTH

Developing a conceptual framework from which to understand the changing needs of small businesses as they grow from inception to large corporations is the first step in understanding what causes small businesses to fail. Business failure has been defined in a number of ways. The United States Small Business Administration (SBA) defines business failure as any situation where an organization is forced to discontinue operations (Croft, 1987, p. 17). Analysts utilizing this definition have estimated that over
50 percent of all new businesses fail within the first four years of their existence, and that this number might increase to between 80 and 90 percent of all new businesses if all "homebased and sideline businesses" are included (Croft, 1987, p. 17). Steinmetz (1969) suggests that a small business fails when the original company loses its identity through a merger with a larger company (p. 30-31).

However, defining business failure simply in terms of the cessation of operations or losing organizational identity through merger proceedings presents important measurement problems. The cessation of operations of a small business may be a result of personal decisions rather than economic considerations. Some economically viable companies are closed as owners make transitions in their own lives, such as working for someone else, starting a new company, pursuing non-economic interests, retiring, or selling the business for a profitable return. Because of the complexities involved in identifying when cessation of operations and losing organizational identity is not a part of the owner's goals, business failure will be defined as the forced discontinuance of operations caused by legal bankruptcy proceedings (Fredland & Morris, 1976, p. 7; White, 1983, p. 115). This would include both bankruptcy proceedings that were forced upon the organization by outside parties and litigation voluntarily sought by owners of the business.
Churchill and Lewis (1983) have found that there are key owner related and organizational related factors that influence the success of the business throughout its lifetime (p. 40). The key owner related factors are the individual's short-term and long-term personal and business goals, operational abilities, managerial abilities, and strategic planning abilities. The key organizational factors are the level and depth of financial resources, personnel resources, and operational systems. A number of researchers suggest that the owner's ability to obtain and maintain an adequate level of financial resources appears to be a crucial factor in influencing long-term business viability (Cohn & Lindberg, 1974; Edmunds, 1982; Joint Legislative Interim Task Force on Small Business, 1978, p. 47; Peterson, Kozmetsky, & Ridgway, 1983, p. 17).

Research has shown that as businesses grow in size, their increasing organizational complexity requires qualitative changes in these key owner and organizational factors (Churchill & Lewis, 1983; Greiner, 1972; Steinmetz, 1969). Thus management abilities and organizational structures that were appropriate for the very small business are no longer appropriate for the large corporation. Utilizing a sequential stage growth model is useful in analyzing the dynamic organizational and structural changes that take place as a small business evolves into a large company. The major small business growth stage models are
those developed by Steinmetz (1969), Greiner (1972), and Churchill and Lewis (1983).

**Small Business Growth Stage Models**

Steinmetz (1969) developed a three stage growth model that focuses on the critical issues related to company size (number of employees, dollars of assets), supervision style, and control. During the first stage of growth, the typical owner/manager exercises very close control over the operation and the activities of employees. Based on empirical studies, Steinmetz (1969) suggests that as the growing business approaches the first stage threshold (25 - 30 employees; $500,000-$750,000 in assets) it becomes too large for one individual to effectively control and direct all operations. In order to accommodate the organizational complexity, the organization must develop a rudimentary management structure consisting of a layer of lower level managers or supervisors (p. 31). Successfully accomplished, the business is now a stage two company.

During the second stage, more of the day-to-day responsibilities are delegated to the lower level managers, which allows the company to continue to grow until the next crisis point is reached (250 - 300 employees; $7 - $10 million in assets). At this point the business needs an organizational structure and operating systems capable of assisting the company in obtaining and effectively utilizing new resources. Successfully accomplished, the business is
now a stage three company. The successful company continues
to adapt increasingly sophisticated organizational
structures and operating systems as it finally makes the
final transition into a large corporation as it surpasses
the stage three threshold (750 - 1,000 employees; $25 -
$50 million in assets).

Greiner (1972) developed a five phase model that
focuses on the crisis that creates the need for
organizational change, and the appropriate response of a
business to that need. The crisis point during phase 1 is
company growth beyond the owner's ability to manage. The
appropriate organizational response is the implementation of
some form of professional manager/lower level manager
organizational system. The crisis point for phase 2 is when
the business grows beyond the professional manager's ability
to manage everything. The appropriate organizational
response is the implementation of a decentralized decision
making process. The crisis point for phase 3 is when top
management lacks the ability to control and coordinate the
company's operations through the decentralized decision
making process. The appropriate response during phase 4 is
the implementation of formalized company-wide systems for
planning, coordination, and evaluation of operations. The
crisis point of phase 4 is when these formalized systems
impede the organization's ability to make rapid decisions.
The appropriate response during phase 5 is the "development
of an organizational philosophy and structure that fosters mutual respect, cooperation, and prompt solutions to problems" (p. 41).

Utilizing the theoretical models of Steinmetz (1969) and Greiner (1972) and their own empirical research, Churchill and Lewis (1983) expanded Steinmetz's basic growth stage model into two additional stages (five stages in total). A major assumption of Churchill and Lewis is the importance of separating the goals and objectives of the owner from the life of the organization (p. 31). Although their model does not assume that all small businesses must enter all five stages of growth, there is the implicit expectation that all companies will evolve as far as the third stage in order to become viable entities.

A modified Churchill and Lewis model will be used as a guide in the following discussion of the managerial and operational system needs of small businesses at different stages of growth. The model has been modified to include a pre-stage one company to encompass the prospective small business owner that would participate in the Greenhouse Program. Table III presents the "number of employees" and "dollar amount of assets" characteristics that differentiate companies at the beginning of each stage of growth.
Pre-Stage One Company

The pre-stage one company is the new business idea that needs to be evaluated with respect to its long-term feasibility. Stevenson, Roberts and Grousbeck (1985) suggests that a comprehensive feasibility study needs to include the following key issues:

1) Why does the business opportunity exist?
   - Technological change.
   - Government regulation.
   - Shifts in market demand.

2) What are the dimensions of the business opportunity?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Dollar Amount Of Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-One</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Start-up Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Below 25</td>
<td>Below $500 Thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$500 Thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$7 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$25 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Market size.
• Time frame.
• Growth potential.

3) What are the needs met by the product or service?

4) What are the key risks in starting a new business?
• Customers.
• Competitors.
• Management weaknesses.
• Technological changes.

5) What is the value of the business opportunity?
• What is the financial return in terms of:
  • Capital requirements
  • Increased risk
  • Time requirements

6) What nonfinancial personal awards are provided by the opportunity?

7) What are the prospective owner's personal requirements?
• Goals.
• Skills and interests.
• Risk acceptance/avoidance (p. 17-18).

Prospective owners are ready to start their business if they find that the new venture is both personally and financially viable. Major factors to consider are the individual's managerial and administrative abilities and the sufficiency of the capital available to the new business. These two factors have been found to be major causes of
small business failures (Peterson, Kozmetsky, & Ridgway, 1983, p. 17; Steinmetz, 1969, p. 31).

Delaney (1984) found from his research that the following mistakes were major contributing factors to business failure: continued employee/employer informality; not delegating decision making; providing poor direction and control; making decisions for nonbusiness reasons; nepotism; not enough emphasis upon correcting operating problems (p. 87).

Thus, an important part of an educational program designed for individuals contemplating starting a new business should be to assist them in assessing their own long-term personal and professional goals, their managerial knowledge, skills, and abilities, and the potential that actually exists for their prospective business venture. A particularly important concern is whether individuals who successfully start new businesses have the ability and/or inclination to modify their own leadership styles as the organization grows.

**Stage One Company**

At the beginning of stage one the new owner must rapidly secure the needed resources and equipment to produce a quality product or service, develop a market niche to ensure a stable cash flow, and implement quality production and distribution systems before the original capital is depleted (Churchill & Lewis, 1983, p. 31-32; Flamholtz,
1986, p. 70). Steinmetz (1969) estimates that fifty percent of all new businesses fail at this stage before the owner is able to successfully achieve these three tasks (p. 31).

The informational needs of the stage one company would be very similar to those of the pre-stage one company. Stevenson's seven key issues would be instrumental in assisting business owners in focusing on essential resources, market niches, and operating systems necessary for their survival. If the owner is able to successfully establish the business, the organization is ready to make the transition into stage two.

**Stage Two Company**

During the second stage the focus of the small business owner shifts from one of mere existence to rapidly increasing the cash flow to the company (Churchill & Lewis, 1983, p. 34). The major reason for this shift is that once a company is initially established, it must quickly secure a creditable market niche large enough to ensure its long term profitability, security, and existence. A creditable market niche not only yields a level of profits and cash flow that is sufficient to sustain the long term existence of the company, but it also meets the return on investment (ROI) goals and criteria of the business owner (Churchill and Lewis, 1983, p. 34). During this stage, capital requirements rise rapidly to cover the added complexity and greater size needed to secure and service an increased
market niche. If the owner is unable to achieve a creditable market niche, it is unlikely that the company will be able to obtain the needed resources to remain a viable entity.

It is during stage two that the successful small business makes the transition from an owner dominated to a professionally managed organization. Table IV compares the significant differences that exist in key operational areas between the owner dominated and professionally managed organization.

At the beginning of stage two, the organizational structure of the owner dominated company is relatively simple. The owner directly supervises the activities of all employees and there is little development of lower level managers. Planning systems consist mainly of cash forecasting (Crean & Farber, 1987, p. 30). However, as the level of sales begins to grow, both the owner and the organization face a crisis of leadership brought about by the firm's increasing success (Greiner, 1972, p. 41). As the size of the firm increases in response to increased sales, the complexity of the operations also increases. The owner is no longer able to do everything, and he or she must now come to depend upon other people to accomplish his or her objectives. With the additional employees come increasing personnel, paperwork, and facility requirement problems. As the level of organizational complexity
TABLE IV
COMPARISON OF PROFESSIONAL MANAGEMENT
AND OWNER DOMINATED MANAGEMENT
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Operational Areas</th>
<th>Professional Management</th>
<th>Owner Dominated Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>Profit orientation: is explicit goal.</td>
<td>Profit seen as a by-product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Formal, systematic planning:</td>
<td>Informal, ad hoc planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Strategic planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Operational planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Contingency planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Formal, explicit role descriptions that are</td>
<td>Informal structure with overlapping and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exclusive and exhaustive.</td>
<td>undefined responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Formal, planned system of organizational</td>
<td>Partial, ad hoc control; seldom uses formal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control including explicit objectives targets,</td>
<td>measurements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>measures, evaluations, and rewards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Development</td>
<td>Planned Management development:</td>
<td>Ad hoc development, principally through on-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identification of requirements.</td>
<td>the-job training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Design of programs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgeting</td>
<td>Management by standards and variances.</td>
<td>Budget not explicit; no and follow-up on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>variances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Orientation to incremental innovations;</td>
<td>Orientation to major innovations;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>willingness to take calculated risks.</td>
<td>willingness to take major risks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Operational Areas</th>
<th>Professional Management</th>
<th>Owner Dominated Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Consultative or participative styles.</td>
<td>Styles may vary from very directive to laissez-faire.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** Adapted from *How to Make the Transition from an Entrepreneurship to a Professionally Managed Firm* (p. 42) by E. G. Flamholtz, 1986, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

...continues to grow, the owner must continually shift from strictly a technical orientation to a managerial orientation that encompasses responsibilities such as recruiting, selecting, developing, and training new employees (Steinmetz, 1969, p. 31).

Researchers have found that it is the small business owner's own personality rather than company resources or market opportunities that is most likely to limit the business' ability to make the organizational changes needed for this stage and subsequent growth stages (Barnes & Hershon, 1976; Flamholtz, 1986, p. 113; Hofer & Charan, 1984, p. 4). Those very creative, individualistic, and technically-related skills of the owner which were so important in originally establishing the business have now...
become increasingly detrimental to the organization as the firm continues to grow in size and complexity.

The atmosphere within the organization must, out of necessity, begin to become more formalized. There are too many employees for the owner to continue to closely supervise everyone. Where once all employees communicated with and had direct access to the owner, there is now the development of lower levels of management separating employees from the owner. Where once organizational activities were directly influenced and prioritized by immediate communication with customers, companies now experience a greater increase in competition and increasing alienation from the customers (Greiner, 1972, p. 42; Steinmetz, 1969, p. 32).

The increasing complexity of the firm makes it important to delegate more of the planning and managing responsibilities of the organization to professional managers who have the skills to develop the more sophisticated production systems, financial controls, employee supervision methods, planning methods, organizing structures, and controlling procedures that will be needed as the company continues to grow. The involvement of small business owners is crucial in the transitional process from an individually managed organization to a professionally managed organization. If these small business owners already possess good managerial skills and abilities, they
can begin delegating additional responsibilities to lower level managers. If, however, these owners do not already possess these skills, they must decide whether they are willing or able to learn these managerial skills and abilities or whether to bring in a professional manager to manage the day-to-day operations of the organization.

Scott and Bruce (1987) who utilized the Churchill and Lewis model in their studies, suggest it is the combination of internal organizational pressures and external forces that propel the stage two company with its rudimentary professional management structure into the next stage of growth (p. 47). Two major external factors that influence the organization at this stage are the potential need for additional financing and the reaction of competitors.

If the organization is successful and the level of sales increases, additional funds and working capital such as lines of credit or short term loans must be obtained in order to finance the accompanying increase in inventories and receivables. Additional expenses are incurred as the firm expands its channel of distribution in order to reach new customers.

If the company has developed a new market which is becoming profitable, larger competitors may decide to enter the market place. The speed with which this is done depends upon the uniqueness of the product or production process. The more unique the product or the production process, the
more time the company will have to obtain the needed capital to expand and improve its production facilities and be protected from the strategies of its competitors.

An important aspect of an educational program for owners of a stage two company is an assessment of their managerial and organizational skills that will be needed for continued organizational growth and complexity. Another aspect of the program should focus on implementing appropriate planning, organizing, and controlling systems within firms.

Stage Three Company

At the beginning of stage three, the company's identity is still largely synonymous with that of its owner (Churchill & Lewis, 1983, p. 34). The organizational size, number of employees, and formal systems are still relatively limited. Scott and Bruce (1987) suggest that many small businesses at this stage of growth will begin the process of new product development and broaden their limited product line (p. 48). The company will begin to explore the possibility of using multiple marketing channels to reach their customers. Now that the business has achieved a sufficient and stable level of profitability and cash flow to maintain its existence, the owner has the opportunity to develop long term plans related to the desired level of growth for the organization. Churchill and Lewis (1983)
suggest that owners choose either a disengagement or continued growth strategy (p. 34).

A disengagement strategy is primarily for owners who are interested in maintaining an organization that will provide them with an adequate level of income while allowing them to be involved in other interests. The owner actually makes a partial withdrawal from managing the company. To successfully achieve a disengagement strategy the owner must make sure basic financial, marketing, and production systems are in place along with a limited number of professional managers to insure that the present level of sales, profits, and cash flow are maintained. Over time the company will develop an identity separate from that of the owner and will have a good opportunity to maintain its present niche or be marketed to an interested buyer. An ever present danger is that as the company matures, it may be unable to adapt to changing environmental forces and fail or become a marginally surviving company (stage two) again.

A continuing growth strategy is the utilization of business resources to the fullest for further growth. In order to grow, the organization must also develop the additional sources of financing needed to fund and sustain the anticipated growth in both the level of sales and organizational complexity.

Two critical factors during this stage are the ability of the owner to delegate increased levels of responsibility
and the ability of lower level managers to make effective decisions (Greiner, 1972, p. 42). As the company continues to grow, there will be an increasing demand and need for more decentralized decision making and managing on the part of lower level managers. The organization must begin the process of either recruiting or developing managers who have the capability and capacity to manage the systems of the present firm and begin the process of establishing and implementing the more complex and sophisticated planning, accounting, cost, and production systems that the company will need during the rapid growth of the forth stage. Strategic planning must become an integral part of the organization's planning process (Churchill & Lewis, 1983, p. 34). Griener (1972) found that during this stage, organizations begin developing company-wide programs, such as stock option and profit sharing plans which are designed to both motivate employees and create a unifying organizational identity (p. 43).

Demand for expansion into new markets becomes increasingly important as the company experiences additional competitive pressures (Scott & Bruce, 1987, p. 50). If the firm's competitors have access to greater financial resources, the pressure on price, profit, and cash flow may be very crippling to the organization. If the company is unsuccessful in achieving a sustained growth strategy, the owner may sell his or her interest in the organization to
another party or find that the firm has been forced to regress back to a prior stage. An important part of an educational program for owners of a stage three company would be to assist them in ascertaining what their long-term growth goals for the company. Based on their stage three goals of disengagement or growth, long-term planning can be utilized to insure that the organizational structure to be developed for the business is appropriate.

**Stage Four Company**

The major organizational concerns of this stage relate to the consequences of very rapid growth and methods of how to finance and maintain that growth. By the end of this stage, the organization has evolved into a large company. Edmunds (1982) found that the chief cause of a successful business failing at this stage of growth was that its sales and facilities expanded at a faster rate than the cash flow to pay for the expansion (p. 24).

As the company expands during this stage at an accelerating rate, the continual delegation of additional responsibilities and increased participation in planning by lower levels of managers becomes a critical priority for the firm.

The mere size and complexity of the firm creates a complex bureaucracy that limits the participation of individual managers in the total operations of the organization (Griener, 1972, p. 43). Operating managers
resent the involvement of staff personnel in the operations and planning of their departments. The successful resolution of this organizational crisis is necessary if the institution is going to have the spontaneity in management action and planning that external and internal changes and pressures require.

Some methods for increasing management spontaneity include solving problems through the use of project teams comprised of employees from all functional areas, reducing the number of staff personnel, and simplifying the formal systems that have evolved within the organization. Systems and programs need to be designed and implemented that enhance communications, increase management training, and provide access to current, up-to-date information. Reward systems should focus on project team accomplishments rather than individual achievements (Greiner, 1972, p. 43).

Stage Five Company

The stage five company is no longer a small business and must consolidate the financial gains derived from its rapid growth during the take-off stage and develop systems that will allow for the continual responsiveness to the market place. The danger for a company during this stage is a drifting and decline brought about by an "ossification" mentality which is "characterized by the lack of innovative decision making and the avoidance of risks" (Churchill & Lewis, 1983, p. 40). Thus the organization must be
concerned with expense control and productivity while seeking additional market opportunities. Greiner (1972) suggests that a major cause of ossification may be the total exhaustion of management brought about by the intensity of problem solving and development of innovative systems that are instituted during the fourth stage (p. 44).

The company that is unable to successfully consolidate its financial resources may find itself forced to downsize to the lowest stage that its encumbered resources allow.

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE STUDY

The Churchill and Lewis (1983) model suggests that management and operational systems and structures within an organization must change as the firm continues to experience dynamic growth within and across subsequent growth stages. Thus specific skills, knowledge, and systems that are appropriate for one stage of growth are less appropriate for another stage of growth. Since the model assumes increasing complexity at each sequentially higher growth stage, it can be assumed that a program designed specifically to assist businesses at the early stages of growth would be less appropriate for firms at the later stages of growth.

The informational content of the Clackamas Center assistance programs is primarily designed to assist prospective owners in conducting business feasibility studies and to provide business owners with the basic
rudimentary management skills and systems for their companies. The programs make no attempt to differentiate between clients of varying growth stages. If the assumption is made that no specific set of skills and systems is equally appropriate for businesses at all growth stages, then a logical assumption would be that the appropriateness of the informational content (the set of skills and systems) of the Clackamas Center programs would be evaluated differently by owners of firms at different growth stages. Another logical assumption would be that a program appropriate for firms at a lower growth stage would become increasingly less appropriate at each sequentially higher growth stage.

ADULT LEARNING DESIRES AND EXPECTATIONS

An important issue for a program designed for adult learners, such as the SBDC program, is whether its instructional and curricular design is consistent with the educational desires and expectations of clients. Knowles (1970) developed an early adult learning theory (andragogy) that explored what major differences might exist between the adult learner and the traditional-age learner (individuals normally 22 years old and under) and the implications of those differences. Knowles's initial assumptions were that adults are self-directed learners, use prior experiences as an integral part of learning, seek job or other social role
related learning, and are interested in immediate
application of learning to solve problems (p. 39). Knowles
(1984) later expanded the theory to incorporate the
assumption that motivation of adult learners move from
external rewards (better jobs, higher salaries, etc.) to
intrinsic rewards (self-esteem, self-actualization, etc.)
(p. 12).

Knowles has come under increasing criticism by many
adult educational theorists for the lack of empirical
evidence to support all of the assumptions of the Andragogy
Brookfield (1986) questions the assumptions that the
movement from external dependence to internal
self-directness is chronologically based, that adult
learning efforts are primarily problem centered, and that
adults always immediately seek to apply what they have
learned (p. 121). A number of researchers suggested that
the attributes described by Knowles' Andragogy theory are
displayed in varying degrees among adults based upon their
individual differences in experiences and cognitive
development (Aslanian & Brickell, 1980; Cross, 1981; Kegan,
1982; King, 1978; Knox, 1986; Perry, 1981). These
differences in cognitive development result from highly
complex and unique ways in which individuals experience the
interrelated effects of biological, psychological, and
social-economic changes in their lives.
The Nottingham Andragogy Group has suggested that Andragogy should be reconceptualized as the process of assisting adults to develop their own critical thinking and awareness (Brookfield, 1986, p. 100). Even Knowles (1984) has come to understand the concept of Andragogy as a system that incorporates the attributes of the concept Pedagogy as one of the elements within its structure (p. 8).

A major catalyst for individuals to seek a reconstruction of their world view is the "trigger events" (such as new jobs, marriage, divorce, death, etc.) that cause individuals to call into question many of the concepts and assumptions that they have previously considered to be true (Aslanian & Brickell, 1980, p. 37). Aslanian and Brickell (1980) found from their study of 2,000 men and women that most of the educational goals of the adult learners were directly related to trigger events in their lives (p. IX).

Knox (1986) stresses the importance of establishing an atmosphere of mutual respect between the instructor and adult learner. This relationship should underscore the position of adult learners as equal and joint partners in their own learning process (p. 45). However, there is a need to make modifications in the planning and execution of the program based on the level of self-directness of the individual. Knox suggests that a program designed for the passive adult learner who is still dependent on an outside
authority figure should have very specifically prescribed objectives, packaged content, and achievement-related testing. The correct corresponding role of the instructor would be one of a "transmitter of knowledge and judge of learner achievement" (p. 25).

For the self-directed learner the program should be modified to include extensive participation on the part of the individual in such activities as self-assessment, "contract learning," small group discussion, problem solving, and simulation. The role of the instructor in such a situation is one of assisting learners to analyze ideas more deeply through higher level reasoning, to identify and plan strategies to achieve the desired proficiencies, to recognize and appreciate differing perspectives, and to logically examine differing assumptions and values (Knox, 1986, p. 25).

The concept of lifelong learning has been used to refer to all deliberate educational experiences by individuals to increase their knowledge and skills. These educational experiences range from learning completed in the work place to very formalized courses taken in a traditional educational setting. Researchers have found that from 79% to 90% of adults are actively involved in some form of "lifelong learning" experience every year throughout their lifetime (Peterson, 1981, p. 309; Tough, 1981, p. 296). Tough (1981) found that 80% of his subjects were
self-directed learners who had prepared their own educational objectives and programs (p. 298).

Adult learners are motivated to be actively involved in lifelong learning for a variety of reasons. An early study of the reasons why adults were involved in learning activities was conducted by Houle. Following a series of intensive interviews, Houle (1963) segmented adult learners into three distinct groups based upon their educational goals and outcomes: Goal-Oriented Learners, Activity-Oriented Learners, and Learning-Oriented Learners (p. 15). Goal-Oriented Learners established educational goals and outcomes that related to achieving specific personal and/or professional objectives. Activity-Oriented learners primarily sought additional educational opportunities as a vehicle for developing new friendships. Learning-Oriented learners on the other hand, focused on the intrinsic value of learning new knowledge for its own sake.

Cross (1981) suggests that the level of motivation adult learners have to successfully achieve their specific learning goals is directly related to the Expectancy-Valence Theory (p. 126). The Expectancy-Valence Theory assumes the intensiveness with which individuals seek to accomplish specific goals is directly related to the priority level of that goal in their lives and the level of probability of achieving that goal (Vroom, 1967). Thus the higher the priority level of the goal and the higher the likelihood of
success in achieving that goal, the higher the motivational level of the individual.

A person's age, psychological make-up, level of income, and ranking within one's career path are also very important factors in determining the educational goals and outcomes that will be sought by the individual. The more an individual is likely to gain from learning additional skills (higher levels of income, promotions, etc.), the more likely continuing educational goals will be practical and career related (Cross, 1981, p. 207; Knox, 1986, p. 67). The client of a SBDC program is highly likely to be a self-directed, Goal-Oriented Learner. The starting of a new business is a major "trigger event" for most people and creates the need to learn new skills and knowledge. Thus the instructor or program developer should work in conjunction with the adult learner to:

1) Assess and agree upon what skills and additional knowledge are needed.

2) Develop a learning plan which incorporates a specific time frame and a selected list of educational activities and experiences that will assist the learner in obtaining the needed skills and knowledge.

3) Develop an agreed upon method of evaluating the success of each educational experience separately and together as a total comprehensive program.
Cross (1981) has found in her studies of adult learners, that individuals desire to be actively involved in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of their educational program by a "two-to-one margin" (p. 212). The more involved individuals are in the planning process, the more likely they will successfully complete that program. Individuals develop more realistic estimates of the time and energy that will be actually required to achieve their educational objectives (Knox, 1986, p. 73). An assessment of the students' progress in successfully achieving their objectives should be done on an on-going basis throughout the educational program (Knox, 1986, p. 57). This continual evaluation process is instrumental in maintaining the student's level of motivation and involvement in the program.

The instructor should work closely with adult learners to assess their current skill and knowledge proficiencies. Methods that can be employed to do this are: self-assessment inventories, performance records, supervisory reports, direct observations, tests, and interviews (Knox, 1986, p. 6). The difference between the current level of proficiency and the desired level of proficiency is the "diagnosed learning need" around which the educational program must be developed (Knowles, 1984, p. 18).

Once the "diagnosed learning need" is developed, the student in collaboration with the instructor should:
1) Select the most effective resources and strategies for accomplishing each objective.

2) Specify what evidence will be collected and utilized to evaluate whether the objective was accomplished.

3) Specify how the evidence will be validated (Knowles, 1984, p. 18-19).

Kolb (1984) suggests that the effectiveness of educational programs can be increased through the process of matching instructor teaching styles with student learning preferences.

**Kolb's Learning Style Model**

From his studies in the area of student learning, Kolb (1984) developed an integrated, four phase learning cycle model. The four phases of his model are: "Concrete Experience, Reflective Observation, Abstract Conceptualization, and Active Experimentation." These four phases are paired with each other across two dimensions. The Concrete Experience Phase and the Abstract Conceptualization Phase are at the opposite ends of what Kolb calls the "Grasping dimension" of learning. This dimension focuses on a person's preference for grasping or obtaining new information. The Reflective Observation Phase and the Active Experimentation Phase are at the opposite ends of the "Transforming dimension" of the model. This dimension focuses on a person's preference for making and utilizing new information as one's own. Both the Grasping
and Transforming dimensions relate the learning process and how individuals prefer to learn and utilize new information. Kolb suggests that the most effective way to learn is to first become involved in "hands on" activities (Concrete Experience Phase), reflect upon those activities (Reflective Observation Phase), develop a system of abstract principles out of reflecting upon their activities (Abstract Conceptualization Phase), and finally apply the principles they have developed to new situations (Active Experimentation Phase). Kolb (1981) found that most individuals prefer certain phases of the learning cycle, and that they could be categorized in one of four learning groups depending on their abstract-concrete and active-reflective dimension preferences (p. 237-238). He labeled these partial learning preference groups, "Convergers," "Divergers," "Assimilators," and "Accommodators."

"Convergers" prefer the abstract conceptualization and active experimentation phases. They wish to be presented with one practical solution to their problem. "Divergers" prefer the concrete experience and reflective observation phases. They generate new ideas by analyzing their experiences from many different perspectives. "Assimilators" prefer the abstract conceptualization and reflective observation phases. They enjoy developing new principles through deductive reasoning. "Accommodators"
prefer the concrete experience and active experimentation phases. They are risk takers who are comfortable with trial and error methods to find a solution. However, Accommodators tend to rely on others for solutions rather than relying on their own problem solving abilities.

Kolb (1981) found from his empirical studies of college Business Administration majors, that as a group they tended to be accommodator style learners. If the accommodator learning style preference is representative of small business owners as a whole, then programs designed for this group need to be client-centered, problem-solving application activities. Instructors will be looked to as experts for specific assistance in solving particular problems, rather than as scholars discussing abstract principles.

**Implications for the Study**

Based on the findings discussed in this section, the following assumptions regarding Clackamas Center clients were investigated by the study:

1) Clients would want to be involved in their own assessment process.

2) Clients would want to be actively involved in the planning, coordinating, and evaluation of their own educational experience.
3) Clients would be seeking assistance to solve very specific personal and/or business related goals or problems.

4) Clients would want very specific and practical information from a perceived expert, rather than to learn abstract principles from a scholar.

SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER PROGRAM HISTORY SECTION

The national Small Business Development Center (SBDC) program is a nationwide collective group of partnerships between the federal government, individual state governments, state university and/or college systems, and local public and private economic development agencies. The SBDC was established as an eight state pilot project by the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) in 1977 (Chrisman, 1985, p. 1). The cooperative model used for this pilot project was the Department of Agriculture/local land grant college cooperative which provides agricultural extension programs designed to meet the needs of local, individual farmers (Bramblett & Flewellen, 1978, p. 23). This cooperative SBA model served as the basis for the Small Business Development Center Act of 1980 (Title II of PL 96-302).

Under the provisions of Title II the SBA was to provide matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis of up to 50% of the cost of developing and operating the program. Each SBDC
program was to receive a prorated share of the $65 million federal allotment for 1980, based on the ratio of the population they served to the total U.S. population or $200,000, whichever was greater (Title II of PL 96-302).

By the end of 1988, with a budget of $35 million, the SBDC program had expanded to forty-five states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands (Church, 1987, p. D9; U.S. Small Business Administration, 1989). Only California, Hawaii, Maryland, New Mexico, and Virginia are not a part of the SBDC program.

Each SBDC program is individualized and tailored to meet the specialized economic development needs of small businesses in particular localities. A unique feature of the SBDC partnership is that the state college and/or university system plays a leading role in the administration and coordination of the program. The federal and state governments play primarily a support role within the partnership. Congress noted when establishing the SBDC program that the local academic community would more likely be aware of local needs and problems and be in a better position than the federal government to recommend specific technology, information, and skills to meet those needs (Title II of P.L. 96-302, 1980).

Thus the day-to-day operations, administration, and coordination of the individual SBDC programs is done within each state by a local agency that is affiliated with the
public four-year college/university system, two-year community college system, or a combination of both systems depending upon the educational and economic development strengths and history of the particular state. The federal government and state governments primarily play a supporting role through providing funding, support personnel, and other resources to supplement and assist the SBDCs in carrying out their economic development mission in a particular area. The resources of the federal government are channeled through the appropriate regional district office of the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) within which the SBDC resides (U. S. Small Business Administration, 1989). The designated amount of funds provided by the SBA is specified within an annually negotiated cooperative agreement (M. Mowery, personal communication, July 10, 1989).

The establishment of the SBDC program was intended to increase the competitiveness of the overall U.S. economy through insuring that new and existing small businesses have access to the same quality of advice, information, and services that are available to larger corporations because of their greater resources (Title II of PL 96-302, 1980). The SBDC program provides assistance in solving problems related to operations, marketing, finance, planning, and technology development. This assistance includes, but is not limited to, employee training, owner counseling and educational courses and workshops, and research services for
small businesses throughout their state. Most of the SBDC programs also work very closely with local and statewide public and private economic and community development agencies in coordinating the economic assistance within their respective states (M. Mowery, personal communication, July 10, 1989).

Each statewide SBDC program is comprised of a network of local centers that provide small businesses with one-to-one counseling, develop educational programs specifically designed for local economic conditions and particular industry needs, and coordinate and conduct research related to the general and technical problems of small businesses within their state (H. Bergmann, personal communication, February 11, 1987). Local SBDC centers seek to employ counselors who have prior experience as small business owners or who have been business executives with extensive business experience (M. Mowery, personal communication, July 10, 1989). Thus counselors have practical business knowledge and experience and are able to provide competent management and technical services in such areas as new venture analysis, business plan development, market planning, and cash flow analysis (H. Bergmann, personal communication, July 14, 1987).
History of the Oregon Small Business Development Center Network

The history of the Oregon Small Business Development Center Network (OSBDCN) dates from June 1983, when Oregon Representative Bill Bradbury (Democrat from Coos Bay) contacted Roger Bassett, Director of the Oregon Community College Association, regarding the development of a program that would involve the community college system in assisting small businesses within the state (OSBDCN, 1989). A task force was formed to study the matter and subsequently recommended the adoption of the Business Assistance Center (BAC) program that had been established at Lane Community College (Eugene, Oregon) in September 1982 as the model for such a program. The BAC center program provided local small businesses with counseling, long-term educational programs, seminars, and workshops (Cutler, 1984). The BAC center had also worked very closely with local public and private organizations to help coordinate economic development projects in Lane County.

In July 1983, Bill 3002 was passed by the Oregon Legislature which provided $500,000 for the 1983-1985 biennium to develop a statewide community college network of centers based on the Lane Community College model (Cutler, 1984). The next step in the process was the appointment of a State Advisory Council by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction. The community college system comes under the auspices of Oregon Department of Education. In
December 1983, the advisory council subsequently recommended that the state should submit a proposal to the SBA for the development of a Small Business Development Center program. In February 1984, the SBA signed a cooperative agreement with Lane Community College for $350,000 to establish a statewide SBDC program. On the recommendation of the State Advisory Council, the Network headquarters or "control center" was established at Lane Community College (Cutler, 1984). OSBDCN had the distinction of being the first community college based SBDC program in the nation (Belcher, personal communication, February 14, 1989). In September 1984, OSBDCN consisted of 15 community colleges, Southern Oregon State College, and Eastern Oregon State College. In June 1987, responsibility for the OSBDCN was moved from the Oregon Department of Education to the Oregon Economic Development Department (OSBDCN, 1989).

In 1988, with a budget of $2,994,314, OSBDCN consisted of 22 individual centers across the state. The funding sources for the 1988 budget are presented in Table V.

During 1988, OSBDCN provided counseling for 6,371 individuals, which was an increase of 12% over 1987 (p. 4). A total number of 923 training activities, in the form of specialized classes, workshops, and seminars, were conducted in 1988 which was an 11% increase over 1987. The resources of the centers were utilized by 15,126 individuals during 1988, which was a slight decrease (5%) for 1987 (p. 5).
TABLE V
OREGON SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER NETWORK BUDGET
1988

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Dollar Amount</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funding</td>
<td>$741,000</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Funding</td>
<td>475,000</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Funding</td>
<td>1,778,314 (1)</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,994,314</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Educational institutions, private organizations, etc.


Since its beginning in 1984, OSBDCN (through 1988) has provided 23,651 individuals with specialized counseling and has conducted 3,646 training activities that have been attended by a total of 71,189 individuals (p. 8).

History of the Clackamas Small Business Development Center

The Clackamas Center is located in the Clackamas Community College Harmony Center, 7616 S.E. Harmony Road, Milwaukie, Oregon. The Center opened its doors to the public in August 1984. The center began as a partnership between Clackamas Community College, Clackamas Business Promotion Company, Clackamas County, county chambers of commerce, the State of Oregon, and the U.S. Small Business Administration (1985). During 1985, 378 clients received assistance from the center (Clackamas Center, 1985, p. 7).
During 1988, the number of clients receiving assistance increased to 458, with 105 clients successfully completing the center's Small Business Management Programs (OSBDCN, p. 10). This makes Clackamas Center's program the third largest in the OSBDCN after Portland Community College, and Lane Community College (OSBDCN, 1988, p. 4).

The Clackamas Center's Small Business Management program consists of three integrated programs: the Greenhouse, Small Business Management I, and Small Business Management II programs. The Greenhouse program is designed to assist the individual in conducting a feasibility study of a new business idea. The Small Business Management I program is for the business owner who needs to learn basic financial management techniques. The Small Business Management II program focuses on advanced financial management and marketing management techniques.

CONCLUSION

Prior studies of the Small Business Development Center Program have primarily defined effectiveness in terms of a financial cost/benefit analysis. The estimated tax revenue generated by center clients has been compared to the costs of funding the program. If tax revenues are greater than costs, then the programs have been espoused as being worthy of continued funding. A case could be made that funding such a program is an effective use of funds. However, by
measuring the benefits only in terms of costs, the question of whether there might be more effective ways to use funds to assist small businesses is left unanswered.

The current study attempts to broaden the understanding of who utilizes the services of the SBDC, and the appropriateness of both the assistance given to clients and the way in which it was given. The small business growth literature was used as a basis for analyzing the appropriateness of the information given to clients. The adult learning literature was used as a basis for analyzing the procedures, processes, and factors that were used by the SBDC to transfer that information.
CHAPTER III

METHODS

The following Study was designed to accomplish three overall research objectives. The first objective was to find out who the clients were that sought assistance from the Clackamas Small Business Development Center (Clackamas Center) and how they rated the center's Short-term Counseling, and Greenhouse/Small Business Management (SBM) Programs. The second objective was to find out what factors, procedures, and processes were actually used by Center personnel in the design and delivery of the Short-term Counseling and SBM programs. The third objective was to evaluate the informational, instructional, and curricular design of the SBM programs from the prospective of the small business growth model and adult learner literature.

In order to achieve these three overall research objectives, the evaluation study was implemented in two phases. Phase I was a survey of the 488 clients that utilized the Short-term Counseling and/or SBM programs during 1989. Phase II consisted of interviewing and obtaining materials from the Clackamas Center personnel involved in these programs. The interview responses and
program materials that were obtained during phase II were then compared to program attributes suggested by the small business growth and adult learning literatures.

The remainder of this chapter will discuss the following subjects for each phase of the study: the broad research objectives, research design, instruments, data collection procedures, and the analysis of the data to be collected.

**PHASE I: CLIENT SURVEY**

**Research Objectives**

The following were the five major objectives to be accomplished during the first phase of the evaluation study:

1) Develop a demographic profile of the clients who used the Center's Short-term Counseling and SBM programs during 1989.

2) Measure client satisfaction with the informational content of the Short-term Counseling and SBM programs.

3) Measure client satisfaction with the instructional and curricular design of the SBM program.

4) Ascertain what clients perceived to be the benefits of participating in the Short-term counseling and SBM programs.

5) Test whether clients at different growth stages would significantly differ in their evaluation of informational content of the SBM program.
Research Design

Phase I of this study was developed in collaboration and cooperation with the director of the Clackamas Center. A preliminary investigation by the researcher revealed that the center was in the final phases of complying with a research related directive from the Oregon Small Business Development Center Network office (OSBDCN). OSBDCN had requested each individual center to develop a survey and ascertain client satisfaction with their Short-term Counseling program. The Clackamas Center had developed a questionnaire and a mailing list consisting of the 488 clients who had used the short-term counseling services during 1989. The Center director agreed to expand the focus of the questionnaire to include the research objectives of this study and provide the financial and personnel resources to print, mail, and administer the survey (see copy of questionnaire in Appendix A).

The survey instrument was reviewed and developed in collaboration with personnel at both the Clackamas Center and the Portland Community College Small Business Development Center (PCC Center). At the Clackamas Center the director and all eight Short-term Counselors and SBM Instructors individually reviewed the initial questionnaire and were instrumental in suggesting appropriate "number of employees," "dollar amount of assets," and "annual sales volume," demographic categories that were used in the final
survey instrument. The client survey was test piloted on-site at the PCC Center. The PCC Center director and one SBM instructor completed the client survey. Follow-up evaluation interviews were conducted with both individuals. Each interview lasted approximately 30 minutes. The questions were judged by the interviewees as being appropriate and non-biasing in nature. Thus no changes were made in the survey instrument.

**Questionnaire Design**

The following discussion describes the construction of key dependent and independent variables that were utilized for both descriptive and hypothesis testing purposes.

**Key Dependent Variables**

The key dependent variables that were utilized in measuring client satisfaction with the Short-term Counseling and SBM programs were contained within question 10 (see Appendix A). Question 10 was segmented into three sections: a Counseling section, a SBM program section, and an overall Center evaluation section. Only the Counseling and SBM program sections were used in this evaluative study. These two program sections were comprised of a series of statements; each statement represented one aspect of either the information content or delivery design of the respective program. The respondent was requested to designate on a five point interval scale the degree to which he/she agreed
or disagreed with the statement. The Key Dependent Variables used in the study are listed below. Each variable name is underlined, followed by the appropriate definition for that variable.

**Counseling Section**

*Counselor's Knowledge.* The counselor's knowledge of problem(s) to be addressed was excellent.

*Counselor's Suggestions.* The counselor's suggestions to solve the problem(s) being discussed were appropriate for the situation.

*Counselor's Promptness.* Your request for assistance received prompt attention by the counselor.

*Counselor's Courteousness.* The counselor was courteous towards you.

*Counselor's Understanding.* The counselor understood your long-term goals for your company.

*Materials Provided.* The materials provided to you by the counselor were excellent.

**Greenhouse/Small Business Management Section**

*Appropriate Information.* The information presented in the SBM classes was appropriate for the needs of your company.

*Instructor's Knowledge.* The instructor's knowledge of the subject area was excellent.
Client Participation. Your participation in planning, coordinating, and tailoring the subject matter of the classes to the specific needs of your company was sufficient and appropriate.

Counseling Portion. The counseling portion of the SBM program is appropriate.

Class Ratio. The ratio of class hours to counseling hours is appropriate.

Counseling Hours. The counseling hours should be expanded to a twice a month schedule.

Instructional Methods Effectiveness. The instructional methods (lecture, video, computer simulation, etc.) are effective in assisting your understanding of the material.

Variety of Instructional Methods. There is a sufficient variety of instructional methods utilized in the classes (lecture, video, computer simulation, etc.).

Key Descriptive Independent Variables

The key descriptive independent variables that were developed are the preferred instructional style and the small business growth stage.

Preferred Instructional Styles

These independent demographic variables that were related to question 13 of the client survey. Question 13 contained seven sub-questions which were adapted from Wheller and Marshall's (1986) Training Style Preferences Inventory.
This inventory was developed to assist trainers to identify the instructional methods and delivery style they tend to utilize in a classroom situation. Wheller and Marshall suggest that learners prefer different instructional styles and methods, depending on their own preferred learning style (Concrete Experiencers, Reflective Observers, Abstract Conceptualizers, and Active Experimenters). The respondent was requested to distribute ten points between the four options of each question, with each option representing one of the four instructional styles (Listener, Director, Interpreter, and Coach). For the purposes of this study, each sub-question was treated as a separate demographic variable, representing another aspect of the client's preferred instructional style.

As a part of the interview process in Phase II of the study, the instructor/counselors were asked to complete a corresponding Instructor Type Inventory so that comparisons could be made between client and instructor responses (see Appendix B).

Small Business Growth Stage

The specific growth stage of clients was developed utilizing both the number of employees employed by the company (question 17) and the dollar amount of organizational assets (question 18). The two methods may categorize specific clients into different growth stages because of the ability of firms to increase the level of
assets without comparable increases in employees.
Steinmetz's (1969) measurement criteria was utilized in
categorizing clients (p. 31) (see Table VI).

TABLE VI
THE SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE
SEGMENTED BY CATEGORIES
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Employees Categories (Question 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pre-Stage One Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Haven't started the company&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Stage One Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Only Owner&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Stage Two Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;1-5&quot;, &quot;6-10&quot;, &quot;11-24&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Stage Three Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;25 employees and above&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dollar Amount Of Assets Categories (Question 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pre-Stage One Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Haven't started the company&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Stage One Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Start-up capital/assets only&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Stage Two Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Less than $100,000&quot;; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;From $100,000 up to $500,000&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Stage Three Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;From $500,000 up to $1 million&quot;; &amp; &quot;Over $1 million&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Collection Procedures
To insure client confidentiality and anonymity during the study, the following administrative process was followed. A mailing list containing the names and addresses of all clients (488) that sought assistance during 1989 was compiled by Clackamas Center personnel. Client names were
consecutively numbered, and this number was placed in the left hand corner of the self-addressed return envelope included with the questionnaire. Clackamas Center personnel were in charge of administering the questionnaire mailing process. The initial mailing took place March 19, 1990. Upon receiving the self-addressed return envelopes, center personnel opened the envelopes, removed the questionnaires without reading the contents, destroyed the return envelopes, and placed a check mark by the name of the subject on the mailing list to signify the return of the survey. The questionnaires were systematically obtained from the center and computer processed utilizing the SYSTAT, version 4.1, statistical program. The questionnaires were numbered upon being processed, but no attempt was made to identify respondents with their individual surveys at any time during the study. From the original mailing to 488 clients, a response rate of 14.5 percent (71 respondents) was achieved. A second mailing was made April 20, to 417 non-respondents. Utilizing two mailings, a response rate of 29.5% (144 respondents) was achieved.

**Data Analysis**

The SYSTAT, version 4.1, statistical program was utilized to calculate the appropriate frequency distributions, cross-tabulations, and statistical significance tests. Selected frequency distributions and cross-tabulations were utilized to develop a client profile
and selected frequency tables. Because the scale utilized to measure the key dependent variables in client survey question 10 (Highly Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Highly Disagree) was appropriate for generating interval level data, means and standard deviations were used to measure client satisfaction levels (Alreck and Settle, 1985, p. 146; J. Arick, personal communication, July 5, 1990). One-way Anovas, Tukey-Cramer multiple comparison methods, and Analysis of Covariance tests were utilized for Hypotheses testing.

Hypotheses were developed to test whether clients at different growth stages would significantly differ in their evaluation of the informational content of the Center's counseling and SBM programs. If the Small Business Growth Stage Model was representative of the needs of Oregon Small Businesses, then one could expect clients to become less satisfied with the informational content of a basic assistance program as they moved from pre-stage one to a stage three company. The following hypotheses were tested at the .05 significance level:

**Hypothesis One:** A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of the counselor's knowledge of the specific problem area (Counselor's Knowledge).
Hypothesis Two: A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of the appropriateness of the counselor's suggestions for the specific situation (Counselor's Suggestion).

Hypothesis Three: A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of the counselor's understanding of their long-term company goals (Counselor's Understanding).

Hypothesis Four: A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of the materials provided by the counselor (Materials Provided).

Hypothesis Five: A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of the appropriateness of the information presented in the small business management classes for their company's needs (Appropriate Information).

Hypothesis Six: A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth
stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of the instructor's knowledge of the subject area (Instructor's Knowledge).

**Hypothesis Seven:** A significant difference exists between clients of differing small business growth stages (pre-starting stage, stage one, stage two, stage three) in their evaluation of whether the counseling portion of small business management program was appropriate (Counseling Portion).

Prior to conducting any statistical analysis, three statistical procedures, the Cronbach Coefficient Alpha Test, the Spearman-Brown Coefficient (split-half reliability test), and Factor Analysis were conducted in order to test the integrity of the key dependent variable that would be used in measuring client satisfaction and hypotheses testing. These were important tests in analyzing the reliability of the survey instrument. The Cronbach Coefficient Alpha test was used to confirm the reliability (internal consistency) of each dependent variable within each Center assistance program. In other words, the Cronbach Coefficient Alpha test indicates the degree to which the dependent variables which comprise each assistance program are interrelated. The Spearman-Brown Coefficient procedure was used to test the overall internal consistency
of all dependent variables taken together in each Center assistance program. Tables VII and VIII present a comparison between the results of the Cronbach Alpha Test and the Spearman-Brown Coefficient test for the Counseling and SBM variables respectively.

TABLE VII

RELIABILITY SCORES OF KEY COUNSELING PROGRAM DEPENDENT VARIABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
<th>Spearman-Brown Coefficient = .885</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Knowledge</td>
<td>.800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Suggestions</td>
<td>.796</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Promptness</td>
<td>.842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Courteousness</td>
<td>.860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Understanding</td>
<td>.818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Provided</td>
<td>.795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VIII
RELIABILITY SCORES OF KEY SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEPENDENT VARIABLES
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
<th>Spearman-Brown Coefficient = .880</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Information</td>
<td>.843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's Knowledge</td>
<td>.846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Participation</td>
<td>.838</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Portion</td>
<td>.855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Ratio</td>
<td>.834</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Hours</td>
<td>.866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Methods Effectiveness</td>
<td>.820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety of Instructional Methods</td>
<td>.839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Spearman-Brown Coefficients of .885 and .880 are well within the .85-.90 acceptable level of reliability range suggested by Brown (1983, p. 89). The Cronbach Alpha scores show what the Spearman-Brown Coefficient would be if this variable was subtracted from the statistical procedure. The high Spearman-Brown Coefficients in conjunction with the Cronbach Alpha scores that were obtained, suggest that the
key dependent variables within each Center assistance program are reliable in measuring client satisfaction.

A factor analysis was conducted for both programs in order to test for the existence of more than one concept within each group of variables. The factor analysis for the Counseling variables showed the existence of only one factor. The factor analysis for the SBM programs (Eigen value = 1) showed the existence of two factors: Instructional Factor: Appropriate Information, Instructor's knowledge, Variety of Instructional Methods, Client Participation, Instructional Methods Effectiveness (39 percent of the variance explained by this factor). Long-term Counseling Factor: Class Ratio, Counseling Hours, Counseling Portion (31.5 percent of the variance explained by this factor).

The existence of only one factor for the Counseling program and the particular factors (instructional, counseling) that were developed for the SBM program increase the likelihood that the variables do in fact measure client program satisfaction. The result of the Phase I data analysis is presented in chapter four.

PHASE II: CLACKAMAS CENTER PERSONNEL INTERVIEWS

During phase II of the evaluation study, a series of in-depth interviews was conducted with the Clackamas Center personnel involved in both the Short-term Counseling and
Greenhouse/Small Business Management program. One focus of this phase was to ascertain what processes, procedures, and systems, center personnel utilized in assisting clients. Another focus was to ascertain what factors were utilized by center personnel in developing the assistance that was offered to specific clients. A third focus was to ascertain how clients were involved in the planning, coordinating, and delivery of the assistance they received at the center.

Research Design

The first step in phase II was to assemble criteria that would be used in developing the interview instrument, analyze interview responses, and evaluate program content and instructional design of the Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs. The small business growth stage and adult learning literatures were used in developing a "Small Business Information Content and Instructional Design Program Checklist" (see Appendix C). The checklist was designed to achieve three specific objectives. The first objective was to develop an instrument based on the research findings discussed in chapter two that could be used to evaluate the informational content and instructional design of the Clackamas Center's Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs. The second objective was to develop an instrument so that other Oregon SBDCs could evaluate the informational content and instructional design of their own Small Business Management programs. The third
objective was to develop an instrument that could also be used by SBDC program developers to evaluate future assistance programs designed for small business.

The informational content section of the checklist is divided into two sub-sections. The first sub-section contains the criteria which research suggests is needed to complete a comprehensive feasibility study. This subsection was utilized in analyzing the Greenhouse Program. The second sub-section contains informational areas which research suggests are pertinent to on-going businesses. This sub-section was utilized in analyzing the Small Business Management Programs. The instructional design section of the checklist is comprised of instructional and student involvement methods which research suggests are especially effective with adult learners. This section of the checklist was used to evaluate both the Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs (see Appendix C).

Personnel Interview Questionnaire Development

The "Small Business Information Content and Instructional Design Program Checklist" was utilized in developing the Personnel Interview Questionnaire. The questions were designed to ascertain the factors, processes, and procedures utilized by center personnel in assisting clients. Instructor/counselors were asked about their instructional methods, the ways in which they involve
clients in designing the educational process, and whether the size of the company influenced the assistance given to clients (see Appendix B).

The questionnaire was test piloted on-site at the Portland Community College Small Business Development Center (see Appendix B). Two interviews were conducted between June 6 - 7, 1990 with center personnel involved in the short-term counseling and small business management programs. Each interview lasted approximately one and one half hours, including a short follow-up evaluation of the instrument. The questions were judged by the interviewees as being appropriate and non-biasing in nature. Thus no changes were made in the interview instrument.

Data Collection

The actual series of in-depth interviews were conducted on-site, at the Clackamas center between June 10 - 13, 1990. The interview with each of the five short-term counselors lasted approximately one hour. Each interview with the three Greenhouse/Small Business Management program instructor/counselors lasted approximately one and one half hours.

The interview protocol for the researcher was to introduce himself, explain briefly the purpose of the interview, and conduct the interview using the "Personnel Interview Questionnaire." Permission was received from each person interviewed to utilize a tape recorder during the
interview sessions. Each person interviewed was assured by 
the researcher that all responses would remain confidential 
and anonymity would be maintained in the reporting process. 
At the end of interview sessions, copies of handouts and 
other materials were collected for further analysis.

**Analysis of Data**

In order to maintain the confidentiality of the 
individuals interviewed, individual responses were 
consolidated into an overall center analysis. The "Small 
Business Information Content and Instructional Design 
Program Checklist" was used in analyzing center personnel 
responses. The checklist was used to compare the actual 
factors, processes, and procedures that center personnel 
used in the informational and instructional design of the 
Greenhouse and SBM programs with those recommended by the 
small business growth and adult learning literatures. The 
informational content section of the checklist was utilized 
in analyzing the Greenhouse and Small Business Management 
course materials obtained at the time of the center 
personnel interviews.
CHAPTER IV

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The following analysis is of major study findings related to the Clackamas Center's Short-term Counseling and Greenhouse/Small Business Management (SBM) programs. The topics to be discussed are the client survey response rate, client profile, informational content, and instructional design of the assistance programs, and the client-perceived benefits of the assistance. A complete summary of the client survey, and center personnel interviews can be found in Appendix D and H, respectively.

CLIENT SURVEY RESPONSE RATE

A 29.5 percent response (144 respondents) was obtained from all clients receiving assistance from the Clackamas Center during 1989. Of these 144 respondents, 76 clients (53.2 percent) had participated in both the Short-term counseling and SBM programs, and 67 clients (46.8 percent) had only participated in the short-term counseling program. The low response rate limits the degree to which the study's finding can be generalized to the total clientele of both the Oregon Network (OSBDCN) and the Clackamas Center.
Low response rates appear to be a common problem for SBDC related studies (see Table IX).

**TABLE IX**

PRIOR SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER STUDIES
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researcher</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chrisman, Nelson, Hoy, Robinson</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>25.7% 84 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>38.0% 19 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelham</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>38.4% 240 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>30.0% 295 responses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** See Appendix G for further description of these studies.

Increasing response rates may prove difficult based on the client demographic findings of this study, which found that respondents were predominantly from small businesses that had been in operation for less than five years. The small business growth stage model suggests that this group of owners is more apt to be personally involved in day-to-day operations. This allows little time for involvement in activities not directly related to the business, including participation in SBM programs and filling out surveys.

An important issue, which this study does not resolve, is whether the non-responding clients (approximately 70%)
differ markedly from respondents in their demographic profile and level of program satisfaction. The Clackamas center personnel felt that the demographic profile was indeed representative of their clientele. Although the study findings may be limited in generalizability, they do provide important information regarding a significant segment (approximately 30%) of the Clackamas Center's clientele.

1989 CLIENT PROFILE

The majority of the respondents (78.3%; 112 respondents) were owners or managers of already existing businesses. They tend to be from relatively young companies. Approximately 65 percent of the respondents had been in business for less than five years. This compares to an Oregon Economic Development Department's estimate that only 22 percent of all Oregon businesses with less than 50 employees have been in business for less than 5 years (see Table X).
Table X

Number of Years in Operations 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of Operation</th>
<th>Clackamas Center Respondents</th>
<th>Oregon Businesses With Less Than 50 Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 years</td>
<td>28 (29.5%)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 2 to 5 years</td>
<td>34 (35.8%)</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 5 to 9 years</td>
<td>18 (19.0%)</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 10 to 19 years</td>
<td>9 (9.5%)</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 years or more</td>
<td>6 (6.2%)</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>95 (100.0%)</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Small Business Survey (p. 5) by Oregon Department of Economic Development, 1989, Salem, OR: Oregon State Printing Office.

A majority of respondents (55.7%) was from women-owned businesses. The Oregon Economic Development Department (1989) estimates that women-owned businesses comprise only 16 percent of the businesses in the state (p. 8). This finding is consistent with Owens's (1985) study of the Oregon Network in which 51 percent of his respondents were women (p. 30).

Approximately 82 percent of the respondents came from businesses that employed five or less employees. This group comprises only 52 percent of the businesses statewide (see Table XI).
TABLE XI

PERCENTAGE OF ALL BUSINESSES
BY NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clackamas Center Respondents</th>
<th>Oregon Businesses With Less Than 50 Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 24</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 +</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Small Business Survey (p. 6) by Oregon Department of Economic Development, 1989, Salem, OR: Oregon State Printing Office.

Utilizing the small business growth model "number of employees" criteria to categorize respondents, the study found that 77 percent of the clients were stage one and stage two companies (see Table XII). The "dollar amount of assets" criteria appears to shift approximately 12 percent of the stage one clients to stage two. Two factors that may account for this shift are time lags between increasing sales and hiring employees, or increasing sales without any plans for hiring more employees.

Most respondents (81.6%) were from service related companies. This compares to 83 percent in Owens's Network study (see Table XIII).
TABLE XII
RESPONDENTS BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Dollar Amount Of Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-stage One</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage One</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Two</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Three</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE XIII
RESPONDENTS BY INDUSTRY
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Industry</th>
<th>1989 Clackamas Center Study</th>
<th>1985 Oregon Network Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; Wholesale</td>
<td>39 (27.7%)</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services</td>
<td>76 (53.9%)</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>4 (2.8%)</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>22 (15.6%)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>141 (100.0%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Approximately 72 percent of the respondents were are between 30 and 49 years of age (see Table XIV).
TABLE XIV
RESPONDENTS BY AGE
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>1989 Clackamas Center Study</th>
<th>1985 Oregon Network Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 21</td>
<td>3 (2.2%)</td>
<td>_%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 29</td>
<td>7 (5.0%)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 39</td>
<td>37 (26.6%)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>63 (45.3%)</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>26 (18.7%)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 or over</td>
<td>3 (2.2%)</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>139 (100.0%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fifty percent (70 respondents) of the respondents were college graduates, with 34.3 percent (48 respondents) having completed some post-graduate studies (see Table XV).
TABLE XV
EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND OF RESPONDENTS
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational Background</th>
<th>Number Of Clients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High School or less</td>
<td>17 (12.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>53 (37.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>22 (15.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some post-graduate work</td>
<td>27 (19.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate degree</td>
<td>21 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximately 81 percent (114 respondents) of the respondents said they wanted assistance is solving specific business programs when they visited the center (see Table XVI).

Summary of Client Profile Findings

The study found that the typical respondents were from companies that had been in operation for a relatively short period of time, employed few people, and were in the service industry. Owners tended to be middle aged, highly educated, and interested in solving specific business problems when seeking assistance. Most clients were found to be either stage one or stage two clients.
### TABLE XVI

SPECIFIC PROBLEM AREAS FOR WHICH RESPONDENTS SOUGHT ASSISTANCE
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem Area</th>
<th>Number of Clients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>63 (55.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Plan Preparation</td>
<td>57 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting/Bookkeeping</td>
<td>42 (36.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Analysis</td>
<td>37 (32.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Feasibility</td>
<td>33 (29.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assistance</td>
<td>28 (24.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Structure</td>
<td>19 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>19 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (non-specified)</td>
<td>15 (13.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>14 (12.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>8 (7.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory Control</td>
<td>8 (7.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Assistance</td>
<td>8 (7.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Percentages are greater than 100.0% because of clients seeking assistance for multiple problems.

### ANALYSIS OF PROGRAM CONTENT

Table XVII presents the client means for both the Short-term Counseling and SBM program informational content variables.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>Number of Clients</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short-term Counseling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Knowledge</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.150</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Suggestions</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.050</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Understanding</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.257</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Provided</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.970</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Business Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Information</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.690</td>
<td>1.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's Knowledge</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4.123</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Portion</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.333</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Ratio</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.886</td>
<td>1.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Hours</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.686</td>
<td>1.336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Base upon the following "5 - 1" interval scale: Highly Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Highly Disagree.

The client mean for the variable "Appropriate Information" lies at the low end of the 3.686 - 4.333 range for all nine informational content variables (for both programs). Respondents appear to have been least satisfied with the information presented in the SBM class sessions. Two factors that may have influenced the low client rating of appropriate information are apparent differences in
client/instructor expectations (see Table XVIII) and the
topics covered in the program's curriculum.

Center personnel and clients appear to have opposite
expectations of what the SBM program is intended to
accomplish. Instructors and counselors understand the
primary purpose is to assist clients in learning the skills
necessary to solve their own business problems (Appendix H).
Their goal for the individual completing the SBM programs is
for that person to effectively utilize company records,
develop solutions to problems, and manage organizational
growth. Clients, on the other hand, come to the program
expecting practical solutions that can be adopted in their
companies.

This dichotomy between the clients and center personnel
can be seen in Tables XVIII and XIX, which compare the
responses of both groups to questions in the Wheeler and
Marshall (1986) Training Style Preference Inventory (a
summary of the test comparisons appears in Appendix F).
Table XVIII reveals that clients envision the instructor as
an advisor, an expert, an interpreter of information, and a
person directly involved in problem solving. Center
personnel envision themselves as as advisors, coaches, group
facilitators. They prefer a role of helping clients to find
their own specific solutions.
TABLE XVIII

COMPARISON OF RESPONDENTS AND CENTER PERSONNEL PREFERENCES OF INSTRUCTOR'S INSTRUCTIONAL ROLE
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Instructional Emphasis</th>
<th>Client Preferences</th>
<th>Center Personnel Preferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Sub-Question 6)</td>
<td>N = 129</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Expert</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Scholar</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Advisor</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Friend</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sub-Question 7)</td>
<td>N = 129</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Coach</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Group Facilitator</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Director</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Interpreter Of Information</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Respondents were requested to distribute 10 points between all four of the above responses for both sub-question 6 and 7. The percentages were calculated by using the total number of points for that particular question.

Table XIX reveals that although both groups value the independent application of information and the teaching of theories and principles, client and center personnel place a greater emphasis on the opposite instructional emphasis. Clients emphasize the instructor's role of demonstrating application and instructors emphasize the client's role of self-discovery (independent evaluation and application).
### TABLE XIX

**COMPARISON OF RESPONDENTS AND CENTER PERSONNEL
PREFERENCES OF CLIENT INVOLVEMENT IN CLASS SESSIONS
1990**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Instructional Emphasis</th>
<th>Client Preferences</th>
<th>Center Personnel Preferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning About Theories, Principles, And Concepts</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning How To Independently Evaluate And Apply Information</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressing Feelings Regarding Various Theories, Principles, And Concepts</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observing Carefully How Theories, Principles, And Concepts Have Been Applied</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** Respondents were requested to distribute 10 points between all four of the above responses. The percentages were calculated by using the total number of points for that particular question.

The second factor that may account for the low client rating of the information's appropriateness is the present curriculum of the SBM program (see Appendix I for summary of course topics in the SBM programs). The Greenhouse curriculum appears to be consistent with the recommended feasibility topics listed in the Small Business Informational Content and Instructional Design Checklist (see Appendix C).
The topics listed in the Small Business Management program appear to cover rudimentary financial and accounting, marketing, planning, and personnel issues and systems. Evaluating the quality of information presented in covering those topics was beyond the scope of this study. However, the topics listed in the printed curriculum appear to be most appropriate for the stage one and stage two companies. The curriculum does not appear to contain the type of courses necessary for assisting stage three clients in accessing the adequacy of their present systems and exploring more advanced systems for organizational growth.

To test this premise, seven hypotheses were developed and tested. Each hypothesis related the level of client satisfaction for a specific informational content variable to the small business growth stage of respondents.

Hypotheses Testing

Before calculating the One-way Anova tests, a series of chi- squares was conducted between the demographic independent variables "age", "gender", and "educational background", and both the Small Business Growth Stage (Number of Employees) and Small Business Growth Stage (Dollar Amount Of Assets). The purpose of conducting these chi- squares was to test for the existence of a significant relationship between any of the demographic variables and the respective growth stages. The results of these chi-squares are presented in Table XX.
TABLE XX
RESULTS OF CHI-SQUARE TESTS BETWEEN KEY INDEPENDENT DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES AND THE SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE BY NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AND DOLLAR AMOUNT OF ASSETS 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Chi-Square Value</th>
<th>Degrees Of Freedom</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>4.121</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>3.577</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar Amount of Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.022</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.732</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>6.977</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2.756</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of the significant relationship found to exist between the independent variable "Gender" and the independent variable "Small Business Growth Stage" (Dollar Amount Of Assets), a series of Analysis of Covariance tests was conducted to correct for the effects of the variable "Gender" upon the significant F-test ratios found when utilizing the "Small Business Growth Stage (Dollar Amount Of Assets)". The multiple comparison method Tukey-Cramer was utilized between each paired combinations of means for the
four "Small Business Growth Stages" for each One-way Anova test.

Table XXI presents the results of the six one-way anovas that were conducted in order to test for the existence of significant differences in respondent ratings of short-term counseling program variables by Small Business Growth Stage (Number of Employees). No significant differences were found to exist based upon small business growth stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>F-Test</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Knowledge</td>
<td>.248</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Suggestions</td>
<td>2.200</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Promptness</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Courteousness</td>
<td>.596</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Understanding</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Provided</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XXII presents the results of both the six analysis of covariance and six one-way anovas that were conducted to test for the existence of significant differences in respondent ratings of short-term counseling program variables based upon Small Business Growth Stage.
(Dollar Amount of Assets). No significant differences were found to exist based upon small business growth stage.

TABLE XXII

F-TEST RATIOS OF SHORT-TERM COUNSELING VARIABLES BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE (DOLLAR AMOUNT OF ASSETS) 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>F-Test Ratio</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>F-Test Ratio</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Knowledge</td>
<td>.417</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.354</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Suggestions</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.981</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Promptness</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>1.770</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Courteousness</td>
<td>.181</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.313</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Understanding</td>
<td>1.351</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Provided</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XXIII presents the results of the eight one-way anovas that were conducted to test for the existence of significant differences in respondent ratings of SBM program variables based upon Small Business Growth Stage (Number of Employees). Significant differences were found to exist in
respondent ratings of the "Appropriate Information" and "Instructor's Knowledge."

**TABLE XXIII**

**F-TEST RATIOS OF SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM VARIABLES BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE (NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES) 1990**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>F-Test Ratio</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Information</td>
<td>3.171</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's Knowledge</td>
<td>4.521</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Participation</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Portion</td>
<td>1.501</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Ratio</td>
<td>.987</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Hours</td>
<td>.482</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety of Instructional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>1.521</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XXIV presents the results of both the six analyses of covariance and six one-way anovas that were conducted to test for the existence of significant differences in respondent ratings of SBM program variables based upon Small Business Growth Stage (Dollar Amount of
Assets). A significant difference was found to exist in respondent rating of the "Instructor's Knowledge."

**TABLE XXIV**

F-TEST RATIOS OF SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM VARIABLES BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE (DOLLAR AMOUNT OF ASSETS) 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>F-Test Ratio</th>
<th>F-Test Ratio</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate</td>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>1.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's</td>
<td>3.166</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>3.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>1.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Participation</td>
<td>.929</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Portion</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>1.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Hours</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>1.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional</td>
<td>.490</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods Effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety of</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>1.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XXV presents the means of these significant program variables by client small business growth stage.
### TABLE XXV

**SIGNIFICANT PROGRAM VARIABLES BY CLIENT SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE 1990**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>Growth Stage</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number Of Employees</strong></td>
<td>Pre-stage 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>1.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Information</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.700</td>
<td>1.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4.077</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.800</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's Knowledge</td>
<td>Pre-stage 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.545</td>
<td>1.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.400</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.222</td>
<td>0.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dollar Amount Of Assets</strong></td>
<td>Pre-stage 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.545</td>
<td>1.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's Knowledge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.278</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4.324</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.571</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** Base upon the following "5 - 1" interval scale: Highly Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Highly Disagree.

Table XXVI presents the Tukey-Cramer Comparison tests for significance between the client Small Business Growth Stage (Number of Employees) means of the program variable "Appropriate Information." There was a significant
difference between the means of stage two and stage three companies.

**TABLE XXVI**

TUKEY-CRAMER COMPARISON TESTS FOR SIGNIFICANCE
SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM VARIABLE:
APPROPRIATE INFORMATION BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE
(NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES)
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Differences/Comparison Probabilities</th>
<th>Pre-State</th>
<th>Stage One</th>
<th>Stage Two</th>
<th>Stage Three</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Stage</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage One</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XXVII presents the Tukey-Cramer Comparison tests for significance between the client Small Business Growth Stage (Number of Employees) means for the program variable "Instructor's Knowledge." Significant differences were found to exist between the means of stage one and stage three companies, and stage two and stage three companies.
TABLE XXVII
TUKEY-CRAMER COMPARISON TESTS FOR SIGNIFICANCE
SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM VARIABLE:
INSTRUCTOR'S KNOWLEDGE BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE
(NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES)
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-State</th>
<th>Stage One</th>
<th>Stage Two</th>
<th>Stage Three</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Stage</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage One</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; .28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Two</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; .40</td>
<td>P &lt; .94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Three</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; .85</td>
<td>P &lt; .00</td>
<td>P &lt; .00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XXVIII presents the Tukey-Cramer Comparison tests for significance between the client Small Business Growth Stage (Dollar Amount Of Assets) means for the program variable "Instructor's Knowledge." A significant difference was found to exist between the means of a stage two and stage three companies.
TABLE XXVIII

TUKEY-CRAMER COMPARISON TESTS FOR SIGNIFICANCE
SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM VARIABLE:
INSTRUCTOR'S KNOWLEDGE BY SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH STAGE
(DOLLAR AMOUNT OF ASSETS)
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-State</th>
<th>Stage One</th>
<th>Stage Two</th>
<th>Stage Three</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Stage</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage One</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; .34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Two</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; .12</td>
<td>P &lt; 1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Three</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 1.00</td>
<td>P &lt; .24</td>
<td>P &lt; .03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of Hypothesis Testing

The results of the hypotheses testing revealed that within the stage two/stage three comparisons, the lower stage three client ratings for the variables "Information Appropriateness" and "Instructor's Knowledge" were found to be statistically significant. While the composite client mean for Appropriate Information was 3.690, an analysis of respondents categorized by growth stage (number of employees method) revealed a range of means from a high of 4.077 for stage two clients to a low of 2.800 for stage three clients. This result would appear to support the assumption that
stage three clients desire more sophisticated knowledge and skills than those presented in the Small Business Management Program.

The mean for pre-stage one clients (feasibility study) was 3.100, which places their level of satisfaction at the low end of the range. At the time this study was being conducted, a new Greenhouse workbook was being developed. A survey of Greenhouse clients using the new workbook should be conducted to see if the new material is deemed more appropriate by respondents.

The composite client mean for Instructor's Knowledge was 4.123. When the number of employees criteria was used to categorize respondents by growth stage, the means ranged from 4.400 for stage one clients to 3.200 for stage three clients. When the dollar amount of assets criteria was used, the means ranged from 4.324 for stage two clients to 3.571 for stage three clients. In both tests, there was a statistically significant decline in respondent evaluation of the instructor competency when clients reached stage three.

One factor that may influence this decline in the stage three evaluation of instructor knowledge is the process used in finalizing the curricular design of the Small Business Management Program (see Appendix H, question 12). Clients are involved in the curriculum decision process at the initial class meeting. The class is asked whether there are
other topical areas that should be substituted for recommended curriculum. Once group consensus is obtained, instructors select the specific material based on their initial impressions of the clients' business knowledge and individual technical sophistication level. Because classes have few stage three participants, the group consensus process may create a curriculum that is even less relevant for them than originally designed.

ANALYSIS OF PROGRAM INSTRUCTIONAL AND CURRICULAR DESIGN

Table XXIX presents the client means of program variables directly related to the instructional and curricular design of Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs (SBM programs).

The instructional and curricular program variables received the lowest client ratings of all program variables in the study (3.384 - 3.630). Respondents rated their participation in the planning and coordination of the curricular design of the SBM program lowest of all program variables (3.384). One factor that appears to have influenced this low client rating is the relevance of the material to the needs of the organization. Approximately 60 percent (41 respondents) said that they would like to have been more personally involved in tailoring the program to meet specific company needs (see Table XXX).
### TABLE XXIX
RESPONDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTIONAL AND CURRICULAR PROGRAM VARIABLES FOR SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Variables</th>
<th>Number of Clients</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client Participation</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.384</td>
<td>1.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Methods Effectiveness</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.630</td>
<td>1.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety of Instructional Methods</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.634</td>
<td>1.137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** Base upon the following "5 - 1" interval scale: Highly Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Highly Disagree.

### TABLE XXX
INSTRUCTIONAL AND CURRICULAR INVOLVEMENT DESIRED BY CLIENTS 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Involvement</th>
<th>Number of Clients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of company's problems</td>
<td>19 (46.34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of company's long-term goals</td>
<td>19 (46.34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of personal managerial skills</td>
<td>22 (53.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of information to be covered</td>
<td>17 (41.46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of instructional methods</td>
<td>19 (46.34%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** Percentages are over 100 percent because of multiple selections by respondents.
The lecturing and small business group instructional methods that instructors said they used every class session (Appendix H) appear to agree with the respondents' opinion that these are the best methods for learning to take place (see Table XXXI).

TABLE XXXI
BEST INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS FOR LEARNING TO TAKE PLACE 1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Clients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small Group Discussions</td>
<td>109 (82.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectures</td>
<td>98 (73.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Films/Video Tapes</td>
<td>67 (50.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role Playing</td>
<td>27 (20.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Simulations</td>
<td>23 (17.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Percentages are greater than 100.00 percent because of multiple selections by respondents.

The film/video tape instructional method received 50.4 percent of the responses and should be seriously considered for use in the SBM program.

Using the Small Business Informational Content And Instructional Design Program Checklist (see Appendix C) to review the instructional and curricular design of the SBM program revealed that instructors did not utilize team building exercises, client preferred learning styles, and the systematic involvement of clients in selecting
instructional methods and evaluating the program throughout the course of study. All of these recommended procedures tend to increase the learner's perception of program ownership and the relevancy of the material to their goals and objectives.

PERCEIVED BENEFITS OF ASSISTANCE

Table XXXII reveals that approximately one-half (47 percent) of the respondents felt the assistance they received helped them start their business. Although 38.3 percent of the clients said the assistance was not helpful in starting a business, this may be the result of an effective program which discourages infeasible business ideas.

Approximately one-third of the respondents felt the assistance helped them to stay out of financial trouble, increase sales, and increase profits. It is impossible to tell whether the "no" and "do not know" responses reflect the informational content of the program or the short time period between seeking assistance in 1989 and the date of this study. A longitudinal study of clients should be instituted to clarify this matter.

Only 6.5 percent of the respondents said that the center's assistance was helpful in increasing employee levels. This may also be a reflection of the time period
between seeking assistance and the study or simply businesses not desiring to increase employment levels.

TABLE XXXII
CLIENT PERCEIVED BENEFITS OF THE PROGRAM
1990

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Avoid Business</th>
<th>Avoid Financial Trouble</th>
<th>Increased Sales</th>
<th>Increased Profits</th>
<th>Increased Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54 47.0%</td>
<td>44 36.7%</td>
<td>39 32.8%</td>
<td>36 30.5%</td>
<td>7 6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>44 38.3%</td>
<td>28 23.3%</td>
<td>37 31.1%</td>
<td>39 33.1%</td>
<td>57 53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>17 14.7%</td>
<td>48 40.0%</td>
<td>43 36.1%</td>
<td>43 36.4%</td>
<td>43 40.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>115 100.0%</td>
<td>120 100.0%</td>
<td>119 100.0%</td>
<td>118 100.0%</td>
<td>107 100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** ? = clients did not know whether the assistance received from the center was helpful in starting their business, avoiding financial trouble, increased sales, etc.

SUMMARY OF OVERALL STUDY FINDINGS

The study found that respondents were primarily from businesses that had been in operation for a relatively short period of time, employed limited numbers of workers, and were in the service industry. Most of these companies were stage one and stage two businesses. Owners of these businesses were well educated and primarily middle aged. Their major motivation for seeking assistance for the Clackamas Center was to find solutions to specific business problems.
The Small Business Management Program variable "Appropriate Information" received one of the lowest client ratings of all the informational content variables in the study. Two factors that were suggested as possibly influencing this low client rating were differences in client/center personnel expectations and the curricular content of the program. Clients appear to envision the appropriate role for the instructor as that of a consultant. The short-term applicability of information to business problems appears to be more highly valued by clients than the potential long-term benefits of learning management theory. Center personnel see their role in a more traditional instructor/student role. The purpose of the program is to help clients develop their own long-term problem solving capabilities.

Using the Small Business Informational Content and Instructional Design Program Checklist as a guide, the study found that the Greenhouse curriculum covered the major topics of a comprehensive feasibility study. The topics listed in the Small Business Management curriculum were found to be most appropriate for the stage one and stage two companies.

The study found that there existed a significant decline in the stage three clients' evaluation of the informational content of the program. The results of the hypotheses testing revealed that within the stage two/stage
three client comparisons, the lower stage three client ratings for the informational content variables "Information Appropriateness" and "Instructor's Knowledge" were found to be statistically significant. The process by which instructors finalize the curriculum development was suggested as one factor that might influence the lower stage three client rating of the "Instructor's Knowledge."

The study found that instructional and curricular program variables received the lowest client ratings of all program variables in the study. Respondents were least satisfied with their participation in the planning and coordination of the program to meet the specific needs of their company. This again appears to be related to the appropriateness of informational content. Clients said that they desired to be more involved in assessing company problems, long-term goals, and their own managerial skills. Clients and instructors agreed that lectures and small group discussions were the best instructional methods to be used in classes. The SBM program did not exhibit the use of team building exercises, client preferred learning styles, and the formal involvement of clients in selecting instructional methods and the continual evaluation of their educational experience.
CHAPTER V
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUMMARY

The purpose of this study was to analyze how the Clackamas Center's Small Business Management Program contributed to improving the managerial and administrative skills of clients. The scope of this study was limited to these three research objectives: to ascertain what information should be transferred to small business owners and how best to transfer that information; to ascertain what information is presently being transferred to clients and what methods are used in transferring that information; and to survey clients in order to develop a current demographic profile, measure their level of satisfaction with the assistance received, and ascertain what they perceived were the benefits of the program to their companies.

The first objective of establishing a recommended body of information to be transferred to clients was developed from the small business growth model literature. The recommended methods of transferring this information were developed from the adult learning literature. This collection of information and instructional methods was incorporated into a "Small Business Informational Content
And Instructional Design Program Checklist." This checklist was used as a tool in analyzing the client surveys, Clackamas Center Personnel interviews, and the materials used in the Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs. The study was conducted in two phases, a client survey phase and a center personnel interview phase.

A client survey was mailed to all 488 individuals that sought assistance from the Clackamas center during 1989. The survey instrument consisted of the following: ten general demographic questions; a fourteen item question, "Client Evaluation Of The Program: Counseling, Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs," containing declarative statements related to informational content variables with a five point interval scale; three program improvement questions; one benefits perception question; and a seven item question, "Training Style Preferences Inventory" (Wheeler & Marshall, 1986), containing declarative statements related to instructional preferences. The response rate to the client survey was 29.5 percent (144 respondents).

The sample contained clients who had participated in both the Short-term Counseling Program and the Small Business Management Programs (53.2 percent) and clients who had participated only in the Short-term Counseling Program (46.8 percent). Approximately 18 percent of the clients were considering the feasibility of starting a new business.
The remaining 72 percent were primarily from stage one and stage two companies that had been in operation for less than five years, employed five or fewer employees, and were in the service industry. Respondents were primarily between 30 and 49 years old (71.9 percent) and 36.3 percent had completed at least some post-graduate college work.

Over one-half of the respondents (55.7 percent) were women. The study found no statistically significant differences in client opinions or evaluations based on gender. Future studies may want to focus on exploring whether women entrepreneurs have special informational or instructional design needs.

The client mean for the Small Business Management program variable "Appropriate Information" was the second lowest (3.690) of all nine informational related variables. An analysis of means by the clients' small business growth stage revealed a statistically significant decline in respondent satisfaction between stage two and stage three clients (4.077 to 2.800). "Instructor's Knowledge" also showed a statistically significant decline in client means (4.123). When respondents were segmented into growth stages by number of employees, the stage three mean (3.200) was found to be significantly lower than both the stage one mean (4.400) and stage two mean (4.222). When clients were categorized by dollar amount of assets, the stage three mean
(3.571) was found to be significantly lower than the stage two mean (4.324).

The SBM instructional and curricular variables received the lowest client means of all program variables in the study (3.384 - 3.630). Approximately 60 percent of the respondents desired to be more involved in tailoring the SBM program to the needs of their company.

Approximately one-third of the respondents perceived the assistance they received as helping them to stay out of financial trouble, increase sales, and increase profits. Only 6.5 percent of clients felt the assistance was helpful in increasing the number of their employees.

During the second phase of the study, a series of in-depth interviews was conducted with the eight center personnel involved in the Short-term Counseling and Greenhouse/Small Business Management Programs. The foci of this phase was to ascertain what standard processes, procedures, and systems were used in assisting clients; what factors were used by center personnel in developing the informational and instructional design of the assistance; and how clients were involved in the planning, coordinating, and the delivery of the assistance.

The center personnel instrument consisted of the following: nine questions related to factors and procedures personnel utilized in designing the program informational content; one instructional methods question; three client
involvement questions; and a seven item question, "Training Style Preferences Inventory" (Wheeler & Marshall, 1986), containing declarative statements related to instructional preferences. The study found that all clients go through an initial counseling session with one of the eight individuals involved in the Short-term Counseling or SBM programs. Center personnel determine whether to recommend the Greenhouse or Small Business Management programs to clients at this initial conference.

Instructors do not use the businesses' sales levels, numbers of employees, dollar amounts of assets, or other standard factors in designing the informational content of the class sessions. The SBM programs did not exhibit the use of team building exercises, client preferred learning styles, or the formal involvement of clients in selecting the instructional methods and continual evaluation of the classroom sessions.

When the responses of clients and center personnel were compared, two important findings emerged regarding the instructional design of the Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs. First, instructors consistently use the lecture and small group instructional methods which clients overwhelming said were the best methods for learning to take place. Second, center personnel and clients differ in their expectations of what the SBM program should accomplish. Center personnel perceive the purpose of the
program as providing clients with the knowledge and skills necessary for solving their own problems. Clients perceive the purpose as developing solutions for immediate organizational problems. This key perceptual difference may account for the clients lower ratings of the appropriateness of the program's informational content and their involvement in tailoring the program to their needs.

CONCLUSIONS

One of the major findings of the study was the relevancy and interconnectness of both adult learning theory and small business growth theory in the investigation of the Small Business Development Center Program. Prior to the study, there had been little systematic research of what information should be transferred to SBDC clients and the most effective methods of transferring that information. One purpose of the study was to explore these issues within the context of organizational informational needs and client adult learning needs. Although the 29.5 percent response rate achieved by the client survey limits the generalizability of the study to all Oregon Small Business Development Center Network clients or Clackamas Center clients, a number of conclusions can be drawn regarding a sizable portion of the center's clientele.

This study's findings suggests that the learning needs and desires of clients may be two of the most important
factors influencing their evaluation of the program's informational content and instructional design. The behavior exhibited by clients is very aptly described by Houle's (1963) description of the "goal-oriented" learner; Knox's (1986) description of the "passive adult" learner; and Kolb's (1981) description of the "accommodator" learner. A "goal-oriented" learner establishes very precise educational goals and outcomes related to achieving specific personal and/or professional goals.

Clients may not have been able to articulate a set of educational goals and outcomes, but the responses of approximately 81 percent of the sample revealed an overwhelming interest in solving very specific business problems. This client preoccupation with finding solutions to specific problems is a logical inference of the small business growth stage model. Most of the clients were from stage one and stage two businesses. The growth model predicts that it is only by stage three that most companies are able to assemble the critical mass of financial and personnel resources needed to ensure long-term endurance and stability. The short-term benefits of immediate solutions to problems took precedence over the long-term benefits of learning how to become a more effective manager and administrator. This client preoccupation with the immediate situation was clearly evident in their expectations of what
should be the educational goals and outcomes of the Small Business Management program.

While center instructors envisioned their role in terms of the traditional instructor/student paradigm, clients were exhibiting the perceptions of Knox's (1986) "passive adult learner." Client responses to the "Training Style Preferences Inventory" (Wheller & Marshall, 1986) clearly revealed that they expected the instructor to play the role of the authority figure who is the "transmitter of knowledge and judge of learner achievement" (Knox, 1986, p. 25). The term "passive adult learner" is used in a very narrow sense and should not be understood as descriptive of the overall behavioral pattern of small business entrepreneurs. Individuals who start businesses do not exhibit passive behavior. However, these self-directed, risk takers defer to the expertise of an outside authority in those areas in which they have little knowledge and experience. Thus a highly self-directed business owner with many years of technical product knowledge takes a less dominant stance with regards to managerial and administrative problem solving. This reliance on outside experts in areas where they have little expertise is an integral part of the behavior exhibited by Kolb's (1981) "Accommodator" learner.

Accommodators are risk takers who prefer concrete experiences and active experimentation and rely on experts rather than their own problem solving abilities. These
characteristics were exhibited in the clients' emphasis on the importance of the instructor's problem/solution expertise rather than the long-term benefits of developing their own problem solving abilities. Clients wanted solutions that could be directly applied to their business rather than a discussion of abstract principles and case studies.

Knox (1986) suggests that the most appropriate educational program for the "passive adult learner" should have very specifically prescribed objectives, packaged content, and formal achievement-related testing and assessment to be used in developing learner desired proficiencies. The Small Business Management Program did not appear to exhibit these characteristics. The high degree of flexibility in the selection process and curricular design process may in fact work against meeting the needs of small business owners.

Clients are selected for the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs based on the counselor evaluation of the business' financial viability and the client's psychological make-up. However, counselors were not provided with a standard body of assessment criteria or instruments to assist them in the assessment process. Counselors appear to have had only their prior knowledge and business experience to guide them in the evaluation process. This discussion is not intended to question the competency
of counselors and instructors in managerial and administrative knowledge and skills. All of the Clackamas center personnel who were interviewed had either previously owned a small business, owned a small business at that time, and/or had many years of experience working with small businesses. The issue is one of insuring that all clients are consistently and objectively evaluated. This task is greatly simplified when clearly defined assessment standards and tools are incorporated into the process.

During the initial Small Business Management class session, clients are asked if there are alternative topics that should be substituted for the proscribed curriculum that appears in the published brochures. The question is not whether clients should be involved, but to what degree should they be involved? Can they make an informed decision about what they need to know at the beginning of the program? The issue is whether this process lessens the systematic approach of providing clients with a comprehensive curricular design intended to enhance their managerial and administrative abilities. This process may lessen the "passive adult learner's" perception of both the appropriateness of the program's informational content and the competency of the instructor. The skillful guidance of the instructor is crucial to design an adequate curriculum. Factors such as sales levels, employee levels, and dollar asset levels might prove helpful in assisting instructors to
more closely match class content to client need and technical sophistication.

An analysis of the curricular design of the Small Business Management Program reveals that the program focuses on increasing the clients' awareness of important issues relating to general managerial and operational topic areas. During each class session a new topic is introduced and discussed. Because of the rapidness with which topics are covered, an important question for further study is to what degree are clients able to successfully implement the basic accounting, financial, marketing, and operational systems and structures they study in the SBM program.

One unexpected finding was that so few of the respondents were from stage three companies. An analysis of the study suggests several possible reasons. Stage three clients may have been more reluctant to respond or less willing to take the time to participate in the study. Stage three clients may tend to utilize their financial resources to secure assistance initially from other sources. Stage three clients may find the center's assistance inappropriate for their organization's needs and simply drop out of the program. Whatever the reason, the study found that stage three clients rated the SBM curriculum to be less appropriate for their needs. The curriculum does not contain the assessment of present and alternative managerial and operational systems and structures needed by stage three
companies. This is an important issue for a program designed to assist small businesses, since most organizations do not achieve financial stability until stage three. The SBDC program should explore expanding its curriculum to include the assessment of client managerial and operational systems and structures.

The study found that approximately 82 percent of the clients were from companies in the service industry. The classification criteria of "numbers of employees" and "dollar amount of assets" may be more appropriate for manufacturing companies than service companies. More study needs to be done in investigating whether there are more appropriate factors that can be used to categorize service industry clients.

The study found that approximately 56 percent of the respondents were women. It is not known why such a high proportion of women seek assistance from the SBDC program. No special advertising appeals appear to be directed towards women. No special courses directed at women were included in the curriculum design. Little systematic study has been done regarding whether the needs of women entrepreneurs are markedly different from men. The study found no differences in client evaluation based on gender. This is an area that should be explored further.
RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROGRAM DEVELOPERS

It is recommended that a formalized system of client management and administrative skills assessment be instituted during the initial counseling session. Approximately 60 percent of the clients who participated in the Greenhouse/Small Business Management programs indicated that such a formalized assessment program would improve the curricular design of the SBM program. This formalized system should include self-assessment inventory instruments and tests that include direct observation by counselors. Specific areas to be assessed are the clients' own long-term personal and business related goals, and their managerial knowledge, skills, and abilities. This would allow clients and counselors/instructors to evaluate and agree upon what additional skills and knowledge are needed by the client. A learning plan consisting of a specific time frame, learning objectives, a list of educational activities, and an appropriate method of evaluation could then be established. The closer the learning objectives can be directly related to specific business outcomes (i.e., specific increase in sales, profits, etc.) the more relevant the information presented in the SBM program is likely to be perceived by clients.

It is recommended that the Kolb Learning Style Inventory also be incorporated into the initial counseling session. This would assist the instructor in designing the
instructional methods and teaching style that is most effective for individual clients. Depending on the partial learning preference group make-up of the class, instructors may want to consider designing special small group activities around client learning preferences.

It is recommended that instructors develop cases and other instructional materials that directly relate to the businesses of class members. By utilizing actual client companies for instructional illustrations, instructors can underscore the relevancy of the managerial and administrative principles to the clients and hopefully increase the likelihood of achieving their own goals of helping clients learn how to eventually solve their own problems.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR POLICY MAKERS

The Oregon Small Business Development Center Network assistance programs should be expanded to cover the needs of stage three companies. It is during stage three when most companies tend to achieve a level of financial stability and provide a rapid increase in the number of jobs produced (from 25 to 250). Expanding OSBDCN's assistance to stage three companies might entail the development of new informational programs, specialized industry programs, and/or expanded Small Business Management Programs to include the system and structure assessment related
curriculum. Since the use of small group activities was recommended by both clients and center personnel, a separate stage three client program might prove more effective. The organizational and managerial problems, challenges, and interests are more likely to be similar for clients from the same growth stage.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

This study should be replicated at other small business development centers within the Oregon Small Business Development Center Network. By utilizing the procedures and instruments developed for this study, analysts would be able to evaluate the level of appropriateness of individual Short-term Counseling and Small Business Management programs of centers across the state. This would assist policy makers in ensuring that the highest program quality is consistently available to clients across Oregon.

A longitudinal study of clients should be developed to investigate the long-term results of the assistance they received from the Small Business Management Program. It is recommended that the initial client survey be conducted as a part of the last class session. This would likely increase the response rate and provide an adequate measurement base for analyzing annual follow-up surveys.

As a part of this longitudinal study, further research should be conducted to investigate the relationship between
the client's preferred learning style and his or her evaluation of the informational content, and curricular and instructional design of the Small Business Management and other assistance programs. This could be accomplished by substituting the Kolb Learning Style Inventory for the Weller and Marshall "Training Style Preference Inventory."

A third recommendation for further research is the investigation of what specific systems, skills, and information is needed by stage three businesses in different industries in order to grow. There appears to be little systematic research in this area. This information would assist small business development center administrators in evaluating whether present programs could be modified or new programs developed to meet the needs of stage three companies.

The last recommendation is to investigate the needs of women entrepreneurs. Few studies have analyzed this rapidly growing group. Do the informational and instructional needs of women differ significantly from those of men? Do women-owned companies differ significantly from men-owned business? Is there a considerable difference in the skills, abilities, and knowledge brought to small business ownership by women entrepreneurs?

These research projects would enhance the ability of the Oregon Small Business Development Center Network program to make a systematic and comprehensive contribution to
improving the managerial and administrative skills of a broader range of clients. OSBDCN can make a positive contribution towards increasing the level of productivity in the U.S. and Oregon economies by assisting small businesses to adopt the managerial and technological innovations that will insure their continued long-term viability.
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APPENDIX A

CLACKAMAS SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER
CLIENT SURVEY
CLACKAMAS SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER
CLIENT SURVEY

Please answer the questions below by writing your answer in the space provided, or by checking the box which best describes your answer. In describing an experience or problem you've encountered, please be as specific as you can. Thanks for your help in this important program evaluation. Your responses will remain confidential.

1. Do you presently own or manage a small business? Yes 0 No 0
   If Yes, how long has your company been in business? _________

2. Please identify the one major business activity of either your present or proposed business: (please check one category)
   Retail 0 Construction 0 General Service 0 Wholesale 0 Manufacturing 0 Other (please write in) _________
   Please write in your SIC code (if known) _________

3. When you contacted the SBDC did you have a specific problem to be addressed? Yes 0 No 0
   If yes, check all that apply.
   Accounting, Bookkeeping 0 Business plan preparation 0
   Market analysis 0 Financial assistance 0
   Management structure 0 Business feasibility 0 Legal 0
   Production 0 Inventory control 0 Technical assistance
   (i.e., product feasibility, engineering) 0 Personnel 0
   Marketing 0 Other (please write in) _________

4. Was your specific problem addressed by the counselor? Yes 0 No 0
   If no, what problem(s) was not addressed by the counselor? _________

5. How satisfied were you with the counseling that you received?
   Very satisfied 5 4 3 2 1 Very unsatisfied 0 0 0 0 0

6. Have you participated in any of the following SBDC's programs:
   Greenhouse Program, Small Business Management I Program, Small Business Management II Program?
   Yes 0 No 0.
   If no, skip to question 10
   If yes, which programs:
   Present Status
   Completed Presently In
   Program Program
   Greenhouse: 0 0
   Small Business Management I 0 0
   Small Business Management II 0 0
7. Are there any additional subjects that should be included in the Greenhouse, Small Business Management, and Profit Improvement Programs? Yes 0 No 0
   If yes, what should be included? (Please write in)
   Greenhouse
   Small Business Mgmt. I
   Small Business Mgmt. II

8. Are there any ways in which the counseling portion of Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs can be improved? No 0 Yes 0
   If yes, which of the following options do you believe would improve the counseling portion of the program:
   A. More hours per week should be devoted to counseling 0
   B. Total number of hours of counseling should be increased 0
   C. Other (please write in) ____________________________

9. Are there any ways in which you would have liked to have been involved in tailoring the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs to meet the specific needs of your company? No 0 Yes 0
   If yes, in which of the following ways would you like to be involved in the process:
   A. Participating in an assessment of your company's problem areas 0
   B. Participating in an assessment of your long-term goals and objectives for your company (or prospective company) 0
   C. Participating in an assessment of your personal managerial abilities, skills, and knowledge 0
   D. Participating in the process of selecting the information to be covered in the Small Business Management classes 0
   E. Participating in the selection of instructional methods used in classes (such as lecturing, small group discussions, computer simulations, etc.) 0
   F. Other (please specify) ____________________________

Client Evaluation Of The Program
Please check only one box per item:

10. Counseling: Highly
   Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Disagree
   A. The counselor's knowledge of problem(s) to be addressed was excellent 0 0 0 0 0
   B. The counselor's suggestions to solve the problem(s) being discussed were appropriate for the situation 0 0 0 0 0
   C. Your request for assistance received prompt attention by the counselor 0 0 0 0 0
   D. The counselor was courteous towards you 0 0 0 0 0
   E. The counselor understood your long-term goals for your company 0 0 0 0 0
   F. The materials provided to you by the counselor were excellent 0 0 0 0 0
Greenhouse and Highly
Small Business Management Programs: Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Disagree
A. The information presented in the SBM classes was appropriate for the needs of your company 0 0 0 0 0
B. The instructor's knowledge of the subject area was excellent 0 0 0 0 0
C. Your participation in planning, coordinating, and tailoring the subject matter of the classes to the specific needs of your company was sufficient and appropriate 0 0 0 0 0
D. The counseling portion of the SBM program is appropriate 0 0 0 0 0
E. The ratio of class hours to counseling hours is appropriate 0 0 0 0 0
F. The counseling hours should be expanded to a twice a month schedule 0 0 0 0 0
G. The instructional methods (lecture, video, computer simulation, etc.) are effective in assisting your understanding of the material 0 0 0 0 0
H. There are a sufficient variety of instructional methods utilized in the classes (lecture, video, computer simulation, etc.) 0 0 0 0 0

Overall SBDC Evaluation: Agree 5 4 3 2 1 Disagree
A. The SBDC personnel were courteous to you 0 0 0 0 0
B. The materials available to small business owners at the center is excellent 0 0 0 0 0
C. The variety of services available to small business at the center was sufficient 0 0 0 0 0
D. The SBDC hours are convenient for small business owners 0 0 0 0 0
E. The marketing done by the SBDC is at a sufficient enough level to reach most prospective clients 0 0 0 0 0

11. In what ways do you learn best? (please check all that apply)
Lectures 0 Films/Video Tapes 0 Small Group Discussions 0
Role Playing 0 Computer Simulations 0
Other (please specify) 

12. Do you feel that the assistance you received at the SBDC helped you:
Start your business Yes 0 No 0 Don't know 0
stay out of financial trouble Yes 0 No 0 Don't know 0
Increase sales? Yes 0 No 0 Don't know 0
Increase profits? Yes 0 No 0 Don't know 0
Increase the number of employees? Yes 0 No 0 Don't know 0
13. To assist us in making our instructional courses more informative and enjoyable for you, please rate each of the following options by distributing ten (10) points among the four choices to indicate how intensely you prefer each choice. Please always use all ten points. You may use zeros for those options that are not important to you.

Example: In class, I prefer that the instructor encourages clients to: A. share their feelings 0 B. listen quietly 2 C. take notes 3 D. ask questions 5

1. In class, I prefer an instructor to emphasize:
   A. client-centered discussions __
   B. lectures __
   C. problem-solving activities __
   D. application activities __

2. In class, I prefer an instructor to emphasize:
   A. theories __
   B. skills __
   C. attitudes __
   D. perspectives __

3. Instructors should see their primary role in class as:
   A. organizing what takes place in class __
   B. connecting major ideas for clients __
   C. encouraging clients to participate in class __
   D. listening to clients __

4. In a class, I prefer to be involved in:
   A. learning about theories, principles, and concepts __
   B. learning how to develop ways to independently evaluate and apply information discussed in class __
   C. expressing my feeling regarding various theories, principles, and concepts __
   D. observing carefully how theories, principles, and concepts have been applied __

5. In class, I prefer instructors who emphasize:
   A. small group discussions __
   B. free expression of feelings __
   C. careful organization of material __
   D. time for clients to think independently __

6. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):
   A. expert __
   B. scholar __
   C. advisor __
   D. friend __
7. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):
   A. coach
   B. group facilitator
   C. director
   D. interpreter of information

ABOUT THE SBDC CLIENT

14. Your Age:
   Under 21 0 40 - 49 0
   21 - 29 0 50 - 59 0
   30 - 39 0 60 or over 0

15. Gender of owner or general manager: Male 0 Female 0

16. Your educational background:
   High School or less 0
   Some college 0
   College graduate 0
   Some post-graduate work 0
   Post-graduate degree 0

17. Number of Employees:
   Haven't started the company 0
   Only owner(s) employed in company 0
   1 - 5 employees 0
   6 - 10 employees 0
   11 - 24 employees 0
   25 employees and above 0

18. Dollar value of company assets:
   Haven't started the company 0
   Start-up capital/assets only 0
   Less than $100,000 0
   From $100,000 up to $500,000 0
   From $500,000 up to $1 million 0
   Over $1 million 0

19. Annual Sales Volume:
   Haven't started the company 0
   Less than $25,000 0
   Between $25,000 and $100,000 0
   Between $100,000 and $250,000 0
   Between $250,000 and $500,000 0
   $500,000 and above 0
APPENDIX B

CLACKAMAS SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER
PERSONNEL INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE
(Probe Questions)

1. How do you see your role in the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs?
   (A. What specifically is the role of the instructor/counselor?)

2. When an individual with a new idea for a business, comes to your office for the first time, what do you do?
   (A. Do you -
   Use a specific procedure?
   Ask specific questions?
   Look for specific business related factors?
   Look for specific owner skills or knowledge?)
   (B. Do you ever recommend that an individual not try to start a new business?
   What are the specific factors that would prompt your recommendation?)
   (C. Do you recommend the Greenhouse Program to everyone who comes to you for assistance?
   If not, why not?)

3. If the individual coming to your office is the owner or manager of an actually operating business, what do you do?
   (A. Do you -
   Use a specific procedure?
   Ask specific questions?
   Look for specific business related factors?
   Look for specific owner skills or knowledge?)
   (B. Do you recommend the Small Business Management I or II classes to everyone who comes to you for assistance?
   If not, why not?)

4. Are there specific factors that you believe determine whether a business will be successful or fail?
   (A. What are those factors?)
   (B. How would you define business success?)
   business failure?)
5. Do you teach any of the Greenhouse or Small Business Management courses?
   (A. Which ones?)

6. What should individuals specifically know or be able to do after they have completed the Greenhouse Program?
   - SBM I Program
   - SBM II Program

7. What materials do you use during the instructional and counseling sessions?

8. Are there any of the topic areas that you feel should be specifically emphasized?
   (A. How do you emphasize those topic areas?)

9. Are there business related factors that determine what is taught in the instructional and/or counseling sessions?
   (A. Such as - company size
      - number of employees
      - dollar amount of assets
      - sales level)
   (B. Do you think this might be an important area to look at?)

10. Are the counseling sessions of the Greenhouse, SBM I, and SBM II programs specifically related to the topics discussed during the instructional sessions?
    (A. How are they related?)
    (B. Do you think this might be an important area to look at?)

11. During the instructional sessions and/or counseling sessions, do you use any of the following instructional methods:

    | Instructional Methods | Counseling Methods |
    |-----------------------|--------------------|
    | A. Lectures          | no occasionally often |
    | B. Computer Simulations | no occasionally often |
    | C. Role Playing      | no occasionally often |
    | D. Small Group Activities | no occasionally often |
    | E. Discussion of personal experiences | no occasionally often |
F. Case Studies no occasionally often no occasionally often
G. Other: no occasionally often no occasionally often

12. Are clients involved in selecting and evaluating the instructional methods that are used during class or counseling sessions?
(A. How is this done?)
(B. Do you think this might be an important factor to look at?)

13. Are clients involved in selecting the level of information that will be covered during the instructional classes or counseling sessions?
(A. How is that done?)
(B. Do you think this might be an important factor to look at?)

14. Are clients involved in evaluating the Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs?
(A. How is this done?)
(B. Throughout the term? At the end of the term?)
(C. How do you utilize this information?)

15. Are there individuals who fail to complete the Greenhouse and SBM programs?
(A. Do you follow-up with these individuals to find out why they dropped out of the program?
   What reasons do they give?)

16. Are there things about the program that you would like to change?
INSTRUCTOR TYPE INVENTORY

INSTRUCTIONS:
There are 7 sets of 4 words or phrases listed below. You are to distribute ten (10) points among the four choices to indicate how intensely you prefer each choice. Always use all ten points. You may use zeros, if they are appropriate, as in this example.
Example: In class, I prefer that clients:
A. share their feelings  
B. listen quietly  
C. take notes  
D. ask questions

Example: In class, I prefer to emphasize:
A. client-centered discussions  
B. lectures  
C. problem-solving activities  
D. application activities

1. In class, I prefer to emphasize:
   A. client-centered discussions  
   B. lectures  
   C. problem-solving activities  
   D. application activities

2. In class I prefer to emphasize:
   A. theories  
   B. skills  
   C. attitudes  
   D. perspectives

3. I see my primary role in class:
   A. organizing what takes place in class  
   B. connecting major ideas for clients  
   C. encouraging clients to participate in class  
   D. listening to clients

4. In a class, I prefer to involve the clients in:
   A. learning about theories, principles, and concepts  
   B. learning how to develop ways to independently evaluate and apply information discussed in class  
   C. expressing their feelings regarding various theories, principles, and concepts  
   D. observing carefully how theories, principles, and concepts have been applied

5. In class, I prefer to emphasize:
   A. small group discussions  
   B. free expression of feelings  
   C. careful organization of material  
   D. time for clients to think independently

6. I prefer to be seen as a(n):
   A. expert  
   B. scholar  
   C. advisor  
   D. friend

7. I prefer to take the role of:
   A. coach  
   B. group facilitator  
   C. director  
   D. interpreter of information
APPENDIX C

SMALL BUSINESS INFORMATIONAL CONTENT AND
INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN PROGRAM CHECKLIST
The following small business informational content and instructional design checklist was used in evaluating the informational content, and instructional and curricular design of the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs. The checklist is divided into two sections. Section one is comprised of factors that researchers suggest are crucial for individuals to know in evaluating the feasibility of new business ventures and in developing and implementing the skills and systems necessary to support continual organizational growth and stability (Steinmetz, 1968; Greiner, 1972; Churchill and Levis, 1983). Section two focuses upon factors that researchers suggest should be incorporated within the design of programs developed for adult learners (Brookfield, 1986; Cross, 1981; Daloz, 1986; Wlodkowski, 1985).

Program Informational Content Factors

This section is divided into two segments. The first segment focuses upon those issues that are particularly pertinent to the individual investigating the feasibility of a new business. The second segment shifts the focus to the pertinent issues for owners of existing small businesses.

Greenhouse Program: Feasibility Of The Prospective Business Idea

Programs designed to assist prospective business owners evaluate the feasibility of new business ventures should assist individuals in analyzing whether an opportunity for a new business really does exist through:

1. Assessing whether the proposed company will have a competitive advantage or disadvantage in:
   - A. Product technology
   - B. Production technology
   - C. Management technology and skills

2. Assessing the potential effects of proposed government/legal changes
   - 0 0

3. Assessing present customer needs and the potential effects of future changes
   - 0 0

4. Assessing the dimensions of the business opportunity through estimating:
   - A. Potential market size for product
   - B. Market share of major competitors
   - D. Probable size of market niche
   - E. Probable profitability of market niche
   - F. Time frame for the business opportunity
   - G. Growth potential of the market
   - 0 0
5. Assessing key start-up risk by evaluating:

A. Sufficiency of the initial capital
B. Owner's technical skills
C. Owner's managerial skills
D. Likely competitors' response
E. Ability to obtain the needed resources
F. Ability to establish stable customer base
G. Ability to develop distribution system

6. Assessing the value of the opportunity in terms of the individual's:

A. Willingness to invest personal funds
B. Ability to handle risk
C. Non-financial rewards from start-up
D. Short-term and long-term business goals
E. Short-term and long-term personal goals
F. Skills and interests

Small Business Management Program: Assistance For The Established Small Business Organization

Programs can assist small business owners/managers in adopting and adapting the skills, systems, and structures needed to sustain the continual growth and market success of their organizations through:

1. Assessing the adequacy of present level of capitalization
2. Assisting businesses seek additional capitalization, if needed, through:
   A. Developing accurate financial statements
   B. Developing a comprehensive business plan
   C. Assisting clients complete required documents
   D. Assisting clients contact capital sources
3. Assisting owners assess their own:
   A. Long-term company goals
   B. Long-term personal goals
   C. Compatibility of both long-term goals
   D. Present level of technical skills
E. Present level of managerial skills  
F. Present level of strategic planning skills

4. Assisting owners assess their company's:

A. Present product technology  
B. Present production technology  
C. Exposure to changes in government regulations  
D. Exposure to shifts in customer demand/needs  
E. Market share  
F. Exposure to competitive changes  
G. Adequacy of training for employees  
H. Adequacy of trained managers  
I. Adequacy of accounting & budgeting systems  
J. Adequacy of planning systems  
K. Adequacy of personnel policies  
L. Adequacy of product development  
M. Adequacy of marketing systems  
N. Adequacy of production systems

5. Assisting owners assess what changes will need to be made in the following areas to accommodate their long-term company goals:

A. Accounting & Budgeting systems  
B. Planning and Control systems  
C. Information systems  
D. Training for employees  
E. Training for management personnel  
F. Level of technical skills for managers  
G. Personnel policies and plans  
H. Product Development  
I. Marketing systems  
J. Production systems  
K. Capital structure  
M. Distribution systems

Program Instructional Design Factors

Researchers have found that adult learners tend to seek out educational programs that they perceive will assist them in obtaining answers to specific professional and/or personal problems and concerns (Brookfield, 1986; Cross, 1981; Daloz, 1986; Wlodkowski, 1985). Though the level of motivation and self-directness of any specific individual is very difficult to anticipate and measure, Cross has found that motivation and perceived benefit levels increased dramatically as participants are systematically involved in the designing, coordinating, and on-going evaluation of the program (1981). These researchers suggest that adult learners should be actively encouraged to be joint participants with the instructor in
assessing needs, developing learning objectives, selecting methods of learning, and assessing the on-going learning experience.

The following checklist is comprised of factors that research suggests increases the level of participant involvement, motivation, and perceived benefit from the program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructional Design Within Program</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Team building exercises are utilized in early sessions to develop a strong cohesive and supportive learning group

2. Within each class session there is at least one of the following participatory learning instructional methods used:
   - Computer simulations
   - Role playing activities
   - Small-Group activities
   - Discussion of personal experiences
   - Case studies

3. Information discussed in class sessions is incorporated into counseling sessions to enhance information relevancy

4. Clients are encouraged to share experiences and problems encountered during counseling sessions during class sessions to enhance information relevancy

5. Instructors develop the curricular content of their courses based upon the specific business background and knowledge of clients

6. Instructors incorporate the preferred learning styles of clients into the selection of instructional methods

7. Instructors involve clients in tailoring the program to meet individual participant needs through their participation in:
   - Assessing their company's problem areas
   - Assessing company long-term goals
   - Assessing personal managerial and technical abilities, skills, and knowledge
   - Selecting the kind and depth of information to be covered in classes
### Instructional Design Within Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| E. Selecting instructional methods used in classes |
| F. Systematically evaluating the program throughout the course of study | 0 | 0 |
APPENDIX D

CLIENT SURVEY TOTALS
CLIENT SURVEY TOTALS

144 respondents; 29.51% response rate

1. Do you presently own or manage a small business?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>112 (78.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31 (21.68%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If Yes, how long has your company been in business?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Less than 1 year</td>
<td>10 (10.53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. From 1 to 3 years</td>
<td>33 (34.74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. From 3 to 5 years</td>
<td>19 (20.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. 5 years and above</td>
<td>33 (34.74%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Please identify the one major business activity of either your present or proposed business:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Retail</td>
<td>25 (17.73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Construction</td>
<td>4 (2.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. General Services</td>
<td>61 (43.26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Wholesale</td>
<td>14 (9.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Manufacturing</td>
<td>32 (22.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Consulting</td>
<td>10 (7.09%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Medical/Health Care</td>
<td>5 (3.55%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. When you contacted the SBDC did you have a specific problem to be addressed?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>114 (80.85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27 (19.15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, check all that apply:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Accounting/Bookkeeping</td>
<td>42 (36.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Business Plan Preparation</td>
<td>57 (50.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Market Analysis</td>
<td>37 (32.46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Financial Assistance</td>
<td>28 (24.56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Management Structure</td>
<td>19 (16.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Business Feasibility</td>
<td>33 (28.95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Legal</td>
<td>19 (16.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Production</td>
<td>8 (7.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Inventory Control</td>
<td>8 (7.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Technical Assistance</td>
<td>8 (7.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Personnel</td>
<td>14 (12.26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Marketing</td>
<td>63 (55.36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Other</td>
<td>15 (13.16%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Was your specific problem addressed by the counselor?

\[ N = 126 \]
\[ \text{Yes} = 116 \ (92.06\%) \]
\[ \text{No} = 10 \ (7.94\%) \]

5. How satisfied were you with the counseling that you received?

Very satisfied 5 4 3 2 1 Very unsatisfied

\[ N = 139 \]
\[ \text{Mean} = 4.094 \]
\[ \text{Standard Deviation} = 1.079 \]

6. Have you participated in any of the following SBDC's programs: Greenhouse Program, Small Business Management I Program, Small Business Management II Program?

\[ N = 143 \]
\[ \text{Yes} = 76 \ (53.15\%) \]
\[ \text{No} = 67 \ (46.85\%) \]

If yes, which programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Present Status</th>
<th>Percent Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>38 (52.63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presently In</td>
<td>2 (3.38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Management I</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>33 (46.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presently In</td>
<td>7 (10.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Management II</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>19 (26.28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presently In</td>
<td>5 (7.55%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Percentages are greater than 100.00% because of clients participating in more than one program.)

7. Are there any additional subjects that should be included in the Greenhouse, and Small Business Management Programs?

\[ N = 62 \]
\[ \text{Yes} = 19 \ (30.65\%) \]
\[ \text{No} = 47 \ (69.35\%) \]

(see page 191 for a list of suggested subjects).

8. Are there any ways in which the counseling portion of Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs can be improved?

\[ N = 66 \]
\[ \text{Yes} = 34 \ (51.52\%) \]
\[ \text{No} = 32 \ (48.48\%) \]

If yes, which of the following options do you believe would improve the counseling portion of the program:
9. Are there any ways in which you would have liked to have been involved in tailoring the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs to meet the specific needs of your company?

N = 68
Yes = 41 (60.29%)
No = 27 (39.71%)

If yes, in which of the following ways would you like to be involved in the process:

N = 41
A. Assessment of company's problems = 19 (46.34%)
B. Assessment of company's long-term goals = 19 (46.34%)
C. Assessment of personal managerial skills = 22 (53.66%)
D. Selection of information to be covered = 17 (41.46%)
E. Selection of instructional methods = 19 (46.34%)
F. Other = 2 (4.88%)

(Percentages are greater than 100.00% because of the selection of more than one option)

Client Evaluation Of The Program

10. Counseling:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Highly</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Counselor's knowledge of problem to be addressed was excellent</td>
<td>N = 140</td>
<td>Mean = 4.150</td>
<td>Standard Deviation = 0.873</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Counselor's suggestions were appropriate for the situation</td>
<td>N = 140</td>
<td>Mean = 4.050</td>
<td>Standard Deviation = 0.947</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Request received prompt assistance by counselor</td>
<td>N = 141</td>
<td>Mean = 4.383</td>
<td>Standard Deviation = 0.900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Counselor was courteous</td>
<td>N = 141</td>
<td>Mean = 4.823</td>
<td>Standard Deviation = 0.419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Counselor understood company's long-term goals</td>
<td>N = 140</td>
<td>Mean = 4.257</td>
<td>Standard Deviation = 0.860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. Materials provided by counselor were excellent  
Greenhouse and Mean = 3.970  
Standard Deviation = 1.018

Small Business Management Programs:

A. Information presented in classes was appropriate for company needs  
N = 71  
Mean = 3.690  
Standard Deviation = 1.141

B. Instructor's knowledge of subject was excellent  
N = 73  
Mean = 4.123  
Standard Deviation = 0.942

C. Participation in planning, coordinating, and tailoring class subject matter to company needs was sufficient and appropriate  
N = 73  
Mean = 3.384  
Standard Deviation = 1.101

D. Counseling portion of program is appropriate  
N = 72  
Mean = 4.333  
Standard Deviation = 0.822

E. Ratio of class hours to counseling hours is appropriate  
N = 70  
Mean = 3.886  
Standard Deviation = 1.057

F. Counseling hours per month should remain the same  
N = 70  
Mean = 3.686  
Standard Deviation = 1.336

G. Instructional methods are effective for understanding the material  
N = 73  
Mean = 3.630  
Standard Deviation = 1.112

H. Sufficient variety of instructional methods are utilized in classes  
N = 71  
Mean = 3.634  
Standard Deviation = 1.137

Overall SBDC Evaluation:

A. Personnel were courteous  
N = 130  
Mean = 4.831  
Standard Deviation = 0.396

B. Materials available at the center are excellent  
N = 124  
Mean = 4.306  
Standard Deviation = 0.776
C. Variety of services available was sufficient  
\[ N = 125 \]  
Mean = 4.344  
Standard Deviation = 0.708

D. Hours are convenient  
\[ N = 124 \]  
Mean = 4.097  
Standard Deviation = 1.007

E. Marketing is sufficient to reach prospective clients  
\[ N = 108 \]  
Mean = 3.500  
Standard Deviation = 1.180

11. The following question is designed to find out which frequency of class sessions you prefer:  
\[ N = 101 \]  
A. Present Schedule = 89 (88.12\%)  
B. Optional Schedule = 12 (11.88\%)

12. In what ways do you learn best? (please check all that apply)  
\[ N = 133 \]  
A. Lectures = 98 (73.68\%)  
B. Films/Video Tapes = 67 (50.38\%)  
C. Small Group Discussions = 109 (81.95\%)  
D. Role Playing = 27 (20.30\%)  
E. Computer Simulations = 23 (17.29\%)  
F. Other = 20 (15.04\%)  
(Percentages are greater than 100.00\% because of multiple selections by respondents)

13. Do you feel that the assistance you received at the SBDC helped you:  
A. Start your business?  
\[ N = 115 \]  
Yes = 54 (46.96\%)  
No = 44 (38.26\%)  
Don't Know = 17 (14.78\%)

B. Stay out of financial trouble?  
\[ N = 120 \]  
Yes = 44 (36.67\%)  
No = 26 (21.67\%)  
Don't Know = 40 (40.00\%)
C. Increase sales?

\[ N = 119 \]
Yes = 39 (32.77%)
No = 37 (31.09%)
Don't Know = 43 (36.13%)

D. Increase profits?

\[ N = 116 \]
Yes = 36 (30.51%)
No = 39 (33.05%)
Don't Know = 43 (36.44%)

E. Increase the number of employees?

\[ N = 107 \]
Yes = 7 (6.54%)
No = 57 (53.27%)
Don't Know = 43 (40.19%)

14. To assist us in making our instructional courses more informative and enjoyable for you, please rate each of the following options by distributing ten (10) points among the four choices to indicate how intensely you prefer each choice. Please always use all ten points. You may use zeros for those options that are not important to you.

Example: In class, I prefer that the instructor encourages clients to:
A. share their feelings 0
B. listen quietly 7
C. take notes 3
D. ask questions 5

1. In class, I prefer an instructor to emphasize:

\[ N = 127 \]
A. client-centered discussions = 263 (21.72%)
B. lectures = 255 (20.06%)
C. problem-solving activities = 362 (29.89%)
D. application activities = 331 (26.85%)
Total = 1211 (100.00%)

2. In class, I prefer an instructor to emphasize:

\[ N = 128 \]
A. theories = 203 (16.67%)
B. skills = 444 (36.45%)
C. attitudes = 244 (20.03%)
D. perspectives = 327 (26.85%)
Total = 1218 (100.00%)
3. Instructors should see their primary role in class as:

   N = 127

A. organizing what takes place in class = 314 (24.96%)
B. connecting major ideas for clients = 463 (36.81%)
C. encouraging clients to participate = 251 (19.95%)
D. listening to clients = 230 (18.28%)
Total = 1258 (100.00%)

4. In a class, I prefer to be involved in:

   N = 128

A. learning about theories, principles, and concepts = 253 (20.55%)
B. learning how to independently evaluate and apply information discussed in class = 507 (41.19%)
C. expressing my feeling regarding various theories, principles, and concepts = 135 (10.97%)
D. observing carefully how theories, principles, and concepts have been applied = 236 (18.79%)
Total = 1231 (100.00%)

5. In class, I prefer instructors who emphasize:

   N = 128

A. small group discussions = 523 (26.28%)
B. free expression of feelings = 723 (39.14%)
C. careful organization of material = 446 (36.29%)
D. time for clients to think independently = 237 (19.29%)
Total = 1229 (100.00%)

6. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):

   N = 129

A. expert = 435 (35.05%)
B. scholar = 147 (11.85%)
C. advisor = 468 (37.71%)
D. friend = 191 (15.29%)
Total = 1241 (100.00%)
7. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. coach</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>(22.24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. group facilitator</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>(20.31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. director</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>(18.94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. interpreter of information</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>(38.51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>(100.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ABOUT THE SBDC CLIENT

15. Your Age:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Under 21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2.16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 21 - 29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(5.04%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 30 - 39</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(26.62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. 40 - 49</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>(45.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. 50 - 59</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(18.71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. 60 or over</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2.16%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Gender of owner or general manager:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Male</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>(42.03%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Female</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>(52.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Both/Partnership</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(5.07%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Your educational background:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Education</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. High School or less</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(12.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Some college</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>(37.86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. College graduate</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>(15.71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Some post-graduate work</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(19.79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Post-graduate degree</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(15.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. Number of Employees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Count</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Haven't started the company</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(17.99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Only owner(s) employed in company</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(40.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 1 - 5 employees</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>(27.34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. 6 - 10 employees</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(4.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. 11 - 24 employees</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(5.04%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. 25 employees and above</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(5.04%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19. Dollar value of company assets:

\[ N = 137 \]

- A. Haven't started the company = 25 (18.25%)
- B. Start-up capital/assets only = 36 (26.28%)
- C. less than $100,000 = 46 (33.58%)
- D. From $100,000 up to $500,000 = 21 (15.33%)
- E. From $500,000 up to $1 million = 4 (2.92%)
- F. Over $1 million = 5 (3.65%)

20. Annual Sales Volume:

\[ N = 134 \]

- A. Haven't started the company = 24 (17.91%)
- B. Less than $25,000 = 42 (31.34%)
- C. Between $25,000 and $100,000 = 30 (22.39%)
- D. Between $100,000 and $250,000 = 16 (11.94%)
- E. Between $250,000 and $500,000 = 8 (5.97%)
- F. $500,000 and above = 14 (10.45%)
COMMENTS RELATED TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

1. Question 7 - Additional subjects desired:
   (The following are individual responses)
   
   A. Greenhouse:
      1. Family involvement in Business
      2. Problem solving using real examples
      3. More in-depth coverage of subjects
      4. How to do feasibility studies
      5. More specific on laws and rules
   
   B. SBM I and II:
      1. Communication Skills
      2. Time Management
      3. Stress Management
      4. Planning for future growth
      5. More on intangibles - emotions, personal goals
      6. More employee related issues and management
      7. Computers in business
      8. Use of video communications - marketing, training
      9. Working with difficult clients
     10. More on analyzing numbers

2. Question 8 - Improving counseling portion of Greenhouse and SBM program:
   (The following are individual responses except where labeled)

   1. Improvement of the resource library - increasing resources
   2. Four responses requesting greater in-depth coverage of topics
   3. Two responses requesting evening counseling sessions
   4. Counseling offered in a "block format"
   5. Closer integration between counselor and program activities
   6. Better defined topics with expert speakers
APPENDIX E

TOTAL RESPONSE PROFILE OF SHORT-TERM COUNSELING AND SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS DIMENSION VARIABLES
TOTAL RESPONSE PROFILE OF SHORT-TERM COUNSELING AND SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS DIMENSION VARIABLES

**Short-term Counseling Program Dimension Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Dimension</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 140</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Suggestions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 140</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor's Understanding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 140</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Provided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 134</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Greenhouse/Small Business Management Program Dimension Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Dimension</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 71</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor's Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 73</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 72</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Portion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 72</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 70</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 70</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 73</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety of Instructional Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 71</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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COMPARISON OF CLIENTS AND CENTER PERSONNEL RESPONSES
TO QUESTIONS REGARDING PROGRAM INSTRUCTIONAL
AND CURRICULAR DESIGN ISSUES

The following table compares the responses of center clients and
the short-term counselors to the instructional and curricular issues
contained in the seven sub-questions of question 13 in the client
program evaluation survey (see Appendix A). Respondents were asked
to distribute 10 points among the four options of each of the
following sub-questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-question</th>
<th>Client Perceptions</th>
<th>Center Personnel Perceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 127</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. In class, I prefer an instructor to emphasize:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. client-centered discussions</td>
<td>263 (21.7%)</td>
<td>19 (27.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. lectures</td>
<td>255 (21.1%)</td>
<td>17 (24.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. problem-solving activities</td>
<td>362 (29.2%)</td>
<td>19 (27.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. application activities</td>
<td>331 (27.3%)</td>
<td>15 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1211 (100.0%)</td>
<td>70 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 126</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In class, I prefer an instructor to emphasize:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. theories</td>
<td>203 (16.7%)</td>
<td>12 (17.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. skills</td>
<td>444 (36.5%)</td>
<td>27 (39.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. attitudes</td>
<td>244 (20.0%)</td>
<td>10 (14.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. perspectives</td>
<td>327 (26.8%)</td>
<td>20 (29.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1218 (100.0%)</td>
<td>69 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 127</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Instructors should see their primary role in class as:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. organizing what takes place in class</td>
<td>314 (25.0%)</td>
<td>25 (35.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. connecting major ideas for clients</td>
<td>463 (36.8%)</td>
<td>22 (31.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. encouraging clients to participate</td>
<td>251 (20.0%)</td>
<td>11 (15.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. listening to clients</td>
<td>220 (18.7%)</td>
<td>12 (17.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1258 (100.0%)</td>
<td>70 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. In a class, I prefer to be involved in:

A. learning about theories, principles, and concepts 253 (20.6%) 13 (18.6%)
B. learning how to independently evaluate and apply information discussed in class 507 (41.2%) 36 (51.4%)
C. expressing my feeling regarding various theories, principles, and concepts 135 (11.0%) 8 (11.4%)
D. observing carefully how theories, principles, and concepts have been applied 336 (27.2%) 13 (18.6%)

Total 1231 (100.0%) 70 (100.0%)

5. In class, I prefer instructors who emphasize:

A. small group discussions 323 (26.3%) 17 (24.6%)
B. free expression of feelings 223 (18.1%) 14 (20.4%)
C. careful organization of material 446 (36.3%) 21 (30.4%)
D. time for clients to think independently 237 (19.3%) 17 (24.6%)

Total 1229 (100.0%) 69 (100.0%)

6. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):

A. coach 276 (22.2%) 36 (51.5%)
B. group facilitator 252 (20.5%) 18 (25.7%)
C. director 235 (19.0%) 4 (5.7%)
D. interpreter of information 478 (38.5%) 12 (17.1%)

Total 1241 (100.0%) 70 (100.0%)

7. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):

A. expert 435 (35.1%) 15 (21.4%)
B. scholar 147 (11.9%) 2 (2.9%)
C. advisor 468 (37.7%) 41 (58.6%)
D. friend 191 (15.3%) 12 (17.1%)

Total 1241 (100.0%) 70 (100.0%)
4. In a class, I prefer to be involved in:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. learning about theories, principles, and concepts</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. learning how to independently evaluate and apply information</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. expressing my feeling regarding various theories, principles, and concepts</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. observing carefully how theories, principles, and concepts have been applied</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 1231 (100.0%) 70 (100.0%)

5. In class, I prefer instructors who emphasize:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. small group discussions</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. free expression of feelings</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. careful organization of material</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. time for clients to think independently</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 1229 (100.0%) 69 (100.0%)

6. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. expert</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. scholar</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. advisor</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. friend</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 1241 (100.0%) 70 (100.0%)

7. I prefer an instructor who is a(n):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. coach</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. group facilitator</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. director</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. interpreter of information</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 1241 (100.0%) 70 (100.0%)
APPENDIX G

SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER RESEARCH
A 1982 study conducted by Chrisman, Nelson, Hoy, and Robinson (1985) sought to measure the tax revenue contributions made by the Georgia and South Carolina SBDC programs. In the fall of 1982, a survey was conducted by telephone and mail of 583 Georgia small businesses which received in-depth counseling between October 1, 1980 and July 31, 1981 (1985, p. 3). A 25.7 percent response rate (84 responses) was obtained in the Georgia study. Concurrently, a similar survey was conducted in South Carolina among 50 of the 100 small businesses that had received SBDC assistance (1985, p. 3). A 38 percent response rate (19 responses) was obtained in the South Carolina study. In the survey, owners were asked the level of their gross sales, number of employees, and net income before and after SBDC assistance was received. The difference between the before and after assistance figures for each category was calculated. The researchers assumed that increases in these three categories (number of employees, gross sales, and net income) were attributable to the assistance received from the program.

The program's contribution to Georgia's tax revenues was calculated using the following formulas:

1) the total net profits of SBDC clients x the average corporate income tax rate paid by all Georgia corporations plus

2) the total gross sales of SBDC clients x the state sales tax rate plus

3) the net increase in SBDC client employees x Average Georgia employee income generated by employment ("wage and salary income/total personal income") x the state personal income tax rate (Chrisman, 1985, p. 4).

The results of these calculations were compared to the experience of a "control group" of businesses not receiving SBDC assistance (Chrisman, 1985, p. 4). This control group developed by the researchers was comprised of statewide averages of sales, net profits, and numbers of employees for all companies within the state. The results of these comparisons can be seen in Table III.

Table III
Comparison of SBDC Clients and Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Percent Change</th>
<th>Average Percent Change</th>
<th>Incremental Percent Change Between Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBDC Clients</td>
<td>All Businesses</td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>29.2 %</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
<td>21.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
<td>(1.1)%</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Profit</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
<td>18.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>34.4 %</td>
<td>(3.5)%</td>
<td>37.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
<td>(1.8)%</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Profit</td>
<td>30.9 %</td>
<td>(3.5)%</td>
<td>34.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Chrisman, 1985, p. 7)
The projected aggregate change for all Georgia SBDC clients (700 clients) during 1982, was an increase of $188,162,590 in sales, 2,492 new jobs, and $6,646,005 in net profits (Chrisman, 1985, p. 7). Chrisman estimated that the Georgia SBDC clients generated $6,600,000 in state tax revenues and $3,300,000 in federal tax revenues, which compares favorably with the $1,010,000 in state and federal funds that were expended for the SBDC programs in 1980-81 (Chrisman, 1985, p. 9). The projected aggregate change for all South Carolina SBDC clients (100 clients) during 1982, was an increase of $22,426,765 in sales, 97 new jobs, and $1,354,637 in net profits (Chrisman, 1985, p. 9). Chrisman estimated that the South Carolina SBDC clients generated $1,042,818 in state tax revenues and $535,897 in federal tax revenues, in comparison to a total of $400,000 in federal and state funds that were expended during 1980-1981 (Chrisman, 1985, p. 10).

Elstrott (1987) criticized this study because of the composition of the control group chosen by the researchers. It included both large businesses and older small businesses that were less volatile to increased rates of growth in sales, employment, and profits (Elstrott, 1987, p. 70). Elstrott suggested that the relative small sample sizes that were obtained would significantly skew the results of the study in favor of the SBDC clients (Elstrott, 1987, p. 70). To overcome this problem, Elstrott recommended the development of matched control groups containing only small businesses (1987, p. 71). In response to Elstrott's criticism, Chrisman et al. (1987) supported the results of their study by citing a study by Pelham (1985) of the Iowa SBDC program which suggested there was no significant difference in growth volatility between SBDC clients and non-clients (Chrisman, 1987, p. 73). Chrisman also suggested that their SBDC client sample had also contained some large-sized small businesses that would tend to counterbalance the large businesses contained within the control group (1987, p. 74).

Pelham's (1985) comprehensive study of the Iowa SBDC program was in direct response to the suggested dismantlement of the Iowa program called for by the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB). The NFIB criticisms of the program were: 1) funds would be more effectively used in the form of capital made available to small businesses, 2) funds would be used more cost effectively if expended in the Small Business Administration Small Business Institute and Service Corps of Retired Executives programs, 3) academics knew very little that was applicable to small business needs (Pelham, 1985, p. 41-42). An important component of Pelham's study was a series of surveys of Iowa SBDC clients taken in 1983, 1984, and 1985. In a 1983 mail survey of 624 SBDC clients (38.4% response rate) for the period of 1982 and 1983, Pelham found that the SBDC clients created 786 new jobs, an increase of 20.3 percent compared to the .1 percent decrease for all Iowan companies (Pelham, 1985, p. 46). Pelham found from two additional surveys taken in 1984 (clients of October 1983 - June 1984) and 1985 (clients of June 1984 - October 1984) the average SBDC client growth in employment was 6.1 percent, in comparison to 2.1 percent growth for all Iowan companies (Pelham, 1985, p. 47). Pelham estimates that for 1984 the tax revenues
generated by clients of the program were $388,339, in comparison to $82,000 in funds expended on the program (1985, p. 48).

The only major independent study of the Oregon Small Business Development Center Network (OSBDCN) was conducted by Owens (1985) in 1985. The study consisted of a mail survey to all 990 SBDC clients that had received assistance, and each of the 20 SBDC center directors. A client response rate of 30 percent (295 responses) was obtained (Owens, 1985, p. 30). The major findings of the survey were:

- Three-quarters of the SBDC clients were 30-50 years old
- Fifty-one percent of clients were women
- One-third of clients were in service industries
- One-third of clients were in wholesale or retail trade
- One-third of clients were in manufacturing
- Clients reported an average of 2.9 full-time employees
  2.4 part-time employees
- Clients had operated an average of three years
- Clients sought assistance in: business management 45%
  Marketing/advertising 41%
  Business plan preparation 40%
- Clients given assistance in: starting business information 41%
  Business plan preparation 38%
  Marketing/advertising 36%
  Financial management 27%
- Twenty-five clients (8.5 percent) reported a total increase of 41 employees and 10 (3.4 percent) clients reported a total decrease of 15 employees
- Forty-eight percent of clients rated the counseling as extremely satisfying and only 12 percent were dissatisfied
(Source: Owens, 1985, p. 32-41)
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SUMMARY OF CLACKAMAS CENTER INTERVIEWS

The following summary is of a series of in-depth interviews that was conducted at the Clackamas Center between June 10 - 13, 1990. The group of interviewees consisted of all individuals directly involved in the Short-term Counseling (5 counselors) and Greenhouse/Small Business Management Programs (3 instructors).

1. **How do you see your role in the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs?**

   The initial response of all interviewees was to answer this question in terms of their own individual job description, as a counselor or instructor/counselor. However, as respondents began describing their individual interactions with clients, they all presented a consistent picture that is aptly described by the following response: "...as a coach who helps the client learn how to solve problems, rather than solving the client's problem like a consultant." Thus interviewees saw their role as helping the client discover for themselves whether the business idea was feasible or how to solve an organizational challenge.

2. **When an individual with a new idea for a business comes to your office for the first time what do you do?**

   The initial step in the assistance process is to have new clients complete form 1066. This is a short questionnaire which asks the following questions:

   1. **Are you currently: starting a business?, buying a business?, in business?, how many years?, and how many employees?**
   2. **What kind of business: retail, wholesale, manufacturing, construction, service, other?**
   3. **Type of business: sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation.**
   4. **Do you need help with: business start-up/purchase, locating, financing, marketing, personnel, record-keeping, business plan development, growth/expansion, finding resources, other?**
   5. **If you are buying or already own a business, do you have: a recent balance sheet, a profit and loss statement, tax statements for the last three years, an accountant, value of inventory, fixtures and equipment, an attorney?**
After initially reviewing form 1066 with the client, all interviewees mentioned that the major need was to ascertain if the individual would make a good business owner and whether the business idea was viable. Respondents said that they asked questions to ascertain the following information:

1. **Individual's Personal Characteristics:** the person's temperament, risk assuming characteristics, personal finances and security needs, and personal short-term and long-term goals.

2. **Business Feasibility:** a business purpose analysis, customer analysis, competitor analysis, product analysis, sales and financial projections.

All respondents stated that they assisted the clients to determine for themselves whether or not to start the business. Everyone saw their role as one of "...walking the client through the numbers, but never recommending whether or not to start the business."

Consistently respondents mentioned that the Greenhouse program was not for everyone. Commonly mentioned factors that interviewees stated they considered before recommending the program to clients were:

- Feasibility of the business idea
- Compatibility with personal goals and time schedules
- Appropriateness of selected alternative workshops
- Prior business knowledge and skills
- Whether the individual would be willing to devote the needed time and energy to the program.

3. **If the individual coming to your office is the owner or manager of an actually operating business, what do you do?**

Interviewees stated that in their experience, most business owners seek assistance for very specific business problems. Some owners have difficulty articulating the problem, but with assistance from the counselor they are able to process the information and diagnose the situation. Respondents mentioned that analyzing the following areas are particularly helpful in the diagnosis process:

- Company's financial history
- Company's capital structure
- Owner's management knowledge and skills
- Owner's long-term personal and company goals
- Family issues/communication issues if family owned business

Respondents utilize much of the same criteria in deciding whether to recommend the Small Business Management Program to clients as they use for the Greenhouse Program. Counselors said they especially look for evidence of high levels of readiness,
discipline, and commitment on the part of the business owner before recommending the SBM program. Interviewees said that they quite often recommended specific workshops and short-term counseling to clients that are seeking assistance for specific business problems.

4. Are there specific factors that you believe determine whether a business will be successful or fail?

The chief factor that determines whether a business succeeds or fails, cited by all respondents, was the level of determination of the small business owner. Other important factors mentioned were the level of management, planning, financial, and marketing skills and systems that exist within a company.

5. Do you teach any of the Greenhouse or Small Business Management courses?

Of the three instructors, one has primary teaching responsibility for the Greenhouse program, and two have primary teaching responsibility for the Small Business Management Programs. Counselor are asked to teach specific course sessions depending on their business knowledge and skills.

6. What should individuals specifically know or be able to do after they have completed the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs?

After completing the Greenhouse Program, clients should know whether they have a viable business idea. If their business is feasible, they will have developed a complete business plan and a knowledge of informational resources that are available to them.

After completing the Small Business Management Program, clients should be able to find solutions to their company's problems, know more in-depth records analysis, how to manage business growth, how to develop appropriate personal and business related goals, and the broadening and perfecting of their managerial skills and knowledge.

7. What materials do you use during the instructional and counseling sessions?

Prior business experience, and any materials clients brought with them were the major materials that respondents said they used during the initial Short-term counseling session. The Clackamas center has a library of business related materials which could be used in specific cases. At the time of this study, instructor developed workbooks were being utilized in both the Greenhouse and Small Business Management class sessions. Materials utilized during counseling sessions depends on the particular issue or problem the client wants to discuss.
8. Are there any of the topic areas that you feel should be specifically emphasized?
   Two major topic areas mention by respondents were customer needs and personnel policies.

9. Are there business related factors that determine what is taught in the instructional and/or counseling sessions?
   No specific business related factors are used by instructors to determine the informational content of class and/or counseling sessions. Clients are asked what specific topics they would like to cover in class sessions. Respondents said the other factor they consider is the clients' experience and level of technical sophistication. The counseling sessions are designed around the specific needs of the individual client.

10. Are the counseling sessions of the Greenhouse and Small Business Management Programs specifically related to the topics discussed during the instructional sessions?
    The focus of the counseling sessions at the beginning of the program are closely related to what is being taught in the class sessions. However, the experience of the respondents has been that as the program progresses, the focus of the counseling sessions diverges from the instructional program and becomes more focused on current business problems.

11. During the instructional sessions, do you use any of the following instructional methods:
    A. Lectures: Yes, lecturing was utilized by all three instructors for a portion of each classroom session.
    B. Computer Simulations: No this method was not used.
    C. Role Playing: One instructor used this method often, one instructor occasionally, and one instructor did not use this method.
    D. Small Group Activities: Yes, all three instructors said they used this each class session.
    E. Discussion of personal experiences: Yes, all three instructors said they often used this method.
    F. Case Studies: Yes, all three instructors said they often used this method.
    G. Other: None were mentioned.

12. Are clients involved in selecting and evaluating the instructional methods that are used during class or counseling sessions?
Clients are not directly involved in the selection and evaluation of the instructional methods used during class sessions. However, all respondents mentioned that clients were able to participate to some degree in these activities because of the participatory nature of the instructional program.

13. Are clients involved in selecting the level of information that will be covered during the instructional classes or counseling sessions?

No, clients are not involved in this process. Respondents said they made this decision based upon their own intuition, and the communication they had with clients in class and counseling sessions.

14. Are clients involved in evaluating the Greenhouse and Small Business Management programs?

Clients are asked for their evaluation of the instructor and class materials when they have completed the program. All three instructors said that they did not see the results of that evaluation. All three instructors said that they conduct frequent informal evaluation sessions throughout the program so that any adjustments can be made if necessary.

15. Are there individuals who fail to complete the Greenhouse and SBM programs?

All three respondents said that very few clients who start the Greenhouse or Small Business Management Programs fail to finish.

16. Are there things about the program that you would like to change?

Items mentioned were:

- More specialized resources for clients in specific industries (e.g., "retailers").
- Extending the program to 3 years.
- Team teaching in the class and counseling sessions.
- Better initial screening of clients to ascertain knowledge, skills, and abilities. Use of formalized testing tools and instruments.
- More in-depth materials including more case studies and videos.
- Increased emphasis on program evaluation.
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GREENHOUSE AND SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT CURRICULUM

Greenhouse Curriculum

The following course is held once a week for 20 weeks. In addition to the classroom instruction, the Greenhouse program includes individual business counseling.

Week 1: Introduction:
- Discussion of program's objectives
  - A. Develop and write mission statement
  - B. Define and segment markets
  - C. Conduct market research
  - D. Research and write a business plan
  - E. Price products and services
  - F. Develop and implement a marketing plan

- Use of Small Business Development Center Resources
- Demonstration of how to use the Greenhouse Workbook

Week 2: What Business Are You In?
- Defining and Describing Your Business
- Deciding If Your Business Is Feasible

Week 3: Setting Goals And Developing Your Mission Statement
- Personal Goals, Business Goals, Mission Statement

Week 4: Significant Business Issues
- Analyzing the Business Environment
- Understanding Business Trends

Week 5: What Form Should Your Business Take?
- Choosing a Form of Business
- Getting Started

Week 6: Your Business Plan
- Defining the Purpose of the Plan
- Organizing the Plan
- Setting Business Plan Benchmarks

Week 7: Who Are Your Customers?
- Defining and Describing Your Markets
- Determining The Size Of Your Markets
- Segmenting Your Marketplace

Week 8: Market Research
- Planning Basic Research
- Selecting Research Methods
- Researching the Competition
- Analyzing and Using Research Data

Week 9: Marketing Planning
- Developing Long-Range Strategies
- Setting Goals/Making Projections
- Defining the Marketing Mix
Week 10: Pricing Your Products and Services
- Pricing Products, Services

Week 11: Financial Planning
- Capital Expenses, Start-up Expenses
- Income/Expense and Cash Flow Projections
- Feasibility Review

Week 12: Business Tools and Records
- Records for Business Management
- Business Checking Account

Week 13: Understanding Your Financial Records
- Income/Expense, Cash Flow, Balance Sheet Statements
- Important Financial Ratios

Week 14: Using Professional Advisors

Week 15: Using A Computer In Your Business
- Assessing Your Needs
- Purchasing
- Putting Your Computer To Work
- Your Computer As A Source Of Income

Week 16: Decision Making
- Establishing Priorities
- Using Short and Long-term Goals
- Checking Financial Feasibility and Potential

Week 17: Being Creative
- Techniques for Creative Problem Solving
- Turning On The Right Side of Your Brain

Week 18: Advertising and Promotion
- Being Creative
- Choosing the Right Medium
- Designing the Right Message
- Budgeting

Week 19: Consultative Selling
- Using Your Marketing Research
- Consultative Selling Techniques

Week 20: Business Plan Review
- Goals, Mission Statement, Marketing, Financial, Timetables

Small Business Management Curriculum
The following course consists of twice a month seminars and individual conferences for a two term basis. Topics of seminars may be adjusted at the request of the participating firms to adapt to the greatest needs of those businesses. Emphasis is placed on
establishing and keeping good records as a basis to accomplish the following goals:

- Improved business decision through timely, accurate information
- Better planning and tax management
- Idea sharing among participating business owners
- Growth of profits and employment

Proposed Topics For Term I: Small Business Management I

1. Do you Run Your Business of Does Your Business Run You?
   - Define your business and its customers
   - Set goals for growth and direction of your business
   - Present marketing and financial tools available

2. How Well Do Your Records Talk to You?
   - Look at current records
   - Understand basics of information in the records
   - Improve the records—decision link

3. Do Financial Records Help in Business Decisions?
   - Obtain recent statement, if available
   - Identify elements of financial statements
   - Discuss use of statements for business decisions

4. Financial Analysis
   - Ratio Analysis, Break-even Analysis, Financial Model Inputs

5. Communication Skills
   - Evaluate the effectiveness of your messages
   - Interpersonal Skills
   - Techniques to improve effectiveness

6. Marketing and How to Increase Sales
   - Evaluate current marketing activities
   - Explore new marketing approaches
   - Develop a marketing plan

7. Employee Law
   - Independent Contract or Employee
   - Current Federal and State regulations
   - Personnel manuals

8. Plan to Pay the Minimum Tax
   - Consider year end profit and tax position
   - Prepare to close the books
   - Plan year end tax activities

9. Obtaining Capital
   - Identify sources of funding
   - Loan packaging
10. Stress and Time Management/Presentation of SBM II Contents
   . Develop time management techniques
   . Learn stress reduction techniques

Topics For Term II: Small Business Management II

11. Strategic Planning
   . Review and assess accomplishments from the past term
   . What is Strategic Planning
   . The Planning Process

12. The Marketing Concept
   . 4 Ps of marketing
   . Market research
   . Relationship between marketing and financial issues
   . Customer service

13. Do You Need a Marketing Budget?
   . Budgeting methods, Forecasting Methods, Breakeven analysis

14. Advertising and Promotion
   . Assess current promotional activities
   . Compare advantages/disadvantages of different media
   . Plan promotional activities

15. Do You Need a Computer in Your Business?
   . Explore uses of micro-computers in small business
   . Discuss existing hardware and software
   . Assess possible uses in your business

16. Business Financial Modeling
   . Assess need for planning
   . Discuss methods of financial planning
   . Business planning models available

17. Are You Covered?
   . Assess financial exposure
   . Review insurance needs/coverage

18. Supervisory Skills
   . Maintain employee self-esteem
   . Encourage employee participation
   . Listen to communicate

19. Cultivating Happy, Productive Employees
   . Assess employee selection and training
   . Evaluate compensation procedures
   . Update policies and procedures

20. Where Have We Been and Where To From Here?
   . Review tax planning
   . Review SBM tasks accomplished
   . Discuss benefit of program to your business